Research Article

Multiple Gene Polymorphisms Associated with Exfoliation Syndrome in the Uyghur Population

Yi-Nu Ma, Ting-Yu Xie, and Xue-Yi Chen

Department of Ophthalmology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumchi 830011, Xinjiang, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xue-Yi Chen; ykcangel@163.com

Received 8 July 2018; Revised 11 March 2019; Accepted 8 April 2019; Published 2 May 2019

Academic Editor: Ozlem G. Koz

Copyright © 2019 Yi-Nu Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Our previous data suggested that three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs1048661, rs3825942, and rs2165241, of the lysyl oxidase-like 1 gene (*LOXL1*) are significantly associated with exfoliation syndrome (XFS) and exfoliation glaucoma (XFG). The following study investigated other SNPs that potentially effect XFS/XFG. **Methods.** A total of 216 Uyghur patients diagnosed with XFS/XFG, and 297 Uyghur volunteers were admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital at Xinjiang Medical University between January 2015 and October 2017. Blood samples were collected by venipuncture. Alleles and genotypes of *LOXL1*, *TBC1D21*, *ATXN2*, *APOE*, *CLU*, *AFAP1*, *TXNRD2*, *CACNA1A*, *ABCA1*, *GAS7*, and *CNTNAP2* were analyzed by direct sequencing. **Results.** The allele G of rs41435250 of *LOXL1* was a risk allele for XFS/XFG ($P < 0.001$), whereas the allele G of rs893818 of *LOXL1* was a protective allele for XFS/XFG ($P < 0.001$). After adjusting all data for age and gender, the following results were obtained: the frequency of genotype CC for rs7137828 of *ATXN2* was significantly higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls ($P = 0.027$), while no significance was found with reference to the frequency of genotype TT. The frequency of genotype GG for rs893818 of *LOXL1* ($P < 0.001$) and the frequency of genotype AA were both significantly higher in XFS/XFG groups compared to the control group ($P < 0.001$). In addition, the frequency of genotype TT for rs41435250 of *LOXL1* was higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls ($P = 0.003$), while no significant difference was found with reference to the frequency of genotype GG after adjusting for age and gender. In addition, the haplotypes G-A/T-G/G-G for rs41435250 and rs893818 were significantly associated with XFS/G. **Conclusions.** With reference to *LOXL1*, the rs41435250 resulted as a risk factor and rs893818 as a protective factor for XFS/XFG in the Uyghur populations. Meanwhile, the rs16958445 of *TBC1D21* and the rs7137828 of *ATXN2* have also shown to be associated with pathogenesis of XFS/XFG.

1. Introduction

Exfoliation syndrome (XFS) is an age-related, systemic, elastic microfibrilopathy characterized by deposition and progressive accumulation of a white, fibrillary, extracellular material affecting intraocular and extraocular tissues [1]. A recent study has suggested a high prevalence of XFS in the Uyghur population [2, 3]. XFS is characterized by rapid progression, high resistance to medical therapy, and poor prognosis and may lead to exfoliation glaucoma (XFG), open-angle glaucoma, angle-closure glaucoma, and acceleration of cataract insensibly [4]. In China, especially in Xinjiang, many XFS/XFG patients lost their visual acuity due to the lack of medical treatment.

Genetic factors have an important role in XFS pathogenesis. Our previous data have suggested that three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), i.e., rs1048661, rs3825942, and rs2165241, of the lysyl oxidase-like 1 gene (*LOXL1*) were significantly associated with XFS and XFG [5]. Moreover, Yao et al. have discovered that rs4886467, rs4558370, rs4461027, rs4886761, and rs16958477 SNPs located in the *LOXL1* gene promoter region are risk factors for XFS [6]. In addition, many other SNPs, such as rs429358 and rs7412 located on apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) [7], rs2107856 and rs2141388 of contactin-associated protein-like 2 (*CNTNAP2*) [8], rs41435250 and rs893818 of *LOXL1* [9], rs16958445 of TBC1 domain family member 21 (*TBC1D21*) [10], rs7137828 of autosomal-dominant ataxin 2

(*ATXN2*), rs35934224 of thioredoxin reductase 2 (*TXNRD2*), rs11732100 of actin filament-associated protein 1 (*AFAP1*), rs2472493 of ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1 (*ABCA1*), rs9897123 of growth arrest-specific 7 (*GAS7*) [11], rs4926244 of calcium voltage-gated channel subunit alpha1 A (*CACNA1A*) [12], and rs2279590 of clusterin (*CLU*) [13], have been associated with XFS/XFG. Accordingly, the aim of this study is to investigate whether these SNPs also affect XFS/XFG.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Approval. The Ethical Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, China, approved this study. In addition, the informed consent was obtained from all participants after explaining the objective and nature of the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Study Population. A total of 216 Uyghur patients who were diagnosed as XFS/XFG and 297 normal Uyghur volunteers who were admitted at the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, the First People's Hospital of Kashgar, and the Kuqa County Hospital between January 2015 and October 2017 were enrolled in this study. XFS was diagnosed based on the previously described approach [5]. In brief, XFS was diagnosed by exfoliation materials on the anterior lens capsule or on the pupil margin in either eye with dilation of the pupils. The inclusion criteria were the following: (1) IOP ≥ 22 mmHg in either eye; (2) glaucomatous changes on the optic disc, defined as a cup-to-disc ratio >0.7 in either eye or an asymmetric cup-to-disc ratio >0.2 or notching of the disc rim; and (3) characteristic glaucomatous visual field loss [14]. Patients with other causes of secondary glaucoma, such as uveitis, pigment dispersion syndrome, and iridocorneal endothelial syndrome, were excluded from the study. All study subjects were unrelated and received comprehensive ophthalmic examinations.

Peripheral blood samples (2–3 ml) were collected from each subject by venipuncture. Genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from the whole blood using a Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (The Beijing Genomics Institute, Beijing, China). The SNPs (rs429358, rs7412, rs2107856, rs2141388, rs41435250, rs893818, rs16958445, rs7137828, rs35934224, rs11732100, rs2472493, rs9897123, rs4926244, and rs2279590) were amplified by photoconductive relay (PCR) and directly sequenced [7–13]. Two sets of primers were used for amplification by PCR.

Genotypes of these SNPs were determined by direct DNA sequencing, using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in a 3730XL capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The sequences were analyzed by sequencing analysis software Chromas (Technelysium Pty Ltd., Queensland, Australia).

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v17.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) analysis was tested by using the χ^2 test in SAS/Genetics v9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The comparison of allelic and genotypic frequencies between the patient and control groups, as well as haplotype association analysis, was performed using a standard χ^2 test. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Relative risk association was estimated by calculating odds ratios (OR) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

3. Results

A total of 216 Uyghur XFS/XFG patients (case group) and 297 normal Uyghur volunteers (control group) were included in the study. In the case group, there were 146 males and 70 females (average age: 68 years), while in the control group, there were 159 males and 138 females (average age: 62 year) (Table 1).

All SNPs underwent the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test before further data analysis. Besides rs7137828 that deviated from HWE ($P = 0.006$) in the control group and rs35934224 that deviated from HWE ($P = 0.005$) in the case group, other SNPs were all in line with the HWE Table 2.

The allele association analysis showed that the frequency of allele G of rs41435250 and rs893818 of *LOXL1* was significantly higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls (rs41435250: $P < 0.001$, OR = 1.791, 95% CI: 1.334–2.405; rs893818: $P < 0.001$, OR = 0.423, 95% CI: 0.318–0.563), while no significant differences were found for other alleles ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

The genotype association analysis showed that the frequency of genotype AA for rs16958445 of *TBC1D21* was higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls ($P = 0.033$, OR = 5.481, 95% CI: 1.151–26.11), while the frequency of genotype GG was not significantly different between the two groups. After adjusting all data for age and gender, the following results were obtained: the frequency of genotype CC for rs7137828 of *ATXN2* was significantly higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls ($P = 0.027$, OR = 0.322, 95% CI: 0.118–0.879), while no significant differences were found with reference to the frequency of genotype TT. The frequency of genotype GG for rs893818 of *LOXL1* ($P < 0.001$, OR = 0.511, 95% CI: 0.358–0.729) and the frequency of genotype AA were both significantly higher in the XFS/XFG group compared to the control group ($P < 0.001$, OR = 0.095, 95% CI: 0.033–0.272). In addition, the frequency of genotype TT for rs41435250 of *LOXL1* was higher in XFS/XFG patients than in controls ($P = 0.003$, OR = 3.902, 95% CI: 1.580–9.640), while no significant difference was found with reference to the frequency of genotype GG after adjusting for age and gender. All data are shown in Table 4.

Moreover, our results indicated that all MAFs were greater than 0.05, which further suggested that all SNPs were statistically significant (Table 5).

After the study of alleles and genotypes, we screened out the *LOXL1*, *APOE*, and *CNTNAP2* for the haplotype association analysis. The genotyping graphs for these SNPs are shown in Figure 1.

For the rs41435250 and rs893818 of *LOXL1*, three haplotypes were observed. As shown in Table 6, all

TABLE 1: Baseline of the two groups.

	Case <i>n</i> = 216	Control <i>n</i> = 297	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>
Age (years), mean $\pm$ SD	68.90 $\pm$ 8.47	62.46 $\pm$ 9.94	9.13	<0.001
Gender (M/F), <i>n</i> (%)	146 (67.59%)/70 (32.41%)	159 (53.54%)/138 (46.45%)	10.25	<0.001

M: male; F: female.

TABLE 2: Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test of these SNPs.

GeneName	SNP	HWE_Case	HWE_Control	HWE
LOXL1	rs893818	0.255	0.310	0.324
LOXL1	rs41435250	0.497	1.000	0.505
TBC1D21	rs16958445	0.111	0.555	0.553
ATXN2	rs7137828	1.000	0.006	0.025
CNTNAP2	rs2107856	1.000	1.000	1.000
CNTNAP2	rs2141388	0.895	0.908	0.795
APOE	rs429358	0.484	0.755	0.253
APOE	rs7412	0.310	0.632	0.299
CLU	rs2279590	0.367	1.000	0.490
CACNA1A	rs4926244	0.074	0.616	0.099
ABCA1	rs2472493	0.895	0.646	0.862
GAS7	rs9897123	1.000	0.122	0.279
AFAP1	rs11732100	0.692	0.482	0.793
TXNRD2	rs35934224	0.005	1.000	0.101

Besides rs7137828 that deviated from the HWE in the control group and rs35934224 that deviated from the HWE in the case group, other SNPs were all in line with the HWE.

TABLE 3: Allele association analysis with these SNPs.

SNP	XFS/XFG	Control	χ^2	<i>P</i>	OR (95% CI)
TBC1D21 rs16958445 Allele					
G	432	583	3.025	0.082	1.369 (0.960–1.953)
A	70	69			
ATXN2 rs7137828 Allele					
T	429	531	3.272	0.070	0.7467 (0.544–1.025)
C	73	121			
APOE rs429358 Allele					
T	452	587	<0.001	0.996	0.999 (0.677–1.473)
C	50	65			
rs7412 Allele					
C	468	610	0.051	0.822	1.055 (0.661–1.685)
T	34	42			
CLU rs2279590 Allele					
C	351	455	0.002	0.961	0.994 (0.771–1.280)
T	151	197			
AFAP1 rs11732100 Allele					
C	402	524	0.015	0.903	1.018 (0.760–1.364)
T	100	128			

TABLE 3: Continued.

SNP	XFS/XFG	Control	χ^2	P	OR (95% CI)
TXNRD2 rs35934224 Allele					
C	446	574			
T	56	78	0.180	0.671	0.924 (0.642–1.331)
CACNA1A rs4926244 Allele					
T	401	515			
C	101	137	0.138	0.710	0.947 (0.710–1.263)
ABCA1 rs2472493 Allele					
A	301	391			
G	201	261	<0.001	0.998	1.000 (0.789–1.269)
LOXL1 rs41435250 Allele					
G	379	552			
T	123	100	15.280	<0.001	1.791 (1.334–2.405)
rs893818 Allele					
G	418	442			
A	84	210	35.780	<0.001	0.423 (0.318–0.563)
GAS7 rs9897123 Allele					
C	249	341			
T	253	311	0.827	0.363	1.114 (0.883–1.406)
CNTNAP2 rs2107856 Allele					
G	299	390			
T	203	262	0.008	0.930	1.011 (0.797–1.281)
rs2141388 Allele					
C	301	391			
T	201	261	<0.001	0.998	1.000 (0.789–1.269)

G allele of rs41435250 of LOXL1 was the risk allele for the disorder. In contrast, G allele of rs893818 of LOXL1 was the protective allele for the disorder. Other alleles of SNPs showed no statistical significance.

TABLE 4: Genotype association analysis with these SNPs.

Gene/SNP	XFS/XFG	Control	p	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted-P	Adjusted-OR (95% CI)
TBC1D21 rs16958445 Genotype						
GG	189	259	0.532	1.138 (0.758–1.71)	0.114	1.465 (0.913–2.351)
GA	54	65				
AA	8	2	0.033	5.481 (1.151–26.110)	0.043	5.439 (1.053–28.090)
ATXN2 rs7137828 Genotype						
TT	183	224	0.705	0.929 (0.635–1.360)	0.955	1.013 (0.654–1.569)
TC	63	83				
CC	5	19	0.027	0.322 (0.118–0.879)	0.030	0.299 (0.100–0.891)
APOE rs429358 Genotype						
TT	202	263	0.910	1.025 (0.673–1.560)	0.532	1.171 (0.714–1.920)

TABLE 4: Continued.

Gene/SNP	XFS/XFG	Control	p	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted-P	Adjusted-OR (95% CI)
TC	48	61	0.727	0.651 (0.059–7.230)	0.773	0.697 (0.060–8.091)
CC	1	2				
rs7412						
Genotype						
CC	219	286	0.907	1.031 (0.619–1.717)	0.873	1.049 (0.585–1.882)
CT	30	38	0.790	1.306 (0.183–9.344)	0.887	1.172 (0.132–10.400)
TT	2	2				
CLU						
rs2279590						
Genotype						
CC	126	159	0.604	0.912 (0.644–1.292)	0.298	0.807 (0.538–1.209)
CT	99	137	0.760	1.094 (0.616–1.943)	0.171	1.586 (0.819–3.071)
TT	26	30				
AFAP1						
rs11732100						
Genotype						
CC	162	208	0.678	0.927 (0.649–1.324)	0.922	0.979 (0.650–1.477)
CT	78	108	0.442	1.412 (0.585–3.407)	0.576	0.711 (0.215–2.350)
TT	11	10				
TXNRD2						
rs35934224						
Genotype						
CC	203	252	0.118	0.709 (0.461–1.091)	0.175	0.710 (0.433–1.165)
CT	40	70	0.142	2.483 (0.737–8.362)	0.258	2.245 (0.553–9.114)
TT	8	4				
CACNA1A						
rs4926244						
Genotype						
TT	165	205	0.349	0.840 (0.584–1.209)	0.224	0.774 (0.512–1.170)
TC	71	105	0.684	1.165 (0.559–2.426)	0.826	0.901 (0.356–2.282)
CC	15	16				
ABCA1						
rs2472493						
Genotype						
AA	91	115	0.713	0.934 (0.650–1.343)	0.831	0.955 (0.626–1.457)
AG	119	161	0.888	1.036 (0.631–1.702)	0.906	0.966 (0.544–1.716)
GG	41	50				
LOXL1						
rs41435250						
Genotype						
GG	145	233	0.006	1.663 (1.158–2.388)	0.071	1.478 (0.966–2.259)
GT	89	86	0.003	3.902 (1.580–9.640)	0.003	5.276 (1.748–15.930)
TT	17	7				
rs893818						
Genotype						
GG	171	154	<0.001	0.511 (0.358–0.729)	<0.001	0.4449 (0.293–0.676)
GA	76	134	<0.001	0.095 (0.033–0.272)	<0.001	0.119 (0.039–0.356)
AA	4	38				
GAS7						
rs9897123						
Genotype						
CC	62	82	0.739	0.934 (0.625–1.396)	0.440	0.833 (0.523–1.325)
CT	125	177	0.335	1.263 (0.785–2.033)	0.808	0.935 (0.542–1.612)
TT	64	67				
CNTNAP2						
rs2107856						
Genotype						
GG	89	117	0.917	1.02 (0.709–1.467)	0.687	1.091 (0.715–1.665)

TABLE 4: Continued.

Gene/SNP	XFS/XFG	Control	p	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted-P	Adjusted-OR (95% CI)
GT	121	156	0.947	1.017 (0.622–1.664)	0.537	1.194 (0.681–2.094)
TT	41	53				
rs2141388						
Genotype						
CC	91	118	0.981	0.996 (0.692–1.431)	0.772	1.064 (0.698–1.624)
CT	119	155	0.990	1.003 (0.614–1.639)	0.570	1.177 (0.672–2.060)
TT	41	53				

The genotypes AA for rs16958445 of *TBC1D21* and GG/TT for rs41435250 of *LOXL1* were risk genotypes for the disease. The genotypes CC for rs7137828 of *ATXN2* and GG/AA for rs893818 of *LOXL1* were protective genotypes for the disease.

TABLE 5: MAFs of these SNPs.

Gene	SNP	Ref allele	Alt allele	Case MAF	Control MAF	Total MAF
<i>LOXL1</i>	rs893818	G	A	0.167	0.322	0.255
<i>LOXL1</i>	rs41435250	G	T	0.245	0.153	0.193
<i>TBC1D21</i>	rs16958445	G	A	0.139	0.106	0.121
<i>ATXN2</i>	rs7137828	T	C	0.145	0.186	0.168
<i>CNTNAP2</i>	rs2107856	G	T	0.404	0.402	0.403
<i>CNTNAP2</i>	rs2141388	C	T	0.400	0.400	0.400
<i>APOE</i>	rs429358	T	C	0.100	0.100	0.100
<i>APOE</i>	rs7412	C	T	0.068	0.064	0.066
<i>CLU</i>	rs2279590	C	T	0.301	0.302	0.302
<i>CACNA1A</i>	rs4926244	T	C	0.201	0.210	0.206
<i>ABCA1</i>	rs2472493	A	G	0.400	0.400	0.400
<i>GAS7</i>	rs9897123	C	T	0.496	0.477	0.489
<i>AFAP1</i>	rs11732100	C	T	0.199	0.196	0.198
<i>TXNRD2</i>	rs35934224	C	T	0.112	0.120	0.116

All MAFs were greater than 0.05, which pointed out that all these SNPs were statistically significant.

FIGURE 1: The genotyping graphs for *LOXL1*, *APOE*, and *CNTNAP2*.

haplotypes showed a significantly higher frequency in XFS/XFG patients than in controls: GA ($P \leq 0.001$, OR = 0.417, 95% CI: 0.313–0.556), TG ($P \leq 0.001$, OR = 1.772, 95% CI: 1.320–2.380), and GG ($P = 0.028$, OR = 1.302, 95% CI: 1.030–1.648). Furthermore, after adjusting for age and gender, the similar data were obtained (Table 7): GA ($P \leq 0.001$, OR = 0.400, 95% CI: 0.286–0.559), TG ($P = 0.001$, OR = 1.769, 95% CI: 1.251–2.503), and GG ($P = 0.029$, OR = 1.356, 95% CI: 1.032–1.782). We also observed three haplotypes for rs429358 and rs7412 of *APOE* and rs2107856 and rs2141388 of *CNTNAP2*; nevertheless, there was no connection between the case and control group.

4. Discussion

So far, numerous studies have focused on the polymorphisms of *LOXL1*. Our previous studies have shown that there were polymorphisms of *LOXL1* in different alleles and genotypes of different SNPs in XFS/XFG of different ethnic groups. In this study, we found two SNPs (rs41435250 and rs893818) of *LOXL1* that were polymorphic and associated with XFS/XFG. Meanwhile, we also examined other genes which were previously affirmed to have polymorphisms in XFS/XFG. We found that rs16958445 of *TBC1D21* and rs7137828 of *ATXN2* were significantly associated with XFS/XFG. Yet, three haplotypes for rs429358 and rs7412 of *APOE* and rs2107856 and rs2141388 of *CNTNAP2* had no connection between the case and control group.

As a result, *LOXL1* is still the susceptibility gene of XFS/XFG in Uyur populations. The rs1048661, rs3825942, rs2165241, rs4886467, rs4558370, rs4461027, rs4886761, rs16958477 [5, 6], and rs41435250 resulted to be risk factors, while rs893818 resulted to be a protective factor for XFS/XFG in the Uyur population.

Genes, such as *TBC1D21*, *ATXN2*, *APOE*, *CLU*, *AFAP1*, *TXNRD2*, *CACNA1A*, *ABCA1*, *GAS7*, and *CNTNAP2*, have been associated with glaucoma. In this study, we discovered that SNPs, rs16958445 of *TBC1D21* and the rs7137828 of *ATXN2*, had an important role in the pathogenesis of XFS/XFG in the Uyur population. Nonetheless, it is necessary to

TABLE 6: Haplotype association analysis between these SNPs.

Gene	Haplotype		Case (proportion)	Control (proportion)	P value	OR	95% CI
LOXL1	rs41435250	rs893818					
	G	A	83 (0.165)	210 (0.322)	≤0.001	0.417	0.313–0.556
	T	G	122 (0.243)	100 (0.153)	≤0.001	1.772	1.320–2.380
	G	G	296 (0.590)	342 (0.525)	0.028	1.302	1.030–1.648
APOE	rs429358	rs7412					
	T	C	418 (0.833)	545 (0.836)	0.884	0.977	0.715–1.336
	C	C	50 (0.100)	65 (0.100)	0.996	0.999	0.677–1.473
	C	T	34 (0.068)	42 (0.064)	0.822	1.055	0.661–1.685
CNTNAP2	rs2107856	rs2141388					
	T	T	201 (0.400)	261 (0.400)	0.998	1.000	0.789–1.269
	G	C	299 (0.596)	390 (0.598)	0.930	0.990	0.781–1.254

The haplotypes GG/TG/GA for the SNPs rs41435250 and rs893818 were significantly associated with XFS/XFG.

TABLE 7: Haplotype (adjusted) association analysis between these SNPs.

Gene	Haplotype		Case (proportion)	Control (proportion)	P value	OR	95% CI
LOXL1	rs41435250	rs893818					
	G	A	67 (0.155)	189 (0.319)	≤0.001	0.400	0.286–0.559
	T	G	101 (0.234)	89 (0.150)	0.001	1.769	1.251–2.503
	G	G	264 (0.611)	314 (0.530)	0.029	1.356	1.032–1.782
APOE	rs429358	rs7412					
	T	C	360 (0.833)	494 (0.834)	0.609	0.910	0.634–1.307
	C	C	42 (0.097)	57 (0.096)	0.643	1.113	0.707–1.753
	C	T	30 (0.069)	41 (0.069)	0.834	1.059	0.621–1.806
CNTNAP2	rs2107856	rs2141388					
	T	T	180 (0.417)	239 (0.404)	0.569	1.083	0.823–1.424
	G	C	250 (0.579)	352 (0.595)	0.523	0.915	0.696–1.202

The haplotypes GG/TG/GA for the SNPs rs41435250 and rs893818 were significantly associated with XFS/XFG.

note that there may be other factors affecting the pathogenesis of XFS/G, which should be addressed by future studies.

In this research, we gathered a number of genes to study the polymorphisms of the special ethnic groups, thus providing valuable information and expanding the knowledge on the gene mechanism of XFS/XFG. Nonetheless, the current study has some limitations that should be pointed out. Although the patients were recruited from the three largest areas of Xinjiang, the sample representativeness may be somewhat inaccurate, which could be addressed by expanding the sample size and thus improving the accuracy. We found that multiple gene polymorphisms had an important role in the pathogenesis of the disorder in Uyghur patients, but we cannot exclude the possibility that other additional genetic or environmental factors also participate in modifying the development of this disorder.

Abbreviations

XFS:	Exfoliation syndrome
XFG:	Exfoliation glaucoma
SNPs:	Single-nucleotide polymorphisms
LOXL1:	Lysyl oxidase-like 1 gene
APOE:	Apolipoprotein E
CNTNAP2:	Contactin-associated protein-like 2
TBC1D21:	TBC1 domain family member 21
ATXN2:	Autosomal-dominant ataxin 2

TXNRD2:	Thioredoxin reductase 2
AFAP1:	Actin filament-associated protein 1
ABCA1:	ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1
GAS7:	Growth arrest-specific 7
CACNA1A:	Calcium voltage-gated channel subunit alpha1 A
CLU:	Clusterin
DNA:	Deoxyribonucleic acid
PCR:	Photoconductive relay
HWE:	Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium
OR:	Odds ratios
CI:	95% confidence intervals.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Yi-Nu Ma was in charge of statistical analysis and manuscript writing; Ting-Yu Xie was involved in the diagnosis and screening of patients; and Xue-Yi Chen was the instructor.

Acknowledgments

The Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81360153) supported this study.

References

- [1] K. F. Damji, "Progress in understanding pseudoexfoliation syndrome and pseudoexfoliation-associated glaucoma," *Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 657-658, 2007.
- [2] L. Xiao, L. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Liu, and H. Lv, "Investigation of sight restoring operation of pseudoexfoliation syndrome associated cataract in Kashi area in Xinjiang," *Chinese Journal of Ocular Trauma and Occupational Eye*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 485-456, Dis2005.
- [3] T. Xie, X. Chen, and Mutellip, "Epidemiology of pseudoexfoliation syndrome in aged Uygur farmers in Xinjiang," *Chinese Journal of Geriatrics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 229-230, 2008.
- [4] R. Ritch and U. Schlotzer-Schrehardt, "Exfoliation syndrome," *Survey of Ophthalmology*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 265-315, 2001.
- [5] Mayinu and X. Chen, "Evaluation of *LOXL1* polymorphisms in exfoliation syndrome in the Uygur population," *Molecular Vision*, vol. 17, pp. 1734-1744, 2011.
- [6] L. Yao, R.-X. Ding, M.-Y. Guo, and X.-Y. Chen, "Correlations between single nucleotide polymorphisms in the lysyl oxidase-like 1 gene promoter region and exfoliation syndrome in the Uighur population," *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 19709-19716, 2016.
- [7] D. Chiras, K. Tzika, H. Kokotas et al., "Development of novel *LOXL1* genotyping method and evaluation of *LOXL1*, *APOE* and *MTHFR* polymorphisms in exfoliation syndrome/glaucoma in a Greek population," *Molecular Vision*, vol. 19, pp. 1006-1016, 2013, <http://www.molvis.org/molvis/v19/1006>.
- [8] M. Krumbiegel, F. Pasutto, U. Schlötzer-Schrehardt et al., "Genome-wide association study with DNA pooling identifies variants at *CNTNAP2* associated with pseudoexfoliation syndrome," *European Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 186-193, 2011.
- [9] D. Weisschuh, A. Miranda-Duarte, and J. C. Zenteno, "The T allele of lysyl oxidase-like 1 rs41435250 is a novel risk factor for pseudoexfoliation syndrome and pseudoexfoliation glaucoma independently and through intragenic epistatic interaction," *Molecular Vision*, vol. 19, pp. 1937-1944, 2013.
- [10] M. Nakano, Y. Ikeda, Y. Tokuda et al., "Novel common variants and susceptible haplotype for exfoliation glaucoma specific to Asian population," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 4, no. 1, article 5340, 2014.
- [11] J. L. Wiggs and L. R. Pasquale, "Genetics of glaucoma," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. R21-R27, 2017.
- [12] T. Aung, M. Ozaki, T. Mizoguchi et al., "A common variant mapping to *CACNA1A* is associated with susceptibility to exfoliation syndrome," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 387-392, 2015.
- [13] J. Bao, R. P. Louis, J. H. Kan, H. L. Verbin, J. L. Haines, and J. L. Wiggs, "Association of clusterin (*CLU*) variants and exfoliation syndrome: an analysis in two caucasian studies and a metaanalysis," *Experimental Eye Research*, vol. 139, pp. 115-122, 2015.
- [14] P. J. Foster, R. Buhrmann, H. A. Quigley, and G. J. Johnson, "The definition and classification of glaucoma in prevalence surveys," *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 238-242, 2002.