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Background. Cancer risks vary in different BRCA1/2 mutations. We are interested in identifying regions associated with elevated/reduced risks of breast/ovarian cancers in the Chinese population and comparing with previously reported Caucasian-based breast/ovarian cancer cluster regions (OCCR/BCCR). We also aim to characterize the distribution and estimate the cancer risks of different Chinese recurrent mutations.

Methods. A total of 3,641 cancer-free women and 4,278 female cancer patients were included in the study. Germline BRCA1/2 status was detected with amplicon-based next-generation sequencing. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) of breast cancer and OR of ovarian cancer, and their ratio of the two ORs (ROR) for each region. ROR > 1 indicated elevated odds of breast cancer and/or decreasing odds of ovarian cancer, and vice versa. The frequency, distribution, and penetrance of six known Chinese founder mutations were characterized, respectively. Haplotype analysis and age estimation were performed on the most prevalent founder mutation BRCA1: c.5470_5477del. Results. A total of 729 subjects were detected with germline BRCA1/2 deleterious mutations. The putative Chinese OCCR/BCCR partially overlapped with Caucasian-based OCCR/BCCR and shared structural-functional characteristics. The six known Chinese founder mutations greatly vary in both distribution and penetrance. The two widely spread mutations are estimated to convey low penetrance, while the area-restricted founder mutations seemed to confer higher/complete penetrance. BRCA1: c.5470_5477del is estimated to have emerged ∼2,090 years ago (70 B.C.) during the Han dynasty. Conclusions. BRCA1/2 carriers with different genotypes have significantly different cancer risks. An optimal risk assessment should be mutation specific, rather than concerning a single figure.

1. Introduction

Since the establishment of predisposing effects of BRCA1 (MIM:113705) and BRCA2 (MIM: 114480) to breast/ovarian cancers, we have accumulated understandings of the biological roles of BRCA1/2 and their prevalence of mutations in different ethnic populations through a lot of functional experiments and sample-based studies. It is now generally believed that deleterious mutations in BRCA1/2 or other related genes result in the production of malformed proteins that are unable to function during the error-free DNA repair process mediated by homologous recombination (HR) upon DNA double-strand break, which will lead to genomic instabilities and eventually the development of malignancies. It is also known that race/ethnic differences are presented in the mutation spectrum, the prevalence of mutations, and in the recurrently mutated positions, which are likely to reflect the so-called “founder effects.” For example, Ashkenazi Jews generally have a higher risk of being BRCA1/2 carriers because of the highly prevalent founder mutations BRCA1 185delAG, BRCA1 5382insC, and BRCA2 6174delT [1]. Several Chinese founder mutations have also been previously reported [2–6].

Moreover, it is recognized that great differences in cancer risks present within BRCA1/2 mutation carriers, depending on the location and type of mutation they
bear. According to previous observations, mutations within certain regions (defined as ovarian cancer cluster regions, OCCRs) are associated with higher ovarian cancer and/or lower breast cancer risks than other regions and certain regions (defined as breast cancer cluster regions, BCCRs) with higher breast cancer and/or lower ovarian cancer risks. The biological impact caused by the mutation is the determining factor of cancer risk, which apparently affects the position of the OCCRs and BCCRs; the race/ethnicity of the studied population is another variable as it affects the mutation spectrum (e.g., the position of mutation hotspots). Several studies have calculated putative OCCRs/BCCRs of BRCA1/2 using samples mostly of Caucasian origin, and the estimated regions are considerably overlapping [7–9]. Interestingly, for both BRCA1 and BRCA2, the estimated OCCRs seemed to locate in the center of the coding sequence (CDS) and significantly overlap with the largest exon (Exon10 of BRCA1 and Exon11 of BRCA2), while the BCCRs seemed to occupy the 5’ and the 3’ ends of the CDS. As we expect race/ethnic difference to cause some degree of variability in OCCR/BCCRs when studying different populations, and since Asian populations only represented 1% of the total samples in previous studies, we are interested in defining OCCR/BCCRs in Chinese BRCA1/2 carriers and finding out to what extent the position of OCCR/BCCRs could be varied. Moreover, it has been widely acknowledged that the identification and screening of founder mutations are highly cost-effective measures for cancer risk management [10]. Hence, we also aim to characterize the distribution of Chinese recurrent mutations (or founder mutations) and estimate the cancer risks they confer, in order to provide a reference to the precision management of genetic risks for Chinese BRCA1/2 carriers.

2. Methods

2.1. Samples. A total of 3,641 cancer-free women and 4,278 female cancer patients (breast cancer, ovarian cancer, colon cancers, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma) in the nationwide of China volunteered to enroll in this study. The participants selected for further study should meet the following criteria: (1) ≥18 years old with unambiguous clinical status (cancer-free/cancer); (2) have taken the BRCA1/2 gene test; and (3) have unambiguous pathology and a sufficient amount of materials for the experiment if they were diagnosed with cancer. Clinical characteristics of samples in relation to BRCA1/2 are presented in Table 1. Among the 3,641 cancer-free women, 2,615 were negative of family history and subjected to NGS-based BRCA1/2 whole-exon sequencing (all coding regions and exon-intron boundaries>20 bp) with average sequencing depth >1000x on the NextSeq CN500 platform (Berry Genomics, China). The analysis pipeline and mutation classification followed protocols as described in our previous research [11]. The human genome hg19/GRC37 was used as reference; the NCBI reference sequences NM_007294.3 and NM_000059.3 were used for annotations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants, respectively. Nomenclature of mutations followed the latest version of Human Genome Variation Society Sequence Variant Nomenclature (HGVS, https:// licensors.hgvs.org/) and Mutalyzer Name Checker (https://mutalyzer.nl). Deteriorous mutations in this study include likely pathogenic and pathogenic mutations. Further validations of all deleterious mutations and variants of uncertain significance were performed by Sanger sequencing. Visualization of the variants was presented with circos plots [12].

2.2. BRCA1/2 Genetic Testing and Mutation Classification. Blood samples were collected from all enrolled individuals and subjected to NGS-based BRCA1/2 whole-exon...
A chi-square test was used to evaluate the differences in allele frequencies between patients and healthy subjects for each STR involved. We also performed an age estimation of BRCA1: c.5470_5477delATTGGC. We used DMLE+v2.3 to estimate the original time when the mutation emerged in the BRCA1 gene [16]. Based on a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm, this program enabled Bayesian inference to estimate the age of the specific mutation with the knowledge of the patients’ and controls’ haplotypes or genotypes observed, physical distances between markers (cM), and the estimated population growth rate. The population statistics of ancient time points were taken from historical data [17]; the population figure of the year 1949 was collected from the National Bureau of Statistics of China (https://data.stats.gov.cn/index.htm). The equation (1) shown below was performed to calculate a list of average growth rates (‰ per year) from official population records [17] of different historical time points ranging from 684 B.C. to 1949 A.D (Table 3). The calculated growth rates slightly differ from each other, with a maximum of 7.81‰ (1741 A.D-present) and a minimum of 1.54‰ (2 A.D-present). For reference, the estimated growth rate of the year 2019 is 3.34‰ (https://data.stats.gov.cn/index.htm).

\[ P_1 \times (1 + X)^n = P_2 - P_1, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad X = \sqrt[n]{\frac{P_2 - P_1}{P_1}} - 1. \quad (1) \]

\( X = \) average growth rate, \( n = \) time till now (years), \( P_1 = \) population as recorded \( n \) years ago, and \( P_2 = \) Chinese population in 2020 = 1.4 billion.

We estimated the penetrance of the recurrent mutations of breast cancer using the allelic model by Bayes’ theorem [18]. This formula required the knowledge of the lifetime risk of breast cancer in the Chinese population. Restricted by the access to the official data, we used the estimated mean value of lifetime risk (0.053; Figure 1, gray vertical line) for breast cancer derived from the Gail model among Chinese women [19] as the population baseline risk.
The approximate lower bound (0.35; Figure 1, black dashed line) of breast/ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers (according to previous studies [20, 21]) was used as a minimum expected penetrance of all deleterious mutations throughout the whole gene.

### 3. Results

Out of the total 7,919 samples containing 4,278 cancer patients and 3,641 cancer-free individuals, 729 were detected with BRCA1/2 deleterious germline mutations, of which 539 individuals carried BRCA1 mutations and 213 carried BRCA2 mutations. The median age of breast cancer diagnosis was 43, 44, and 46 in BRCA1 carriers, BRCA2 carriers, and noncarriers; the median age of ovarian cancer diagnosis was 51, 54, and 52 in BRCA1, BRCA2 carriers, and noncarriers, respectively.

A total of 236 deleterious germline mutations in BRCA1 (Figure 2) and 122 in BRCA2 (Figure 3) were detected and verified in this study. Several recurrent mutations are identified (Figures 2 and 3 highlighted with red, bigger font). BRCA1: c.5470_5477delATTGGGCA (Ile1824AspfsTer3) was the top hit, accounting for 9.5% (50/527) BRCA1 carriers; the second hit for BRCA1 was c.981_982delAT, with 4.0% (21/527) prevalence in BRCA1 carriers; next were c.3770_3771delAG (12/527, 2.3% of BRCA1 carriers), c.5521delA (11/527, 2.1%), and c.4801A>T (8/527, 1.5%).

BRCA2: c.5722_5723delCT was the top hit for BRCA2 (10/202, 5.0%), followed by BRCA2: c.3109C>T (9/202, 4.5%).

### 3.1. Estimated Chinese OCCR/BCCR in BRCA1

We predicted an OCCR at c.1154–c.2111 (Table 2; Figure 2, blue arch) with a relative decrease in breast cancer risk and a relative increase in ovarian cancer risk (ROR $\approx 0.29$; 95% CI $\approx 0.15–0.57$; FDR-corrected $P$ value $\approx 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$). The putative OCCR lies within the largest exon (Exon 10) of BRCA1 and is partially overlapped with previously reported OCCR (c.1380–c.4062) [8] (Figure 2, blue arc). The putative OCCR entirely or partially spans several functional domains, including the binding sites for Rb, Rad50, c-Myc, and the nuclear localization sequence (NLS) [22].

The approximate lower bound (0.35; Figure 1, black dashed line) of breast/ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers (according to previous studies [20, 21]) was used as a minimum expected penetrance of all deleterious mutations throughout the whole gene.

### Table 3: Age estimation analysis using population data from different historical time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Population (thousand)</th>
<th>Average growth rate (‰)</th>
<th>Time till now (yrs)</th>
<th>Estimated mutation age (yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>684 B.C.</td>
<td>11,840</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2,704</td>
<td>2,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 A.D.</td>
<td>59,590</td>
<td>1.54%</td>
<td>2,018</td>
<td>2,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609 A.D.</td>
<td>46,020</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>1,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110 A.D.</td>
<td>46,730</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>1,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1403 A.D.</td>
<td>66,600</td>
<td>4.87%</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1741 A.D.</td>
<td>143,410</td>
<td>7.81%</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949 A.D.</td>
<td>541,67</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Penetrance estimations of six known Chinese founder mutations. The estimated population lifetime risk of breast cancer for Chinese women (derived from the Gail model) is 0.053; the estimated breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers is 35–50% (lower bound: 0.35). Great variations in penetrance are observed among the six founder mutations.

The approximate lower bound (0.35; Figure 1, black dashed line) of breast/ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers (according to previous studies [20, 21]) was used as a minimum expected penetrance of all deleterious mutations throughout the whole gene.
3.2. Estimated Chinese OCCR/BCCR in BRCA2. We predicted an OCCR at c.5745–c.7805 + 1 (Table 2; Figure 3, blue arch) with a relative decrease in breast cancer risk and a relative increase in ovarian cancer risk (ROR = 0.38; 95% CI = 0.16–0.86; FDR-corrected P value = 0.044). A putative BCCR was found at c.10–c.2176 (Table 2; Figure 3, orange arch), explained by a relative increase in breast cancer risk and a relative decrease in ovarian cancer risk (ROR = 3.47, 95%
deleterious mutation, accounting for 9.5% of present), for which showed the best correlation with 1.54‰ (2 A.D-time (n)) and the actual time (n) showed the best correlation with 1.54% (2 A.D), for which n = 2,090 and n = 2,018. The estimated time (~2,090 years ago, i.e., ~70 B.C.) of the emergence of the mutation lies within the period of the Han dynasty (206 B.C.–220 A.D.), currently known as the second imperial dynasty of China.

3.3. Estimated Penetrance of Chinese Founder Mutations Greatly Differ. Of the six founder mutations examined, the two most prevalent mutations (BRCA1: c.5470_5477del and BRCA1: c.981_982del) were estimated to have penetration lower than 0.35; one (BRCA2: c.3109C > T) with either above or lower than 0.35 penetration subjected to family history (high penetration only occurs in carriers with positive family history), and three with small sample sizes (BRCA1: c.3342_3345del, BRCA1: c.5154G > A, and BRCA1: c.4801A > T) showed complete penetrance (100%) due to no founder mutations found in our control. Our results demonstrated that the penetrance of different BRCA1/2 deleterious mutations greatly varies and shows a large deviation from the expected value.

3.4. The Founder BRCA1: c.5470_5477del Is Estimated to Have Emerged More than 2000 Years Ago. As mentioned above, the BRCA1: c.5470_5477del was the most recurrent deleterious mutation, accounting for 9.5% of BRCA1 mutation carriers. Haplotype analysis was carried out on 31 unrelated patients and 50 unrelated controls without the mutation. The haplotype analysis was performed independent of the work of Meng et al. [6]; similar to their findings, our haplotype analysis suggested a strong founder effect (Supplementary Table S1) of the mutation. Moreover, carriers of this variant are distributed throughout the country (Figure 4), except provinces with sampling size <100 (regions colored in gray; total sample size: 7,919). Compared with other known Chinese founder mutations, BRCA1: c.5470_5477del (orange dots) showed the highest allele frequency and the most widespread in terms of geographical location, indicating a relatively early emergence of the mutation. We used DMLE + 2.3 to estimate the distribution of possible mutation age (years) under each growth rate (Supplementary Figure S2), and then, we compared the estimated mutation age (peak) and the actual time from which the growth rate is drawn. Among the seven growth rates used, the estimated mutation age (n) and the actual time (n) showed the best correlation with 1.54% (2 A.D.-present), for which n = 2,090 and n = 2,018. The estimated time (~2,090 years ago, i.e., ~70 B.C.) of the emergence of the mutation lies within the period of the Han dynasty (206 B.C.–220 A.D.), currently known as the second imperial dynasty of China.

4. Discussion

We have presented a large-scale BRCA1/2 screening in both cancer patients and cancer-free individuals throughout China. We preliminarily defined the Chinese OCCRs/BCCRs in BRCA1/2 and compared them with the previously reported OCCRs/BCCRs based on mainly Caucasian population. We estimated penetrance for each of the six known Chinese founder mutations and demonstrated great variations between them. Unlike previous studies that were based on patients and/or their family members, this study included a large number of samples from the normal population, allowing us to assess the risk of a mutation carrier without a family history, which can significantly differ from the risk of those with family history. We also performed haplotype analysis on the most recurrent founder mutation BRCA1: c.5470_5477del and estimated its time of emergence to be ~2,090 years ago within the Han dynasty.

Our OCCRs/BCCRs partially overlap with previously reported OCCRs/BCCRs drawn from the White/Jewish (Ashkenazi) population (Figures 2 and 3) [8]. We observed both in the Chinese and the White/Jewish studies the OCCRs’ position at the middle of the gene where the largest mutation rate is located and with binding sites for key proteins involved in DNA repair processes such as RAD51 and PALB2 [22, 23], whereas BCCRs tend to position at the ends (5’ and/or 3’) of the gene where smaller exons with secondary peaks of mutation rate are located and include transcription activation domain (TAD) and DNA-binding domain (DBD). OCCRs tend to be longer than BCCRs. The location of OCCRs/BCCRs is thought to be mainly determined by two factors: the biological impact caused by mutations within a certain region and the frequency of these mutations detected within the studied population. While the former is likely not to be affected by ethnicity and may explain the concordant parts of the OCCRs/BCCRs, the latter is known to be considerably affected by ethnicity and may explain the parts with discordance (38% of Chinese BRCA1/2 variants have not been reported in other populations [24]). Indeed, the Caucasian OCCRs/BCCRs and Chinese OCCRs/BCCRs show concordance with hotspot exons (i.e., frequently mutated exons) drawn from BIC and dbBRCA-Chinese databases [24], respectively. The difference in mutation frequency of each exon between the two databases may explain some of the differences shown between our Chinese OCCRs/BCCRs and the previously reported Caucasian-based OCCRs/BCCRs. For example, the reported mutation rate of BRCA1 exon5 in BIC is threefold of that in the dbBRCA-Chinese database, which may explain the presence of a BCCR in Caucasian data and its absence in our Chinese data (note that due to historical reasons, the first exon was named exon 2 so that exon 11 is the largest exon, as seen in many literature and databases and that exons 2, 5, and 11 are equal to exons 1, 4, and 10 in our study). However, the exact mechanism of how the biological impact is affected by the position of the mutation is unclear, since the vast majority of BRCA2 mutations are truncating and able to trigger nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) [25]; truncated BRCA2 proteins are cytoplasmic [26] and unable to enter the nucleus due to lack of an intact nuclear localization signal. One possible explanation might be that NMD is not removing all truncating transcripts, which translate into truncated proteins and might compete with intact proteins for binding partners at the cytoplasm, or even become able to enter the nucleus through the carriage by a binding partner.
With the awareness of the great heterogeneity in cancer risks for mutations in different regions as evidenced by the OCCR/BCCRs, we focused on estimating independent penetrance for each recurrent mutation instead of a single penetrance for the whole gene. The two most prevalent mutations, which have been shown to spread through the country, are estimated to have relatively low penetrance, while those less prevalent ones residing in a local scope tend to have higher or complete penetrance. Our results demonstrated the “one risk does not fit all” as previously suggested by De Bock et al. [27]. Not every BRCA1/2 carrier has the same risk of developing cancers; some may never develop cancer throughout their lives. It is, therefore, important to separately consider when assessing cancer risks for BRCA1/2 carriers with different genotypes. For carriers of low-risk genotypes, regular follow-up surveillance is required. Follow-up screening for breast tumors is based on clinical palpation combined with imaging examination (MRI examination is preferred if available or ultrasound combined with mammography), while screening for ovarian cancer includes CA125 examination and ultrasound examination [28]. A more preventive strategy such as risk-reducing resection should be considered for carriers with high-risk genotype [29]. In BRCA mutation carriers, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy (RRSO) alone or combined with bilateral risk-reducing mastectomy (BRRM) significantly reduces all-cause mortality, risk of ovarian cancer, and risk of breast cancer [30, 31]. When is the optimal time to perform RRSO? It is recommended to be performed at the age of 35–40 years after completion of childbearing for those with the BRCA1 mutation and at the age of 40–45 years for those with BRCA2 mutation [32]. In addition, complications associated with prophylactic surgical resection should not be ignored. Among them, the occurrence of early menopause is the most feared by both patient and physician after RRSO. Therefore, before RRSO is performed, it is important to carefully consider the genetic and personal risks and benefits of this procedure.

![Geographical distribution of the six known Chinese founder mutations](image)

Figure 4: Geographical distribution of the six known Chinese founder mutations. Areas with sample size <100 are colored in light gray; areas with sample size <50 are colored in dark gray. The total sample size is 7,919. Spot size (defined as the spot size unit is based on the number count of the corresponding mutation carriers) indicates the frequency of mutation, and spot color indicates different founder mutations.
performed, patients should be informed of the common sequelae of medically induced menopause and informed of the benefits and risks of the appropriate remedies. Recent studies have found that HRT seems to be a safe therapeutic option in BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 mutation carriers undergoing RRSO and that the risk of BC treated with HRT after receiving RRSO was not significantly elevated [33]. Furthermore, assisted reproductive technology [34] should be considered for carriers who are of childbearing age and with high-risk genotype to prevent the passage of the high-risk allele. Women at high risk have a relatively short window of “reproductive age” and if they have not yet completed childbearing and have to consider RRSO, assisted reproduction with oocyte and/or embryo cryopreservation is recommended [35]. Therefore, the management of high-risk women with BRCA germline mutations requires the multidisciplinary involvement of geneticists, gynecologic oncologists, breast surgeons, and psychologists. For those putative high-risk mutations that seemed to be limited within a relatively small local area, it is necessary to carry out concentrated screening in order to further reveal the true penetrance in a larger sample size with standard incidence ratio (SIR), and if the high penetrance is confirmed, to identify more carriers of the mutation and take early preventative measures.

We estimated the time of emergence of the currently most recurrent Chinese founder mutation (also the most widely spread and probably the oldest), BRCA1: c.5470_5477del. Our estimation indicated that the emergence of this mutation may have happened ∼2,090 years ago (∼70 B.C.) during the Han dynasty, or more specifically, during the Western Han (Xi Han, 206 B.C.-8 A.D.). The thorough spread of the mutant allele throughout the country is most likely to be explained by multiple large-scale population migration events caused by frequent wars. There have been three major waves of population migration in Chinese history: the first started from the end of the Han dynasty (220 A.D.), i.e., the start of the Three Kingdoms period when the country was divided into three and suffered from long-lasting wars, until the end of the Southern and Northern dynasties (also known as the start of the Sui dynasty, 589 A.D.); the second occurred during the An Shi Rebellion in Tang dynasty (755 A.D.); the third happened during the Jingkang Incident (1127 A.D.), which has led to the end of the Northern Song dynasty. The three major migrations all happened after the estimated time of emergence of BRCA1: c.5470_5477del. There are 290 years (∼14.5 generation) between the estimated emergence (70 B.C.) and the first wave of migration (220 A.D.), which would be enough for the initial accumulation of mutant alleles so that the founder mutation can survive wars and natural disasters and inherit for more than two thousand years.

There are several limitations of this study. It is retrospective, and the number of subjects may be insufficient for a comprehensive estimation of the 1.4 billion Chinese population; follow-up time for BRCA1/2 carriers is short; most of the cancer-free carriers are under 70 years old, which can cause underestimation of the cancer risk; the portion of BRCA1/2 carriers in cancer-free individuals is higher than expected, which is likely due to the fact that those with family histories are more willing to participate in testing; we did not include large rearrangement events of BRCA1/2 in our research, which may account for more than 5% of all BRCA1/2 mutations [36]; due to the lack of genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism of all subjects included, we have no access to the population stratification considering the geographical difference and 56 ethnic origins of the Chinese population.

**5. Conclusions**

We preliminarily defined the OCCR/BCCRs based on a large number of Chinese samples. The Chinese OCCR/BCCRs partially overlap with the previously defined OCCR/BCCRs based on Caucasian samples. We estimated the penetrance of the six major Chinese founder mutations, respectively, and demonstrated great variations between them, which strongly suggests that cancer risks should be calculated and separately considered depending on the genotype rather than looking at a fixed risk figure. From a rigorous perspective, it is still necessary to calculate the true penetrance in a larger study cohort with population-based standardized incidences of breast and ovarian cancers. Finally, we investigated the most prevalent and nationally spread Chinese founder mutation BRCA1: c.5470_5477del and estimated that it has more than two thousand years of history.
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