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*Cyphostemma adenocaule* is a therapeutic plant traditionally used to treat rabies, snake bite, diarrhea, and wound healing. To address the bioactive compounds exhibiting these activities, we performed a comprehensive study on the roots of the plant. Thus, the present study aims to inspect the *in vitro* antioxidant and antibacterial efficacies of compounds isolated from the combined dichloromethane : methanol (1 : 1) and methanol extracts of *C. adenocaule* along with the *in silico* study of their interaction with selected protein targets. The silica gel column chromatography technique was used for the isolation of compounds, and the antibacterial and antioxidant activities were evaluated using agar disc diffusion and DPPH radical scavenging assays, respectively. Furthermore, *in silico* molecular docking screening, pharmacokinetics, and toxicity protocols of the compound isolates were performed to offer the potential applications of the compounds in developing novel medications. A BIOVIA Discovery Studio in combination with AutoDock Vina 4.2 software, SwissADME, and ProTox-II prediction web tools were used to generate the molecular docking, pharmacokinetics, and toxicity profiles, respectively. Notably, the chromatographic separation of the combined extracts yielded six known compounds, namely, β-sitosterol (1), 3-hydroxyisogatholactone (2), ε-viniferin (3), myricetin (4), tricuspidatol A (5), and parthenocissin A (6). The *in vitro* antibacterial activities revealed the highest inhibition zone by tricuspidatol A (5) (16.67 ± 0.47), showcasing its potent activity against *S. aureus* at 2 mg/mL, compared to ciprofloxacin (21.50 ± 0.41). ε-Viniferin (3) (IC50: 0.32 μg/mL) exhibited greater antioxidant activity than the others and displayed promising results compared to ascorbic acid (0.075 μg/mL). The molecular docking study revealed the highest binding affinity by ε-viniferin (3) (~9.9 kcal/mol) against topoisomerase II α. 3-Hydroxyisogatholactone (2) and ε-viniferin (3) fulfilled Lipinski’s rule with no violation, and the organ toxicity predictions revealed that all the compounds showed no cytotoxicity and hepatotoxicity effects. Thus, this study’s combined *in vitro* and *in silico* outcomes suggest the potential use of the isolated compounds in drug discovery and support the traditional relevance of *C. adenocaule*. 
1. Introduction

The biological activities and therapeutic values of medicinal plants rely on the existence of phytocompounds which generate a certain physiological response in the human body [1]. The most vital of these biologically active substances includes terpenoids, alkaloids, saponins, polyphenolic compounds, tannins, essential oils, and vitamins [2]. Plenty of studies revealed that these bioactive compounds exhibit a broad array of biological properties such as anticancer, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antifungal, antibacterial, antidiabetic, and antimalarial activities [3].

The genus Cyphostemma (Vitaceae family) contains more than 250 taxonomically identified species disseminated all over the tropics and subtropics of the world [4] with a wide spectrum of traditional uses to cure toothache, rashes, snake bite, tumor, inflammation, malaria, marasmus, kwashiorkor in children, diarrhea, syphilis, and urinary tract infections [5, 6]. Cyphostemma adenocaule (Steud. ex A. Rich.) (Figure 1) is one of the most prevalent vegetable plants grown in many parts of Africa, i.e., Ethiopia, Angola, Nigeria, Eritrea, Ghana, Uganda, Congo, Senegal, Malawi, and Mozambique [7, 8]. In Ethiopia, the plant has different vernacular names, such as Hareg Temen (Tigrinya), Aserkukh (Amharic), and Hida Bofa (Afan Oromo) [9]. In Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, the fresh roots of the plant have long been used against rashes, snake bite, and wound healing [10] and traditional healers around Gondar, Ethiopia, use the boiled root of C. adenocaule with milk and drink it to treat rashes [11].

The phytochemistry of the plant revealed many natural substances including flavonoids, stilbenes derivatives, coumarins, alkaloids, terpenoids, saponins, and tannins [12, 13]. These phytocompounds reveal diverse biological activities, including antifungal, antioxidant, antibacterial, chemopreventive, hepatoprotective, and antiproliferative activities [14, 15]. β-Sitosterol, betulin, betulinic acid, lupeol, cyphostemmic acids (A, B, C, and D), zizyberanal acid, and epiguanic acid A were among the reported terpenoid compounds in the stem barks and roots extract of C. adenocaule [13, 16]. Thus, motivated by its wide spectrum of traditional uses, the present study aims to inspect the in vitro antioxidant and antibacterial efficacies and in silico cytotoxic properties of compounds isolated from the combined CH₂Cl₂: MeOH (1:1) and methanol root extracts of C. adenocaule along with computational study of their interaction with selected protein targets.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material. The roots of C. adenocaule (wild climbing herb) were harvested from the mountains of Adama City, Ethiopia, in October 2021. After collection, the plant material was authenticated by Mr. Melaku Wendafrash (chief botanist). A voucher number of the specimen was designated by an accession number (HCA003) and deposited at the National Herbarium of Ethiopia, Department of Biology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

The plant samples were washed successively with tap and distilled water and subjected to air drying for three weeks at room temperature without direct exposure to sunlight.

2.2. General Experimental Procedures. The roots of C. adenocaule were finely milled with a grinder (Shanghai Jingke, JK-HSG-100A, China) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (98% Alpha Chemika, India): MeOH (1:1) and MeOH (99.8%, Loba Chemie, India). The solvents were freed using a rotary evaporator (DW-RE-3000, China) maintaining the temperature at 40°C. The crude extracts were chromatographed on silica gel (60–120 and 60–200 mesh, Merck, India) using column chromatography and eluted by dissolving in an appropriate solvent. For purity analysis, TLC aluminum plates (0.25 mm) coated with high-purity grade silica gel (230–400 mesh, pore size 60 Å, Merck Grade 64271, Darmstadt, Germany) were applied. The fractions of compounds were visualized under a UV light (UV cabinet, UV4AC6/2, CBIO Bioscience and Technologies, Beijing, China, at 254 nm and 365 nm), spraying with 1% vanillin-sulfuric acid reagent followed by direct heating over a stove at 110°C. NMR spectral results were recorded in deuterated chloroform and methanol on 400 MHz Bruker AVANCE III NMR instruments. The chemical shift (δ) values were conveyed in ppm and referred against the resonance values of the solvents at 3.31 ppm (δH) and 49.1 ppm (δC) for deuterated methanol and 7.28 ppm (δH) and 77.2 ppm (δC) for deuterated chloroform. Melting points were determined on a Japson Melting Point Apparatus (JA90161, India). Furthermore, the antioxidant properties of the plant extracts were achieved on a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (CE4001 UV/VIS, Cambridge, UK) equipped with tungsten and deuterium lamps. A hood with UV-radiation and laminar air flow, dimethyl sulfoxide, Muller–Hinton Agar (MHA) (Micro Express, India), sterilized needle, autoclave, incubator, micropipette (1000 μL), and Petri dishes (90 mm) were used for the antibacterial testing assays. All the solvents and reagents used were of analytical grade.
2.3. Extraction and Isolation. The pulverized roots of *C. adeno caul e* (600 g) were successively extracted by a cold maceration technique with 3 L of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ : MeOH (1 : 1) followed by an equal volume of methanol for 72 h each with continuous shaking over an orbital shaker (VRN-200, Gemmy industries, Taiwan) at room temperature. The extracts were filtered over gravity with a Whatman No. 1 filter paper, and the filtrates were concentrated in vacuo on a rotary evaporator at 40 °C [17, 18] to generate 22 and 28 g of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ : MeOH (1 : 1) and methanol extracts, respectively. Both extracts exhibited similar TLC profiles and were mixed to obtain 50 g of the crude extract.

The combined crude extracts (20 g) were subjected to silica gel column chromatographic separation (180 g silica gel, 60–120 mesh as stationary phase) and eluted with increasing gradient of *n*-hexane : ethyl acetate to chloroform : methanol mixtures successively. A total of 165 fractions (50 mL each) were collected, and their purities were monitored using TLC and UV lamp at 254 and 365 nm, respectively. Finally, the pure compounds were characterized using spectroscopic techniques (1D and 2D NMR) [19]. The fractionation process of the extract failed to generate pure compounds; therefore, further purifications were performed for fractions with promising TLC profiles. Accordingly, fractions 10–15 (98.5 mg), collected with 30% EtOAc in *n*-hexane, were purified using the gradient elution (34 g silica gel) of 100% *n*-hexane up to 50% EtOAc in *n*-hexane to yield β-sitosterol (1) (23.8 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.48 in 20% EtOAc in *n*-hexane). Fractions 21–23 (116.2 mg), collected with 50% EtOAc in *n*-hexane, were mixed and purified using the gradient elution (33 g silica gel) of 20–70% EtOAc in *n*-hexane to yield 3-hydroxy isogatholactone (2) (12.9 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.68 in 40% EtOAc in *n*-hexane). Fractions 30–33 (150.4 mg), collected with 75% EtOAc in *n*-hexane, were mixed and purified with PTLC (mobile phase: 40% EtOAc in *n*-hexane) to yield ε-viniferin (3) (32.0 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.67 in 65% EtOAc in *n*-hexane). The PTLC purification (mobile phase: 40% EtOAc in *n*-hexane) of fraction 34 (63.0 mg) isolated using 75% EtOAc in *n*-hexane yielded myricetin (4) (19.2 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.75 in 70% EtOAc in *n*-hexane). Fraction 39 (122.0 mg), isolated with 85% EtOAc in *n*-hexane, was subjected to an isocratic elution (34 g silica gel) with 80% EtOAc in *n*-hexane to yield tricuspidatol A (5) (51.2 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.46 in 70% EtOAc in *n*-hexane). Finally, the PTLC purification (mobile phase: 60% EtOAc in *n*-hexane) of fractions 40–42 (61.0 mg) collected with 90% EtOAc in *n*-hexane as eluent yielded parthenocissin A (6) (15.1 mg, *R*$_f$ : 0.58 in 80% EtOAc in *n*-hexane).

2.4. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity

2.4.1. Bacterial Strains. The isolated compounds were examined in vitro for their antibacterial efficiency against four bacterial strains named *Escherichia coli* (ATCC-25922), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC-25923), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC-27853), and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (ATCC-19615) which were selected based on their prevalence and common health problems in Ethiopia, availability, phenotype and genotype information, and relatedness to the ethnomedicinal relevance of the plant. The bacterial pathogens were obtained from the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI), and the experimental tests were attained at the Research Laboratory of Microbiology, Adama Science and Technology University, Adama, Ethiopia.

2.4.2. Antibacterial Activity Assay. The in vitro antibacterial activities were adopted as per the previous experimental protocol [20] using DMSO and ciprofloxacin as negative and positive controls, respectively. The entire tests were performed in triplicate, and the results were reported as mean ± SEM with the help of statistical software.

2.5. In Vitro Antioxidant Activity. The in vitro antioxidant activities were also adopted as per the previous experimental protocol [21] using ascorbic acid as positive control. The absorbance value of a DPPH solution in methanol (negative control) was measured and the antioxidant power of each compound was described in terms of scavenging strength (%) using equation (1) [21]. The results were reported as mean ± SEM and the IC$_{50}$ values were computed from the relationship curve of plots of the concentration of samples against the antioxidant activity mean (%).

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging power (\%)} = \left(1 - \frac{A}{A_0}\right) \times 100
\]

where *A* and *A*$_0$ indicate the absorbance of the sample solution in methanol + DPPH radical and DPPH radical in methanol, respectively.

2.6. Molecular Docking Studies. The compound isolates were docked against protein targets of the bacterial pathogens and human enzymes to predict their activity and binding affinity. The molecular docking calculations include 2D and 3D structural representations of viable docked poses, binding affinity (kcal/mol), hydrogen bonds, and residual amino acid interactions. Protein targets of *E. coli* DNA gyrase B (PDB ID: 6F86), *P. aeruginosa* PqsA (PDB ID: 5OE3), *S. aureus* PK (PDB ID: 3T07), human myeloperoxidase (PDB ID: 1DNU), and topoisomerase II α (PDB ID: 4FM9) were selected for the study. The bacterial protein targets were selected based on the respective strains’ in vitro antibacterial and antioxidant activities, their metabolic importance to the microorganisms, and the similarity of the compound to the cocrystallized ligands in the protein complex. The 3D crystal structures of the target proteins were retrieved in PDB format from the Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org), whereas the 3D structures of the compounds were attained from PubChem/Zinc databases, converted to PDB files via Open Babel GUI application, and saved in a similar folder with the proteins. The crystal structures of *E. coli* DNA gyrase B, with a resolution of 1.90 Å possessing a single chain cocrystallized with a native ligand 4-(4-bromo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-6-[ethylcarbamoyl]-amino]-N-(pyridine-3-yl)-pyridine-3-carboxamide (C$_{17}$ H$_{16}$ Br N$_7$ O$_2$), pyruvate kinase
(PK) of S. aureus in combination with a naturally occurring bisindole alkaloid with a resolution of 3.30 Å possessing four chains (A, B, C, and D), two ligands, and four phosphate groups and N-terminal domain of PqsA in combination with chains (A, B, C, and D) and with multiple ligands were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Moreover, the crystal structures of human myeloperoxidase with thiocyanate complex, resolution of 1.85 Å possessing four chains (A, B, C, and D) and multiple ligands and human topoisomerase II α a bound to DNA, resolution of 2.90 Å which possess three chains (A, C, and D), and a single ligand were attained from the PDB database [22–24].

Water molecules and heteroatoms (phosphate, native ligands) were removed in the Discovery Studio Visualizer software; polar hydrogens were added, and proteins were saved in PDB format. Afterwards, Kollman charges and AD4-type atoms were added for the proper optimizations using MGL tools 1.5.7 and the proteins were saved in PDBQT format. Finally, the lowest energy conformations of the ligands were used as an input to run out the molecular docking simulations [25]. Then, the PDB format of the compounds was computed using MGL tools, Gasteiger charges were added, the torsion angle of the ligand was adjusted, and the proteins were saved in PDBQT format. The active sites were established by studying the binding interaction of the receptor and the ligand and the molecular dockings were run on the active sites of the prepared target proteins. The running process was achieved by building a grid box (50 × 50 × 54 Å) with a grid spacing of 0.375 Å and covering the active sites. The docking process was achieved using the AutoDock Vina 4.2 program and all the files saved in the working directory were run via the command prompt. For each ligand scored, nine different conformations were produced. Conformations of the least (stable) binding affinity and root mean square deviation (RMSD) were chosen for evaluating the interactions between the ligands and receptors. Finally, the docking analysis was computed using the BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021 [26].

2.7. In Silico Pharmacokinetic Analyses. The compound isolates were studied for their drug candidate likelihoods using the pharmacokinetic parameters, following the protocols of Lipinski et al. [27], Daina et al. [28], Banerjee et al. [29], and Behrouz et al. [30].

2.8. Spectral and Statistical Data Analyses. MestReNova software (version 14.2) was used for processing (apodization, Fourier transformation, and phase correction) of NMR raw data. The antibacterial and antioxidant data were tabulated in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and the values were conveyed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) (antibacterial) and % of scavenging activity (antioxidant). The antibacterial activities were evaluated by comparing the inhibition zones of the compounds with the control drug (ciprofloxacin) and the solvent (DMSO). The IC_{50} scores of the compounds were computed from the relationship curves of the plots and compared to ascorbic acid.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure Elucidations. The silica gel chromatographic separation of the combined CH$_2$Cl$_2$ : MeOH (1:1) and methanol extracts yielded β-sitosterol (1), 3-hydroxy isogalactolone (2), ε-viniferin (3), myricetin (4), tricuspidatol A (5), and parthenocissin A (6) (Figure 2). The structural elucidations of the compounds along with their literature references are presented.

Compound 1 (mp; 135–137°C) was isolated as white needles. The measured melting point range was very close to the literature values of β-sitosterol (134-135°C) [31]. Its 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) spectrum revealed signals of olefinic proton at δ$_H$ 5.34 (1H, m, H-6), suggesting that the compound has a single sp$^2$ olefinic proton. The spectrum also showed a signal of oxymethine proton at δ$_H$ 3.51 (1H, m) assignable to H-3. The signals at δ$_H$ 2.27 (1H, m, H-4a), 2.22 (1H, m, H-4b), 1.98 (1H, m, H-7a), and 1.94 (1H, m, H-7b) suggest diastereotopic protons attributed to the methylene protons adjacent to the sp$^2$ unsaturated olefinic carbons. Furthermore, the spectrum exhibited six methyl signals at δ$_H$ 0.90 (3H, s, H-19), 0.89 (3H, m, H-17), 0.83 (3H, t, H-29), 0.81 (3H, d, J = 6.1 Hz, H-27), 0.80 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-26), and 0.67 (3H, s, H-18). The other proton signals coincided in the range δ$_H$ 1.87–0.90 (25H, m) (Supplementary materials, Table S1).

The 13C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) spectrum of the compound exhibited twenty-nine well-resolved carbon signals including two olefinic carbons at δ$_C$ 140.8 (C-5) and 121.8 (C-6) of which the earlier embodies a quaternary oxygenated diastereotopic methylene protons adjacent to the sp$^2$ unsaturated olefinic carbons. Further, the spectrum exhibited three methyl signals at δ$_C$ 21.9 (C-12), 21.8 (C-12), and 21.3 (C-12) (Supplementary materials, Table S1). In addition, the spectral data match the literature values of β-sitosterol (134-135°C) [31]. The 1H NMR spectrum of β-sitosterol was recorded in DMSO-δ$_6$ (δ$_H$ 3.5, 3.5Hz, H-12) and oxygenated diastereotopic methylene signals at δ$_H$ 4.36 (1H, t, J = 9.2 Hz, H-15a) and 4.03 (1H, t, J = 9.1 Hz, H-15b) together with the 13C NMR spectrum produce the structure of a compound associated with a spongian diterpenoid lactone skeleton. The signals at δ$_H$ 5.28 (1H, brs) and 3.42 (1H, t, J = 2.9 Hz) suggest hydroxyl (OH) and oxymethine (H-3) protons, respectively. The peaks at δ$_H$ 2.79 (1H, dd, J = 8.7, 4.4 Hz, H-14) and 2.37 (1H,
dd, \( J = 5.6 \) Hz, H-11a) and 2.32 (1H, \( dd, J = 5.7 \) Hz, H-11b) were assignable to the sp\(^3\) methine and methylene protons adjacent to the oxymethylene and sp\(^2\) methine groups, respectively. The spectrum also displayed four groups of methyl protons at \( \delta_H 0.95 \) (3H, s), 0.92 (3H, s), 0.85 (3H, s), and 0.76 (3H, s) assignable to H-20, H-18, H-19, and H-17, respectively (Supplementary materials, Table S2).

In its \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum, compound 2 displayed carbon signals at \( \delta_C 170.3 \) (C-16), 136.4 (C-12), 127.0 (C-13), and 67.3 (C-15) associated with a spongiane diterpenoid lactone skeleton (also supported by the \(^1\)H NMR spectral analysis). An additional oxygenated sp\(^3\) methine signal was observed at \( \delta_C 75.8 \) assignable to C-3. The spectrum also revealed four methyl signals at \( \delta_C 28.4, 22.2, 15.2, \) and 14.2 corresponding to C-18, C-19, C-20, and C-17, respectively. Moreover, the carbon signals at \( \delta_C 54.1, 51.1, 49.3, 40.7, 37.5, 37.1, 34.5, 32.8, 25.1, 24.2, \) and 18.0 attributed to C-5, C-9, C-14, C-7, C-4, C-10, C-8, C-1, C-2, C-11, and C-6, respectively. The types of the carbon signals were verified by DEPT-135 spectrum; thereby, the spectral information revealed one sp\(^3\) olefinic methine signal at \( \delta_C 136.4 \) (C-12), two sp\(^3\) oxymethylene and oxymethylene signals at \( \delta_C 75.8 \) (C-3) and 67.3 (C-15), respectively, three sp\(^3\) methine carbons, five sp\(^3\) methylene, and four methyl signals and were consistent with the \(^{13}\)C NMR spectral analysis of the compound (Supplementary materials, Table S2).

The spectral analyses of the compound were supported by 2D NMR correlations. Accordingly, the COSY spectrum exhibited \(^3J\) correlations between H-2 (\( \delta_H 2.11, 1.56 \)) and H-3 (\( \delta_H 3.42 \)), H-9 (\( \delta_H 1.43 \)) and H-11 (\( \delta_H 2.37 \)), H-11 (\( \delta_H 2.37 \)) and H-12 (\( \delta_H 6.85 \)), and H-14 (\( \delta_H 2.79 \)) and H-15 (\( \delta_H 4.36, 4.03 \)). In addition, the connectivity was confirmed by HMBC correlations, thereby the spectrum showed \(^3J\) correlations between H-5 (\( \delta_H 1.38 \)) and C-7, 20 (\( \delta_H 40.7, 15.2 \)), H-15 (\( \delta_H 4.36, 4.03 \)), and C-13, 16 (\( \delta_C 127.0, 170.3 \)) (Supplementary materials, Table S2). Finally, the spectral data were in close agreement with the reported values of 3-hydroxysoagatholactone (2) (Figure 2).

Compound 3 was obtained as a pale brown solid and its melting point was determined in the range 180–182 °C (literature mp: 185 °C, [34]). Its \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) spectrum exhibited signals with a AA′BB′ spin pattern at \( \delta_H 7.14 \) (2H, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, H-2, 6) and 6.78 (2H, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, H-3, 5) (ring A), AA′BB′ spin pattern at \( \delta_H 7.03 \) (2H, \( d, J = 8.8 \) Hz, H-2′, 6′) and 6.66 (2H, \( d, J = 8.8 \) Hz, H-3′, 5′) (ring B1), AB spin pattern at \( \delta_H 6.64 \) (1H, \( s, H-14′ \)) and 6.26 (1H, \( s, H-12′ \)) (ring B2), and ABX spin pattern at \( \delta_H 6.21 \) (1H, \( s, H-12′ \)) and 6.18 (2H, \( s, H-10, 14 \)) (ring A1). The signals appeared at \( \delta_H 6.04 \) (1H, \( d, J = 16.7 \) Hz) and 6.57 (1H, \( d, J = 16.3 \) Hz) attributed to trans-oriented olefinic protons (H-7′ and H-8′, respectively). The spectrum also displayed signals at \( \delta_H 5.37 \) (1H, \( d, J = 6.6, H-7′ \)) and 4.35 (1H, \( d, J = 6.6, H-8′ \)) corresponding to the oxymethine (H-7) and methine protons (H-8) of the substituted tetrahydrofuran skeleton (ring C) (Supplementary materials, Table S3).

The \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum revealed 22 identifiable signals assignable to 28 carbons. The signals at \( \delta_C 162.7 \) (C-11′) (ring B1), 160.0 (C-11, 13) (ring A1), 159.7 (C-13′) (ring B2), 158.5 (C-4′) (ring A1), and 158.4 (C-4′) (ring B1) attributed to the oxygenated carbons of the structure, and other nonoxygenated quaternary carbons appeared at \( \delta_C 147.3 \) (C-9), 136.8 (C-9′), 133.8 (C-1), 131.2 (C-1′), and 120.0 (C-10′). The spectrum also showed sp\(^3\) aromatic methine signals at \( \delta_C 128.8 \) (C-2′, 6′), 128.1 (C-2, 6), 116.4 (C-3, 5), 116.3 (C-3′, 5′), 107.5 (C-10, 14), 104.3 (C-14′), 102.3 (C-12), and 96.9 (C-12′) and sp\(^2\) olefinic methine signals at \( \delta_C 130.3 \) (C-7′) and 123.6 (C-8′). The substituted tetrahydrofuran carbons that appeared at \( \delta_C 94.8 \) and 58.3 were assignable to C-7 and C-8, respectively. Furthermore, the DEPT-135 spectrum indicated eight sp\(^2\) aromatic methine signals assignable to

**Figure 2:** Structural information of the isolated compounds (1–6) from *C. adenocaule* (roots).
thirteen carbons, two sp² olefinic methine signals, and two sp³ oxymethine and methine carbons which were consistent with the ¹³C NMR spectral data of the compound (Supplementary materials, Table S3).

The connections and substitution patterns of the compound was established from the COSY and HMBC correlations. The COSY spectrum showed correlations between H-2, 6 (δ_H 7.14) and H-3, 5 (δ_H 6.78), H-7 (δ_H 5.37) and H-8 (δ_H 4.35), H-2', 6' (δ_H 7.03) and H-3', 5' (δ_H 6.66), and H-7' (δ_H 6.84) and H-8' (δ_H 6.57) in agreement with rings A, B, and trans-olefinic protons. The HMBC spectrum also revealed 3J correlations between H-2/6 (δ_H 7.14) and C-4, 7 (δ_C 158.5, 94.8), 3J correlations between H-3, 5 (δ_H 6.78) and C-2, 6 (δ_C 128.1), 3J correlations between H-7 (δ_H 5.37) and C-2/6, 9 (δ_C 128.1 and 147.3, respectively), 3J, 3J, 3J, 3J, 3J, and 3J correlations between H-8 (δ_H 4.35) and C-1, 7, 9, 10/14 (δ_C 133.8, 94.8, 147.3, 107.5, 120.0 and 162.7, respectively), 3J, 3J, and 3J correlations between H-10/14 (δ_H 6.18) and C-8, 11/13, 12 (δ_C 58.3, 160.0 and 102.3, respectively), 3J correlations between H-2'/6' (δ_H 7.03) and C-4', 7' (δ_C 158.4 and 130.3, respectively), and 3J correlations between H-7' (δ_H 6.84) and C-14' (δ_C 104.3) (Supplementary materials, Table S3). Overall, the spectral analyses were consistent with the literature data of ε-viniferin (3) [33, 35] (Figure 2).

Compound 4 was collected as yellow crystals, and its melting point was determined in the range of 357–359 °C (literature mp: 357°C [36]). In its ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD) spectrum, the compound exhibited signals of aromatic protons at δ_H 7.35 (2H, s, H-2', 6', δ_C 123.0 (C-1'), 104.4 (C-10'), and 136.9 (C-4') (ring B) were assignable to the oxygenated quaternary aromatic carbons. The spectrum also revealed signals of a 2, 3, 4, 5-substituted tetrahydrofuran skeleton at δ_H 5.41 (2H, d, J = 6.5 Hz) and 3.59 (2H, d, J = 6.7 Hz) attributable to the oxymethine and methine protons in ring C (H-7, 7', and H-8, 8', respectively) (Supplementary materials, Table S5).

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the compound exhibited ten intense and well-resolved signals, suggesting that the compound afforded molecular symmetry. Thus, the signals at δ_C 158.7 (C-11, 11', 13, 13') (rings B and E) and 157.9 (C-4, 4') (rings A and D) were assignable to the oxygenated aromatic carbons, while the signals at δ_C 142.1 (C-9, 9') (rings B and E) and 133.8 (C-1, 1') (rings A and D) attributed to the nonoxygenated quaternary carbons of the structure. The spectrum also displayed sp² aromatic methine signals at δ_C 128.8, 116.0, 108.9, and 101.8 corresponding to (C-2, 2', 6', δ_C 157.9, 128.8, and 85.9, respectively), and 3J correlations between H-3', 5', 7', and 12/12' (δ_H 5.41) and, H-8/8' (δ_H 3.59). The HMBC spectrum also revealed 3J correlations between H-2/2'/6'/6'' (δ_H 7.28) and H-3/3'/5'/5'' (δ_H 6.77), H-7/7'' (δ_H 5.41), and H-8/8'' (δ_H 3.59). The HMBC spectrum also revealed 3J correlations between H-2/2'/6'/6'' (δ_H 7.28) and C-4'/4'', 6'/6'', and 7'/7'' (δ_C 157.9, 128.8, and 85.9, respectively), 3J and 3J correlations between H-3/3'/5'/5'' (δ_H 6.77) and C-1/1', 4/4'' (δ_C 133.8 and 157.9, respectively), 3J and 3J correlations between H-5/5' (δ_H 6.77) and C-1/1', 4/4' (δ_C 133.8 and 157.9, respectively), 3J and 3J correlations between H-10/10' (δ_H 6.03) and C-8/8', 12/12' (δ_C 59.0 and 101.8, respectively), and 3J correlations between H-8/8' (δ_H 3.59) and C-10/10' 14/14' (δ_C 108.9) (Supplementary materials, Table S5). After all, the data generated were closely related to the reported values of tricupisidapal A (5) [33, 38] (Figure 2).

Compound 6 was isolated as a brown amorphous solid using 90% EtOAc in n-hexane as eluent. The ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD) spectrum revealed eleven different signals that were assignable. Thus, aromatic signals with

(Supplementary materials, Table S4). Finally, the overall data were consistent with the reported values of myricetin (4) [37] (Figure 2).
AA′BB′ spin patterns were appeared at δ_H 7.19 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2, 6) and 6.78 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3, 5) (ring A1). The signals at δ_H 6.94 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2′, 6′) and δ_H 6.69 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-3′, 5′) possessing another AA′BB′ spin pattern were assignable to the protons in ring B1. The signals with a spin pattern AB at δ_H 6.45 (1H, s, H-14) and 6.18 (1H, s, H-12) correspond to the protons in ring A2. AB2 type protons were also observed at δ_H 6.14 (2H, s, H-10′, 14′) and 6.11 (1H, s, H-12′) assignable to the aromatic protons in ring B2. The spectrum also showed an olefinic proton at δ_H 6.25 (1H, s, H-7) and sp3 methine protons at δ_H 4.19 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz, H-7′) and 3.68 (1H, s, H-2′, H-8′), revealing the presence of a pentacyclic ring proton (ring C) (Supplementary materials, Table S6).

In its 13C NMR spectrum, the compound showed signals at δ_C 159.3 (C-11′, 13′) (ring B2), 158.4 (C-13) (ring A2), 157.4 (C-4) (ring A2), 156.4 (C-4′) (ring B1), and 155.6 (C-11) (ring A2) attributed to the oxygenated aromatic carbons. The signals at δ_C 150.2 (C-8), 146.2 (C-9′), 143.4 (C-9), 138.1 (C-1′), 130.5 (C-1), and 128.3 (C-10) were assignable to the nonoxygenated quaternary aromatic and olefinic (C-8) carbons of the structure. The spectrum also showed sp2 methine aromatic signals at δ_C 130.8 (C-2, 6), 129.1 (C-2′, 6′), 116.1 (C-3, 5), 115.9 (C-3′, 5′), 106.9 (C-10′, 14′), 104.2 (C-12), 103.6 (C-14), and 101.5 (C-12′) and a sp3 methine olefinic signal at δ_C 125.6 (C-7). Moreover, two more signals appeared at δ_C 65.1 (C-8′) and 55.6 (C-7′) corresponding to the sp2 methine carbons of the pentacyclic ring (ring C). The DEPT-135 spectrum of the compound indicated eight sp2 aromatic methine signals, one sp2 olefinic methine signal, and two sp3 methine signals and was compatible with the 13C NMR spectral data of the compound (Supplementary materials, Table S6).

The proton linkages and proton-to-carbon connectivity of the compound were verified through bond (COSY) and HMBC correlations, respectively. Thus, the COSY spectrum exhibited 1J correlations between H-2′/6 (δ_H 7.19) and H-3/5 (δ_H 6.78), H-2′/6′ (δ_H 6.94) and H-3′/5′ (δ_H 6.69), and H-7′ (δ_H 4.19) and H-8′ (δ_H 3.67). In addition, the HMBC spectrum showed 2J and 3J correlations between H-2′/6 (δ_H 7.19) and C-1, C-4, and C-7 (δ_C 130.5, 157.4, and 125.6, respectively), 3J correlations between H-7 (δ_H 6.25) and C-2/6 (δ_C 130.8), 3J correlations between H-14 (δ_H 6.45) and C-12 (δ_C 104.2), 1J correlations between H-2′/6′ (δ_H 6.94) and C-4′, 2J (δ_C 156.4 and 55.6, respectively), 1J correlations between H-3/5′ (δ_H 6.69) and C-1′ (δ_C 138.1), 2J and 3J correlations between H-7′ (δ_H 4.19) and C-1′, 9′ (δ_C 138.1 and 146.2, respectively), and 2J and 3J correlations between H-8′ (δ_H 3.68) and C-9′, 10′/14′ (δ_C 146.2 and 106.9, respectively) (Figure 3, Supplementary materials, Table S6). Overall, the spectral data were in good coherence with the literature values of parthenocissin A (6) [39] (Figure 2).

3.2. Antibacterial Activity. Antimicrobial resistance has been recognized among the major threats in folk medicine and food-producing animals [40]. Recent WHO reports also revealed alarming levels of antimicrobial resistance across many parts of the world [41]. To overcome this challenge, we conducted a comprehensive study on four bacterial strains (E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and S. pyogenes) to support the traditional relevance of the roots of C. adenocaule and introduce alternative sources of medicine. The prevalence of bacterial diseases in Ethiopia and traditional practices of the plant against them motivated us for the selection of the microorganisms.

In the present work, the in vitro antibacterial efficacy of the CH2Cl2:MeOH (1:1) extract and the isolated compounds (1–6) of C. adenocaule were evaluated against the four bacterial pathogens at four different concentrations (extract: 50, 25, 12.5, and 6.25 mg/mL and isolated compounds: 2, 1, 0.5, and 0.25 mg/mL). For the extract, the highest inhibitory diameters were recorded against E. coli (18.00 ± 0.00 mm) and S. aureus (17.16 ± 0.24 mm) at 50 mg/mL, compared to ciprofloxacin which showed 21.33 ± 0.47 and 21.50 ± 0.41 mm for E. coli and S. aureus, respectively, whereas, at the smallest concentration (6.25 mg/mL), the extract also displayed a better inhibition zone against E. coli (9.83 ± 0.24 mm) (Table 1). Our findings corroborate earlier research studies wherein the chloroform (22.5 mm) and methanol (15.0 mm) root extracts of C. adenocaule exhibited comparable antibacterial activities against E. coli and promising zones of diameters were also observed for chloroform (15.0 mm) and methanol (10.5 mm) extracts against S. aureus, at unknown concentrations [42]. In the present work, the activity of the extract was also compared to the antibacterial susceptibility of C. adenocaule extracts included in the survey of antimicrobial medicinal plants from Uganda [43]. Again, better antibacterial activities were recorded from our findings compared to the report of similar bacterial strains. Based on the data presented, the study established that the CH2Cl2:MeOH (1:1) root extract of the plant exhibits antibacterial properties against the chosen bacterial strains, which support its traditional relevance.

The activities of the isolated compounds (1–6) were also the subjects of this study. At the smallest concentration (0.25 mg/mL), the highest inhibitory effect was observed by tricispadiol A (5) (9.83 ± 0.24 mm) against E. coli followed by parthenocissin A (6) (9.67 ± 0.47 mm) and tricispadiol A (5) (9.33 ± 0.47 mm) against E. coli and S. aureus, respectively. Furthermore, at 2 mg/mL, the highest inhibitory diameter was also observed for tricispadiol A (5) (16.67 ± 0.47 mm) against S. aureus (Table 1).

The antibacterial properties of all the compounds were compared to similar reports of the plant [33]. Accordingly, β-sitosterol (1), 3-hydroxyisooagatholactone (2), ε-viniferin (3), and tricispadiol A (5) were the subjects of the previous report, and comparable antibacterial activities were reported with the present study. The report revealed the highest inhibitory diameters for ε-viniferin (3) (12.22 ± 0.74), β-sitosterol (1) (10.90 ± 1.54), and tricispadiol A (5) (10.79 ± 0.24) against S. aureus at 1 mg/mL compared to chloramphenicol standard (11.76 ± 0.77). Regardless of the reference drug, the results were as good as compared with the findings of the present study (Table 1). The previous report also suggested that 3-hydroxy-isoagatholactone (2) was identified and tested for the first time and displayed low
to moderate antibacterial activities, whereas, in the present work, the activities of the compound were also studied and better results were generated. Many works have been reported on the antimicrobial activities of β-sitosterol (1) and results revealed that the compound possesses low to moderate activities against different bacterial pathogens [31, 44, 45]. The previous activities were also in good agreement with the findings of the present study. Thus, the in vitro antibacterial experimental results support the use of *C. adenocaula* in traditional medicinal systems.

3.3. Radical Scavenging Activity. The antioxidant properties of all the compounds were evaluated and displayed auspicious results. In the experimental tests, a yellow coloration was observed for most of the prepared concentrations soon after the addition of DPPH solution (0.04 mg/L), indicating the potential radical scavenging capability of the compounds. Results also showed that the activities were dose-dependent and showed smooth correlations with concentration. Accordingly, the strongest activity was displayed by parthenocissin A (6) (94.37%) followed by tricuspidatol A (5), ε-viniferin (3), and myricetin (4) which revealed 93.07%, 91.97%, and 91.55%, respectively, at 1000 μg/mL, and the results were closer to ascorbic acid (96.40%). At the lowest concentration (62.5 μg/mL), the highest scavenging activity (%) was observed by ε-viniferin (3) (77.24%) followed by parthenocissin A (6) (76.32%), tricuspidatol A (5) (76.00%), and myricetin (4) (75.49%) compared to ascorbic acid (82.78%). The IC₅₀ values of the compounds were also calculated from the relationship curves. Accordingly, ε-viniferin (3) exhibited the strongest IC₅₀ value (0.32 μg/mL) followed by tricuspidatol A (5), parthenocissin A (6), and myricetin (4) (0.67, 0.98, and 1.05 μg/mL, respectively). The calculation results were not very far from the IC₅₀ value of ascorbic acid (0.075 μg/mL). In contrast, the weakest IC₅₀ value was recorded by β-sitosterol (1) (9.87 μg/mL) which was far apart from the standard (Table 2 and Figure 4).

Generally, the radical scavenging activity of the compounds can be related to their proton-donating capabilities. As a result, ε-viniferin (3), myricetin (4), tricuspidatol A (5), and parthenocissin A (6), which are comprised of many proton-donating sites, showed strong scavenging activities. The IC₅₀ values were calculated from the logarithmic regressions of the relationship curves on a Microsoft Excel 2016 spreadsheet. Accordingly, the trend line analyses of the compounds generated the following equations, and the values were calculated using logarithmic relationships (Figure 4). β-Sitosterol (1): \( Y = 6.8687\ln(x) + 34.269, R^2 = 0.9873; \) 3-hydroxy isoagatholactone (2): \( Y = 5.7188\ln(x) + 42.722, R^2 = 0.9809; \) ε-viniferin (3): \( Y = 5.1418\ln(x^2) + 55.85, R^2 = 0.9989; \) myricetin (4): \( Y = 6.1315\ln(x) + 49.647, R^2 = 0.9848; \) tricuspidatol A (5): \( Y = 5.9569\ln(x) + 52.345, R^2 = 0.9850; \) parthenocissin A (6): \( Y = 6.5195\ln(x) + 50.101, R^2 = 0.9885; \) and ascorbic acid: \( Y = 5.009\ln(x) + 62.933, R^2 = 0.9914, \) and the Y values were labeled as 50.

3.4. Molecular Docking Studies. In the present study, the protein targets were selected based on their metabolic importance to the microorganisms, the respective strains’ *in vitro* antibacterial and antioxidant activities, and the similarity of the compound to the cocrystallized ligands in the protein complex. In the PDB database, proteins are found in complexes with ligands (cocrystallization). Target proteins are prepared from the cocrystallized structures by removing water molecules, identifying the appropriate binding sites, and adding polar hydrogens. The prepared proteins must have a suitable binding pocket/active site into which a drug or drug-like molecule can bind, and the structures of the cocrystallized ligands play prominent

**Figure 3:** Important HMBC correlations of compounds 2 and 3–6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strain</th>
<th>Conc. (mg/mL)</th>
<th>CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH extract</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Ciprofloxacin (30μg/disc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>12.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>13.50 ± 0.87</td>
<td>14.30 ± 0.47</td>
<td>14.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>16.17 ± 0.24</td>
<td>15.33 ± 0.24</td>
<td>21.33 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>10.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>12.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>13.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>12.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>7.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>11.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>13.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>14.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>14.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>16.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>15.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>21.50 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>12.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>8.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>8.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>12.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>12.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>14.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>13.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>12.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>13.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>20.00 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>11.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>10.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.50 ± 0.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>7.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pyogenes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>12.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>12.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>15.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>14.50 ± 0.00</td>
<td>13.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>13.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>21.00 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>11.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.50 ± 0.41</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.24</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.81</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>7.83 ± 0.24</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               |               | CH$_2$Cl$_2$, dichloromethane; MeOH, methanol; NA, no activity; SD, standard deviation. For CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH (1:1) extract, 2: 50 mg/mL, 1: 25 mg/mL, 0.5: 12.5 mg/mL, and 0.25: 6.25 mg/mL. |
Table 2: DPPH radical scavenging assay (%) of the isolated compounds (1–6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (µg/mL)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>61.79</td>
<td>65.43</td>
<td>77.24</td>
<td>75.49</td>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>76.32</td>
<td>82.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>67.78</td>
<td>70.18</td>
<td>81.17</td>
<td>77.66</td>
<td>81.95</td>
<td>81.77</td>
<td>87.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>73.41</td>
<td>76.41</td>
<td>83.47</td>
<td>84.77</td>
<td>86.06</td>
<td>87.17</td>
<td>91.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>77.01</td>
<td>78.26</td>
<td>87.35</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>89.10</td>
<td>90.86</td>
<td>94.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>80.98</td>
<td>81.21</td>
<td>91.97</td>
<td>91.55</td>
<td>93.07</td>
<td>94.37</td>
<td>96.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC₅₀ (µg/mL)</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4**: Graphical portrayal of the DPPH radical scavenging power (%) of the compounds of *C. adenocaule* against different concentrations.

3.4.1. Molecular Docking Study against DNA Gypse B (*E. coli*). The molecular docking process against DNA gyrase B (*E. coli*) revealed binding affinities of −7.4, −7.3, −7.1, −6.9, and −6.6 kcal/mol for tricuspidatol A (5), parthenocissin A (6), (ε-viniferin (3) and myricetin (4)), 3-hydroxy isoagatholactone (2), and β-sitosterol (1), respectively, and all the compounds displayed smaller binding affinity than ciprofloxacin (−7.6 kcal/mol). This was consistent with the *in vitro* experimental results of the compounds (Table 1). Small values of binding affinities are always related to good stability and hence improved binding interaction of compounds/ligands with protein binding sites. Accordingly, tricuspidatol A (5) displayed greater binding affinity (−7.4 kcal/mol) against DNA gyrase B than the others (Table 3). The H-bond and residual amino acid interactions of the compounds along with ciprofloxacin were also clearly stated. H-bond interactions were observed between 3-hydroxy isoagatholactone (2) and Gly-77, ε-viniferin (3) and Asp-73 and Arg-76, myricetin (4) and Arg-76, Gly-77 and Asp-73, tricuspidatol A (5) and Thr-165, Ile-94 and Asp-49, and parthenocissin A (6) and Gly-77 and Arg-136, of which ε-viniferin (3) and myricetin (4) shared similar H-bond interactions with ciprofloxacin (Arg-76, Asn-46, and Asp-73) (Table 3 and Figure 5).

3.4.2. Molecular Docking Study against *P. aeruginosa* PqsA. The binding interactions of the isolated compounds against *P. aeruginosa* PqsA were also consistent with their *in vitro* antibacterial activities (Table 1). The highest binding affinity was displayed by ε-viniferin (3) (−9.2 kcal/mol), followed by parthenocissin A (6) and myricetin (4) (−9.0 and −8.8 kcal/mol, respectively). All the compounds except β-sitosterol (1) showed H-bond interactions with at least one amino acid residue. Thus, 3-hydroxy isoagatholactone (2) showed H-bond interaction with Gln-34, ε-viniferin (3) with Asn-242 and Gly-27, myricetin (4) with Gly-27, tricuspidatol A (5) with Arg-200, Ser-226, and Ser-31, and parthenocissin A (6) with Asn-84, Lys-206, and Phe-208, and more than two H-bond interactions were displayed by tricuspidatol A (5) and parthenocissin A (6) with better binding interaction than ciprofloxacin which formed two H-bond interactions to −7.5 kcal/mol against DNA gyrase B, PqsA, PK, human myeloperoxidase, and topoisomerase II α protein targets, respectively. The most stable binding energy was observed for ε-viniferin (3) (−9.9 kcal/mol) against topoisomerase II α, followed by ε-viniferin (3) and parthenocissin A (6) (−9.2 kcal/mol each) against PqsA and topoisomerase II α, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound/ligand</th>
<th>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>H-bond</th>
<th>Hydrophobic/electrostatic/halogen</th>
<th>Residual amino acid interactions</th>
<th>Van der Waals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
<td>Gly-77</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Asn-46, Glu-50, Asp-49, Asp-73, Thr-165, Gly-75, Ile-78, Pro-79, and Ile-94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−7.4</td>
<td>Thr-165, Ile-94, and Asp-49</td>
<td>Glu-50, Ile-78, and Ile-94</td>
<td>Val-120, Val-97, Ser-121, Asn-46, Gly-77, Asp-73, Arg-76, and Ala-53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>−7.6</td>
<td>Asp-73, Asn-46, and Arg-76</td>
<td>Glu-50, Arg-76, Gly-77 Asn-46, Ile-94, and Ile-78</td>
<td>Pro-79, Thr-165, and Ala-47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound/ligand</td>
<td>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>H-bond</td>
<td>Hydrophobic/electrostatic</td>
<td>Residual amino acid interactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Trp-233, Pro-234, and Val-254</td>
<td>Ile-204, Ile-257, Ala-256, Pro-205, Lys-206, Phe-208, Pro-255, Ser-280, Gly-279, and Phe-209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−8.5</td>
<td>Gln-34</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ala-245, Phe-246, Arg-247, Ser-31, Asp-19, Arg-200, Ala-21, Thr-20, Thr-29, and His-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−8.8</td>
<td>Gly-27</td>
<td>Phe-246</td>
<td>Ser-31, Asp-19, Arg-247, His-24, Ala-245, Tyr-25, Gln-28, Leu-228, Thr-29, and Ala-21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−8.7</td>
<td>Arg-200, Ser-226, and Ser-31</td>
<td>Ala-21, Arg-200, and Thr-29</td>
<td>Ala-245, Arg-247, Gln-34, Asp-19, Thr-20, Phe-246, Arg-200, His-24, Ala-21, Gly-198, and Thr-29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−9.0</td>
<td>Phe-208, Asn-84, and Lys-206</td>
<td>Trp-233, Lys-206, Pro-234</td>
<td>Lys-86, Ile-257, Phe-209, Pro-205, and Ile-204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>Asp-19 and Arg-200</td>
<td>His-24 and Ala-21</td>
<td>Asp-19, Ser-31, Ala-21, Ser-31, Thr-20, Thr-29, and Arg-200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound/ligand</td>
<td>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>H-bond</td>
<td>Residual amino acid interactions</td>
<td>Van der Waals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>Asp-303, salt bridge; attractive charge, Asn-29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arg-264, Lys-342, Tyr-302, Lys-260, and Asp-303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−8.3</td>
<td>Thr-366 and Ala-358</td>
<td>Ile-361 and His-365</td>
<td>Thr-353, Asn-369, Ser-362, Thr-366, and Ala-358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>Ser-362, Thr-366, and Asn-369</td>
<td>His-365 and Ile-361</td>
<td>Ala-358, Asn-369, Thr-348, Ile-361, and Met-467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound/ligand</td>
<td>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>H-bond</td>
<td>Hydrophobic/electrostatic</td>
<td>Residual amino acid interactions</td>
<td>Van der Waals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
<td>Trp-514, Glu-515, and Arg-507</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ile-290, Arg-294, Trp-513, Tyr-293, Met-306, Leu-310, Pro-311, Thr-312, and Tyr-313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Molecular docking study of the isolated compounds (3–6) and etoposide against topoisomerase II α.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound/ligand</th>
<th>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>H-bond</th>
<th>Residual amino acid interactions</th>
<th>Van der Waals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−7.5</td>
<td>Gly-1007 and Met-669</td>
<td>Arg-672, Arg-673, Glu-712, and Phe-1003</td>
<td>Pro-724, Arg-727, Ser-717, Lys-676, and Cys-1008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Continued.
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3.4.3. Molecular Docking Study against S. aureus PK. In the present work, the ligand-S. aureus PK interactions of the isolated compounds were also established and tricuspidatol A (5) exhibited maximum binding affinity (−8.7 kcal/mol) followed by parthenocissin A (6) (−8.5 kcal/mol) and myricetin (4) (−8.3 kcal/mol). The binding affinities of the compounds were almost closer to the ciprofloxacin standard (−8.9 kcal/mol) and agreed with the experimental in vitro activities (Table 1). The molecular docking scores also showed H-bond interactions between β-sitosterol (1) and Ala-337, 3-hydroxyisoagatholactone (2) and Asp-303 and Asn-29, ε-viniferin (3) and Lys-260 and Asn-299, myricetin (4) and Thr-366 and Ala-358, tricuspidatol A (5) and Lys-260, Arg-264, Asn-299, and Tyr-302, and parthenocissin A (6) and Lys-260, Asp-339, Gln-338, Asn-299, and Asp-261 (Table 5 and Figure 7).

3.4.4. Molecular Docking Study against Human Myeloperoxidase. The in vitro antioxidant capabilities of four compounds (3–6) were confirmed with a molecular docking study against the human myeloperoxidase (PDB: 1DNU) target. The binding affinities were recorded in the range of −7.9 kcal/mol (ε-viniferin (3)) to −6.9 kcal/mol (myricetin (4)), and the docking scores were less than ascorbic acid (−8.1 kcal/mol). This was consistent with the in vitro experimental results of the compounds (Table 2). The H-bond and residual amino acid report showed that all the investigated compounds exhibited H-bond interactions with at least one amino acid. Accordingly, H-bond interactions were observed by ε-viniferin (3) with Arg-424, Asp-94, and Glu-102, myricetin (4) with Gln-91 and Arg-333, tricuspidatol A (5) with Glu-102, and parthenocissin A (6) with Arg-323 (Table 6 and Figure 8).

3.4.5. Molecular Docking Study against Topoisomerase II α. Notably, the uses of C. adenocaule against various types of cancer cell lines have been reported. Matata and co-workers reported that the root extracts of the plant exhibit cytotoxic properties against HeLa cervical cancer cell lines [46]. According to the report, the ethyl acetate fractions showed high toxicity activities against the HeLa cervical cancer cells with IC50 = 3.4 ± 0.3 μg/mL. Similarly, the methanol and ethyl acetate fractions exhibited moderate and high toxicity, respectively, against Artemia nauplii with higher toxicity than cyclophosphamide standard supporting cytotoxic properties against cancer cell lines [46]. Thus, inspired by its considerable anticancer properties, the in silico molecular docking profile of four compound isolates (3–6) was computed against the topoisomerase II α (PDB ID: 4FM9) protein target, and promising results were recorded. ε-Viniferin (3) showed the maximum binding affinity (−9.9 kcal/mol) followed by parthenocissin A (6) and tricuspidatol A (5) (−9.2 and −8.7 kcal/mol, respectively). ε-Viniferin (3) also depicted greater binding affinity than etoposide (−9.4 kcal/mol), hence good binding interactions. The ligand-topoisomerase II α binding interactions were also stabilized through the formation of H-bonds between ε-viniferin (3) and Asp-831, Glu-839, His-1005, Glu-712, and Ile-715, myricetin (4) and Gly-1007 and Met-669, tricuspidatol A (5) and Met-669, Glu-839, and Glu-712, and parthenocissin A (6) and Arg-673, Gly-1007, Arg-727, and Glu-837 accounting proportional number of H-bonds with etoposide (Lys-827, Glu-839, His-1005, and Met-669 (C-H bond)) (Table 7 and Figure 9).
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Figure 6: 2D (left) and 3D (right) binding interactions of compounds 1–6 and ciprofloxacin against the N-terminal domain of PqsA. (a) Compound 1. (b) Compound 2. (c) Compound 3. (d) Compound 4. (e) Compound 5. (f) Compound 6. (g) Ciprofloxacin.

Figure 7: Continued.
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3.5. In Silico Drug-Likeness Predictions. The *in silico* drug-likeness properties of all the compounds were established based on Lipinski’s rule of five [27]. The canonical SMILES of each compound were generated from the respective chemical structures and uploaded to the SwissADME tool to produce the pharmacokinetic and physicochemical profiles of the compounds. The estimation method indicated that 3-hydroxyisoagatholactone (2) and ε-viniferin (3) fulfill Lipinski’s rule with zero violation and were in good agreement with the ciprofoxacin standard (no violation) (Table 8). The prediction outcomes also revealed that all the compounds generated lipophilicity (iLogP) values ranging from 1.08 to 4.79 (<5) showing their optimum lipophilicity. The NRB values of all the compounds (<7) also showed their conformational stability [47]. The total TPSA values which are used to predict the bioavailability and permeability of the compounds were in the range of 20.23–151.59 Å². Thus, except myricetin (4), all the compounds displayed lower TPSA values than the cutoff value (140 Å²), revealing their safe absorption in the intestine.

3.6. ADMET Properties. In the present work, we investigated the *in silico* pharmacological screening predictions (ADME) of the compounds with the help of the SwissADME tool. The important ADME properties related to the pharmacokinetic profile of the compounds are displayed in Table 9. The log Kp values of the compounds were in the range of −7.40 (myricetin (4)) up to −2.20 cm/s (β-sitosterol (1)). Literature surveys revealed that the smaller the logKp, the lesser the skin permeability of the molecule [28]. Thus, myricetin (4) and tricuspidatol A (5) displayed promising logKp values (−7.40 and −6.14 cm/s, respectively) closer to ciprofoxacin (−9.09 cm/s). High values of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and gastrointestinal absorption are also related to the safe absorption and distribution of drug molecules [47]. Accordingly, 3-hydroxyisoagatholactone (2) and ε-viniferin (3) displayed high GIA values and hence good absorption in the intestine. The prediction tool also exhibited that all the investigated compounds generated negative (No) P-gp substrate values and hence noninhibitors of most of the evaluated cytochrome enzymes. Inhibition interactions
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Figure 8: 2D (left) and 3D (right) binding interactions of compounds 3–6 and ascorbic acid against human myeloperoxidase. (a) Compound 3. (b) Compound 4. (c) Compound 5. (d) Compound 6. (e) Ascorbic acid.

Figure 9: Continued.
were verified by myricetin (4) against CYP1A2, 3-hydroxyisoagatholactone (2), and ε-viniferin (3) against CYP2C9 and myricetin (4), and tricuspidatol A (5) against CYP3A4.

The toxicological classifications of drugs/chemicals are conveyed in terms of LD₅₀ (median lethal dose) which is the amount of drug that is lethal to one-half (50%) of the experimental organisms viable to it [48]. Acute toxicity studies
are performed to have safer routes of exposure (i.e., inhalation, dermal, and oral) and rodents are mostly employed to evaluate the lethal dose \[49\]. According to EPA’s 4 categories of hazard classifications, compounds/chemicals with LD\(_{50} \leq 50\) mg/kg are highly toxic, 50 < LD\(_{50} \leq 500\) mg/kg (moderately toxic), 500 < LD\(_{50} \leq 5000\) mg/kg (slightly toxic) and LD\(_{50} \) > 5000 mg/kg (safe chemicals) \[49\]. Hence, all the investigated compounds showed no acute toxicity (Table 10). The prediction outcomes also revealed that all the compounds showed no cytotoxicity and hepatotoxicity properties. This was consistent with the toxicity prediction values of ciprofloxacin. ε-Viniferin (3) and myricetin (4) were active towards carcinogenicity, β-sitosterol (1), 3-hydroxy isoaegatholactone (2), ε-viniferin (3), and parthenocissin A (6) showed immunotoxicity, and only myricetin (4) was active towards mutagenicity. Thus, based on the prediction methods, the compounds of study possess drug candidate properties.

4. Conclusion

The emergence of novel pathogens and the growth of antibiotic resistance raise momentous apprehensions in the healthcare industry. In this study, six compounds were isolated from \(C. adenocaule\) (roots) and their \textit{in vitro} antioxidant and antibacterial properties were studied. The antibacterial tests showed that the compounds exhibit valuable activities, and at the smallest concentration (0.25 mg/mL), the highest inhibition zones were displayed by tricuspidatol A (5) (9.83 ± 0.24) and parthenocissin A (6) (9.67 ± 0.47) against \(E. coli\), which were smaller than the reference drug (ciprofloxacin) but unquestionably significant. The antioxidant efficacy of the compounds was also promising. ε-Viniferin (3) (IC\(_{50} = 0.32\) μg/mL) exhibited the strongest DPPH radical scavenging activity compared to the others. The binding affinities of the compounds were
also consistent with the in vitro experimental studies. 

ε-Viniferin (3) (−9.9 kcal/mol) displayed the highest binding affinity against topoisomerase II α protein target. The toxicity predictions showed no cytotoxicity and hepatotoxicity effects for all the compounds consolidating their drug likeness properties. Thus, the CH₂Cl₂:MeOH (1:1) extract of C. adenocaule is suggested as a valuable source of natural antibacterial and antioxidant compounds, contributing opportunities for emerging novel pharmaceuticals, which also supports the traditional uses of C. adenocaule. We also suggest further efforts to attempt the plant’s phytochemistry to isolate novel compounds with additional biological activities that are not included in this study.
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