

Research Article

Evaluation of Cerebrospinal Fluid Pressure by a Formula and Its Role in the Pathogenesis of Glaucoma

Laura Landi,^{1,2} Federica Casciaro,^{1,2} Serena Telani,^{1,2} Carlo E. Traverso,^{1,2} Alon Harris,³ Alice C. Verticchio Vercellin,^{3,4,5} Lauren Saint,⁶ and Michele Iester ^{1,2}

¹Clinica Oculistica, DiNOGMI, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy
²IRCCS Ospedale Policinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy
³Department of Ophthalmology, Icahn School of Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, USA
⁴University of Pavia, Eye Clinic, Pavia, Italy
⁵IRCCS—Fondazione Bietti, Rome, Italy
⁶Eugene and Marilyn Glick Eye Institute, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Michele Iester; iester@unige.it

Received 7 April 2019; Revised 25 September 2019; Accepted 12 October 2019; Published 14 November 2019

Academic Editor: Lisa Toto

Copyright © 2019 Laura Landi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. To investigate potential associations between intraocular pressure (IOP) and cerebrospinal fluid pressure (CSFP) in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and healthy subjects. *Methods.* Forty-three subjects were recruited. Weight and height were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI), along with blood pressure, heart rate, visual acuity, and IOP. Biometrics exam, corneal pachymetry, peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness, and macular thickness were assessed. The visual field exam was performed on all patients, and both pattern standard deviation (PSD) and mean deviation (MD) were considered. CSFP was estimated indirectly by using the mathematical formula CSFP = $0.44 \times BMI + 0.16 \times diastolic$ pressure – $0.18 \times age - 1.91$, based on the previous scientific studies. The TLCPD was calculated as follows: IOP–CSFP. *Results.* A significant (p < 0.05) difference was found between the two groups for several parameters. Specifically, the CSFP was lower in patients with POAG than in healthy subjects (8.14 ± 4.52 and 7.43 ± 2.06 , p < 0.001, respectively). Anamnestic TLCPD was found to be significantly (p < 0.001) higher in patients with POAG compared to healthy subjects. A significant (p < 0.05) correlation was found between anamnestic TLCPD and MD (r = -0.31), inferior RNFL thickness (r = -0.29), superior RNFL thickness (r = -0.27), IOP (r = 0.22), and CSFP (r = -0.46). *Conclusion*. The CSFP was lower in glaucomatous patients compared to healthy subjects, even though this difference was not statistically significant. A higher TLCPD may damage the RNFL, resulting in functional visual field impairment.

1. Introduction

The term glaucoma comprises a heterogeneous group of diseases with some characteristic features, including structural optic nerve head (ONH) damage and visual field loss. It has been proven that high intraocular pressure (IOP) is a risk factor for glaucoma, and that lowering IOP can decelerate disease progression [1]. However, increased IOP is not necessary for the development of glaucoma as the characteristic features of glaucoma may occur in susceptible subjects at any IOP [2]. This suggests that high IOP is not the sole risk factor for the development of glaucoma. Other risk factors for glaucoma indicated in previous studies include age, decreased central corneal thickness, race, familial history of glaucoma, reduced ocular blood flow, low blood pressure, myopia, and lower ocular perfusion pressure [3–5]. Each risk factor is known to be associated with apoptotic processes that lead to glaucomatous optic neuropathy [6–9].

Many recent studies have reported that cerebrospinal fluid pressure (CSFP) and translamina cribrosa pressure difference (TLCPD) have a potential role in the pathogenesis of glaucomatous optic neuropathy [10–13]. The lamina cribrosa is positioned on the bottom of the scleral canal to

close this hole, through which retinal ganglion cell axons exit the eye and form the ONH. Both the ONH and lamina cribrosa thus serve as barriers between intraocular and retrobulbar compartments. In the retrobulbar compartment, the optic nerve is surrounded by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in the subarachnoid space [11]. Therefore, on one side of the lamina cribrosa exists an IOP and on the other side of the laminar cribrosa exists a CSFP. The difference between these two pressures, IOP and CSFP, is the TLCPD. It has been postulated that a high TLCPD may damage and lead to abnormal functioning of the ONH due to changes in axonal transport and deformation of the lamina cribrosa [10–14].

Although many studies have shown that CSFP and the TLCPD seem to play a role in primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG), little is known about other parameters involved in the pathogenesis of glaucoma and those that may affect CSFP and TLCPD are age, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), IOP, central corneal thickness (CCT), and structural and perimetric parameters [15].

The purpose of this study was therefore to evaluate the relationship between IOP and CSFP, as well as systemic and ocular parameters in healthy and glaucomatous subjects.

2. Materials and Methods

This research is a prospective study that has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of University Policlinic San Martino, Genoa, Italy, and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients joined the study after having signed a written informed consent. All subjects recruited for this study were selected at the Eye Clinic of University Policlinic San Martino, Genoa, Italy. All the clinical measurements were performed during the morning clinical session between 9.00 am and 12.00 am.

The study included forty-three subjects (26 POAG patients and 17 healthy subjects). All patients were questioned about their demographics, clinical history, ophthalmic history and ophthalmic medications, and systemic diseases and systemic medications. All participants underwent measurements of height (m), weight (kg), heart rate (HR) (bpm), and blood pressure (mmHg) in a standardized manner. Arterial hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) > 140 mmHg, and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) > 90 mmHg, and/or self-reported current treatment for arterial hypertension with antihypertensive medications. BMI was calculated using the formula body mass divided by the square of the body height and expressed in units of kg/m².

The ophthalmic examination included measurements of visual acuity (VA), measurements of IOP using a calibrated Goldmann applanation tonometer, and slit-lamp examination of the anterior segment including gonioscopy and fundus. Biometric examination with IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, California, USA) evaluated axial length (AL) (mm), anterior chamber depth (ACD) (mm), and corneal curvature (degrees). All patients underwent a spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) examination with RTVue SD-OCT (Optovue, Inc., Fremont, California, USA), in order to evaluate the thickness of the

peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), the foveal thickness (microns), and CCT (microns). The Humphrey 24-2 Swedish interactive threshold algorithm standard perimeter (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, California, USA) was used to evaluate the visual field. To estimate the CSFP, a formula cited in Xie et al.'s study was used: CSFP = BMI × 0.44 + 0.16 × diastolic BP – $0.18 \times AGE - 1.91$ [15–17]. When this formula was tested on an independent study group, it revealed that the measured CSFP did not differ significantly (p = 0.29) from the calculated CSFP (12.6 mmHg vs. 13.3 mmHg, respectively); [15–17] however, the formula hypothesized that the orbital subarachnoid space width, as measured by orbital MRI, can be used to estimate the CSFP.

Furthermore, the TLCPD was calculated as IOP–CSFP. Both current and anamnestic TLCPD were calculated by using the data on the patients' files. For the anamnestic data, we used the information collected at the first visit, and when missed, we used younger data found in the file, but not the data of the last visit.

The recruited participants were classified into two subsets: POAG patients (n = 26) and healthy subjects (n = 17).

POAG eyes were diagnosed based upon having a reproducible and characteristic visual field defect of 3 nonedge points which were all depressed on the pattern deviation plot at p < 5%, along with an asymmetrical cupping greater than 0.2, the presence of a notch on the rim, and/or an increased cupping greater than 0.6 at fundoscopy. Reliable tests had fewer than 20% fixation losses and less than 15% false-negative and false-positive responses.

Healthy subjects had normal visual field and optic disc in accordance with IOP <21 mmHg.

Exclusion criteria included history of active or past ophthalmological diseases other than glaucoma such as uveitis, maculopathy, corneal abnormalities (keratoconus or corneal dystrophies), any history or slit-lamp evidence of angle-closure glaucoma, history of ocular surgery or laser treatments, history of ocular trauma, use of systemic steroids, any other systemic medication known to affect the retina, any neurological condition known to affect the visual field, and previous history of brain surgery that would affect the pressure and structural changes of the optic nerve.

3. Statistical Analysis

The data were evaluated by descriptive analysis. When the distribution of the data was normal, a two-tailed paired *t*-test was used. When the distribution of the data was nonnormal, a Mann–Whitney test was used. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to analyze the correlations among all the parameters. A *p* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. A multivariate analysis was performed to verify the effect of age, gender, and BMI on the correlation studied.

4. Results

The descriptive parameters measured in the POAG and healthy group are summarized in Table 1. Of the 26 patients

Journal of Ophthalmology

3

TABLE 1: Descriptive statistics for glaucomatous and nonglaucomatous patients.

	Glaucoma $(n = 53)$	No	Comparison test		
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation	<i>p</i> value
Age (years)	72.32	7.67	68.13	15.59	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	124.91	15.24	130	16.22	0.986
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	71.32	9.10	71.88	9.81	0.425
Height (m)	1.65	0.09	1.68	0.11	0.024
Weight (Kg)	67.45	10.98	70.69	21.57	0.004
BMI (kg/m^2)	24.87	3.17	24.58	5.32	0.085
Heart rate (bpm)	66.26	11.04	66.88	11.02	0.745
Visual acuity (10/10)	9.4	1.43	8.12	2.29	0.001
Refractive error (diopters)	1.22	1.81	-3	5.196	0.001
IOP (mmHg)	15.85	2.73	15.18	1.62	0.208
Anamnestic IOP (mmHg)	21.04	5.71	15	1.97	<0.001
CSFP (mmHg)	7.43	2.06	8.14	4.52	<0.001
Axial length (mm)	23.68	1.58	24.09	1.99	0.542
Anterior chamber depth (mm)	2.76	0.78	2.49	0.58	0.585
CCT (um)	534.21	36.09	552.69	30.96	0.210
Superior RNFL thickness (um)	83.06	13.29	94.75	6.05	0.001
Inferior RNFL thickness (um)	79.83	13.97	91.63	7.68	0.013
Foveal thickness (um)	253.11	29.06	272.69	30.15	0.862
MD (dB)	-3.59	4.72	-0.47	0.72	<0.001
PSD (dB)	4.32	4.33	1.57	0.43	<0.001
TLCPD (mmHg)	8.42	3.27	7.51	3.47	0.235
Anamnestic TLCPD (mmHg)	13.61	6.18	7.33	3.97	<0.001

n = number of eye; BMI = body mass index; IOP = intraocular pressure; CSFP = cerebrospinal fluid pressure; CCT = central corneal thickness; RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer; MD = mean deviation; PSD = pattern standard deviation; TLCPD = translamina cribrosa pressure difference.

enrolled with a diagnosis of POAG, 12 were female and 14 were male, aged between 54 and 84 years (mean average age: 72.3 ± 7.7 years). The results obtained were compared with 17 healthy subjects, 8 female and 9 male, aged between 42 and 89 (mean average age: 68.1 ± 15.6 years).

In the POAG group, 18 patients were suffering from high blood pressure treated pharmacologically and one of these patients was also suffering from noninsulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) treated with glibenclamide.

In the healthy group, one subject had a positive family history of glaucoma and 8 subjects were suffering from high blood pressure treated pharmacologically. Of these, 4 were suffering from cataracts (2 right eyes and 2 left eyes) and one was suffering from NIDDM. Of the healthy patients without hypertension or positive family history of glaucoma, 6 were affected by cataracts solely in the left eye, and one patient had previously had an acute attack of glaucoma in the right eye, so we considered only the left one. Lastly, another nonhypertensive healthy patient without positive family history of glaucoma was suffering from NIDDM treated with glibenclamide.

As shown in Table 1, the parameters that differ significantly between the glaucoma and healthy groups were age, CSFP, anamnestic IOP, anamnestic TLCPD, MD, and PSD (p < 0.001); VA, refractive error, and superior RNFL thickness (p = 0.001); weight (p value between 0.001 and 0.01); and height and inferior RNFL thickness (p value between 0.01 and 0.05).

Tables 2 and 3 summarize Pearson's r correlation coefficients performed to assess possible correlations between all considered parameters. When a multivariate analysis was performed, age, gender, and BMI did not have significant effect on the considered parameters such as MD, PSD, RNFL, foveal thickness, and TLCPD.

5. Discussion

Discussions about CSFP's importance to the ONH and, in particular, its potential role in the pathogenesis of glaucomatous optic neuropathy have been ongoing for 40 years, beginning with Volkov in 1976 [18], followed by Morgan et al. in 1995 [19] and many others. Recently, Ren et al. [20] found that in open-angle glaucoma with normal IOP, CSFP is abnormally low, leading to an abnormally high translamina cribrosa pressure difference. They suggested that a low CSFP in normal-IOP glaucoma may be similar to a high IOP in high-IOP glaucoma. Depending on the posture, the average IOP is higher than the average CSFP, impinging on the TLCPD. A normal IOP with a low CSFP results in the same TLCPD as patients who have an elevated IOP but normal CSFP [21]. The pressure gradient through the lamina cribrosa can be defined as the distance between the intraocular compartment and the retrobulbar one, that is, the thickness of the lamina cribrosa [10]. It has been shown that the cribriform plate is thinner in patients who have glaucomatous damage of the optic nerve. However, curiously, experimental studies have also found that the cribriform plate is thicker in the early stages of glaucoma [11, 12]. The changes of the TLCPD may explain the altered function and optic nerve damage in relation to changes in axonal transport and deformation of the lamina cribrosa in glaucoma patients.

The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between CSFP and IOP, as well as other systemic and ocular

	CSFP	TLCPD	Anamnestic TLCPD	AL	ACD	Foveal thickness	CCT
Age	-0.653**	0.471**	0.269*	-0.289**	0.063	-0.138	-0.015
Systolic BP	0.317**	-0.093	-0.161	-0.127	-0.430**	0.241*	0.128
Diastolic BP	0.501**	-0.229*	-0.134	-0.068	-0.308**	0.345**	-0.106
Height	0.177	-0.072	-0.065	0.456***	0.259*	0.041	0.046
Weight	0.487**	-0.399**	-0.299**	0.240*	0.094	-0.163	0.234*
BMI	0.530**	-0.489**	-0.356**	-0.044	-0.116	-0.258*	0.291**

TABLE 2: Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) values.

*Significant *p* value <0.05, and **significant *p* value ≤ 0.01 . BP=blood pressure; BMI=body mass index; CSFP=cerebrospinal fluid pressure; TLCPD=translamina cribrosa pressure difference; AL=axial length; ACD=anterior chamber depth; CCT=central corneal thickness.

TABLE 3: Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) values.

	CSFP	TLCPD	Anamnestic TLCPD	SUP RNFLT	INF RNFLT	MD	PSD
IOP	0.131	0.600**	0.223*	0.065	-0.103	0.130	-0.147
CSFP	1	-0.714**	-0.456**	0.097	0.154	0.075	-0.109
TLCPD	-0.714^{**}	1	0.526**	-0.031	-0.196	0.051	-0.039
Anamnestic TLCPD	-0.456**	0.526**	1	-0.273*	-0.294**	-0.319*	0.218
SUP RNFLT	0.097	-0.031	-0.273*	1	0.715**	0.446**	-0.466**
INF RNFLT	0.154	-0.196	-0.294**	0.715**	1	0.390**	-0.462**

*Significant *p* value < 0.05, and ** significant *p* value \leq 0.01. IOP = intraocular pressure; CSFP = cerebrospinal fluid pressure; TLCPD = translamina cribrosa pressure difference; SUP RNFLT = superior retinal nerve fiber layer thickness; INF RNFLT = inferior retinal nerve fiber layer thickness; MD = mean deviation; PSD = pattern standard deviation.

parameters in healthy and glaucomatous subjects. Patients with POAG were found to have a statistically lower CSFP compared to healthy patients (p < 0.001, Table 1). Both the current and anamnestic TLCPD were higher in glaucoma patients compared to healthy controls, but while the difference of TLCPD was not significant (p = 0.235), anamnestic TLCPD was statistically significant (p < 0.001, Table 1). This could be due to the IOP values used: indeed when no significant difference was found, the IOP measured was under topical treatment. There are numerous studies in the literature that deal with the relationship between CSFP, TLCPD, and IOP and their direct consequences on the physiology of the eye. In 2015, Jonas et al. outlined that the cerebrospinal fluid space extends from the intracranial compartment, through the tiny optic canal, and ends posterior to the eyeball [9]. Therefore, the pressure of the orbital portion of the optic nerve is not equal to the real orbital pressure (about 2 mmHg), but is at least as high as the CSFP. This anatomical relationship could have profound importance for the physiology and pathophysiology of the optic disc, which acts as a barrier between the intraocular and the retrobulbar compartments. The TLCPD, which is determined by the difference between the IOP and CSFP, is known to play a direct role in the damage of the optic nerve head [9]. In 2008, the retrospective study of Berdahl et al. showed the CSFP of glaucomatous and nonglaucomatous patients who underwent lumbar puncture [13], and the authors found that CSFP was significantly lower in the group of patients with glaucoma compared to the nonglaucomatous control group. Another larger retrospective study performed by Berdahl et al. in 2008 upheld the results of their previous study, but also found that patients with ocular hypertension had a significantly elevated intracranial pressure compared to the control group [22].

Although the studies from Berdahl et al. were limited by small samples of patients, their findings suggested that glaucomatous optic neuropathy was associated with low CSFP, while ocular hypertension was associated with high CSFP [13, 22]. Other studies, like the Beijing Eye Study [14] and Central India Eye Study [23], instead of directly measuring CSFP, estimated it by applying the formula developed by Xie et al. in 2013 (CSFP = $0.44 \times BMI + 0.16 \times diastolic$ pressure $-0.18 \times age - 1.91$), as we did in our study [15]. Similarly, in 2016, Siaudvytyte et al., utilizing two-depth transcranial Doppler technology to noninvasively measure CSFP and ocular perfusion pressure in patients with normal tension glaucoma (NTG), found that patients with lower intracranial pressure (ICP) had both more pronounced structural damage and worsened ocular hemodynamic levels [24]. The same authors examined the differences in the TLCPD and neuroretinal rim area (NRA) between patients with NTG, with high-tension glaucoma, and healthy subjects and found that there was a correlation between NRA and TLCPD solely in the NTG group (r = -0.83, p = 0.01), which confirmed the idea that decreased ICP produces increased TLCPD and leads to glaucomatous damage [25]. These studies, despite their wide geographical, cultural, social, and economic differences of the studied subjects, uphold previous results and the results of our study, demonstrating that patients with glaucoma have a lower estimated CSFP.

As shown in Table 1, compared to healthy subjects, POAG patients also differ significantly in age, IOP, RNFL thickness, PSD, height, and weight (all p values <0.05, Table 1), but these differences were not active on the correlation as multivariant analysis shows. Concerning the association between measurements, we found a significant association (all p values <0.05, Tables 2 and 3) between anamnestic TLCPD and weight (r = -0.299), superior (r = -0.273) and inferior (r = -0.294) RNFL thickness, and MD (r = -0.319) (Tables 2 and 3) and other parameters which were included in the formula such as age (r = 0.269), BMI (r = -0.356), IOP (r = 0.223), and CSFP (r = -0.456). Importantly, the negative correlation between anamnestic

TLCPD and RNFL thickness agrees with the results previously reported by Siaudvytyte et al. [25] who found a negative correlation between NRA and TLCPD in NTG patients. These data could suggest a possible relationship between TLCPD and the loss of ganglion cells evaluated as RNFL thickness. It is also important the correlation between anamnestic TLCPD and MD, which outlined the possible relationship with the ONH function detected by perimetry.

These results are particularly significant when considering the data from the literature concerning the wellknown risk factors for glaucoma onset and progression. The literature consistently shows that increasing IOP and age are the major risk factors for the development and progression of glaucoma [1]. Similarly, the RNFL is consistently thinner and PSD higher among glaucoma patients and these two measurements represent strong diagnostic structural and functional tools for the disease [26]. The literature demonstrates conflicting results regarding the relationship between BMI and glaucoma [27, 28]. In our study, however, a significant correlation was found between BMI and anamnestic TLCPD (Table 2). Taken together, our findings suggested that glaucomatous optic nerve damage can be the result of a complex relationship between several risk factors, which are all associated with TLCPD in our study.

Our results have two main limitations: Firstly, the sample size of our study was small (53 eyes of 26 patients with POAG and 33 eyes of 17 healthy subjects) and consists solely of Caucasian patients. The results may not be transferable across all populations, and further studies should be conducted with larger sample sizes. Moreover, we also used a calculation to indirectly calculate the CSFP, which may not equal the true orbital CSFP [23]. However, unlike previous studies regarding CSFP and glaucoma as in Berdahl et al.'s retrospective studies, our study is a prospective one, which limits the potential for confounding variables and biases [13, 22].

In conclusion, this study showed that the estimated CSFP was significantly lower in POAG patients compared to the control group, whereas the TLCDP in POAG patients was higher, even if the difference was not statistically significant, than in the control group, but clinically, the data of anamnestic TLCDP were more useful and showed both significant difference between the two groups and good correlation with other clinical parameters. These results agree with previous studies. The CSFP may lead to stress on the peripapillary RNFL, as demonstrated by the RNFL values. As a result, patients will have corresponding functional damage, detectable by the values of MD and PSD in perimetry. Therefore, our findings suggested that orbital CSFP was a counterpressure to IOP and was an important determinant of TLCPD, the pathophysiology and physiology of the optic nerve head, and pressure-related diseases, such as glaucomatous optic neuropathy.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request (iester@unige.it).

Disclosure

Dr. Alon Harris would like to disclose that he receives remuneration from CIPLA, AdOM, and Shire for serving as a consultant. Dr. Harris also holds an ownership interest in AdOM, Nano Retina, and Oxymap. All relationships listed above are pursuant to Indiana University's policy on outside activities. The contribution of Alice C. Verticchio Vercellin was supported by Fondazione Roma and by the Italian Ministry of Health.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] European Glaucoma Society, *Terminology and Guidelines for Glaucoma*, Dogma, Savona Italy, 4th edition, 2008.
- [2] J. Flammer, S. Orgül, V. P. Costa et al., "The impact of ocular blood flow in glaucoma," *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 359–393, 2002.
- [3] G. Tezel, R. Hernandez, and M. B. Wax, "Immunostaining of heat shock proteins in the retina and optic nerve head of normal and glaucomatous eyes," *Archives of Ophthalmology*, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 511–518, 2000.
- [4] L. Xu, Y. Wang, S. Wang, Y. Wang, and J. B. Jonas, "High myopia and glaucoma susceptibility," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 216–220, 2007.
- [5] M. Berkelaar, D. Clarke, Y. Wang, G. Bray, and A. Aguayo, "Axotomy results in delayed death and apoptosis of retinal ganglion cells in adult rats," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 4368–4374, 1994.
- [6] E. Garcia-Valenzuela, W. Gorczyca, Z. Darzynkiewicz, and S. C. Sharma, "Apoptosis in adult retinal ganglion cells after axotomy," *Journal of Neurobiology*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 431–438, 1994.
- [7] H. A. Quigley, R. W. Nickells, L. A. Kerrigan, M. E. Pease, D. J. Thibault, and D. J. Zack, "Retinal ganglion cell death in experimental glaucoma and after axotomy occurs by apoptosis," *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 774–786, 1995.
- [8] L. A. Kerrigan, D. J. Zack, H. A. Quigley et al., "TUNELpositive ganglion cells in human primary open-angle glaucoma," *Archives of Ophthalmology*, vol. 115, no. 8, pp. 1031–1035, 1997.
- [9] J. B. Jonas, R. Ritch, and S. Panda-Jonas, "Cerebrospinal fluid pressure in the pathogenesis of glaucoma," *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 221, pp. 33–47, 2015.
- [10] J. B. Jonas, E. Berenshtein, and L. Holbach, "Anatomic relationship between lamina cribrosa, intraocular space, and cerebrospinal fluid space," *Investigative Opthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 5189–5195, 2003.
- [11] J. B. Jonas, E. Berenshtein, and L. Holbach, "Lamina cribrosa thickness and spatial relationships between intraocular space and cerebrospinal fluid space in highly myopic eyes," *Investigative Opthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 2660–2665, 2004.
- [12] H. Yang, J. C. Downs, C. Girkin et al., "3-D histomorphometry of the normal and early glaucomatous monkey optic nerve head: lamina cribrosa and peripapillary scleral position and thickness," *Investigative Opthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 4597–4660, 2007.

- [13] J. P. Berdahl, R. R. Allingham, and D. H. Johnson, "Cerebrospinal fluid pressure is decreased in primary open-angle glaucoma," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 115, no. 5, pp. 763–768, 2008.
- [14] J. B. Jonas, N. Wang, Y. X. Wang, Q. S. You, D. Yang, and L. Xu, "Ocular hypertension: general characteristics and estimated cerebrospinal fluid pressure. The Beijing Eye Study 2011," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 7, Article ID e100533, 2014.
- [15] X. Xie, X. Zhang, J. Fu et al., "Noninvasive intracranial pressure estimation by orbital subarachnoid space measurement: the Beijing intracranial and intraocular Pressure (iCOP) study," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 4, p. R162, 2013.
- [16] J. B. Jonas, N. Wang, Y. X. Wang et al., "Body height, estimated cerebrospinal fluid pressure and open-angle glaucoma. The Beijing eye study," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2011.
- [17] X. Xie, X. Zhang, J. Fu J et al., "Intracranial pressure estimation by orbital subarachonid space measurement," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 4, R162 pages, 2016.
- [18] V. V. Volkov, "Essential element of the glaucomatous process neglected in clinical practice," *Oftalmol Zh*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 500–504, 1976.
- [19] W. H. Morgan, D. Y. Yu, R. L. Cooper, V. A. Alder, S. J. Cringle, and I. J. Constable, "The influence of cerebrospinal fluid pressure on the lamina cribrosa tissue pressure gradient," *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1163–1172, 1995.
- [20] R. Ren, J. B. Jonas, G. Tian et al., "Cerebrospinal fluid pressure in glaucoma," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 259–266, 2010.
- [21] D. S. Greenfield, B. Wanichwecharungruang, J. M. Liebmann, and R. Ritch, "Pseudotumor cerebri appearing with unilateral papilledema after trabeculectomy," *Archives of Ophthalmol*ogy, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 423–442, 1997.
- [22] J. P. Berdahl, M. P. Fautsch, S. S. Stinnett, and R. R. Allingham, "Intracranial pressure in primary open angle glaucoma, normal tension glaucoma, and ocular hypertension: a case-control study," *Investigative Opthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 5412–5418, 2008.
- [23] J. B. Jonas, V. Nangia, N. Wang et al., "Trans-lamina cribrosa pressure difference and open-angle glaucoma. The central India eye and medical study," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 12, Article ID e82284, 2013.
- [24] L. Siaudvytyte, I. Januleviciene, A. Daveckaite, A. Ragauskas, B. Siesky, and A. Harris, "Neuroretinal rim area and ocular haemodynamic parameters in patients with normal-tension glaucoma with differing intracranial pressures," *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 100, no. 8, pp. 1134–1138, 2016.
- [25] L. Siaudvytyte, I. Januleviciene, A. Ragauskas et al., "The difference in translaminar pressure gradient and neuroretinal rim area in glaucoma and healthy subjects," *Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 2014, Article ID 937360, 5 pages, 2014.
- [26] H. Wu, J. F. de Boer, and T. C. Chen, "Diagnostic capability of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for glaucoma," *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 153, no. 5, pp. 815–826, 2012.
- [27] M. C. Leiske, A. M. Connell, S. Y. Wu, L. G. Hyman, and A. P. Schachat, "Risk factors for open-angle glaucoma: the Barbados eye study," *Archives of Ophthalmology*, vol. 113, no. 7, pp. 918–924, 1995.
- [28] P. Gasser, D. Stümpfig, A. Schötzau, U. Ackermann-Liebrich, and J. Flammer, "Body mass index in glaucoma," *Journal of Glaucoma*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 8–11, 1999.



The Scientific World Journal

Journal of Immunology Research



Research and Practice











BioMed Research International



Journal of Ophthalmology



Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine



International



Behavioural Neurology



Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine







Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Submit your manuscripts at www.hindawi.com