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Improvement of the Safety Profile of Canaloplasty and Phacocanaloplasty: A Review of Complications and Their Management
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Research on the methods used to achieve persistent and safe control of intraocular pressure resulted in the implementation of novel surgical procedures, such as canaloplasty and phacocanaloplasty. Herein, we review the literature focused on the safety profile of canaloplasty and phacocanaloplasty and the management of related complications. The aim of canaloplasty is to restore the natural aqueous outflow. This goal is achieved via a surgical procedure that involves viscocanalostomy with catheterisation of Schlemm’s canal (360°) and placement of a circumferential suture that tensions the canal walls. This improves Schlemm’s canal drainage, choriocapsular flow, and subconjunctival filtration. The efficacy of canaloplasty for reducing the intraocular pressure is similar to those of trabeculectomy with mitomycin C and deep sclerectomy augmented with an implant and mitomycin C. However, canaloplasty is associated with a lower complication rate than those conventional techniques. Novel microsurgical techniques for the treatment of glaucoma are unlikely to replace the conventional methods. However, these new techniques offer alternatives, especially for patients who have an early indication for surgical intervention. Nevertheless, canaloplasty is associated with the expectations of efficient, safe, and modern surgical treatment.

1. Introduction

The conventional hypotensive treatment of glaucoma is based primarily on pharmacotherapy, whereas surgery is a secondary option. However, the lower postoperative complications rates resulting from improved surgical techniques justify a reconsideration of this approach. Surgical treatment provides more efficient control of the intraocular pressure (IOP) and often eliminates the patient’s chronic use of eye drops. While the actions of pharmaceutical agents are limited to inhibiting the production of aqueous humour or improving its outflow via natural routes, the aim of surgical treatment is to improve the aqueous outflow by facilitating its drainage through Schlemm’s canal (deep sclerectomy), by forming a new, alternative route of outflow within the trabecular meshwork (trabeculectomy) or by evacuating fluid via artificial filtering fistulae (seton surgeries). Research on the methods for persistent and safe control of IOP resulted in the implementation of novel surgical procedures such as canaloplasty and phacocanaloplasty. An awareness of the possible complications and the ability to cope with these events may significantly improve the postoperative results. Herein, we review the literature focused on the safety profiles of canaloplasty and phacocanaloplasty and the management of related complications.

2. Main Text

2.1. Overview of Canaloplasty. Canaloplasty has been used for nearly 15 years [1]. The iTrack microcatheter (Ellex Medical Ltd, Adelaide, SA, Australia) was first introduced to the glaucoma surgical armamentarium in 2005 in the context of the viscocanalostomy procedure, in which Schlemm’s canal undergoes viscodilation [2, 3]. This procedure was first described by Stegmann as a nonpenetrating,
filtering, and bleb-independent version of Schlemm’s canal dilation. This technique has evolved with the addition of an intracanalicular tension suture to the canaloplasty procedure.

Data from the literature suggest that this technique may constitute an alternative to the conventional surgical treatment of open-angle glaucoma. The tensioning of the canal wall, especially the trabecular meshwork, and the restoration of physiological aqueous outflow are reflected by a marked hypotensive effect [3]. This mechanism can be compared to the effect of pilocarpine on the trabecular meshwork [4]. During viscodilation, the profile of Schlemm’s canal changes from an oval to a round shape, which can be visualized clearly using an 80 Hz ultrasound probe (Figures 1 and 2) [5]. However, the procedure is not entirely free of limitations, as it does not provide a persistent decrease in IOP below the blood pressure in the episcleral veins.

In selected cases of concomitant glaucoma and cataract, the glaucoma surgery can be combined with phacoemulsification, which may enhance the positive effect of the former on the IOP. The hypotensive effect of the combined surgery was shown to be 2 mmHg better than that of canaloplasty alone [3, 6]. Lewis et al. [4] defined a complete therapeutic success as an IOP ≤ 18 mmHg without the use of hypotensive medication. This milestone was achieved in 36% of individuals after canaloplasty and in up to 70.4% of patients subjected to the combined procedure. Moreover, fewer patients subjected to phacocanaloplasty require an adjunct goniopuncture to achieve the target IOP, compared to those who undergo canaloplasty alone [6]. The efficacy of these procedures is also reflected by the 53% and 80% reductions in the use of hypotensive eye drops after canaloplasty and combined surgery, respectively [3]. However, an opposite effect may be observed if phacoemulsification is performed together with conventional trabeculectomy, which stimulates a stronger inflammatory reaction [7, 8].

The principal advantage of canaloplasty over conventional trabeculectomy is that the former procedure is associated with fewer complications that are usually associated with the presence of a filtering bleb [1, 2] or corneal complications [9]. Tight closure of the superficial scleral flap eliminates problems such as patient discomfort, wound leakage, and intraocular infections [10]. However, the lower morbidity rates after canaloplasty do not exempt ophthalmic surgeons from understanding the potential risks inherent to this procedure and the associated management.

2.2. Complications Associated with Canaloplasty and Phacocanaloplasty. The most prevalent intraoperative complications of canaloplasty include the inability to insert a microcatheter into Schlemm’s canal and the incorrect passage of the catheter within the canal [4, 10, 11]. The reported rates of successful cannulation of the canal range from 74% [4] to 89.9% [10]. Problems with intubation may occur in cases involving unexpected structural anomalies of Schlemm’s canal or in patients with a history of laser surgeries involving the filtration angle (argon laser trabeculoplasty) that resulted in the destruction of the trabecular meshwork and excess cicatrisation [12] (Figure 3).

According to Grieshaber et al. [13], a microcatheter may disrupt the wall of Schlemm’s canal and penetrate the anterior chamber or suprachoroidal space in 3.3% of cases. Although this event may cause the leakage of viscoelastic material to the anterior chamber and a transient postoperative increase in the IOP in the former case, it may cause limited ciliary body detachment that could potentially lead to hypotony in the latter. Lewis et al. [4, 10] reported a case in which Schlemm’s canal was cut, and a suture was extruded through to the anterior chamber. Such a situation may result from the excessive tension of a thread during placement of the suture or the inappropriate removal of a microcatheter during insertion of a thread. The vector of the force placed on a microcatheter during the retrograde insertion of a suture should be curved in parallel with the corneal curvature. In approximately 10% of the cases, in which catheterisation of Schlemm’s canal is impossible, and an operator may perform a deep sclerectomy or conversion to trabeculectomy [7, 8].

Descemet’s membrane detachment is a less frequent but important intraoperative complication, as it is reported in 1.6–9.1% of cases and potentially leads to a loss of vision (Figure 4) [4, 10, 14–16].
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Figure 3: The incorrect passage of the catheter within the canal.

Figure 4: Haemorrhagic detachment of Descemet’s membrane.

temporal quadrants [16], and it typically arises during the
passage of a microcatheter through Schlemm’s canal. The
direct causes of this complication remain unknown. The
aetiology of the complication is explained by two hypotheses
[16]. According to the first hypothesis, the viscoelastic
material may reach a critical mass in the inferior quadrant
when the microcatheter is administered superiorly in the 12
o’clock position. The resistance of the canal during the
passage of a microcatheter and the stretching of the canal
walls by a viscoelastic material may exceed the durability of
the termination of Descemet’s membrane at Schwalbe’s line,
leading to detachment. According to the second hypothesis,
either the canal may be less resistant in the inferior quad-
rants or some patients may be genetically predisposed to this
complication; the latter appears to be supported by the fact
that certain individuals are present with bilateral Descemet’s
membrane detachment [17]. This complication is postulated
to be more frequent in eyes with adhesions between the
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evacuation of the remaining fluid with the patient's movements during the postoperative period.

Complications are usually observed during the early phase (within the initial 90 days postoperatively) and exhibit a marked decrease in incidence at >90 days postoperatively [6]. The most typical postoperative complication is the collection of blood in the anterior chamber (Figure 5). This complication is observed in 6.1–85.2% of cases involving all single and combined procedures [3, 10, 11, 15, 24]. This complication is normally transient and resolves spontaneously within 7–28 days. Hyphaema (microhyphaema) is defined as a small haemorrhage in the anterior chamber that is composed of liquid blood without clots. The approximate height of a hyphaema is usually 1–2.5 mm. Hyphaemases result from hypotony in the anterior chamber and inherent blood reflux from the episcleral veins to Schlemm's canal and via the eye's porous trabecular meshwork to the anterior chamber. The presence of hyphaema indicates an appropriate tensioning of the canal walls by an inserted thread and the restoration of a physiological outflow system. Therefore, hyphaema may be considered an indirect marker of successful canaloplasty, especially when the appropriate tensioning of a thread during the placement of the suture cannot be determined using any other objective method. Consequently, the absence of a hyphaema can be interpreted as the insufficient tensioning of a suture inside the canal [9]. This hypothesis is supported by the results of previous studies, in which complete surgical success (IOP < 16 mmHg) was achieved in 87% of patients with a hyphaema and in only 21% of individuals without hyphaema [9]. Moreover, patients in the latter group more often required additional postoperative goniopuncture to achieve the target IOP. Paradoxically, larger hyphaemases may be resorbed faster than smaller ones because the former is associated with higher permeability in the trabecular meshwork and better patency in the collector channel system [9]. This situation differs from that of haemorrhages formed during or after trabeculectomy, which occurs at an estimated incidence of 3–43% [7, 9, 24]. Haemorrhages result from an injury to the trabecular meshwork or the iris, as well as a reflux of blood from the conjunctival vessels, and may impair vision. Consequently, the bleeding may be more severe and persistent, may tend to recur, and can cause permanent corneal oedema [7, 9].

Although corneal oedema occurs slightly more frequently after phacoemulsiﬁcation than after canaloplasty, it resolves within 7 days without persistent visual impairment, irrespective of the type of surgery [6]. Inflammation of the anterior chamber, which manifests as a clouding of the chamber fluid, occurs more frequently after combined surgeries. This effect is observed within 24 hours postoperatively and usually resolves within 7 days following the administration of topical corticosteroids.

Some patients (1.6–18.2%) may exhibit a transient increase in the IOP of up to >30 mmHg, probably due to the presence of viscoelastic material in the anterior chamber after phacoemulsiﬁcation or viscoelastic penetration through the wall of Schlemm's canal to the chamber lumen after canaloplasty [12]. In 8.3–18.8% of cases and particularly in those involving single procedures, the increase in IOP may persist for longer. Laser goniopuncture is an efficient treatment option in such cases. This adjunct treatment is required in 4.7–27.3% of cases. An Nd: YAG laser goniopuncture should include 15 impulses of 2–4 J each, which are targeted on the trabeculodescent membrane. A small fraction of patients may require repeated goniopuncture [3], and a trabeculectomy or a seton procedure may be considered in such cases.

Some studies involving a long-term follow-up period documented the formation of cataracts after canaloplasty in phakic eyes, although the data on this topic are inconclusive [3, 12]. The incidence of this complication is estimated to range from 12.7% to 19.1% [3, 10] and is lower than the incidence after trabeculectomy (24–47%). According to Wang et al., the mean elapsed time between canaloplasty and phacoemulsiﬁcation in patients with signiﬁcant progression of cataract is 24 months. Although phacoemulsiﬁcation impairs the hypotensive effect of a successful trabeculectomy [25], canaloplasty does not result in a long-term increase in the pressure but rather causes a further slight reduction in the pressure [6].

Although canaloplasty is by default a filtering bleb-independent procedure, the presence of the latter is reported in 2.5–10% of cases, especially after the performance of combined surgeries (Figure 6) [3, 6].

To date, no cases of endophthalmitis attributable to canaloplasty have been reported. In contrast, the incidence of endophthalmitis after trabeculectomy reached up to 9.6% and increases by 2.5% per patient-year with the addition of mitomycin C [12]. Hypotony is a very rare complication of canaloplasty observed in 0.6% of cases. In contrast, trabeculectomy-related hypotony is markedly more frequent, affecting between 13.8% [26] and 43% of patients [27]. Other rarely reported complications of canaloplasty include a late extrusion of the intracanal suture through the trabecular meshwork to the anterior chamber, the extrusion of sutures closing the scleral flap, choroidal exudate, bleeding from the wound, and anterior adhesions at the area of the trabeculodescent window [8, 9]. Severe complications, such as choroidal detachment, suprachoroidal haemorrhage, inﬂammation of a filtering bleb, endophthalmitis, a shallow anterior chamber, or malignant glaucoma, have not been reported in patients subjected to canaloplasty.
3. Conclusions

Novel microsurgical techniques for the treatment of glaucoma are unlikely to replace the conventional methods. Rather, these techniques offer new alternatives, especially for patients who have an early indication for surgical intervention. Canaloplasty is a relatively new procedure, and its long-term (i.e., 20–30 years) effectiveness and complications remain unknown. Accordingly, we think that this procedure should be the focus of further research. This review does not address the modifications of the standard canaloplasty, such as minicanaloplasty or canaloplasty \textit{ab interno}, as these topics are the subject of another paper by the authors [2]. Nevertheless, canaloplasty is associated with the expectations of an efficient, safe, and modern surgical treatment.
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