

Review Article

Genetic Polymorphisms of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases and Susceptibility to Antituberculosis Drug-Induced Liver Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Xinyu Chen, Zhuolu Hao, Nannan Wang, Jia Zhu, Honggang Yi, and Shaowen Tang 💿

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shaowen Tang; tomswen@njmu.edu.cn

Received 9 November 2022; Revised 27 September 2023; Accepted 3 October 2023; Published 12 October 2023

Academic Editor: Qin-Xiu Zhang

Copyright © 2023 Xinyu Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Aim. UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) play an important role in drug metabolism and detoxification by catalyzing the glucuronidation reaction, which is associated with the occurrence of antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury (AT-DILI). The relationship between UGTs polymorphisms and AT-DILI risk has been reported but with inconsistent results. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to summarize the previous study results and evaluate the precise relationships. Methods. The PRISMA statement was strictly followed, and the protocol was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42022339317). The PICOS framework was used: patients received antituberculosis treatment, UGTs polymorphisms (mutants), UGTs polymorphisms (wild), AT-DILI, and case-control studies. Eligible studies were searched through nine databases up to April 27, 2022. The study's qualities were assessed by the revised Little's recommendations. Meta-analysis was conducted with a random-effects model using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) as the effect size. Results. Twelve case-control studies with 2128 cases and 4338 controls were included, and 32 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the seven UGT genes have been reported in Chinese and Korean. All studies were judged as high quality. The pooled results indicated that UGT1A1 rs3755319 (AC vs. AA, OR = 1.454, 95% CI: 1.100-1.921, P = 0.009), UGT2B7 rs7662029 (G vs. A, OR = 1.547, 95% CI: 1.249-1.917, P < 0.0001; GG + AG vs. AA, OR = 2.371, 95% CI: 1.779-3.160, P < 0.0001; AG vs. AA, OR = 2.686, 95% CI: 1.988-3.627, P < 0.0001), and UGT2B7 rs7439366 (C vs. T, OR = 0.585, 95% CI: 0.477-0.717, P < 0.0001; CC + TC vs. TT, OR = 0.347, 95% CI: 0.238-0.506, P < 0.0001; CC vs. TC + TT, OR = 0.675, 95% CI: 0.507–0.898, P = 0.007) might be associated with the risk of AT-DILI. Conclusions. The polymorphisms of UGT1A1 rs3755319, UGT2B7 rs7662029, and UGT2B7 rs7439366 were significantly associated with AT-DILI susceptibility. However, this conclusion should be interpreted with caution due to the low number of studies and the relatively small sample size.

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic communicable disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that contributes to high morbidity and mortality worldwide. In 2021, an estimated 10.6 million people fell ill with TB worldwide [1]. TB is preventable and, in most cases, treatable. At least 85% of drug-susceptible TB patients are successfully treated [2]. However, anti-TB therapy is known to have a hepatotoxicity effect, and anti-TB drug-induced liver injury (DILI) (AT-DILI) has been a long-standing concern in the treatment of TB infection [3]. The reported incidence of AT-DILI varies widely from 2% to 28% in different countries, depending on the investigators' definition of DILI as well as the population being studied [4]. A significant upward trend in AT-DILI incidence was observed from 1999 to 2020 [5]. The clinical spectrum of AT-DILI includes asymptomatic elevation in liver tests to acute hepatitis and acute liver failure [6]. Prompt withdrawal of the anti-TB drugs is the most critical intervention in the management of AT-DILI [4], which could lead to treatment interruption and poor treatment outcomes [7]. Therefore, reducing the occurrence of AT-DILI is crucial for the control of TB.

Until now, the specific mechanisms associated with AT-DILI have been inadequately described. A number of hypotheses on the pathogenesis of AT-DILI have been proposed, such as drug metabolism and transport, immune response, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction [8]. Many previous mechanistic studies have focused on the isoniazid (INH) metabolic pathway. However, rifampin (RIF) has been reported to cause hemolysis due to the production of drug-dependent antibodies [9]. It was believed that hemolysis can generate a larger amount of hemoglobin from destroyed erythrocytes in blood and result in increased levels of free heme [10]. In addition, cotreatment with RIF and INH also causes accumulation of the endogenous hepatotoxin protoporphyrin IX in the liver through the alteration of the heme biosynthesis pathway [11]. The principal product of heme catabolism, bilirubin, is eliminated by a conjugation reaction with glucuronic acid, and the glucuronidation reaction is mediated by uridine diphosphate (UDP)-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) [12]. The UGT family is a phase II enzyme group responsible for the glucuronidation of numerous endobiotics, xenobiotics, and drugs to facilitate their excretion from the body [13]. In humans, 19 functional UGT isoforms comprise two families (UGT1A and UGT2) based on genetic similarity [14]. For example, hydrophobic bilirubin is a toxic product of heme metabolism that can be transformed into hydrophilic bilirubin in the liver through conjugation with uridine diphosphate glucuronic acid under the action of UGT1A1 [15]. UGT1A1 dysfunction may lead to hepatic vulnerability induced by the accumulation of bilirubin in the liver [16]. Thus, the glucuronidation reaction accelerates the elimination of toxic compounds, which plays an important role in the development of AT-DILI.

Human UGT genes have a large number of genetic polymorphisms, which have been confirmed to modulate enzymatic activity or promoter activity [17], further affecting the individual genetic susceptibility to AT-DILI. Recently, a number of studies have investigated the association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in UGTs and the risk of AT-DILI. Among the UGTs, the UGT1A1 gene has been the most extensively studied, but with inconsistent results among different populations [18-21]. For example, the SNP rs4148323 AA genotype of UGT1A1 was found to significantly reduce the risk of AT-DILI in Chinese patients in one study [21], while it was not associated with AT-DILI in Chinese patients in another study [20]. In recent years, the relationships between SNPs in other UGT genes (UGT1A4 [22], UGT2B4 [3], and UGT2B7 [23]) and AT-DILI risk have also been reported. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct a comprehensive systematic review by retrieving all publications reporting the relationship between SNPs in UGT genes and the risk of AT-DILI and clarifying the pooled effects of polymorphisms for AT-DILI. In the present study, we summarize published data to evaluate the relationship between UGT polymorphisms and susceptibility to AT-DILI.

Journal of Tropical Medicine

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. This systematic review and meta-analysis was reported according to the PRISMA guidelines [24] and has been registered on PROSPERO with ID number CRD42022339317. A comprehensive literature search was performed in English databases (PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library) and Chinese databases (CNKI, WANFANG, VIP, and SinoMed) up to April 27, 2022. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms used in the search were "tuberculosis," "antitubercular agents," and "chemical and drug-induced liver injury." Furthermore, the following MeSH synonyms, related terms, and free terms were also included: "antituberculosis," "tuberculosis treatment," "drug-induced liver injury," "drug-induced hepatotoxicity," "uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase," "UDP-glucuronosyltransferase," "UGTs," "uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase," "polymorphism," and "variant." The Boolean operators "and" and "or" were applied to combine these terms. At the same time, the reference lists of selected articles and relevant reviews were manually searched to gather other potentially eligible studies.

2.2. Eligibility Screening. Records identified through all searches were imported into the EndNote X9 software for screening studies, and duplicate records were removed. Two reviewers independently selected the relevant studies meeting the eligibility criteria by titles and abstracts. Full texts were referred to when the above information was inadequate or unspecific for the determination of eligibility. Any disagreement was discussed and resolved by consensus or by consulting a third reviewer.

The studies included in this research met the following eligibility criteria: (1) case-control studies designed to investigate the relationship between UGTs polymorphisms and AT-DILI; (2) all patients received anti-TB treatment, of which the case group had AT-DILI, while the control group did not have AT-DILI; (3) the genotype frequency data could be extracted and analyzed; and (4) the language was restricted to English or Chinese.

The exclusion criteria were the following: (1) conference abstracts, editorials, letters, case reports, reviews, and metaanalyses; (2) sample size for each group of less than 10; and (3) studies with repetitious data (the studies with the most recent or comprehensive data were selected).

2.3. Data Extraction. The following data were extracted from all included studies: (1) basic characteristics: the first author, publication year, and country of origin; (2) study characteristics: study design, sample size, diagnostic criteria of AT-DILI, method of causality assessment, treatment regimens, and genotyping method; (3) population characteristics: sex and mean age of total subjects; and (4) polymorphism results: genotype frequencies in AT-DILI cases and controls or adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) under different genetic models and covariates. The data extraction procedure was also performed independently by two reviewers. If there was any disagreement, it was resolved by a third reviewer. No authors were contacted for further information.

2.4. Quality Assessment. The study qualities were assessed by the revised Little's recommendations [25]. These criteria included seven items: (1) scientific design, (2) definite inclusion of study population, (3) explicit information on study population, (4) explicit diagnostic criteria on AT-DILI, (5) genetic detection method, (6) correct statistical analysis, and (7) logical discussion of study bias. Each item can be rated as "yes" (low risk of bias) or "no" (high risk of bias). One score was awarded if an item was judged as "yes." Scores for all quality criteria were added together for an overall quality score, and a study score >4 was defined as high quality [26].

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The ORs and corresponding 95% CIs were calculated to identify the potential association between susceptibility to AT-DILI and UGTs polymorphisms. The statistical analysis strategies refer to previous literature [27]. Allele models (M vs. W) (W refers to a wild-type allele and M refers to a mutated allele), dominant models (MW + MM vs. WW), recessive models (MM vs. MW+WW), homozygote models (MM vs. WW), and heterozygote models (MW vs. WW) were employed to analyze their associations. The significance of the pooled effect size was determined by the Z test and Mantel-Haenszel random effects model, with P < 0.05 being considered statistically significant. The heterogeneity between studies was quantified by the Cochran Q test and the I^2 statistic ($I^2 < 25\%$, low heterogeneity; $I^2 = 25-50\%$, moderate heterogeneity; and $I^2 > 50\%$, high heterogeneity) [28]. Subgroup analyses were performed by country of origin. Review Manager 5.4 software (Cochrane Collaboration, Nordic Cochrane Centre) was used for this meta-analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Study Identification and Characteristics. The flowchart for the selection of studies is presented in Figure 1. The initial search yielded 78 relevant records from the databases, and 40 records remained after disregarding duplicates. Then, 23 full texts were carefully assessed for eligibility after screening the titles and abstracts. Finally, 12 eligible studies describing the relationship between UGTs polymorphisms and susceptibility to AT-DILI were included in the present study [3, 15, 18-23, 29-32]. A total of 32 SNPs in the seven UGT genes (UGT1A1, UGT1A3, UGT1A4, UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT2B4, and UGT2B7) were reported in 12 studies (including 6466 patients (2128 AT-DILI cases and 4338 controls)). Eleven studies included participants of Chinese ethnicity [3, 15, 19-23, 29-32] and only one study included Korean participants [18]. The main anti-TB treatment regimen was a combination of first-line drugs (INH, RIF, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol with/without streptomycin). Only four studies performed causality assessment of AT-DILI [15, 20-22]. In terms of DILI criteria, 10 studies

used alanine aminotransferase (ALT) > 2 upper limit of normal (ULN) [3, 15, 18–20, 22, 23, 29–31], one used ALT >3 ULN [32], and one used ALT >5 ULN [21]. The primary characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. All studies were judged as high quality, and the average score was 6.4 (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Association of UGT1A1 Polymorphisms with AT-DILI. Among the seven UGT genes, the UGT1A1 gene was the most frequently reported; it was reported in 5 case-control studies [15, 18-21] including 924 cases and 1642 controls and 14 SNPs. All five studies analyzed SNP rs4148323, and the pooled result showed that it was not statistically associated with AT-DILI risk under any genetic model (allele model: A vs. G, OR = 0.983, 95% CI: 0.811–1.191, P = 0.857, Figure 2(a); dominant model: AG + AA vs. GG, OR = 0.989, 95% CI: 0.824–1.188, *P* = 0.909, Figure 2(b); and recessive model: AA vs. AG + GG, OR = 0.775, 95% CI: 0.432-1.391, P = 0.393, Figure 2(c), Table 2). A subgroup analysis of the Chinese population (four studies with 857 cases and 1483 controls) also did not find any association between SNP rs4148323 and AT-DILI risk (Tables 2 and 3, Supplementary Figure 1).

Four SNPs (rs2003569, rs8330, rs4148328, and rs3755319) were reported by two different studies [15, 18, 20, 21]. The pooled result showed that only one SNP (rs3755319) was associated with the risk of AT-DILI (heterozygote model: AC vs. AA, OR = 1.454, 95% CI: 1.100–1.921, P = 0.009, Figure 3 and Supplementary Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5). In addition, only a single Chinese study reported the relationship between nine SNPs (rs887829, rs35350960, rs8175347, rs34946978, rs4148326, rs12479045, rs11563250, rs6719561, and rs4148329) and AT-DILI risk [15, 19, 21] and only SNP rs6719561 was associated with a reduced risk of AT-DILI (heterozygote model: TC vs. TT, OR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.53-0.99, P = 0.04) [15].

3.3. Association of UGT2B7 Polymorphisms with AT-DILI. Three case-control studies with 413 cases and 623 controls focused on the relationships of UGT2B7 polymorphisms with AT-DILI in a Chinese population [23, 31, 32]. Four SNPs (rs7662029, rs7439366, rs10028494, and rs7668282) were reported, and the SNPs rs7662029 and rs7439366 were reported by two different studies [31, 32]. The pooled result showed that SNP rs7662029 was statistically associated with AT-DILI risk (allele model: G vs. A, OR = 1.547, 95% CI: 1.249–1.917, *P* < 0.0001, Figure 4(a); dominant model: GG + AG vs. AA, OR = 2.371, 95% CI: 1.779-3.160, P < 0.0001, Figure 4(b); and heterozygote model: AG vs. AA, OR = 2.686, 95% CI: 1.988-3.627, P < 0.0001, Figure 4(c), Tables 2 and 3). In addition, a significant association was also found between SNP rs7439366 and AT-DILI risk under all genetic models (allele model: C vs. T, OR = 0.585, 95% CI: 0.477-0.717, P < 0.0001, Figure 5(a); dominant model: CC+TC vs. TT, OR=0.347, 95% CI: 0.238-0.506, P < 0.0001, Figure 5(b); and recessive model: CC vs. TC + TT, OR = 0.675, 95% CI: 0.507-0.898, P = 0.007,



FIGURE 1: PRISMA flowchart for literature search. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; UGTs, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases; DILI, drug-induced liver injury.

Figure 5(c), Tables 2 and 3). Sensitivity analysis confirmed these significant relationships after excluding the low-quality study. Another two SNPs (rs10028494 and rs7668282) reported in a single study were not significantly associated with AT-DILI risk [23].

3.4. Associations of Other Genes in the UGT Family with AT-DILI. Five other genes in the UGT family with 14 SNPs (UGT1A3: rs2008584 and rs6431625; UGT1A4: rs2011404; UGT1A6: rs6759892, 308C/A, and rs2070959; UGT1A7: rs17868323, rs17868324, and rs11692021; and UGT2B4: rs1131878, rs1966151, rs28361541, rs4557343, and rs79407331) were reported in five studies among Korean [18] or Chinese patients [3, 22, 29, 30]. Seven SNPs were associated with AT-DILI risk in Chinese anti-TB treatment patients. For example, patients carrying the CC genotype of rs2011404 in UGT1A4 were at a reduced risk of moderate or severe liver injury (OR = 0.293, 95% CI: 0.093-0.921, P = 0.036) [22]. Three SNPs in UGT1A6 were found to be associated with AT-DILI risk under the additive model (rs6759892: OR = 2.275, 95% CI: 1.492-3.470, P < 0.001; 308C/A: OR = 3.399, 95% CI: 2.185-5.287, P < 0.001; and rs2070959: OR = 2.342, 95% CI: 1.493–3.675, *P* < 0.001) [30]. Another three SNPs in the UGT1A7 gene were also significantly associated with AT-DILI risk under the additive

model (rs17868323: OR = 1.747, 95% CI: 1.177–2.592, P = 0.006; rs17868324: OR = 2.391, 95% CI: 1.597–3.579, P < 0.001; and rs11692021: OR = 2.383, 95% CI: 1.523–3.729, P < 0.001) [29].

4. Discussion

The present study aimed to verify whether current evidence supports the relationship between UGTs polymorphisms and AT-DILI risk. Our meta-analysis included 12 casecontrol studies involving 32 SNPs in the seven UGT genes. Based on two original studies, the pooled results indicated that UGT1A1 rs3755319 (heterozygote model) might be associated with AT-DILI risk. In addition, UGT2B7 rs7662029 (allele model, dominant model, and heterozygote model) and rs7439366 (allele model, dominant model, and recessive model) were also statistically associated with AT-DILI risk. Therefore, genetic variants in UGT1A1 and UGT2B7 may have relationships with susceptibility to AT-DILI; thus, they have potential for use as biomarkers in the anti-TB treatment population.

However, the SNP rs4148323, which is the most studied SNP to date, was found to have no significant association with AT-DILI risk under any genetic model. Subgroup analysis also obtained similar negative results in Chinese patients (Figure 2, Tables 2 and 3). Further analysis found

Countries	Study design	Male (%)	Mean age (case/control)	Sample size (cases/controls)	Causanty assessment	criteria	SNPs	Genotyping methods	Adjusted covariates	regimen used
	Matched case-control study ^a	65.5	42.1/42.8	67/159	NR	>2 ULN	rs3755319, rs2003569, rs4148323 rs4148323	SNP-IT	Age, sex, baseline serum AST and ALT	HRZE
	Case-control study	67.3	60.4/53.4	17/81	NR	>2 ULN	rs35350960, rs8175347, rs34946978	PCR-RFLP	NR	HRZE
	1:4 matched case-control study ^b	73.0	43.7/43.6	89/356	WHO-UMC	>2 ULN	rs4148323, rs8330	TaqMan	Weight, hepatoprotectant use	HRZE(S)
	1:1 matched case-control study ^a	61.5	38.2/38.9	461/466	RUCAM	>5 ULN	rs4148323, rs4148326, rs4148328, rs12479045	SNPscan	NR	HRZE
	1:2 matched case-control study ^b	75.5	47.5/47.5	290/580	RUCAM	>2 ULN	rs887829, rs8330, rs3755319, rs4148328, rs11563250, rs4148323, rs4148323, rs6719561, rs4148329	Sequenom MassARRAY	Weight, hepatoprotectant use	HRZE(S)
	Matched case-control studv ^a	65.5	42.1/42.8	67/159	NR	>2 ULN	rs2008584, rs6431625	SNP-IT	Age, sex, baseline serum AST and ALT	HRZE
	1:4 matched case-control study ^c	72.3	49.4/50.3	202/808	RUCAM	>2 ULN	rs2011404	Sequenom Mass array	Smoking, drinking, hepatoprotectant use, liver diseases	HRZE(S)
	Case-control study	80.0	47.1/45.0	202/239	NR	>2 ULN	rs6759892, 308C/A, rs2070959	PCR-RFLP	Smoking, drinking	2HRZS(E)/4HR
	Case-control study	80.0	47.1/45.0	202/239	NR	>2 ULN	rs17868323, rs17868324, rs11692021	PCR-RFLP	Smoking, drinking	2HRZS(E)/4HR
	Case-control study	59.6	49.4/50.3	118/628	NR	>2 ULN	rs1131878, rs1966151, rs28361541, rs4557343,	iMLDR	NR	2HRZE/4HR
	Case-control study	70.7	46.7/44.8	182/193	NR	>2 ULN	rs7662029, rs7439366	PCR-RFLP	Smoking, drinking	NR
	1:1 matched case-control studv ^d	NR	NR	207/207	NR	>3 ULN	rs7662029, rs7439366	PCR-RFLP	NR	2HRZS(E)/4HR
	Case-control study	39.7	32.8/38.9	24/223	NR	>2 ULN	rs10028494, rs7668282	Sequenom Mass array	Age, sex, BMI, smoking	2HRZE/4HR

Study or Subgroup	AT-D Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year		C M-H, R	dds Ratic andom, 9	95% CI	
Kim 2009	31	132	69	310	12.9	1.07 [0.66, 1.74]	2009					
Chang 2012	13	34	46	162	5.7	1.56 [0.72, 3.38]	2012		-			_
Chen 2016	28	178	108	708	14.3	1.04 [0.66, 1.63]	2016		_		_	
Sun 2017	175	922	213	932	35.9	0.79 [0.63, 0.99]	2017					
Tao 2018	110	580	205	1160	31.2	1.09 [0.84, 1.41]	2018					
Total (95% CI)		1846		3272	100.0	0.98 [0.81, 1.19]				\bullet		
Total events	357		641							1		
Heterogeneity: tau ² =	0.01; chi ²	= 5.64,	df = 4 (P = 0.23	$(3); I^2 = 29\%$	ó			1			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.18	(P = 0.8)	36)					0.2	0.5	1	2	5
			,					Fa	vours (AT-D	ILI) Favo	ours (contr	ol)

						(a)					
Study or Subgroup	AT-I Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year		Odds M-H, Rand	Ratio om, 95% CI	
Kim 2009	26	66	59	155	9.3	1.06 [0.59, 1.91]	2009			-	
Chang 2012	9	17	35	81	3.0	1.48 [0.52, 4.22]	2012				
Chen 2016	27	89	98	354	12.4	1.14 [0.68, 1.89]	2016				
Sun 2017	167	461	193	466	41.4	0.80 [0.62, 1.05]	2017			F	
Tao 2018	103	290	188	580	33.9	1.15 [0.85, 1.55]	2018		-		
Total (95% CI)		923		1636	100.0	0.99 [0.82, 1.19]					
Total events	332		573								
Heterogeneity: tau ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.00; chi ² t: $Z = 0.11$	P = 4.24, (P = 0.9)	, <i>df</i> = 4 (. 91)	P = 0.37	7); $I^2 = 6\%$			0.2	0.5	1 2	5
									Favours (AT-DILI)	Favours (co	ntrol)

						(b)						
Study or Subgroup	AT-D Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year		Odd M-H, Ran	s Ratio dom, 95%	CI	
Kim 2009	5	66	10	155	20.0	1.19 [0.39, 3.62]	2009					
Chang 2012	4	17	11	81	16.1	1.96 [0.54, 7.10]	2012					
Chen 2016	1	89	10	354	7.2	0.39 [0.05, 3.09]	2016					
Sun 2017	8	461	20	466	29.5	0.39 [0.17, 0.90]	2017		<u> </u>	-		
Tao 2018	7	290	17	580	27.1	0.82 [0.34, 2.00]	2018					
Total (95% CI)		923		1636	100.0	0.78 [0.43, 1.39]						
Total events	25		68						-			
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 =$	= 0.12; chi ²	= 5.55,	df = 4(1)	P = 0.23	3); $I^2 = 28\%$, D		_	1			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.85	(P = 0.4)	40)		,,			0.05	0.2	1	5	20
			*					Favo	urs (AT-DILI)	Favou	rs (cont	rol)

FIGURE 2: Forest plot of the relation between UGT1A1 SNP rs4148323 and AT-DILI risk with the random effects model. (a) Allele model. (b) Dominant model. (c) Recessive model. UGT1A1, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 1A1; AT-DILI, antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury.

(c)

Carra	Country	CND-	C	Hete	erogeneity (test	Overall effect	
Genes	Country	SINPS	Study numbers	χ^2	Р	I^2	OR (95% CI)	Р
	All	rs4148323 (G>A)	5	5.64	0.228	29	0.983 (0.811-1.191)	0.857
	All	rs2003569 (G>A)	2	0.00	0.993	0	0.989 (0.784-1.247)	0.923
	All	rs8330 (C > G)	2	0.44	0.506	0	0.852 (0.681-1.065)	0.159
UGIIAI	All	rs4148328 (T > C)	2	5.66	0.017	82	0.988 (0.711-1.375)	0.945
	All	rs3755319 (A > C)	2	0.66	0.415	0	1.044 (0.852-1.280)	0.677
	China	rs4148323 (G>A)	4	5.40	0.145	44	0.982 (0.777-1.242)	0.881
UCT2P7	China	rs7662029 (A > G)	2	0.01	0.938	0	1.547 (1.249-1.917)	< 0.001
UG12B/	China	rs7439366 (T > C)	2	0.23	0.632	0	0.585 (0.477-0.717)	< 0.001

UGT1A1, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1; UGT2B7, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B7; AT-DILI, antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

	model	verall effect	5% CI) P	017 -1.216) 0.852	956 1.269) 0.756	825 -1.104) 0.196	966 i–1.361) 0.843	$ \begin{array}{c} 454 \\ -1.921 \end{array} $ 0.009	$\begin{array}{c} 017\\ -1.227 \end{array} 0.862 \end{array}$	686 -3.627) <0.001	359 -0.540) <0.001	ds ratio; 95% CI,
els.	leterozygote	leity O	I^2 OR (5	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1. \\ 0 & (0.851 \end{array}$	0 0.720	0 0 0.617	63 (0.685	1.0 (1.100)	, 1 (0.842)	$0 \frac{2}{(1.988)}$	0 0 0.238	isms; OR, od
netic mode	H	Heterogen test	$\chi^2 P$	3.03 0.552	0.00 0.994	0.90 0.342	2.69 0.101	0.06 0.813	3.03 0.387	0.10 0.76	0.59 0.443	polymorph
erent ge		ffect	P	0.433	0.828	0.450	0.994	0.203	0.370	0.467	<0.001	ucleotide
isk under diff	zygote model	Overall e	OR (95% CI)	0.780 (0.419–1.452)	1.075 (0.562–2.054)	0.824 (0.499–1.361)	1.003 (0.509-1.973)	0.737 (0.461–1.178)	0.708 ($0.333-1.505$)	1.219 (0.715–2.077)	0.338 (0.225-0.508)	r; SNPs, single n
DILI r	Home	geneity st	$P I^2$	204 33	927 0	956 0	022 81	882 0	166 41	938 0	739 0	er injury
nd AT-		Heterog te	χ^{5}	5.97 0.3	0.01 0.0	0.00 0.	5.23 0.	0.02 0.	5.10 0.	0.01 0.	0.11 0.	uced live
r2B7 aı		ect	Ρ	0.393	0.793	0.730	0.918	0.051	0.330	0.246	0.007	rug-ind
JGT1A1/UG	sive model	Overall eff	OR (95% CI)	0.775 (0.432-1.391)	1.090 (0.574-2.070)	0.908 (0.553-1.491)	1.025 (0.634–1.658)	0.637 (0.404–1.002)	0.704 (0.347 -1.426)	0.739 (0.444–1.231)	0.675 (0.507–0.898)	tituberculosis d
l ni sU	Reces	eneity t	, l ²	38 28	29 0	13 0	76 68	32 0	96 36	58 0	50 0	OILI, an
reen SN		Heterog tes	χ^2 H	.55 0.2	0.01 0.9	0.06 0.8	3.15 0.0	0.0 10.0	l.72 0.1	.03 0.8	0.0 0.0	B7; AT-J
on betw		t	Р	606.0	0.824 (0.161 (0.887	0.084 (9.998	<0.001 (<0.001 (sferase 2
of the associati	inant model	Overall effe	OR (95% CI)	0.989 (0.824–1.188)	0.970 (0.740-1.272)	0.827 ($0.634-1.079$)	0.970 (0.638 -1.476)	1.258 (0.969–1.634)	1.000 (0.791-1.265)	2.371 (1.779–3.160) [*]	0.347 (0.238-0.506) <	glucuronosyltran
results	Domi	eneity t	• I ²	74 6	0 00	0 66	34 78	51 0	43 28	13 0	54 0	, UDP-
nalysis 1		Heterog tes	χ^2]	4.24 0.3	0.00 1.0	0.71 0.3	4.47 0.0	0.36 0.5	4.18 0.2	0.06 0.8	0.35 0.5	UGT2B3
3: Meta-a		Study numbers		Ð	7	7	7	7	4	2	7	ferase 1A1;
TABLE		SNPs		rs4148323 (G>A)	rs2003569 (G>A)	rs8330 (C > G)	rs4148328 (T > C)	rs3755319 (A > C)	rs4148323 (G>A)	rs7662029 (A > G)	rs7439366 (T > C)	uronosyltransi
		Country		All	IIV	All	IIV	All	China	China	China	DP-glucı
		Genes (IVIIDO				UG12B/	UGTIAI, U

Study or Subgroup	AT-D Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI		С М-Н, Н	Odds Ratio Random, 9	95% CI	
Kim 2009	32	63	60	150	22.2	1.55 [0.86, 2.80]					
Tao 2018	116	263	147	413	77.8	1.43 [1.04, 1.96]					
Total (95% CI)		326		563	100.0	1.45 [1.10, 1.92]					
Total events	148		207								
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$	00; $chi^2 =$	0.06, d	f = 1 (P)	= 0.81)	; $I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.63 (I	P = 0.00	9)				0.2	0.5	1	2	5
							Fav	ours (AT-L	OILI) Favo	ours (contr	ol)

FIGURE 3: Forest plot of the relation between UGT1A1 SNP rs3755319 (heterozygote model) and AT-DILI risk with the random effects model. UGT1A1, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 1A1; AT-DILI, antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury.

Study or Subgroup	AT-I Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI		Oo M-H, Ra	dds Rati andom,	o 95% CI	
Shi 2014	133	364	104	386	47.7	1.56 [1.15, 2.13]					
Sun 2017a	149	414	111	414	52.3	1.53 [1.14, 2.06]				_	
Total (95% CI)		778		800	100.0	1.55 [1.25, 1.92]					
Total events	282		215								
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$.00; chi ² =	0.01, a	lf = 1 (P = 1)	= 0.94);	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.00 (1)	P < 0.00	01)				0.5	0.7	1	1.5	2
							Fav	vours (AT-DIL	.I)]	Favours (coi	ntrol)
						(a)					
Charles an Carle announ	AT-I	DILI	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio		Oc	dds Rati	0	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Ra	andom,	95% CI	
Shi 2014	120	182	85	193	47.2	2.46 [1.62, 3.74]					
Sun 2017a	134	207	92	207	52.8	2.29 [1.54, 3.41]					_
Total (95% CI)		389		400	100.0	2.37 [1.78, 3.16]					
Total events	254		177							•	
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$.00; chi ² =	0.06, a	lf = 1 (P = 1)	= 0.81);	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.89 (1	P < 0.00	001)				0.2	0.5	1	2	5
							Fa	avours (AT-DI	LI) Fav	vours (contr	ol)
						(b)					
Study or Subgroup	AT-I	DILI	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio		Oc	dds Rati	0	
orady of outgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Ra	andom,	95% CI	
Shi 2014	107	169	66	174	47.1	2.82 [1.82, 4.38]					<u> </u>
Sun 2017a	119	192	73	188	52.9	2.57 [1.70, 3.88]					
Total (95% CI)		361		362	100.0	2.69 [1.99, 3.63]					
Total events	226		139			-				•	
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$.00; chi ² =	0.10, a	lf = 1 (P = 1)	= 0.76);	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.44 (1	P < 0.00	001)				0.2	0.5	1	2	5
							Fa	vours (AT-DII	LI) Fa	vours (conti	ol)
						(c)					

FIGURE 4: Forest plot of the relation between UGT2B7 SNP rs7662029 and AT-DILI risk with the random effects model. (a) Allele model. (b) Dominant model. (c) Heterozygote model. UGT2B7, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 2B7; AT-DILI, antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury.

that only one original study indicated that patients with the A allele of rs4148323 in UGT1A1 had a lower risk of AT-DILI (A vs. G, OR=0.371, 95% CI: 0.161-0.857, P = 0.020) [21]. Other original studies did not show any

significant association between the SNP rs4148323 and AT-DILI risk [15, 18–20]. Although these studies were all designed as case-control studies, differences in sample size, diagnostic criteria, and adjusted covariates cannot be

Study or Subgroup	AT-I Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI			M-H,	Odds Rando	Ratio om, 95%	CI	
Shi 2014	207	364	263	386	46.9	0.62 [0.46, 0.83]	-			-			
Sun 2017a	215	414	273	414	53.1	0.56 [0.42, 0.74]							
Total (95% CI)		778		800	100.0	0.58 [0.48, 0.72]		\checkmark					
Total events	422		536										
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$	$0.00; chi^2 =$	= 0.23, d	lf = 1 (P)	= 0.63)	$I^2 = 0\%$			-				1	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.15 (1	P < 0.00	001)					0.5 Favo	0.7 urs (AT-	1 ·DILI)	Favou	1.5 rs (contre	2 ol)
						(a)							
Study or Subgroup	AT-I Events	DILI Total	Con Events	trol Total	Weight (%)	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI			M-H,	Odds , Rando	Ratio om, 95%	CI	
Shi 2014	139	182	172	193	44.2	0.39 [0.22, 0.70]				-			
Sun 2017a	142	207	181	207	55.8	0.31 [0.19, 0.52]							
Total (95% CI)		389		400	100.0	0.35 [0.24, 0.51]							
Total events	281		353										
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$	$0.00; chi^2 =$	0.35, a	lf = 1 (P)	= 0.55)	$I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.49 (1	P < 0.00	001)				0.2		0.5	1		2	5
								Favo	urs (AT	-DILI)	Favours	(control)
						(b)							
Study or Subgroup	AT-I	DILI	Con	trol	Weight	Odds Ratio				Odds	Ratio		
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Random, 95% CI			М-Н,	Rando	om, 95%	CI	
Shi 2014	68	182	91	193	47.9	0.67 [0.44, 1.01]			_				
Sun 2017a	73	207	92	207	52.1	0.68 [0.46, 1.01]							
Total (95% CI)		389		400	100.0	0.68 [0.51, 0.90]							
Total events	141		183										
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0$	0.00; chi ² =	= 0.00, <i>a</i>	lf = 1 (P)	= 0.95)	$I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.70 (1	P = 0.00)7)				0.2		0.5	1		2	5
								Favou	rs (AT-	DILI)	Favour	s (contro	ol)
-						(c)							

FIGURE 5: Forest plot of the relation between UGT2B7 SNP rs7439366 and AT-DILI risk with the random effects model. (a) Allele model. (b) Dominant model. (c) Recessive model. UGT2B7, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 2B7; AT-DILI, antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury.

ignored. For example, one 1:1 matched case-control study was conducted in China with the largest sample size to date (461 cases and 466 controls) [21]. That study employed 5 ULN of ALT as a diagnostic criterion and did not adjust for covariates in the analysis, while others employed a 2 ULN criterion and adjusted for some covariates. Previous studies have found that the rs4148323 homozygous mutation and heterozygous mutation caused the enzymatic activity of UGT1A1 to decrease by 30-40% and 60-70%, respectively, and then significantly increased total bilirubin levels in vivo [33]. Among patients who received anti-hepatitis C virus drug treatment, the A allele of rs4148323 in UGT1A1 could be considered as a risk factor for drug-induced ALT elevation and liver injury [34]. Therefore, further studies are needed to confirm the association between SNP rs4148323 and AT-DILI risk, although our meta-analysis found no association based on the present studies.

For UGT1A1 rs3755319, in silico analysis indicated that the rs3755319 C allele might induce transcription binding

changes and reduce UGT1A1 expression [35]. However, a significant association between SNP rs3755319 and AT-DILI risk was found under the heterozygote model. The (rs3755319-rs2003569-rs4148323) in haplotype TGG UGT1A1 was discovered to be associated with a marginally higher risk of ATLI (OR=5.071, 95% CI: 1.007-25.531, P = 0.049) [15], and no association was observed between rs3755319 and RIF pharmacokinetics in South African patients with TB [36]. Therefore, SNP rs3755319 as a genetic risk marker was not robust enough according to our results, and more original studies are needed to confirm the above conclusion. For the other two statistically significant SNPs (UGT2B7 rs7662029 and rs7439366), the present metaanalysis under multiple genetic models and two original case-control studies observed that the AG genotype of rs7662029 and the TT genotype of rs7439366 in UGT2B7 increased the risk of AT-DILI [31, 32]. A previous study indicated that genetic polymorphisms in the coding and promoter regions of UGT2B7 had important clinical

implications for pharmacology and toxicology and could induce AT-DILI through clinically significant changes in drug clearance [37]. This phenomenon has also been observed in other forms of DILI; for example, the T allele of rs7439366 in UGT2B7 was more common in diclofenac hepatotoxicity patients (OR = 8.5, 95% CI: 1.1–69.9, P = 0.026) [38]. Of course, determining the potential application value of rs7662029 and rs7439366 in UGT2B7 requires further research.

Identification of a genetic predisposition to AT-DILI is of paramount importance. These meta-analysis results indicated that UGT1A1 rs3755319, UGT2B7 rs7662029, and rs7439366 might be associated with the risk of AT-DILI, which would help to identify susceptible populations for liver injury in patients with anti-TB treatment. If used as a test prior to prescription, genotyping of these genes would prevent potential AT-DILI. However, although various genetic polymorphisms have been identified to be associated with DILI susceptibility, few prospective genetic screening tests have met the threshold for clinical application [39, 40]. The main reason is that the low incidence rate of DILI leads to a low positive predictive value for currently identified genetic variations, making them unsuitable for preprescription screening [41]. As described above, the reported incidence of AT-DILI is relatively low [4]. So, the low DILI incidence could not warrant the cost and effort associated with genetic testing [42]. Genetic polymorphisms of UGTs may be not useful in preemptive tests to reduce DILI incidence, but they can aid DILI diagnosis and clinical decision-making [40].

This study was the first to summarize all relevant studies investigating the relationships of UGTs polymorphisms with AT-DILI risk under different genetic models and to perform a meta-analysis of the data reported in those studies. The quality of the included studies was high. Nevertheless, the study had several limitations. First, the number of included studies was small, and the sample size was relatively small for determining genetic association, which made it difficult to draw a robust conclusion. Second, the study subjects were only Chinese and Koreans (limited to Asian countries), which minimized the possibility of discovering meaningful genetic associations. Because fewer than ten studies were included, a publication bias test was not performed. Finally, there existed a high heterogeneity for UGT1A1 rs4148328, and I-squares were larger than 60% under different genetic models. The diagnosis of AT-DILI, causality assessment, and adjustment for covariates were not uniform in those studies, which may be sources of potential heterogeneity.

5. Conclusion

The current meta-analysis indicated that UGT1A1 rs3755319, UGT2B7 rs7662029, and UGT2B7 rs7439366 were significantly associated with AT-DILI risk, and these three SNPs may be used as potential genetic risk markers in anti-TB treatment patients. However, this conclusion should be interpreted with caution due to the low number of studies and the relatively small sample size.

Abbreviations

AI T.	Alanine aminotransferase
<u>ALI.</u>	
AT-DILI:	Antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury
DILI:	Drug-induced liver injury
MeSH:	Medical Subject Headings
INH:	Isoniazid
RIF:	Rifampin
SNPs:	Single nucleotide polymorphisms
TB:	Tuberculosis
UDP:	Uridine diphosphate
ULN:	Upper limit of normal
UGTs:	UDP-glucuronosyltransferases.

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the main manuscript and the supplemental files.

Disclosure

The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

X Chen searched the literature, extracted data from the collected literature, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. Z Hao, N Wang, and J Zhu verified and discussed the studies and revised the first draft. H Yi and S Tang made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study and revised the final manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 82073614).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary materials are given in the .docx file format. Supplemental Table 1: quality score of the studies included in the meta-analysis. Supplementary Figure 1: forest plot of the relation between SNP rs4148323 and the risk of AT-DILI in Chinese population. Supplementary Figures 2–5: forest plot of the relation between SNP rs3755319, SNP rs8330, SNP rs2003569, and SNP rs4148328 and the risk of AT-DILI with the random effects model. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- [1] W. H. Organization, *Global Tuberculosis Report 2021*, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
- [2] R. Singh, S. P. Dwivedi, U. S. Gaharwar, R. Meena, P. Rajamani, and T. Prasad, "Recent updates on drug

resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 128, no. 6, pp. 1547–1567, 2020.

- [3] H. Chen, L. Jiao, J. Zhou et al., "Absence of significant association between UGT2B4 genetic variants and the susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury in a Western Chinese population," *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy* and Therapeutics, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 66–73, 2021.
- [4] A. Tostmann, M. J. Boeree, R. E. Aarnoutse, W. C. de Lange, A. J. van der Ven, and R. Dekhuijzen, "Antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity: concise up-to-date review," *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 192–202, 2008.
- [5] N. Wang, X. Chen, Z. Hao et al., "Incidence and temporal trend of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Tropical Medicine*, vol. 2022, Article ID 8266878, 10 pages, 2022.
- [6] X. Lv, S. Tang, Y. Xia et al., "Adverse reactions due to directly observed treatment strategy therapy in Chinese tuberculosis patients: a prospective study," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 6, Article ID e65037, 2013.
- [7] C. D. Tweed, G. H. Wills, A. M. Crook et al., "Liver toxicity associated with tuberculosis chemotherapy in the REMoxTB study," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 46, 2018.
- [8] R. Chen, J. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Tang, and S. Zhan, "Key factors of susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity," *Archives of Toxicology*, vol. 89, no. 6, pp. 883–897, 2015.
- [9] N. Ahrens, R. Genth, and A. Salama, "Belated diagnosis in three patients with rifampicin-induced immune haemolytic anaemia," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 441–443, 2002.
- [10] M. A. Arruda, A. G. Rossi, M. S. de Freitas, C. Barja-Fidalgo, and A. V. Graça-Souza, "Heme inhibits human neutrophil apoptosis: involvement of phosphoinositide 3-kinase, MAPK, and NF-κB," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 173, no. 3, pp. 2023–2030, 2004.
- [11] F. Li, J. Lu, J. Cheng et al., "Human PXR modulates hepatotoxicity associated with rifampicin and isoniazid cotherapy," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 418–420, 2013.
- [12] D. A. Perwitasari, J. Atthobari, and B. Wilffert, "Pharmacogenetics of isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity," *Drug Metabolism Reviews*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 222–228, 2015.
- [13] R. Meech, D. G. Hu, R. A. McKinnon et al., "The UDPglycosyltransferase (UGT) superfamily: new members, new functions, and novel paradigms," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 1153–1222, 2019.
- [14] T. Kutsukake, Y. Furukawa, K. Ondo, S. Gotoh, T. Fukami, and M. Nakajima, "Quantitative analysis of UDPglucuronosyltransferase Ugt1a and Ugt2b mRNA expression in the rat liver and small intestine: sex and strain differences," *Drug Metabolism & Disposition*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 38–44, 2019.
- [15] B. Tao, S. Chen, G. Lin et al., "Genetic polymorphisms of UGT1A1 and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver: a RUCAM-based case-control study," *International Journal of Immunopathology & Pharmacology*, vol. 32, Article ID 205873841881628, 2018.
- [16] D. Liu, Q. Yu, Z. Li et al., "UGT1A1 dysfunction increases liver burden and aggravates hepatocyte damage caused by longterm bilirubin metabolism disorder," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 190, Article ID 114592, 2021.
- [17] N. Yang, R. Sun, X. Liao, J. Aa, and G. Wang, "UDPglucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) and their related metabolic cross-talk with internal homeostasis: a systematic review

of UGT isoforms for precision medicine," *Pharmacological Research*, vol. 121, pp. 169–183, 2017.

- [18] S. H. Kim, S. H. Kim, J. W. Bahn et al., "Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and anti-TB druginduced hepatitis," *Pharmacogenomics*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1767–1779, 2009.
- [19] J. C. Chang, E. H. Liu, C. N. Lee et al., "<I>UGT1A1</ I> polymorphisms associated with risk of induced liver disorders by anti-tuberculosis medications [Short communication]," *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 376–378, 2012.
- [20] R. Chen, J. Wang, S. W. Tang et al., "<I>CYP7A1</I>, <I>BAAT</I> and <I>UGT1A1</I> polymorphisms and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity," *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 812–818, 2016.
- [21] Q. Sun, H. P. Liu, R. J. Zheng et al., "Genetic polymorphisms of SLCO1B1, CYP2E1 and UGT1A1 and susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: a Chinese population-based prospective case-control study," *Clinical Drug Investigation*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1125–1136, 2017.
- [22] J. Zhu, W. Liu, M. Zhang et al., "A validation study of the UGT1A4 rs2011404 variant and the risk of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in an Eastern Chinese Han population," *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1288–1294, 2021.
- [23] G. Chen, S. Q. Wu, M. Feng et al., "Association of UGT2B7 polymorphisms with risk of induced liver injury by antituberculosis drugs in Chinese Han," *International Journal* of *Immunopathology & Pharmacology*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 434-438, 2017.
- [24] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, and D. G. Altman, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 7, Article ID e1000097, 2009.
- [25] J. Little, L. Bradley, M. S. Bray et al., "Reporting, appraising, and integrating data on genotype prevalence and gene-disease associations," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 156, no. 4, pp. 300–310, 2002.
- [26] F. Sun, Y. Chen, Y. Xiang, and S. Zhan, "Drug-metabolising enzyme polymorphisms and predisposition to antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis," *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 994–1002, 2008.
- [27] M. Yang, Y. Qiu, Y. Jin et al., "NR112 genetic polymorphisms and the risk of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives*, vol. 8, no. 6, Article ID e00696, 2020.
- [28] J. P. Higgins, S. G. Thompson, J. J. Deeks, and D. G. Altman, "Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses," *BMJ*, vol. 327, no. 7414, pp. 557–560, 2003.
- [29] J. Q. Hao, Y. Chen, S. M. Li, P. Zhang, B. Zhang, and F. M. Feng, "[Association between uridine diphosphate glucuronyltransferase 1A7 gene polymorphism and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury]," *Chinese Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 30, no. 03, pp. 174–178, 2012.
- [30] J. Q. Hao, Y. Chen, S. M. Li et al., "[Relationship between the polymorphisms of UGT1A6 genes and anti-tuberculosis drug induced hepatic-injury]," *Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 201–204, 2011.
- [31] Z. Shi, L. He, L. Gao et al., "[Relationship between genetic polymorphisms of UGT2B7 and the susceptibility to

antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury]," *Chinese Journal of Antibiotics*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 856–860, 2014.

- [32] S. F. Sun, B. Li, Y. Z. Chong, and Y. Du, "[Relationships of CYP450, GSTs, and UGT gene polymorphisms with antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury]," *Shandong Medical Journal*, vol. 57, no. 39, pp. 6–10, 2017.
- [33] L. Luo, P. An, X. Jia et al., "Genetically regulated bilirubin and risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a mendelian randomization study," *Frontiers in Genetics*, vol. 9, p. 662, 2018.
- [34] S. Maekawa, M. Sato, N. Kuratomi et al., "Association between alanine aminotransferase elevation and UGT1A1*6 polymorphisms in daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination therapy for chronic hepatitis C," *Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 780–786, 2018.
- [35] H. J. Shin, J. Y. Kim, H. S. Cheong, H. S. Na, H. D. Shin, and M. W. Chung, "Functional study of haplotypes in UGT1A1 promoter to find a novel genetic variant leading to reduced gene expression," *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 369–374, 2015.
- [36] R. Zhai, C. C. Sheu, L. Su et al., "Serum bilirubin levels on ICU admission are associated with ARDS development and mortality in sepsis," *Thorax*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 784–790, 2009.
- [37] A. Rowland, J. O. Miners, and P. I. Mackenzie, "The UDPglucuronosyltransferases: their role in drug metabolism and detoxification," *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1121–1132, 2013.
- [38] A. K. Daly, G. P. Aithal, J. B. Leathart, R. A. Swainsbury, T. S. Dang, and C. P. Day, "Genetic susceptibility to diclofenac-induced hepatotoxicity: contribution of UGT2B7, CYP2C8, and ABCC2 genotypes," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 272–281, 2007.
- [39] G. P. Aithal, "Pharmacogenetic testing in idiosyncratic druginduced liver injury: current role in clinical practice," *Liver International*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1801–1808, 2015.
- [40] C. Stephens, M. I. Lucena, and R. J. Andrade, "Genetic risk factors in the development of idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury," *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 153–169, 2021.
- [41] C. Stephens and R. J. Andrade, "Genetic predisposition to drug-induced liver injury," *Clinics in Liver Disease*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 11–23, 2020.
- [42] K. E. Clare, M. H. Miller, and J. F. Dillon, "Genetic factors influencing drug-induced liver injury: do they have a role in prevention and diagnosis?" *Current Hepatology Reports*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 258–264, 2017.