The Landscape of Using Glycosyltransferase Gene Signatures for Overall Survival Prediction in Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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1. Introduction

Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer, with approximately 800,000 new cases and 780,000 deaths per year [1]. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the second leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide and always occurs in the context of chronic liver diseases [2,3]. It is reported that the development of HCC was correlated with several risk factors, mainly including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, chronic viral infection, and alcohol abuse [1,4,5]. Despite significant developments in the diagnosis and treatment of HCC, the majority of patients with HCC are diagnosed at an advanced stage, leading to a poor prognosis [6]. Thus, it is necessary to explore novel prognostic factors for HCC patients.

Glycosylation is a common process of protein modification, mainly N- and O-glycosylation, that is catalyzed by glycosyltransferases (GTs) and exhibits important roles in various physiological and pathological processes [7–10]. Over the past few decades, a growing number of studies have revealed that GTs are essential in the progression of various diseases, such as joint diseases, inflammatory diseases, cancers, and liver diseases [11,12]. Differentially expressed GTs and their corresponding target proteins have been demonstrated to act as tumor biomarkers and therapeutic targets in specific cancers [13]. Additional evidence also reveals a novel role of GTs, such as GLANT3 and B3GT3, in the self-renewal of pancreatic cancer stem cells [14]. Furthermore, Taniguchi and Kizuka discovered that N-glycan is directly associated with cancers, which provided new biomarkers for evaluating the progression, metastasis, and treatment of cancers [15]. However, whether these GT genes are involved in the prognosis of HCC patients remains largely unclear.
The aim of this study was to examine the clinical application of GTs in the prognosis and to facilitate the development of a personalized treatment approach for HCC patients. Therefore, we comprehensively analyzed the expression profiles of GT genes using the RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database and constructed a four-gene signature for predicting the prognosis of HCC. Intriguingly, this gene signature could effectively predict the prognosis of HCC patients. In addition, we also performed gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to study the potential mechanisms of the four-gene signature.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Acquisition. The RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data and paired clinical information of 371 primary HCC samples and 50 normal samples were downloaded from TCGA database (Supplementary Table 1). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to observe the distribution of HCC samples and normal samples based on gene expressions. Subsequently, 421 samples were randomly divided into a training set, including 35 normal samples and 260 HCC samples, and a validation set, including 15 normal samples and 111 HCC samples, in a ratio of 7:3. The detailed clinical information statistics of HCC patients in the training and validation sets are shown in Table 1. In addition, 210 human GT genes were obtained from the previous literature (Supplementary Table 2) [16].

2.2. Construction and Validation of a Prognostic GT Gene Signature. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between HCC samples and normal samples were screened by using the "limma" package of R software [17], and |log2FC| ≥ 1 and P value ≤ 0.05 were set as the screening condition. Differentially expressed GT genes were obtained by overlapping DEGs and GT genes. Then, univariate Cox analysis was applied to identify differentially expressed GT genes associated with overall survival (OS) of HCC patients in the training set, and P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant [18]. Moreover, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression algorithm was used to filter false positive genes and construct a gene signature in the training set using the "glmnet" package in R [19]. Namely, a GT gene signature was established according to genes and their corresponding coefficients obtained by LASSO analysis. Furthermore, the risk scores of each HCC patient were calculated according to the following formula [20]:

\[
\text{score} = \sum (\text{each gene’s expression level} \times \text{corresponding coefficient})
\]
HCC patients were classified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median value of risk scores of patients in the training and validation sets, separately. Finally, Kaplan–Meier (KM) survival analysis was performed using the pressminer package in R and overall survival (OS) of high- and low-risk patients was compared using the logarithmic test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of time-dependent factors were drawn using the "survivalROC" R package, and the area under the curve (AUC) for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS was calculated to assess the prediction accuracy for the GT gene signature [21].

2.3. Relationship between the GT Gene Signature and Clinical Characteristics. To investigate the relationship between the GT gene signature and clinical characteristics, including BMI, treatment, treatment type, prior malignancy, TNM stage, and pathologic stage, the one-way ANOVA test or Wilcoxon test was performed.

2.4. Construction of a Predictive Nomogram. To investigate whether the GT gene signature could act as an independently prognostic prediction factor, the GT gene signature and other clinical features were merged to screen the independently prognostic prediction factor via univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses in the training and validation sets. Moreover, the forest plot was used to show the results of univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses through the “rms” package in R.
2.5. Functional Enrichment Analysis. GSEA was utilized to perform Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses based on all genes between high-risk and low-risk groups [22].

2.6. Tumor Microenvironment Immune Infiltration Analysis. To further investigate the correlation between the GT gene signature and tumor microenvironment immune infiltration, the enrichment scores of infiltrating immune cells between high-risk and low-risk groups were calculated by single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA), which was performed in the “gsva” package of R [23]. Moreover, the immune score, estimate score, and stromal score between high-risk and low-risk groups were generated by the ESTIMATE algorithm.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. All bioinformatics analyses were performed with R software. Student’s t-test was performed to compare differences between groups. We compared the OS among different groups using Kaplan–Meier analysis with the log-rank test. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all P values were two-tailed.

3. Results

The aim of our study was to examine the prognostic value of GTs and contribute to the development of therapeutic strategies for patients with HCC. In the present study, we systematically investigated the expression profiles of GT genes and established a four-gene signature for the prognosis of patients with HCC. We also examined the potential mechanisms of the four-gene signature.

3.1. Identification of Differentially Expressed Glycosyltransferase (GT) Genes Associated with OS in HCC. PCA results of 421 samples from TCGA database revealed that the tumor tissue and the normal tissue samples were distributed in two directions (Figure 1(a)). To further explore genes acting as prognostic factors for the progression of HCC, we
first comprehensively analyzed the training set of HCC from TCGA database. As presented in Figure 1(b), a total of 2264 DEGs were identified based on thresholds of $|\log_{2}FC| \geq 1$ and $P$ value $\leq 0.05$, of which 1765 were upregulated and 499 were downregulated (Supplementary Table 3). The heatmap showed the expression of DEGs between the tumor and normal groups (Figure 1(c)). Furthermore, these DEGs were combined with 210 GTs downloaded from the literature, and 28 differentially expressed GT genes were obtained (Supplementary Figure 1). Importantly, the expression of the top 10 differentially expressed GT genes was significantly different between the two groups (Figure 1(d), $P < 0.05$). To further identify GTs associated with the survival of patients with HCC, we performed a univariate Cox regression analysis based on the 28 differentially expressed GT genes. As a result, 11 of them were obtained using a cutoff of $P$ value $<0.05$ (Figure 1(e)).

### 3.2. Construction of a Prognostic Model in the Training Set

After the LASSO Cox regression analysis of the training set, we obtained a four-gene signature that included ALG3, B3GAT3, GLA, and ST6GALNAC4 (Supplementary Figure 2). To better demonstrate the prognostic role of the four genes in HCC, we first used Kaplan–Meier analysis in TCGA dataset in which samples were divided into high and low expression based on the gene expression level (Supplementary Figure 3). mRNA expression levels of the four genes in matched HCC and adjacent noncancerous samples in TCGA database were compared, as shown in Supplementary Figure 4. All four of these genes were significantly associated with the OS of HCC patients, which indicated that this gene signature may act as a prognostic factor in HCC. Intriguingly, a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network revealed that there were no direct interactions between the 4 gene signatures (Supplementary Figure 5).

Having shown that this gene signature may act as a prognostic factor regarding the progression of HCC, we investigated the practical prognostic value in HCC. The risk score was calculated according to the gene expression levels and their corresponding coefficients. Based on the median value of risk score, patients with HCC were divided into high- and low-risk groups. The results showed that the
number of patients who died of HCC was significantly increased along with an increasing risk score (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). The OS of patients with HCC was obviously different between the two risk groups; HCC patients with high-risk scores showed a poor OS compared with those with low-risk scores (Figure 2(c), $P < 0.00095$). Stratified survival analysis showed that risk factors including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection had no significant effect on the survival of patients in high- and low-risk groups. Interestingly, HCC patients with HBV and HCV infection in the high-risk group showed a significantly worse OS than those in the low-risk group. Moreover, pharmaceutical therapy in the low-risk group contributed to a better OS than in their high-risk counterparts (Supplementary Figure 6). Hence, ROC curve analysis was performed to investigate the effectiveness of the prognostic model (Figure 2(d)). The area under the curve (AUC) reached 0.676 at 3 years and 0.631 at 5 years, which indicated that the risk score of the prognostic model had high accuracy. To predict the 3- and 5-year survival probability, we established a nomogram containing the four genes in this signature. As a result, each factor corresponded to a point. In addition, calibration curves revealed the consistence between the actual and the predicted survival probability, indicating the strong predictive performance of the nomogram (Supplementary Figure 7, c-index $= 0.66328$, calibrated c-index $= 0.64057$).

3.3. Validation of the Four-Gene Signature in the Validation Set. To obtain additional evidence regarding the prognostic value of the gene signature, we accessed the prognostic...
The Correlation of Risk Scores and Clinicopathological Characteristics in Patients with HCC. The expressions of these 4 signature genes between the two risk groups and clinical characteristics in TCGA database were visualized with a heatmap (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). We found that the expression of these signature genes significantly increased as the risk score in TCGA database increased. Interestingly, we found that the four-gene signature was related to the stage with HCC both in the training set and the validation set of TCGA database, indicating that the four-gene signature might affect the development of HCC.

Furthermore, we performed univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses after adding clinical characteristics in TCGA database (a, b) in the training set and (c, d) in the validation set.

3.5. Functional Analyses in TCGA Database of HCC. Based on these observations, we next investigated the biological progresses related to the risk score. Therefore, we focused on all genes between the two risk groups to perform GSEA. As a result, GO analysis revealed the genes were involved in several immune-related biological processes, such as GO: 0002263 cell activation involved in immune response, GO: 0002275 myeloid cell activation involved in immune response, GO: 0002283 neutrophil activation involved in immune response, and GO: 0002520 immune system development, which may be highly associated with the development of HCC (Figure 6(a), P value < 0.05). Notably, the KEGG pathway analysis results further indicated that these genes were involved in cancer-related pathways, such as hsa05200–pathways in cancer, and hsa05206–microRNAs in cancer (Figure 6(b), P value < 0.05). All significantly enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways are shown in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

3.6. Correlation between the GT Gene Signature and Tumor Microenvironment Immune Infiltration. To investigate whether the risk score correlated with immune status in TCGA database (TCGA training set: HR = 2, 95% CI = 1.5–2.8, P = 2.2e–05; TCGA validation set: HR = 2, 95% CI = 1.4–2.8, P = 0.0034). The multivariate Cox regression analyses showed that the risk score of the prognostic model was still an independent factor for the OS of patients with HCC (TCGA training set: HR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.5–3.2, P = 5.4e–05; TCGA validation set: HR = 1.7, 95% CI = 1.1–2.7, P = 0.018).
Figure 6: Continued.
HCC, we analyzed the differences in the scores of immune cell enrichment between high-risk and low-risk groups. Interestingly, activated CD4 T cells, activated dendritic cells, CD56dim natural killer cells, central memory CD8 T cells, eosinophils, MDSCs, and natural killer T cells showed obvious and significant differences between the two risk groups (Figure 7(a), all $P$ values < 0.05). Moreover, the immune score calculated by the ESTIMATE algorithm in the high-risk group was higher than that in the low-risk group, which further revealed that the risk score of the prognostic model was strongly correlated with the immune status of patients with HCC (Figure 7(b), $P < 0.05$). Interestingly, the stromal and ESTIMATE scores showed no significant difference in the high-risk and low-risk groups (Figures 7(c) and 7(d)).

4. Discussion

HCC is a common malignancy with a poor prognosis [24,25]. The critical role of glycosylation modification of protein has been demonstrated in several cell biological processes occurring in cancer, such as immune modulation and metastasis, tumor cell invasion, cell signaling and communication, and tumor angiogenesis [8,26,27]. Accordingly, this suggests that GTs catalyzing the glycosylation modification process have a potential application in the diagnosis and prognosis of HCC.

Over the past years, with significant progress in epigenetics and metabolomics, various biomarkers have been identified for many cancers [20,28,29]. In the current study, we focused on whether GTs involved in the progression of HCC are also associated with the prognosis of HCC. By analyzing the expression profiles of HCC patients in TCGA database, we identified a gene signature that could predict the OS of patients with HCC. Four differentially expressed GT genes, including ALG3, B3GAT3, GLA, and ST6GALNAC4, correlated with the prognosis of HCC. Moreover, we constructed a four-gene signature that divided HCC patients into high- and low-risk groups for predicting the prognosis of patients with HCC. Furthermore, the prognostic value of the four-gene signature was assessed by ROC curves and Kaplan–Meier analysis in the training and validation sets. Importantly, the risk score generated from the four-gene signature was demonstrated to be an independent prognostic factor in patients with HCC by univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. In addition, GSEA results revealed that differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between high- and low-risk groups were mainly involved in several immune-related biological processes and signaling pathways. Thus, the immune status between the two groups was further evaluated.

Although a previous study has demonstrated that the expressions of GT genes might mediate aberrant glycosylation in many cancers [16], their correlation with the OS of patients with HCC still remains unknown. Surprisingly, 28 GT genes were differentially expressed between tumor tissues and normal tissues, and four of them were demonstrated to be signature genes, which indicated the potential prognostic value of GT genes in HCC. Of the 4 signature GT genes, several genes have been shown to be involved in the progression of tumors. ALG3 is an oncogene, located at the 3q27.1 chromosomal region, which is correlated with multiple malignancies [30]. In non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the expression of ALG3 in tumor tissues was significantly increased compared with that of normal
Figure 7: Continued.
tissues [31]. Upregulation of ALG3 promoted the metastasis of esophageal squamous cell cancer to lymph nodes and the proliferation of cervical cancer cells [30,32]. ALG3 was also found to promote the proliferation and metastasis of breast cancer cells, and overexpression of ALG3 was associated with poor prognosis [33]. In accordance with previous studies, our results revealed that ALG3 was upregulated in HCC tissues. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence regarding the potential prognostic role of ALG3 in patients with HCC.

An altered expression of the ST6GALNAC family of genes has been reported in several cancers. Aberrant glycosylation caused by the changes in ST6GALNAC4 expression levels promoted the lung cancer metastasis through adhesion to galectins in the metastatic niche [34]. miR-4299 mediated the invasive properties and tumorigenicity of human follicular thyroid carcinoma via targeting ST6GALNAC4 [35]. B3GAT3, as a glycosyltransferase, may mediate the attachment of saccharides to key proteins [36,37]. Moreover, B3GAT3 has been shown to be highly expressed in liver cancer tissues and was associated with poor prognosis, which is consistent with our present study [38]. Furthermore, high expression of B3GAT3 was related to worsened OS in HCC patients without alcohol consumption or hepatitis virus infection. However, high B3GAT3 levels were moderately, but not significantly, correlated with worse OS in patients with positive alcohol intake or positive hepatitis virus status [38]. With respect to GLA, it has been reported that it plays a role in tumor progression, regulation of macrophages, and prevention of fatty liver in mice [39].

In our study, we also explored the potential molecular mechanisms of the four-gene signature, providing new insights into treatment. Although the potential mechanisms of regulating immunity have diverse clinical implications in tumor immunotherapy, the relationship between tumor immunity and GTs remains largely unknown. Based on all the genes between the two risk groups, we performed GSEA and unexpectedly found that several immune-related biological processes were significantly enriched. Therefore, we hypothesized that there were potential associations between GTs and tumor immunity. Interestingly, the fractions of infiltrating immune cells were significantly different between low-risk and high-risk groups. In addition, patients of the high-risk group in TCGA contained higher fractions of central memory CD8 T cells. A previous study has revealed that increased tumor-associated CD8 T cells are related to poor prognosis in HCC patients [40]. Therefore, the attenuated antitumor immune function of high-risk patients may be a reason for their poor prognosis.

Although we identified a novel four-gene prognostic signature in HCC, there are several limitations to this analysis. First, our prognostic signature was only established in TCGA database and was not validated in other independent databases. We tried many external verifications for the gene signature, and unfortunately, the results were poor. Second, this study provided a prognostic model constructed by four genes, which needs to be further verified in clinical trials. In addition, many other important prognostic genes in HCC might have been excluded in the Cox analysis. Third, our study was conducted based on retrospectively collected data and should be verified in prospective studies with real-world data. Notably, the associations between the risk score and immune status have not yet been determined by in vitro and in vivo experiments.

We comprehensively demonstrated a GT gene signature in the training set and validation set of TCGA database for the first time and observed the prognostic value for predicting OS in HCC patients. However, there were obvious difference among the effects of each gene on OS, and this may be due to the deficiency and clinical information of the samples, leading to conflicting or meaningless results. In addition, functional analysis revealed that the DEGs between the high-risk and low-risk groups were primarily involved in several important immune biological processes and pathways, which may provide a new direction for studies on the mechanism of HCC progression. It is unclear how GT genes are
involved in HCC prognosis, and this requires further study.

5. Conclusions
In conclusion, we revealed the prognostic value of GT genes in the progression of HCC for the first time. A GT gene signature was identified which could effectively divide HCC patients into high- and low-risk groups in order to accurately predict their OS. Our study contributes to the understanding of the molecular mechanisms through which GT genes are involved in the occurrence and development of HCC and provides a unique approach to explore biomarkers for targeted therapy in HCC.
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