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In flotation process, the efficiency and selectivity depend on mineralogy, particle size distribution and liberation, reagents added, mixing, and particle coverage. However, the kinetics of particle recovery is highly dependent on cell hydrodynamic and circuit configuration and operational strategy. Controlling froth depth and gas flow rate, measured as superficial gas velocity, is a straightforward alternative related to kinetics in the froth and collection zones. However, these parameters are not measured accurately. Froth depth measurement is based on a floating device coupled with a sonic sensor; this configuration presents hysteresis and deviation due to variation in the gas holdup and pulp density. In self-aspirated machines, there is no technology to measure gas velocity. To address this problem, the intelligent online gas dispersion sensor based on two concentric HDPE cylinders is proposed. The intelligent online gas dispersion sensor is based on two concentric HDPE cylinders. The methodology improves the accuracy of gas velocity calculation with a new algorithm. Froth depth measurement is based on two pressure transducers, reducing the uncertainty of the floating sonic sensor to 1 cm. Pulp bulk density is directly measured, and gas holdup can be estimated. Experimental results and industrial device validation indicate that the new intelligent system can measure superficial gas velocity (Jg) online and self-calibrate, with a 2% error, the froth depth error being ±1 cm. Therefore, a multiparameter sensor for measuring gas dispersion in industrial flotation cells was experimentally designed and validated in an industrial environment (TRL 8). In this context, the proposed online gas dispersion sensor emerges as a robust technology to improve the operation of the flotation process.

1. Introduction

The flotation process is widely used to concentrate valuable mineral particles from a mixture of gangue and minerals. The concentration process collects hydrophobic particles by ascending gas bubbles [1]. This process has various interactions between elements that affect the efficiency of the process; factors such as chemical (frothers and collectors), physical (particle size and percentage of solids), type of machine, and circuit in operation are essential to overall efficiency. That is why the information collected from the multiple factors mentioned is vital in decision-making and critical to the engineering and metallurgical industry [2]. The gas bubbles collect and transport the hydrophobic mineral particles to the top of the flotation cell, forming a mineral-concentrated froth [3]. The process efficiency and kinetics depend on the hydrodynamic of particles and bubbles in the cell. It is possible to find different types of flotation machine arrangements, where there are series circuits or multiple stages [4].

In industrial flotation machines, liberation and hydrophobicity are principal parameters to control the efficiency and effectiveness of the flotation process; by adding some specific reagents called “collectors,” the operator manages to selectively modify the surface properties of the mineral, making some species more hydrophobic and separating them from the other more hydrophilic species. However,
the gas flow rate and the froth depth are commonly measured and controlled to increase the concentration process efficiency [5–9]. The froth recovery influences the grade of concentrate and the overall recovery [10]. The performance of this phase is usually measured in terms of froth recovery, water recovery, and recovery of entrained minerals [11]. A clear relationship between froth stability and process performance has been shown in previous works [12]. In some plants, the discharge froth velocity is also measured by imaging systems to ensure a net mass concentrate pull; however, this strategy is not related to the metallurgical performance of the cell. The gas flow rate is measured as the total volume dispersed in the cell (average), which in large flotation units is not evenly distributed. Therefore, the rise bubble velocity cannot be determined, even though it is a key parameter to control the kinetic constant of the flotation process [13]. This parameter has multiple ways to be calculated, such as estimating the correlation between gas flow, pulp flow, and percentage of solids [14] or inserting a cylinder filled with water under the froth interface [15]. The froth depth has been measured by a device that is a combination of a float and an ultrasonic sensor, which is usually uncalibrated or has a measurement bias due to froth build-up and hysteresis. The froth build-up accumulates in the floating device, changing its buoyancy, causing measurement deviations, and reducing its reliability [16, 17]. These deviations might imply 5–15% variations in recovery [18]. Given the current limitation, the flotation operation provides a stabilizing control only and, therefore, the current sensors do not contribute to controlling operation. Another method of measuring froth depth is conductivity [19]. Among the problems present are the probes used, which require constant maintenance due to direct contact with the pulp and its contamination [20].

For optimizing the flotation operation, the gas flow rate measurements require measuring gas dispersion parameters (gas holdup, surface area flux, gas velocity, froth depth, and bubble size), directly related to flotation kinetics [21]. There are different methods to measure gas velocity [22]. McGill University developed and introduced gas dispersion sensors and a hydrodynamic characterization methodology [23]. Gas dispersion analysis in flotation columns uses computational modeling [24–26].

Gas dispersion measurements in industrial flotation cells have been conducted in various cell types in the five continents by McGill University’s research and development team and associated companies Cough Technologies and Nesseth. This allowed establishing the design criteria and requirements to automate the gas dispersion sensors and estimate the surface area flux and gas holdup [27, 28].

This study consists of the development, construction, implementation, and validation of an industrial prototype device for the online measurement of superficial gas velocity (Ig), pulp bulk density (RB), the volumetric fraction (Eg), and froth depth (Hf) in a flotation column. The development considers specific algorithms to automatically measure and self-calibrate gas velocity, froth depth, and pulp bulk density. Also, algorithms allow the estimation of the gas holdup, Sauter diameter, and surface area flux, the latter being a key parameter to control the kinetic process for collecting clues in the flotation machine collection zone [29].

The scope of this work is the industrial-scale validation of the designed sensor. Currently, the sensor has been implemented at the Las Tórtolas plant (Anglo American), and there are requests for 8 sensors for Escondida (BH Billiton) and Sierra Gorda (KGHM International).

This study is based on designing a device that allows improving the current sensors that present problems of hysteresis, out of calibrated, self-cleaning, low maintenance cost, online reading of variables that are not measured in self-aspirated cells (Jg and Eg). The developed software allows to close control loops independently or through the distributed control system of the plant. The materials and methods used for the validation and the results obtained in the three stages considered are described.

2. Materials and Methodology

The sensor uses a 50 mm–100 mm double concentric tube in the flotation cell being gas sampled. Additional to pressure measurement, there is a continuous air measurement at the tube inlet using a mass flowmeter on the top of the sampling tube. In this way, the superficial gas velocity is measured directly and continuously. It is also possible to automate the external velocity estimation (Ig), apparent density in the collection zone, gas holdup, and froth depth by using an algorithm developed.

Validation was carried out through three stages, the first was carried out at the laboratory level in a 1.2 m³ pilot unit to ensure the correct functioning of the device. Subsequently, the sensor was installed in an industrial self-aspirated cell and validation was performed. Finally, the sensor was installed in a cell of the Tórtolas plant where it is currently operating.

2.1. Prototype Description and Design. The prototype design considers the sampling tube, a wet cabinet, and a data collection system. McGill sensor is based on the double tube and measures gas velocity by accumulation. This updated version measures the gas velocity with two concentric tubes and a mass flowmeter (calibrated by accumulation).

On the other hand, froth depth is calculated from both pressure measurements in steady state (both valves closed). The main contribution is the fully automatic McGill version. The device designed has a self-cleaning system supported by
air yet pulsed after each measurement. Otherwise, device has built-in a self-calibration for \( J_g \) in order to minimize errors.

2.1.1. Sampling Tube. A concentric tube design is proposed for the sampling tube to facilitate industrial installation, as
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**Table 1: Wet cabinet components.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure sensor</td>
<td>Model: Wika S-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range: 0–4 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output: 4–20 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solenoid valve</td>
<td>Model: SMC, VT307E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 (VDC) coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air control valve</td>
<td>Model: SMC, VEX1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operation: 0.05–0.9 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP transducer</td>
<td>Control Air Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model: type 500-AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowmeter</td>
<td>Model: SMC, PFM7201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range: 25 L/min and 200 L/min (SLPM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output: 4–20 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure regulator</td>
<td>Model: Foxboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type 67 F, R28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Data acquisition system components.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>Opto 22 Snap Pac R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels</td>
<td>Input analog AIMA 4 (4–20 mA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>MW, MDR-40 series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>SLP-PPC-10AW-N2930-H-2LAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>Super Logics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.1. Sampling Tube. A concentric tube design is proposed for the sampling tube to facilitate industrial installation, as
shown in Figure 1. The structure is put into the flotation cell and consists of pressure chambers formed of 50 mm–100 mm lines concentrically installed. These tubes are connected to the wet cabinet by two air tubings which send pressure signals to two pressure transductors and the mass airflow sensor.

A cone at the end of the inner tube increases the diameter from 50 mm to 100 mm, as shown in Figure 1. Its objective is to improve bubble capture when filling the air chamber; however, it may produce a measurement bias since its function as a channel may include, exclude, or divide the bubbles inside. Experimentally, this component tends to accelerate the air bubbles due to diameter reduction, causing the liquid to be dragged to the upper part of the tube. In practice, this device is removed because of its difficulties and has become a relevant case study for new developments not addressed in this study.

The dimensions used are based on the McGill prototype, which defines a 50 cm difference in height between the tubes [23]. The total length was experimentally defined at a lab scale. The diameters were determined via campaigns to measure the equipment developed at McGill University [23, 30]. PVC material is used in lab tests because of its manufacturing, availability, and strength feasibility.

2.1.2. Wet Cabinet: Pressure and Flow Sensors. The wet (hydraulic) cabinet holds the sensors, the receptor of the input signals coming from the concentric tube, and the output of electric signals going to the electronic cabinet, which becomes a bridge between the systems. This component is designed to improve electronics compared with the McGill prototype.

As shown in Figure 2, the wet cabinet consists of two piezoelectric pressure transducers (P101 and P102) selected for their low response time; an air mass flowmeter (F101) for Ig continuous measurement through the sampling tube;
a mass flowmeter to measure and control air injection (FIC102) in lab tests and air control regulation valve (FICV-102) and its corresponding I/P transducer with a calibration manometer (PI103); a pressure regulator (VI100) for adjusting the input air in lab tests; and a solenoid valve (VI101) to control the sensor filling cycles. Table 1 shows the technical specifications of the equipment.

2.1.3. Data Acquisition Design and Implementation. The data acquisition system (electronic cabinet) holds the central controller (16-bit resolution), an operation and registration computer, and a touch screen with HMI and data visualization. This component receives the signals from the sensors located in the hydraulic cabinet, controlling calibration and calculating the operation variables. All the details can be seen and operating with HMI by the user.

Due to the technology used, consisting of multiple input and output channels, analogue and digital, it is possible to make continuous measurements in many points of a flotation machine. Table 2 shows the data acquisition system components.

Since the original sensor measures pressures at two points in the cell, a pressure balance can be applied to determine the froth depth, which is a function of the two forces, bulk density, gas holdup, and an estimated factor for the gas holdup ratio in the collection and froth zone (i.e., 0.2).

This technology can be connected to a distributed control system. The hardware is based on Opto 22 “ultimate I/O” units to integrate the gas dispersion measurements (5 parameters) into a DCS system or local controllers, as shown in Figure 3. Groov is specific hardware to interconnect our interface with mobile devices with an industrial standard.

2.2. Jg Estimation Methodology Based on the Gas Accumulation. McGill sensor technology allows using superficial gas velocity measurement techniques for applying new prototypes and redesigns. This principle is based on gas accumulation inside the pressure tubes. Using material balance and taking as a reference, the diagram is shown in Figure 4; Equation (1) can be obtained.

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{\rho_0 H_1}{\rho_0 (P_{\text{atm}} + \rho_b (H_1 - H_0))} \frac{dp}{dt}.
\]

Equation (1) [23] shows the pressure between the pressure accumulated in the tube and the superficial gas velocity from the hydrostatic balance. Therefore, the hydrostatic equilibrium can be used to estimate another gas dispersion parameter, i.e., the froth layer thickness.

2.3. HF Estimation from McGill Sensor. The most common method for determining froth height is pressure, that is, using a pressure sensor installed in the flotation machine.
For this reason, by utilizing pressure sensors from the McGill prototype and under hydrostatic balance (air-filled tubes), the froth layer thickness can be estimated by using the same system that currently measures superficial gas velocity. Thus, this estimation can be analyzed, as shown in Figure 5.

Based on Figure 4, the following relationships may be determined from hydrostatics:

\[
HF = \frac{H \rho_c g - \rho}{(\rho_b - \rho_c) g},
\]

where \( \rho_b \) and \( \rho_c \) are aerated pulp density and froth density, respectively, and \( g \) is gravity acceleration. The aerated pulp density can be determined by dividing the pressure difference by the tube length difference. Additionally, the froth layer density can be expressed as [30]

\[
\rho_f = \rho_b \left(1 - \varepsilon_{gf}\right) + \rho_{bubble} \varepsilon_{gf},
\]

where \( \rho_b \) is the pulp density in the froth zone, \( \rho_{bubble} \) is the bubble density, \( \varepsilon_{gf} \) is the gas holdup in the froth zone, and \( \varepsilon_{gc} \) is the gas holdup in the collection zone. The froth layer density decomposition (Equation (3)) shows that it is difficult to determine some parameters, mainly the volumetric gas fraction and bubble density, which vary from one process to another. To simplify this equation, the term involving the product of \( \rho_b \) and \( \varepsilon_{gf} \) is neglected, since pulp density in the collection has an excellent more outstanding magnitude. In the same way, the pulp density in the collection zone can be assimilated to the pulp density in the froth zone, given the
number of solids. Therefore, a heuristic relationship can be established as follows:

\[
\frac{1 - \varepsilon_{g}}{1 - \varepsilon_{g}} \sim \alpha,
\]

where in practice, parameter \(\alpha = 0.2\). So, it is possible to estimate the holdup in the froth zone. In addition, this parameter can be adjusted by using calibration \(\varepsilon_{g}\) contrast measurement.

The holdup parameter sensitivity in the froth zone and how it affects froth height determination are analyzed. To do this, real data from one operation is used. As shown in Figure 6, the conditions are as follows: 1033 cmH2O atmospheric pressure, 156 cm (H1) sensor length, 80 cm (H0) height from the top of the sensor to the inlet, 50 cm (Hbd) difference between tubes, P1 56.81 cmH2O long tube pressure, P2 7.38 cmH2O short tube pressure, 1.26 g/cm³ pulp density, and 6.7 cm real HF froth height.

Using Equations (1)–(4), with the parameters described in the previous paragraph, the values presented in the previous figure can be obtained. Figure 7 shows the dynamic pressure behavior of the device.

According to the above, the real holdup is adjusted, and the effect of the parameter deviation on the froth layer thickness estimation is analyzed. The changes are made by \(\pm 12.5\%\) of the delay, thus resulting in a variety of parameters \(\alpha\).

Since holdup is relevant for estimating the froth layer thickness, adjusting parameter \(\alpha\) using calibration \(\varepsilon_{g}\) allows to obtain the corrected variable. This analysis meets a particular condition. It illustrates estimation-related problems because the parameters must be adjusted to a specific reference, considering the flotation process variability or adding a third pressure measurement point located in the froth zone [31–36].

2.4. Experimental Validation in a 1.2 m³ Pilot Cell. Failure detection and air distribution inside an industrial flotation cell are factors that must be considered because the sensor measurement at a certain point allows locating sensors at different points to detect air distribution failures; other consideration about this validation was to implement an auto calibration system of Jg using air flowrate sensor (in industrial self-aspirated cells, this variable is not possible to measure). Then, an experiment is conducted in a 1.2 m³ pilot cell, sampling being made in three points on two external gas flow conditions. This analysis does not consider froth layer thickness measurement due to the conditions and the lack of layer produced in the pilot cell. Considering the area available (Figure 8), it is possible to move to several cell points to obtain a gas distribution profile.

As shown in Figure 8, the point of origin (0, 0) is located at the bottom left corner of the cell. The cell dimensions are 57 × 118 cm. In these experiments, a floating solid was added, i.e., 0.4% p/p glass microspheres. Figures 9 and 10 show the operation with this aggregate. This solid was added to help stabilize the froth layer inside the flotation cell and generate a triphasic (solid, liquid, and gaseous) system. The frothing agent used was 9 ppm AEROFROTH 65.

The injector used for the pilot cell is a 90–250 μm micro-perforated hose, with 6,000 holes/m and 10 m long. The hose was mounted on acrylic support connected to the cell bottom. This configuration is expected to cover most of the equipment area and improve air distribution. The air injector moves the bubbles (swarm), which are visible at first.
sight, toward the left part of the pilot cell and accumulates them there.

2.5. Plant Validation. The sensor was installed in the rougher circuit of the first Wemco 300 cell, in which chalcopyrite and chalcocite are principal minerals. This cell is self-aspirating and has an almost 8.5 m³ total capacity. Figure 11 shows the cell measurement points. These allow studying the spatial distribution of the gas dispersion variables. The sensor height is changed at the three measurement points, i.e., an H0 variation is made at 90, 80, and 70 cm. In this way, the sensitivity and density effects on the aerated pulp are assessed. The superficial gas velocity is measured by analyzing its behavior and profile. In addition, the measurement of
the froth layer thickness is evaluated by comparing the measured with a float, which is put into the cell and hydraulically remains in the pulp-froth interphase.

During operation, the operator keeps a sample of the pulp. This parameter is measured with a Marcy direct-reading balance while feeding the conditioning tank. For calculations, the 1.26 g/cm³ frequently measured operational density reported by the operator is considered.

The sensor is installed by fixing it with a metal beam, as shown in Figure 12. This beam is soldered to the upper part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Nr.</th>
<th>Froth depth (cm)</th>
<th>Airflow rate (LPM)</th>
<th>Replica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Experimental tests.**

**Figure 14: Gas velocity validation in pilot plant.**
of the cell. It has holes that let the sensor move only horizontally and vertically by using a plastic clamp that fixes it to the tube.

Figure 13 shows a real test in flotation cells in Las Tórtolas, Chile.

3. Results and Discussion

An experimental design for the different experiences is shown in Table 3, where the measurements of the gas dispersion parameters are analyzed, i.e., superficial gas velocity, froth depth, bulk density, and gas holdup. The measurements obtained with the intelligent sensor are compared with the parameters estimated theoretically. The experimental tests were conducted at two different froth depths—35 and 25 cm—and at three different airflow rates (Q)—5, 10, and 15 LPM—with 3 replicas, as shown in Table 3.

The results of the Jg validation (Figure 14) at the lab show a ±0.3 cm/s standard deviation in error, while the validation in a 1.2 m³ cell shows a ±0.07 cm/s standard deviation in error. For in-plant validation in Wemco 300 industrial cells (12-hour shifts), froth layer, gas velocity, and pulp density measurements were made. The error in the froth layer was ±0.44 cm. Gas flow shows a parabolic profile of gas velocity (Jg) in a 0.56-1.3 cm/s range, consistent with larger-scale cells in the literature.

The validation of the system, comparing both values of Hf (calculated and measured), is shown in Figure 15.

The developed device allows online measurement of the hydrodynamic variables proposed in this study. The sensor is in the process of being patented in Chile, Peru, the USA, Australia, Canada, and Mexico. This is given the contribution to the flotation process through the measurement of the hydrodynamic variables that allow the operator to correctly adjust (without hysteresis) the froth depth control loops and the obtaining of the parameters that allow estimating the flotation kinetics (Jg), in order to improve the grade curve and recovery of the process.

4. Conclusions and Future Work

The intelligent online gas dispersion sensor was developed based on two concentric HDPE cylinders with independently isolated pressure connectors.

The plant validation of the measurement technique based on accumulation was conducted with a reference mass flowmeter directly connected to the accumulation tube.

The validations show that the instrument can systematically and continuously infer the values of three gas dispersion, with a 15% maximum measurement error for Jg and 5% for Hf in the operating ranges (0.5-2.5 cm/s and 0-9 cm), respectively. Finally, for future work, the addition of a self-calibration system using Jg and Hf contrast with manual measurement is recommended for the commercial prototype to improve measurement robustness. Similarly, the dimensions of the sampling tubes should be studied, considering the advantages and disadvantages of error propagation in Jg and Hf estimation.
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