

Research Article

Novel Anthropometric Indices as Screening Tools for Obesity: A Study on Healthy Iranians

Toktam Alirezaei (),¹ Hamid Soori (),² Rana Irilouzadian (),^{3,4} and Hadis Najafimehr ()²

¹Men's Health and Reproductive Health Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Safety Promotion and Injury Prevention of Research Center, Cohort Health Employees Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ³Burn Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Rana Irilouzadian; ranairilouzadian@gmail.com

Received 14 December 2022; Revised 9 February 2023; Accepted 20 September 2023; Published 3 October 2023

Academic Editor: Eric Gumpricht

Copyright © 2023 Toktam Alirezaei et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Aims. Upper body fat distribution is more related to cardiometabolic diseases than central obesity. Neck circumference (NC) and neck-to-height ratio (NHtR) are two indicators of upper body obesity that are affordable, easy to obtain, highly reproducible, and more practical in the crowded health centers than the classic anthropometric indices. *Methods*. 18–65-year-old individuals with no past medical history were included. After obtaining written informed consent, they were screened for hypertension, high blood glucose, and other abnormal laboratory results. Data were analyzed using SPSS and Mann–Whitney *U* test, Chi square test, Spearman's correlation coefficient, and ROC curve. *Results*. In our 2,812 participants, NC had the lowest area under the curve (AUC) in both male and female obese and overweight subjects. NHtR and hip circumference (HC) had the highest AUC in men and women with obesity, respectively. The highest sensitivity for overweight men and women belonged to waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), respectively, and for both males and females with obesity, NHtR had the highest sensitivity. The cutoff point of NHtR had the same value for males and females. HC and NHtR had the highest positive likelihood ratio (PLR) for obesity in men. In addition, HC and WC had the highest PLR for obesity in women. *Conclusion*. In this study, we revealed that NC had the lowest and NHtR and HC had the highest PLR for obesity in both genders. Our results warrant prospective studies to evaluate the role of NHtR and other novel anthropometric indices in the risk of cardiometabolic diseases.

1. Introduction

Obesity, recently labelled as a pandemic, is associated with various health problems including cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal disorders, depression, and adverse outcomes [1, 2]. Obesity and overweight have increased in recent years in Iran. According to a meta-analysis conducted by Okati-Aliabad et al., the prevalence of obesity has increased from 17.74 in the time frame of 2007–2013 to 25.98 in the time frame of 2014–2020. The statistics for overweight prevalence is from 27.02 in 2007–2013 to 38.29 in 2014–2020. However, Iran was not among the top-three

countries with the highest prevalence of obesity or overweight in the Middle East region in the time frame of 2014–2020 [3, 4].

Body mass index (BMI) is a measure used commonly for indicating nutritional status and obesity [5]. Recent studies suggested that BMI—which only depends on height and weight—cannot indicate body fat content accurately [6]. Anthropometric indices that evaluate central adiposity such as waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) have been suggested to correlate with cardiometabolic disorders more than BMI [7–10]. WC is associated with cardiovascular diseases and metabolic syndrome. However, this parameter cannot be measured in clinics because it is affected by the time that an individual has eaten or defecated and of respiration.

Fat distribution in the upper body is more strongly associated with cardiovascular diseases than visceral adipose tissue and central obesity [11]. This association may be the result of more free fatty acid release in the upper body compared to the abdomen [12, 13]. Abundant fat tissue in upper body results in oxidative stress and endothelial injury, and, as a result, chronic vascular disease [14]. The association of the upper body obesity with insulin resistance, hyper-triglyceridemia, gout, and renal stones is well-established [15–17].

NC, as an indicator of upper body adiposity, is associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, uric acid levels, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), and, as a result, cardiovascular diseases [16, 18–20]. There is some controversy over the indication of using NC and its predictive role for body composition [21, 22]. NC is simple, cheap, fast to measure, highly reproducible, and less-varied in age compared to WC [23, 24]. It is more feasible in overcrowded clinics and more culturally accepted. Neck-to-height ratio (NHtR) is a novel anthropometric index that not only measures upper body adiposity but also adjusts the NC for height. NHtR has been shown to be more associated with liver fibrosis compared to NC [25].

Abdominal volume index (AVI) is another novel anthropometric index and indicator of central obesity that is shown to be correlated with diabetes mellitus and metabolic abnormalities [26–28]. AVI has been suggested as a screening tool for metabolic syndrome of adulthood in different populations [29, 30].

The relation between NHtR and other well-known anthropometric indices has not been previously evaluated in healthy population as far as we know. Moreover, the cutoff and correlation of anthropometric indices are highly related to ethnicity; so, we aim to determine the cutoff values of these novel anthropometric indices and to evaluate their predictive value for overweight and obesity which is defined by BMI among Iranian healthy population. We hypothesized that NHtR could be a better and more feasible index for evaluating obesity and overweight.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants. This is a cross-sectional study in which 3452 volunteer staff in Shohada-e Tajrish Hospital in Tehran, Iran, participated from 2021 to 2022. All participants were provided and signed the written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: IR.SBMU.MSP.RETECH.1400.721).

All data were collected from individuals by interview, physical examination, and laboratory findings. Past medical history was obtained by a questionnaire, a blood sample in fasting state was taken, and anthropometric parameters were measured. Included individuals were 18–65 years old,

volunteered, and with no known medical condition. Exclusion criteria included individuals with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cancer, stroke, and hepatic, renal, cardiac, respiratory, and thyroid diseases, head and neck surgery and radiation, hematological disorders, abdominal diseases that might affect the distribution of fat, history of or current use of corticosteroids, Cushing's syndrome, and other disorders of the pituitary or adrenal glands. Finally, 2,812 subjects were eligible and enrolled in this study. Figure 1 demonstrates the flow chart of participants' selection. The study was explained to participants and an informed written consent was obtained. The confidentiality of all of the collected data was respected. Participants were grouped by their BMI (kg/m²) into underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal-weight $(18.5 \le BMI < 24.9)$, overweight $(25 \le BMI < 29.9)$, and obese (BMI \geq 30).

2.2. Data Collection. A blood sample was drawn from participants after at least 12 hours of fasting and was measured within hours of collection. The measured parameters were fasting blood sugar (FBS), complete blood cell count (CBC), lipid profile including low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and triglycerides (TG), creatinine (Cr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and uric acid (UA). InBody 770 analyzer was used to measure anthropometric parameters including weight, height, hip circumference (HC), WC, waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), NC, and NHtR. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) to height squared (m²). Abdominal volume index (AVI) was computed using the following formula [31]:

AVI =
$$\frac{\left[2 \text{ cm} (\text{WC})^2 + 0.7 \text{ cm} (\text{WC} - \text{HC})^2\right]}{1000}.$$
 (1)

2.3. Statistical Analysis. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS software (version 23). The significance level was considered at $\alpha = 0.05$ for the whole analysis. The descriptive statistics of each variable with normal and nonnormal distribution were presented in the form of the mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median (interquartile range (IQR)), respectively. The number and percentage of cases have also been reported. After checking the normality assumption, nonparametric tests were used for variables with nonnormal distribution. Average significant differences between men and women were checked by using the Mann-Whitney U test. The chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between BMI levels and sex. The multiple linear regression and Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) were used to examine the relationship between BMI and other anthropometric indicators. In addition, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was applied for checking the predictive validity and determination of cutoff points of each anthropometric indicator for identifying the subjects with overweight and obesity. All characteristics of ROC curve analysis (including area under the curve (AUC), 95% confidence interval (CI), sensitivity, and



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of participants' selection.

specificity) were performed. Some indexes such as the positive predictive value (PPV), positive likelihood ratio (LP), negative predicted value (NPV), and negative likelihood ratio (LN) for each indicator were also computed.

3. Results

A total of 2,812 subjects (male = 1057 (37.6%) and female = 1755 (62.4%)) were included in this study with the median age of 42 (IQR = 12.00) years. The median BMI for all participants was 26.68 (IQR = 5.5) which fell in the overweight category. BMI was not significantly different between male and female groups. Evaluation of BMI categories showed that nearly half of the participants (45.1%) were in the overweight category and the others were in order, in the normal (31.4%), obese (22.8%), and underweight (0.7%) categories. Other demographic details classified by gender can be seen in Table 1. The results of anthropometric indices did not show any difference between the two genders. Results of the correlation analysis, examining the relationship between BMI and other obesity indicators, are presented in Table 1. In each gender and the overall sample, significant positive correlations (p < 0.05) between BMI and all other anthropometric indices were found. In our study group, the highest positive correlation was observed between BMI and WHtR (r = 0.87), followed by HC (r = 0.86), AVI (r = 0.85), WC (r = 0.84), and NHtR (r = 0.68) (Table 1). In addition, the mean, median, and IQR of anthropometric indices in underweight, normal-weight, overweight, and obese groups are indicated in Table 2.

Regression analysis also revealed that about 91.0% of variations in BMI are explained by the anthropometric variables along with the TG and gender (Table 3). According to Table 3, AVI, HC, NC, and NHtR are independent predictors of BMI (all p values <0.05); each unit increase in NHtR results in 3.2 units increase in BMI.

Figure 2 illustrates the accuracy of individual indicators in identifying overweight and obesity subjects by ROC curves, and Table 4 presents the information about the AUC of the curves. AUC results being more than 0.74 in both genders revealed that all of the indices had a high accuracy for screening individuals with overweight and obesity. The minimum AUC belonged to NC for overweight with AUC = 0.79 (SE = 0.015, 95% CI: 0.77-0.82) in men and with AUC = 0.80 (SE = 0.011, 95% CI: 0.78–0.82) in women and for obesity with AUC = 0.74 (SE = 0.020, 95% CI: 0.71-0.77) in men and with AUC = 0.76 (SE = 0.013, 95% CI: 0.74–0.78) in women. NHtR had the highest AUC in men with obesity with AUC = 0.95 (SE = 0.014, 95% CI: 0.94-0.96) and HC had the highest AUC in women with obesity with AUC = 0.95 (SE = 0.006, 95% CI: 0.93–0.96). WHtR, HC, and AVI same as WC had the highest predictive abilities in identifying overweight groups in order. For obesity, the highest predictive values are NHtR, HC, and WC same as AVI in men and HC, WHtR, and WC same as AVI in women (Table 4).

For overweight and obese groups, cutoff points, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, LP, and LN were determined. For overweight individuals, the cutoff points for NC, WC, HC, and AVI were higher for women (NC=34.56, WC=88.15, HC=99.35, and AVI=15.94) compared to men (NC=33.85, WC=85.15, HC=98.26, and AVI=15.56). For the cutoff points of NHtR and WHtR, the male and female overweight groups were similar. Sensitivity of WC and WHtR was the highest for overweight men and women, respectively.

For the individuals with obesity, the cutoff points for indices of WC, HC, WHtR, and AVI were larger for men (WC = 96.35, HC = 104.95, WHtR = 0.58, and AVI = 18.39) than women (WC = 95.95, HC = 104.55, WHtR = 0.56, and AVI = 18.18). NC and NHtR had the same cutoff for women and men with obesity (NC = 36.95 and NHtR = 0.22).

| | Total (4, 2012) | M_{1} , (, 1057) | Family (m. 1755) | to realized | Correlation with BMI | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|------------|
| | 10tal (n = 2812) | Male $(n = 1057)$ | Female $(n = 1/55)$ | <i>p</i> value | Male | Female | Total |
| Age, years, median (IQR) | 42 (12.00) | 42 (13.00) | 41 (13.00) | 0.037 | 0.03 | -0.03 | -0.01 |
| TG, median (IQR) | 109 (86) | 112 (86) | 107 (86) | 0.336 | 0.29* | 0.27^{*} | 0.28^{*} |
| Height, cm, median (IQR) | 163 (12.90) | 163 (13.50) | 162.10 (12.80) | 0.046 | _ | _ | _ |
| Weight, kg, median (IQR) | 71.90 (19.10) | 72.50 (19.60) | 71.40 (18.80) | 0.105 | _ | _ | _ |
| BMI, kg/m ² , median (IQR) | 26.68 (5.51) | 26.83 (5.70) | 26.56 (5.38) | 0.175 | _ | _ | _ |
| Underweight, n (%) | 19 (0.7%) | 5 (26.3) | 14 (73.7) | | _ | _ | _ |
| Normal, n (%) | 881 (31.4%) | 320 (36.3) | 561 (63.7) | 0.44 | _ | — | _ |
| Overweight, n (%) | 1269 (45.1%) | 479 (37.7) | 790 (62.3) | 0.44 | _ | — | _ |
| Obese, n (%) | 640 (22.8%) | 253 (39.5) | 387 (60.5) | | _ | — | _ |
| NC, cm, median (IQR) | 35.50 (6.00) | 35.70 (6.35) | 35.30 (5.80) | 0.161 | 0.57^{*} | 0.55* | 0.56^{*} |
| WC, cm, median (IQR) | 92 (15.50) | 92.30 (15.70) | 91.80 (15) | 0.102 | 0.86^{*} | 0.83* | 0.84^{*} |
| HC, cm, median (IQR) | 102 (9.90) | 102 (10.45) | 102 (9.70) | 0.707 | 0.86* | 0.85* | 0.86^{*} |
| NHtR, median (IQR) | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.22 (0.03) | 0.122 | 0.68* | 0.67^{*} | 0.68^{*} |
| WHtR, median (IQR) | 0.56 (0.08) | 0.56 (0.08) | 0.56 (0.08) | 0.214 | 0.87^{*} | 0.86^{*} | 0.87^{*} |
| AVI, median (IQR) | 17 (5.60) | 17.13 (5.74) | 16.94 (5.41) | 0.106 | 0.86* | 0.83* | 0.85* |

TABLE 1: Main characteristics of participants by sex and correlation between anthropometric parameters and BMI.

*Significant. AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; IQR, interquartile range; NC, neck circumference; NHtR, neck-to-height ratio; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio.

TABLE 2: Measures of central tendency of anthropometric indices.

| Indices | Underweight | Normal | Overweight | Obese |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| BMI; mean/median (IQR) | 17.90/18.12 (0.87) | 22.73/23.02 (2.33) | 27.29/27.16 (2.43) | 33.41/32.70 (3.69) |
| AVI; mean/median (IQR) | 10.54/10.39 (2.14) | 13.78/13.61 (2.82) | 17.52/17.41 (3.41) | 22.65/22.23 (4.12) |
| HC; mean/median (IQR) | 88.25/88.50 (6.40) | 95.57/95.80 (6.45) | 102.74/102.50 (5.90) | 112.36/112 (7.50) |
| NC; mean/median (IQR) | 31.31/31.10 (2.80) | 33.46/32.80 (3.80) | 36.53/36.00 (5.90) | 38.88/38.30 (6.35) |
| NHtR; mean/median (IQR) | 0.18/0.19 (0.02) | 0.20/0.20 (0.02) | 0.22/0.22 (0.02) | 0.24/0.23 (0.02) |
| WHtR; mean/median (IQR) | 0.43/0.44 (0.05) | 0.50/0.50 (0.05) | 0.56/0.57 (0.05) | 0.65/0.63 (0.07) |

AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; IQR, interquartile range; NC, neck circumference; NHtR, neck-to-height ratio; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio.

TABLE 3: Multivariate regression analysis for the prediction of BMI using anthropometric and demographic variables.

| Variable | | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | | |
|----------|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| | Beta (95% CI) | p value | Adjusted R ² | Beta (95% CI) | p value | Adjusted R ² | |
| Gender | _ | | | 0.13 (0.007-0.24) | 0.039 | | |
| TG | _ | | | 0.01 (0-0.002) | 0.001 | | |
| NC | -0.48 ($-0.77-0.18$) | 0.001 | | -0.45(-0.780.11) | 0.010 | | |
| WC | -0.08 ($-0.21-0.04$) | 0.205 | 0.012 | -0.09(-0.24-0.06) | 0.237 | 0.015 | |
| HC | 0.30 (0.29-0.31) | < 0.001 | | 0.30 (0.29-0.31) | < 0.001 | 0.915 | |
| NHtR | 2.68 (1.66-7.70) | < 0.001 | 0.913 | 3.20 (2.69-4.70) | < 0.001 | | |
| WHtR | 3.85 (-14.73-22.44) | 0.684 | | 6.13 (-15.43-27.70) | 0.577 | | |
| AVI | 0.53 (0.40-0.65) | < 0.001 | | 0.49 (0.35-0.63) | < 0.001 | | |

Model 1 is a regression model including just anthropometric indicators (TG, NC, WC, HC, NHtR, WHtR, and AVI); model 2 adds TG and gender to the predictors of model 1. AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; NC, neck circumference; NHtR, neck-to-height ratio; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio.

Sensitivity of NHtR was the highest compared to other indices for both males and females with obesity.

4. Discussion

In Table 5, the likelihood ratios for each cutoff point are also shown. For instance, a man with NC of more than 33.85 centimeters is 2.22 times more likely to be overweight than a man with NC value below this cutoff point.

HC and NHtR had the highest positive likelihood ratio for obesity in men (4.74 and 4.65, respectively). The highest positive likelihood ratio for women with obesity belonged to HC and WC, being 4.89 and 4.45, respectively. Obesity is a medical condition which is a risk factor for metabolic and cardiovascular diseases as well as adverse events and complications. In our study, 2,812 healthy subjects, 1,755 (62.4%) females, and 1,057 (37.6%) males were enrolled. Nearly half of the subjects were classified in overweight group (45.1%) and then, in order, normal weight (31.4%), obese (22.8%), and underweight (0.7%). Our results are in accordance with the prevalence reported in the meta-

TABLE 4: Areas under the curve (AUC) for identifying subjects with overweight and obesity based on different anthropometric indicators by sex.

| Anthropometric indicators | Male | | | | Female | | | |
|---------------------------|------|-------|-------------|----------|--------|-------|-----------|----------|
| | AUC | SE | 95% CI | p value* | AUC | SE | 95% CI | p value* |
| Overweight | | | | | | | | |
| NC | 0.79 | 0.015 | 0.77-0.82 | < 0.001 | 0.80 | 0.011 | 0.78-0.82 | < 0.001 |
| WC | 0.92 | 0.009 | 0.90-0.93 | < 0.001 | 0.91 | 0.007 | 0.90-0.92 | < 0.001 |
| НС | 0.93 | 0.008 | 0.91-0.94 | < 0.001 | 0.92 | 0.007 | 0.90-0.93 | < 0.001 |
| WHtR | 0.94 | 0.008 | 0.92-0.95 | < 0.001 | 0.93 | 0.006 | 0.91-0.94 | < 0.001 |
| NHtR | 0.84 | 0.013 | 0.82-0.87 | < 0.001 | 0.86 | 0.009 | 0.84-0.87 | < 0.001 |
| AVI | 0.92 | 0.008 | 0.91-0.94 | < 0.001 | 0.91 | 0.007 | 0.90-0.93 | < 0.001 |
| Obese | | | | | | | | |
| NC | 0.74 | 0.020 | 0.71 - 0.77 | < 0.001 | 0.76 | 0.013 | 0.74-0.78 | < 0.001 |
| WC | 0.93 | 0.008 | 0.91-0.95 | < 0.001 | 0.93 | 0.007 | 0.91-0.94 | < 0.001 |
| НС | 0.94 | 0.007 | 0.92-0.95 | < 0.001 | 0.95 | 0.006 | 0.93-0.96 | < 0.001 |
| WHtR | 0.82 | 0.007 | 0.79-0.85 | < 0.001 | 0.94 | 0.006 | 0.93-0.95 | < 0.001 |
| NHtR | 0.95 | 0.014 | 0.94-0.96 | < 0.001 | 0.83 | 0.011 | 0.82-0.95 | < 0.001 |
| AVI | 0.93 | 0.008 | 0.92-0.95 | < 0.001 | 0.93 | 0.007 | 0.92-0.95 | < 0.001 |

*Null hypothesis: true area = 0.5. AUC, area under the curve; AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; NC, neck circumference; NHtR, neck-to-height ratio; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio.

analysis in the study by Okati-Aliabad et al.; the prevalence of overweight and obesity in recent years was reported to be 38.29 and 25.98, respectively [3].

In a study in healthy individuals with normal WC, significant variation in visceral adipose tissue was found and it was suggested that WC may not be a good indicator of the total body fat [32]. In a meta-analysis by Ashwell et al. in adults, they showed that WHtR is a better predictor than WC and BMI in terms of metabolic risk factors [33]. However, another meta-analysis among pediatric population showed that WHtR did not have any superiority to BMI and WC for predicting cardiometabolic disorders [34]. These findings suggested that novel anthropometric indices such as NHtR and AVI may have a better predictive value for metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk factors.

Recent studies revealed that upper body adipose tissue is more attributable to metabolic syndrome because increased free fatty acids in blood, which are a risk factor for cardiometabolic diseases, is mostly the result of the upper body subcutaneous fat lipolysis [35-37]. In addition, studies showed that upper body adipose tissue is related to endothelium dysfunction and vascular injury [14]. NC is a good index for measuring upper body adipose tissue and is not affected by the state of being eaten, defecation, respiratory and gastrointestinal disorders, and abdominal surgeries [38]. In a study by Preis et al. using the results of Framingham research, they have shown that NC, independent of WC and BMI, is associated with higher blood glucose, distorted lipid profile, high blood pressure, and cardiovascular diseases [39]. In a study among healthy Chinese adults, NC was found to be associated with insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk factors [40]. Similar associations were found in other studies among different populations [41-43]. In a study by Mirr et al. among Caucasian population, indices of TyG-NC and TyG-NHtR were associated with metabolic syndrome [44]. We also performed a multivariate regression using TG, NC, NHtR, WC, HC, age, and gender which yielded significant correlation with BMI.

NHtR is an anthropometric index that uses NC and adjusts it for height. In a study by Mondal et al., NHtR was found to be superior to NC in predicting liver fibrosis, NAFLD, and metabolic syndrome [25]. In a study among patients with suspected cerebrovascular accident who had underwent computerized tomography angiography, NHtR was associated with mortality [45]. In another study, authors claimed that NC and NHtR are predictors of metabolic syndrome and its risk factors among Asian Indians [46].

In our study group, the highest positive correlation was observed between BMI and WHtR, followed by HC, AVI, WC, and NHtR. Moreover, AVI, HC, NC, and NHtR were independent predictors of BMI. Similarly, in a systematic review by Tellez et al., there is a positive correlation between NC and other obesity indices indirectly measured by CT scan and body analyzer devices in adults; however, they suggested that there is no indication for using NC in adolescents for predicting body composition [22]. In another study conducted by Khosravian et al., they showed that all anthropometric indices except NC had a significant difference between people with metabolic syndrome and those without metabolic syndrome. They also showed that WHtR had the highest AUC, followed by BMI, AVI, and WC for predicting metabolic syndrome [47].

We demonstrated that NHtR had a higher AUC compared to NC for predicting both overweight and obesity. Moreover, the minimum AUC in the ROC belonged to NC for male and female overweight and obese groups. NHtR had the highest AUC in men with obesity (AUC = 0.95); however, WHtR and HC had more predictive abilities in other groups.

In a study among 1,912 Turkish individuals, the NC cutoff values suggested for obesity were 34.5 cm for women and 38.5 cm for men [48]. In our study, the cutoff for obesity was 36.95 cm for both sexes which may suggest the differences attributable to ethnicity. Moreover, our results revealed that NC and NHtR had the same cutoff for women and men with obesity (NC = 36.95 and NHtR = 0.22). In



FIGURE 2: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of anthropometric parameters as an indicator of overweight ((a) male; (b) female) and obesity ((c) male; (d) female).

male and female overweight groups, the cutoff points of NHtR and WHtR were similar.

In men and women with obesity, NHtR of 0.22 had the highest sensitivity (93% and 95%, respectively) with an acceptable specificity (80% and 70%, respectively)

compared to other indices. In overweight men and women, the highest sensitivity belonged to WC and WHtR, respectively.

HC and NHtR had the highest positive likelihood ratios for obesity in men (4.74 and 4.65, respectively). The highest

TABLE 5: Optimal cut-off points, sensitivity, and specificity of different anthropometric indicators for identifying overweight and obesity in male and female patients.

| Anthropometric indicators Overweight males NC WC | Cutoff point 33.85 85.15 98.25 0.53 | Sensitivity (%) 80 92 90 | 1-Specificity (%) 36 30 | PPV (%) 56 57 | NPV (%) | LP 2.22 | LN 0.31 |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|------------|
| Overweight males NC WC | 33.85 85.15 98.25 0.53 | 80 92 90 | 36 30 | 56 57 | 89 | 2.22 | 0.31 |
| NC WC | 33.85 85.15 98.25 0.53 | 80 92 90 | 36 30 | 56 57 | 89 | 2.22 | 0.31 |
| WC | 85.15 98.25 0.53 | 92 90 | 30 | 57 | 00 | | 0.01 |
| | 98.25 0.53 | 90 | 26 | | 90 | 3.07 | 0.11 |
| HC | 0.53 | | 26 | 65 | 88 | 3.46 | 0.14 |
| WHtR | | 90 | 22 | 59 | 83 | 4.09 | 0.13 |
| NHtR | 0.21 | 80 | 26 | 70 | 89 | 3.08 | 0.27 |
| AVI | 15.56 | 86 | 19 | 72 | 90 | 4.53 | 0.17 |
| Obese males | | | | | | | |
| NC | 36.95 | 60 | 35 | 56 | 83 | 1.71 | 0.62 |
| WC | 96.35 | 90 | 20 | 57 | 89 | 4.50 | 0.13 |
| НС | 104.95 | 90 | 19 | 61 | 91 | 4.74 | 0.12 |
| WHtR | 0.58 | 76 | 30 | 55 | 87 | 2.53 | 0.34 |
| NHtR | 0.22 | 93 | 20 | 54 | 92 | 4.65 | 0.09 |
| AVI | 18.39 | 90 | 25 | 59 | 89 | 3.60 | 0.13 |
| Overweight females | | | | | | | |
| NC | 34.56 | 70 | 27 | 60 | 80 | 2.59 | 0.41 |
| WC | 88.15 | 83 | 19 | 55 | 83 | 4.37 | 0.21 |
| НС | 99.35 | 85 | 20 | 57 | 81 | 4.25 | 0.19 |
| WHtR | 0.53 | 86 | 19 | 61 | 89 | 4.53 | 0.17 |
| NHtR | 0.21 | 80 | 26 | 70 | 91 | 3.08 | 0.27 |
| AVI | 15.94 | 81 | 16 | 72 | 90 | 5.06 | 0.23 |
| Obese females | | | | | | | |
| NC | 36.95 | 61 | 23 | 55 | 90 | 2.65 | 0.51 |
| WC | 95.95 | 89 | 20 | 60 | 92 | 4.45 | 0.14 |
| HC | 104.55 | 93 | 19 | 59 | 86 | 4.89 | 0.09 |
| WHtR | 0.56 | 80 | 26 | 66 | 80 | 3.08 | 0.27 |
| NHtR | 0.22 | 96 | 30 | 64 | 83 | 3.20 | 0.06 |
| AVI | 18.18 | 90 | 21 | 60 | 92 | 4.29 | 0.13 |

AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; LN, negative likelihood; LP, positive likelihood; NC, neck circumference; NHtR, neck-to-height ratio; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio.

positive likelihood ratios for women with obesity belonged to HC and WC, being 4.89 and 4.45, respectively.

Our study revealed that AVI had the same AUC as WC, both lesser than WHtR and HC, in both male and female obese and overweight groups. Studies revealed that AVI is associated with impaired glucose metabolism [28]. A study by Wu et al. [49] demonstrated that AVI had the highest predictive value for metabolic syndrome and low HDL in nonoverweight/obese male (AUC = 0.743) and female (AUC = 0.819) subjects. They also proposed that AVI was the best predictor of insulin resistance impaired glucose tolerance. For predicting metabolic syndrome in a similar study by Duan et al., AVI had the AUC of 0.838 and 0.794 for men and women, respectively [50]. In a study by Hu et al., AVI, as an index for abdominal adiposity, was associated with diabetes mellitus [29]. In a study by Hajian-Tilaki et al., they demonstrated that AVI and WC had the same mean between males and females, while the means of BMI and WHtR were different between the two groups. WHtR in women and AVI, WC, and WHtR in men were the predictors of 10-year cardiovascular disease risk, but not BMI [51]. Considering these results and the fact that obtaining WHtR and NHtR is easier than calculating AVI and BMI, they can be used by physicians during physical examination as a screening tool for cardiometabolic disorders.

Our study has some limitations. First, this is a crosssectional study among healthy Iranian population. Therefore, it has the limitations of an observational study. Second, the results of this study may not be attributable to other ethnicities. Also, we did not follow-up the participants in the next years in order to evaluate metabolic syndrome incidence; this may propose future cohort studies to follow-up healthy individuals for assessing incidence of cardiometabolic disorders. Moreover, the results of our study suggest further investigations to evaluate NHtR as a diagnostic criterion of metabolic syndrome and a risk factor for ischemic heart diseases.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the first author.

Additional Points

Research Highlights. (i) Neck-to-height ratio and hip circumference had the highest predictive value for men and women with obesity, respectively. (ii) Neck circumference had the lowest predictive value for identifying overweight and obesity. (iii) Hip circumference had the highest positive likelihood ratio for obesity in men and women.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Ethics code: IR.SBMU.MSP.RETECH.1400.721.

Consent

A written informed consent was obtained from patients to publish this report in accordance with the journal's patient consent policy and all of the authors declare that confidentiality of the patients was respected.

Disclosure

The results of this study were extracted from the thesis performed by Rana Irilouzadian, under the supervision of Toktam Alirezaei.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors of the present study declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

Authors' Contributions

Toktam Alirezaei and Hamid Soori contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors of the present study sincerely thank all of the medical staff who cooperated with them for completion of this study.

References

- D. R. Meldrum, M. A. Morris, and J. C. Gambone, "Obesity pandemic: causes, consequences, and solutions—but do we have the will?" *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 833–839, 2017.
- [2] A. Zoroufian, M. Sahebjam, M. Rezaee et al., "Evaluating the pure effect of weight on cardiac function in patients with cardiac syndrome X," *Echocardiography*, vol. 40, 2023.
- [3] H. Okati-Aliabad, A. Ansari-Moghaddam, S. Kargar, and N. Jabbari, "Prevalence of obesity and overweight among adults in the middle east countries from 2000 to 2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Obesity*, vol. 2022, Article ID 8074837, 18 pages, 2022.
- [4] S. Hovsepian, M. Qorbani, M. E. Motlagh et al., "Association of obesity and health related quality of life in Iranian children and adolescents: the Weight Disorders Survey of the CAS-PIAN-IV study," *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism: Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 923–929, 2017.
- [5] C. S. Fox, J. M. Massaro, U. Hoffmann et al., "Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments: association with metabolic risk factors in the Framingham Heart Study," *Circulation*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2007.
- [6] N. Hatipoglu, M. M. Mazicioglu, S. Kurtoglu, and M. Kendirci, "Neck circumference: an additional tool of

screening overweight and obesity in childhood," *European Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 169, no. 6, pp. 733–739, 2010.

- [7] R. W. Taylor, I. E. Jones, S. M. Williams, and A. Goulding, "Evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and the conicity index as screening tools for high trunk fat mass, as measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, in children aged 3-19 y," *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 490–495, 2000.
- [8] L. Chen, A. Peeters, D. J. Magliano et al., "Anthropometric measures and absolute cardiovascular risk estimates in the Australian diabetes, obesity and lifestyle (AusDiab) study," *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 740–745, 2007.
- [9] M. Dalton, A. J. Cameron, P. Z. Zimmet et al., "Waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and body mass index and their correlation with cardiovascular disease risk factors in Australian adults," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 254, no. 6, pp. 555–563, 2003.
- [10] M. Rezaee, A. Fallahzadeh, A. Sheikhy et al., "BMI modifies HDL-C effects on coronary artery bypass grafting outcomes," *Lipids in Health and Disease*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 128, 2022.
- [11] P. Patel and N. Abate, "Role of subcutaneous adipose tissue in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance," *Journal of Obesity*, vol. 2013, Article ID 489187, 5 pages, 2013.
- [12] C. D. Sjöström, A. C. Håkangård, L. Lissner, and L. Sjöström, "Body compartment and subcutaneous adipose tissue distribution--risk factor patterns in obese subjects," *Obesity Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 9–22, 1995.
- [13] M. D. Jensen, "Lipolysis: contribution from regional fat," Annual Review of Nutrition, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 127–139, 1997.
- [14] R. D. L. Ferretti, I. D. P. Cintra, M. A. Passos, G. L. D. M. Ferrari, and M. Fisberg, "Elevated neck circumference and associated factors in adolescents," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 208, 2015.
- [15] A. H. Kissebah, N. Vydelingum, R. Murray et al., "Relation of body fat distribution to metabolic complications of obesity," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 254–260, 1982.
- [16] J. Vague, "The degree of masculine differentiation of obesities: a factor determining predisposition to diabetes, atherosclerosis, gout, and uric calculous disease," *Obesity Research*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 204–212, 1996.
- [17] A. N. Peiris, M. F. Struve, R. A. Mueller, M. B. Lee, and A. H. Kissebah, "Glucose metabolism in obesity: influence of body fat distribution," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 760–767, 1988.
- [18] L. Ben-Noun and A. Laor, "Relationship of neck circumference to cardiovascular risk factors," *Obesity Research*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 226–231, 2003.
- [19] C. Stabe, A. C. Vasques, M. M. Lima et al., "Neck circumference as a simple tool for identifying the metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance: results from the Brazilian Metabolic Syndrome Study," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 874–881, 2013.
- [20] F. Ziamanesh, M. Mohammadi, S. Ebrahimpour, O. Tabatabaei-Malazy, A. Mosallanejad, and B. Larijani, "Unraveling the link between insulin resistance and Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (or metabolic dysfunctionassociated steatotic liver disease): a Narrative Review," *Journal of Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders*, 2023.
- [21] K. Joshipura, F. Muñoz-Torres, J. Vergara, C. Palacios, and C. M. Pérez, "Neck circumference may Be a better alternative to standard anthropometric measures," *Journal of Diabetes Research*, vol. 2016, Article ID 6058916, 8 pages, 2016.

- [22] M. J. A. Téllez, F. M. Acosta, G. Sanchez-Delgado et al., "Association of neck circumference with anthropometric indicators and body composition measured by DXA in young Spanish adults," *Nutrients*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 514, 2020.
- [23] B. A. B. Moura, I. S. Santos, A. C. Goulart et al., "Neck and waist circumference values according to sex, age, and bodymass index: Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil)," *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, vol. 53, no. 10, Article ID e9815, 2020.
- [24] C. Jian, Y. Xu, X. Ma, Y. Shen, Y. Wang, and Y. Bao, "Neck circumference is an effective supplement for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease screening in a community-based population," *International Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 2020, Article ID 7982107, 6 pages, 2020.
- [25] S. A. Mondal, D. Dutta, M. Kumar et al., "Neck circumference to height ratio is a reliable predictor of liver stiffness and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in prediabetes," *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 347–354, 2018.
- [26] F. Wu, V. Ho, B. J. Fraser et al., "Predictive utility of childhood anthropometric measures on adult glucose homeostasis measures: a 20-year cohort study," *International Journal of Obesity*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 1762–1770, 2018.
- [27] M. R. Mamtani and H. R. Kulkarni, "Predictive performance of anthropometric indexes of central obesity for the risk of type 2 diabetes," *Archives of Medical Research*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 581–589, 2005.
- [28] F. Guerrero-Romero and M. Rodríguez-Morán, "Abdominal volume index. An anthropometry-based index for estimation of obesity is strongly related to impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Archives of Medical Research*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 428–432, 2003.
- [29] X. Hu, A. A. Appleton, Y. Ou et al., "Abdominal volume index trajectories and risk of diabetes mellitus: results from the China Health and Nutrition Survey," *Journal of Diabetes Investigation*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 868–877, 2022.
- [30] Y. T. Chin, W. T. Lin, P. W. Wu et al., "Characteristicgrouped adiposity indicators for identifying metabolic syndrome in adolescents: develop and valid risk screening tools using dual population," *Nutrients*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 3165, 2020.
- [31] R. N. Bergman, D. Stefanovski, T. A. Buchanan et al., "A better index of body adiposity," *Obesity*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1083– 1089, 2011.
- [32] E. L. Thomas, J. R. Parkinson, G. S. Frost et al., "The missing risk: MRI and MRS phenotyping of abdominal adiposity and ectopic fat," *Obesity*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 76–87, 2012.
- [33] M. Ashwell, P. Gunn, and S. Gibson, "Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and meta-analysis," *Obesity Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 275–286, 2012.
- [34] K. Lo, M. Wong, P. Khalechelvam, and W. Tam, "Waist-toheight ratio, body mass index and waist circumference for screening paediatric cardio-metabolic risk factors: a metaanalysis," *Obesity Reviews*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1258–1275, 2016.
- [35] G. Boden, "Obesity, insulin resistance and free fatty acids," *Current Opinion in Endocrinology Diabetes and Obesity*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 139–143, 2011.
- [36] C. Koutsari and M. D. Jensen, "Thematic review series: patient-oriented research. Free fatty acid metabolism in human obesity," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 1643–1650, 2006.
- [37] G. F. Lewis, K. D. Uffelman, L. W. Szeto, B. Weller, and G. Steiner, "Interaction between free fatty acids and insulin in

the acute control of very low density lipoprotein production in humans," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 158–166, 1995.

- [38] İ. Özkaya and A. Tunçkale, "Neck circumference positively related with central obesity and overweight in Turkish university students: a preliminary study," *Central European Journal of Public Health*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 91–94, 2016.
- [39] S. R. Preis, J. M. Massaro, U. Hoffmann et al., "Neck circumference as a novel measure of cardiometabolic risk: the Framingham Heart study," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* & Metabolism, vol. 95, no. 8, pp. 3701–3710, 2010.
- [40] J. Liang, F. Teng, Y. Li et al., "Neck circumference and insulin resistance in Chinese adults: the Cardiometabolic Risk in Chinese (CRC) Study," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. e145–e146, 2013.
- [41] Q. Yan, D. Sun, X. Li et al., "Neck circumference is a valuable tool for identifying metabolic syndrome and obesity in Chinese elder subjects: a community-based study," *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 69–76, 2014.
- [42] G. R. Yang, S. Y. Yuan, H. J. Fu et al., "Neck circumference positively related with central obesity, overweight, and metabolic syndrome in Chinese subjects with type 2 diabetes: Beijing Community Diabetes Study 4," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 2465–2467, 2010.
- [43] J. Y. Zhou, H. Ge, M. F. Zhu et al., "Neck circumference as an independent predictive contributor to cardio-metabolic syndrome," *Cardiovascular Diabetology*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 76, 2013.
- [44] M. Mirr, D. Skrypnik, P. Bogdański, and M. Owecki, "Newly proposed insulin resistance indexes called TyG-NC and TyG-NHtR show efficacy in diagnosing the metabolic syndrome," *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 2831–2843, 2021.
- [45] S. Tal, I. Litovchik, M. M. Klar et al., "The association between neck adiposity and long-term outcome," *PLoS One*, vol. 14, no. 4, Article ID e0215538, 2019.
- [46] C. Selvan, D. Dutta, A. Thukral et al., "Neck height ratio is an important predictor of metabolic syndrome among Asian Indians," *Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 831–837, 2016.
- [47] S. Khosravian, M. A. Bayani, S. R. Hosseini, A. Bijani, S. Mouodi, and R. Ghadimi, "Comparison of anthropometric indices for predicting the risk of metabolic syndrome in older adults," *Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 2021.
- [48] A. Onat, G. Hergenç, H. Yüksel et al., "Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference," *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 46–51, 2009.
- [49] L. Wu, W. Zhu, Q. Qiao, L. Huang, Y. Li, and L. Chen, "Novel and traditional anthropometric indices for identifying metabolic syndrome in non-overweight/obese adults," *Nutrition* and Metabolism, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 3, 2021.
- [50] Y. Duan, W. Zhang, Z. Li et al., "Predictive ability of obesityand lipid-related indicators for metabolic syndrome in relatively healthy Chinese adults," *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, vol. 13, Article ID 1016581, 2022.
- [51] K. Hajian-Tilaki and B. Heidari, "Comparison of abdominal obesity measures in predicting of 10-year cardiovascular risk in an Iranian adult population using ACC/AHA risk model: a population based cross sectional study," *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research Reviews*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 991–997, 2018.