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Alternative reproductive tactics and strategies (ARTS) refer to polymorphic reproductive behaviours in which in addition to the usual two sexes, there are one or more alternative morphs, usually male, that have evolved the ability to circumvent direct intra-sexual competition. Each morph has its own morphological, ecological, developmental, behavioural, life-history, and physiological profile that shifts the balance between reproduction and self-maintenance, one aspect being immunity. Immunoecological work on species with ARTS, which is the topic of this review, is particularly interesting because the alternative morphs make it possible to separate the effects of sex per se from other factors that in other species are inextricably linked with sex. We first summarize the evolution, development, and maintenance of ARTS. We then review immunoecological hypotheses relevant to species with ARTS, dividing them into physiological, life-history, and ecological hypotheses. In context of these hypotheses, we critically review in detail all immunoecological studies we could find on species with ARTS. Several interesting patterns emerge. Oddly, there is a paucity of studies on insects, despite the many benefits that arise from working with insects: larger sample sizes, simple immune systems, and countless forms of alternative reproductive strategies and tactics. Of all the hypotheses considered, the immunocompetence handicap hypothesis has generated the greatest amount of work, but not necessarily the greatest level of understanding. Unfortunately, it is often used as a general guiding principle rather than a source of explicitly articulated predictions. Other hypotheses are usually considered a posteriori, but perhaps they should take centre stage. Whereas blanket concepts such as “immunocompetence” and “androgens” might be useful to develop a rationale, predictions need to be far more explicitly articulated. Integration so far has been a one-way street, with ecologists delving deeper into physiology, sometimes at the cost of ignoring their organisms’ evolutionary history and ecology. One possible useful framework is to divide ecological and evolutionary factors affecting immunity into those that stimulate the immune system, and those that depress it. Finally, the contributions of genomics to ecology are being increasingly recognized and sometimes applied to species with ARTS, but we must ensure that evolutionary and ecological hypotheses drive the effort, as there is no grandeur in the strict reductionist view of life.

1. Introduction

At its essence, biological variation is categorical (ACGT), but because of the interacting effects of multiple alleles, genes, and environments, it is usually expressed phenotypically as continuous variation. Nevertheless, discrete, non-continuous variation is surprisingly common. For example, 334 instances of plumage polymorphisms occur in birds, in about 3.5% of all species, distributed across 53 of the 143 families, and 14 of the 23 orders [1]. In insects, wing polymorphisms are common [2, 3], and polymorphisms producing distinct castes [4] are one of the best-known characteristics of eusocial insects. Of all the types of discontinuous variation, morphological variation is perhaps the most readily evident, but it is usually associated with similarly discontinuous variation in life history and behaviour, all of which is, of course, regulated physiologically. For example, insects’ wing polymorphisms [2] are associated with dispersal polymorphisms [3] and mediated by juvenile hormone [5]. A wide assortment of other such
polymorphisms exist, for example, in chemosensory recognition [6], foraging [7], fighting [8], and in reproduction [9]. In this review, we examine immunity in species with a specific type of polymorphic reproductive behaviours.

Alternative reproductive tactics and strategies (ARTS) refer to polymorphic reproductive behaviours in which in addition to the usual two sexes, there are one or more alternate morphs, usually male, that have evolved the ability to circumvent direct intra-sexual competition. Unfortunately, the ARTS literature has become a definitional quandary because as it developed, it relied on colloquial terms that were given additional and special meanings. Semantically, “strategies” are simply long-term “tactics,” and “tactics” are nothing more than short-term “strategies,” big picture versus detailed plans, relatively immutable versus highly variable. In the evolutionary ecology literature, the term “strategy” was initially used to refer to variation resulting from genetic effects, and the term “tactic” to variation resulting from environmental factors [10, 11]. This nomenclature carries with it the implicit assumption that genetic effects are permanent and environmental effects are ephemeral. Although this way of thinking is outdated and unnecessary [12, 13], the association still exists in the literature and in people's minds.

Similarly, some authors use the term “polymorphic reproductive behaviours” synonymously with “alternative reproductive behaviours” (reviewed by [9]). However, the term “polymorphic” is more general, literally only implying the existence of more than one form. In contrast, one sense of the adjective “alternative” implies that all options are not equal, and that some of them are not the conventional or mainstream, as in “alternative lifestyle” or “alternative music.” In this sense, the term “alternative reproductive behaviour” actually refers to reproductive behaviour that is absent in phylogenetically or ecologically related species, which only have the “normal” behaviour. For example, in the halictid bee Lasiglossum erythrum [14, 15] and the andrenid bee Perdita portalis [16, 17], “normal” males leave the nest in search of mates, as in related species, but in both species there are also “alternative” males. These are large and flightless males that do not leave the nest but instead fight for access to females within the nest. Before ARTS were conceptually unified, they were recognized and described in many species. Therefore, a variety of names have been used to refer to the “normal” and “alternative” morphs, such as independent and satellites, fighters and scramblers, fighters and sneakers, bourgeois and kleptogamic, phallic and aphasis, bourgeois and parasitic, M+ and M-, and producers and scroungers, but in many respects, these pairs of terms are functionally equivalent.

Several authors have tried to clarify this nomenclature [12, 13, 18], evidently to no avail, probably because of the pervasiveness of the colloquial sense of the co-opted words, the need for continuity, and, as always, intellectual inertia. Here, we will explicitly define the terms we use and the scope of this review. First, we use the term “alternative reproductive behaviours” to mean a specific type of polymorphic reproductive behaviours in which there is a conventional, “normal” reproductive behaviour, and one or more alternative tives. The “normal” behaviour is found in related species in which the “alternative” does not exist. The alternative has been referred to as the “disfavoured role” [19], but it does not have to be so. The alternative might actually be the main or primary reproductive behaviour [20]. For example, in the fallfish minnow (Semotilus corporalis), less than 10% of mature males are territorial and the rest as sneakers [21]. Second, we will not place any limitations on the underlying mechanisms, genetic or environmental, but we shall distinguish whether the reproductive behaviours and morphs are fixed for the individual’s entire lifetime, or plastic, when individuals change from one to another [22]. If plastic, we will make the distinction of whether the change occurs only once, or the change(s) is/are reversible [13, 23, 24]. In most cases, we will be addressing cases in which these alternative reproductive behaviours are relatively long-term, at least sufficiently so to affect immunity, but to avoid the dilemma of when exactly a tactic becomes a strategy and vice-versa, we shall use the more inclusive term “alternative reproductive tactics and strategies” (ARTS).

Species with ARTS have at least one additional life-history pathway that is not present in other species. These alternative reproductive pathways require a new set of central and peripheral adaptations that in other species are strictly associated with each sex. Hence, species with ARTS make it possible to separate the effects of sex per se from the physiological, morphological, or behavioural differences between the morphs, providing additional combinations of reproductive traits within each sex [25, 26].

In this paper, we first briefly address the evolution, maintenance, and development of ARTS, relying mostly on several excellent reviews [12, 13, 27], and focusing primarily on areas specifically relevant to immunoecology. Second, we examine several ultimate and ecologically based proximate hypotheses that predict differences in immune function between the sexes, and by extension, among morphs in species with ARTS. Third, we review in detail immunoecological work on species with ARTS, specifically relating it to the aforementioned hypotheses. Finally, we offer prospects for future work, both for researchers already working with species with ARTS and researchers working on immunoecology, and, of course, to guide our own future endeavours.

2. Evolution, Maintenance, and Development

We include a brief summary of the evolution, development, and maintenance of ARTS. This section should not be considered a comprehensive review; several are available [9, 28–30]. The purpose here is merely to establish some common ground to facilitate the ensuing discussion.

2.1. Origin and Maintenance of ARTS. The stage is set up for the evolution or ARTS when a high population density coupled with intense intrasexual selection allows only the largest and strongest males to obtain most of the copulations [31]. Interactions among males might include territorial defence, direct confrontations, and extended and/or elaborate sexual displays. In such situations, males are selected to divert resources towards growth and, given that they are
unable to reproduce while they are small, perhaps even to
delay sexual maturity. On the other hand, this scenario also
favours adaptations that allow males to reproduce while
avoiding this intense competition. Contrary to popular
belief, males seldom “want a challenge” when it comes to
mating. Males are usually quite willing to mate opportunis-
tically, without having to search for females, compete with
other males, establish and defend territories, court females,
or pay any of the costs associated with reproduction. ARTS
are long-term, sometimes permanent, distinct phenotypes
specializing in opportunistic low-cost mating. Because these
specializations are relatively long-term to permanent, ARTS
have evolved along with a wide range of similarly long-term
to permanent behavioural, morphological, and physiological
adaptations.

We usually observe the result of evolution but seldom do
we have the privilege of witnessing evolution in action.
When ARTS first begin to evolve in a population, avoiding
intra-sexual competition is only the first step. Before the
alternative male phenotype is established, females are under
strong selection to avoid mating with small, subordinate
males. Hence, for “alternative” males to be successful, they
must not only avoid direct competition with “normal”
males, but also bypass female choice. In many cases, they
do both via sneak copulations. However, once the alternative
morph is established, females would no longer be selected to
avoid these males; in fact, the loci for male morph and
female preference for that morph would be linked [32].
Thereafter, female preference for the alternative morph
might fluctuate, depending on the morph proportions and
their relative reproductive success. A final requirement is
disruptive selection, whereby intermediate males are disfa-
voured, both because of female avoidance [33] and because
of their inability to compete with either of the two other
types of males (Figure 1).

In species with ARTS, each morph takes a different
approach to obtaining copulations, so antagonistic selection
[34] does not occur just between two sexes, but also between
morphs within a sex. In due time, through condition-
dependent and pleiotropic effects, genetic correlations
develop between traits, whether or not these traits are func-
tionally related [35, 36]. These sets of traits and their interre-
lationships often have a long evolutionary history, and
striking examples occur in sexual dimorphic sets of behav-
ioral and morphological traits [32, 37, 38]. Furthermore,
intra-locus sexual conflict, whereby different optima for each
sex exist within a locus, is extended to include intra-locus
conflict for different morphs within one sex [39, 40].

On an evolutionary time scale, ARTS are relatively
ephemeral; they do not become permanent features of rela-
tively large clades. For example, a phylogenetic analysis of
blennies, wrasses, and salmonids indicates that ARTS
evolved independently in several lineages, but they also read-
ily disappeared [20]. In the side-blotched lizard (Uta stans-
buriana), described in more detail below, there are 3 male
morphs. The proportion of these 3 morphs varies geographi-
cally, and all morphs are not present in all populations. Ter-
ritorial morphs can continue to function without sneakers,
but sneakers cannot function in the absence of territorials.

So, whenever one of the morphs is absent, it is always the
sneaker morph. Allergic frequencies immediately shift when
sneakers are lost in a population, causing rapid morpholog-
ical divergence, and leading to distinct populations, or even
sub-species [41]. The disappearance of polymorphisms is
one possible mechanism of speciation [42].

Regardless of how long they last within a lineage, the
maintenance of ARTS can be considered in the same context
as, or as an extension of, sex allocation theory [43–45].
Essentially, a negative frequency-dependent system is set
up whereby the alternatives accrue differential benefits that
depend on each other’s frequency in the population and/or
their respective fitness. Costs and benefits of each morph
depend on population dynamics, such as the changes in
the density and proportion of morphs in a population.
Genetic variation between and within morphs might code
for developmental pathways leading to ARTS, and for
responses to environmental variation that specifically take
into account morph proportions. In both cases, ARTS might
be selected against when the balance between costs and ben-
efits gets tipped in favour of a single phenotype. Therefore,
to understand the maintenance of ARTS, it is important to
study not only the genetics, but also the costs and benefits
of the associated traits [13].

2.2. Development of Morphs. Depending on the species,
morphs develop via a variety of hormonal mechanisms [46].
ARTS can be fixed or flexible; if flexible, they can be reversible
or not. These options evolve depending on the mating oppor-
tunities, and the costs and benefits involved in switching back
and forth. The benefit of fixed morphs is that they can be more
specialized, behaviourally, morphologically, and physiologi-
cally, and that specialization can begin to take shape as soon
as one developmental path is chosen over the other. The draw-
back of a fixed morph is the loss of opportunities, which may
be more important when mating opportunities vary widely
spatially or temporally. Hence, reversible choices are more
likely to occur in adults and take place relatively quickly, in a
matter of days, whereas non-reversible choices occur more
often at an earlier developmental stage and their development
takes relatively longer [47].

Whether they are reversible or not, when morph changes
are plastic, they develop via threshold mechanisms. These
mechanisms entail monitoring the environment, biotic and
abiotic factors, particularly the density and frequency of con-
specific morphs, and comparing that information against
some internal threshold to choose whether to start changing.
All aspects of the process are regulated by hormones. The
rate of hormone synthesis, secretion, and degradation, and
the expression, production, and binding affinities of recep-
tors all vary and are under selection [47]. In insects, these
changes are usually regulated by juvenile hormone [48],
and in vertebrates, by sex steroids. One-time changes are
more both onerous and carry more long-term consequences
than reversible changes; hence, they are under stronger
selection. In the few cases in which morph determination
is strictly genetic, peripheral adaptations can begin to
develop as early as possible. In fact, genes associated with a
given morph are expected to be linked.
In vertebrates, hormonal control of sexual development traits is usually separated into organizational effects, which occur early in development, and activation effects, which occur during adulthood [49]. For example, the sexual differentiation of the brain and genitalia, which begin before birth or hatch, is an organizational event, whereas changes in behaviour and morphology that occur every breeding season are activational events. In addition, there is puberty, a period of both re-organization and activation [50]. This paradigm has been extended to the development of morphs in species with ARTS [23], the suggestion being that regulation is relatively more important in species with fixed morphs, and activation relatively more important in species with plastic morphs. However, a survey of the literature fails to find support for this hypothesis [13]. A thorough analysis indicates that the situation is complex: depending on the species, several biochemically related androgens are involved in the development of ARTS, in different proportions and with different effects. One generalization that does arise is that androgens are more important in the development of morphological ARTS than in the development of strictly behavioural ARTS [13].

Finally, in the standard vertebrate, sex hormones released by the gonads not only regulate reproduction but also morphology and behaviour. In species with ARTS, sex hormones regulate gametogenesis in both morphs, but their effects on morphology and behaviour differ between morphs. Therefore, it is important not only to examine the production, release, and distribution of various hormones, but also their effects on target tissues.

3. Immunoecological Hypotheses Relevant to Species with ARTS

The immune system protects animals against parasites. Parasites are defined here broadly and functionally to include organisms that live in or on a heterospecific animal, the host, obtain nutrients primarily from the host, and have the potential to decrease its fitness [51]. Pathogenicity can vary, and parasites often coexist with their hosts without causing any measurable deleterious effects most of the time, but can suddenly overwhelm immunocompromised hosts [52, 53]. Resistance to parasites is often measured by the “prevalence,” the number or proportion of hosts in a population infected by a given parasite, and “intensity,” the mean number of parasites in individual hosts. Like all other physiological processes, immune function carries an energetic cost. This cost has been confirmed by direct energetic measures (e.g., [54–56]) and by examining trade-offs between immune function and other energy-demanding processes (e.g., [57–59]). This concept of trade-offs is pivotal to the study of immunity in an evolutionary ecology context, and the basis for several ultimate and proximate hypotheses detailed below. This section presents these hypotheses and their predictions, in the context of species with ARTS. These hypotheses do overlap and hence are not mutually exclusive, but for the purposes of this discussion, they are divided into physiological, life history, and ecological hypotheses.

3.1. Physiological

3.1.1. Immunocompetence Handicap Hypothesis (ICHH).

The ICHH posits that honesty in vertebrate sexual signals is maintained because testosterone, the hormone that causes the development of sexual signals in males, also has the effect of depressing immune function [60]. Hence, a trade-off between display and immune protection is expected, and males who can afford to depress their immunity are better able to develop more prominent sexual displays. The honesty of these sexual signals (sensu [61]) is guaranteed by their immunological cost. Although only testosterone and only males were mentioned in the original hypothesis, in its general form, the hypothesis also applies to several other androgens, and to females to the extent that they are exposed to androgens.

Tests of the ICHH have been correlational and experimental. Correlationally, males should be immunosuppressed relative to females, more so at times of testosterone peaks during their breeding cycles. Experimentally, exposure to testosterone should enhance sexual traits in males and decrease immune function. Conversely, an experimental decrease in testosterone should depress the development of sexual traits and improve immune function. Of course, the timing of any such manipulations is important. Depending
on the species, one would have to consider the timing of the development of seasonal sexual traits, and the timing of the breeding season itself. Nevertheless, in both instances of experimental exposure to testosterone, organisms would be moved away from their individual optima, and regardless of the effects on immunity or sexual signals, this imposed change should have an overall negative effect on fitness. In general, most studies fail to find support for the key prediction that testosterone depresses immunity (reviewed by [62]).

In the ICHH, the exact nature of the link between immunity and sexual traits depends on the specific effects of testosterone. The hypothesis was based on the physiological effects of testosterone, but did not incorporate the mechanisms behind these effects. One possibility is that testosterone explicitly depresses specific aspects of immunity, perhaps then secondarily liberating resources for other functions, including sexual displays. Another not necessarily mutually exclusive mechanism is that testosterone forces the development of sexual displays, in the process using up resources and energy that would otherwise be allocated towards other biological functions, including immunity [63]. Using testosterone-exposed females, the two alternatives could be tested. One option predicts that immune function should decrease upon experimental exposure to testosterone; the other option predicts that immune function would decrease only if testosterone-exposed females develop male-like sexual ornaments or engage in costly male-like behaviours. Of course, exposing females to unnatural levels of male hormones might have unknown and undetected consequences that would make interpretation difficult. In contrast, using species with ARTS, it is possible to compare immune function among different types of males, each with its distinct hormonal profile [64].

The ICHH's predictions differ depending on the presence of testosterone, its distribution over the animal's body, and the sensitivity to it of various body tissues. For example, in female mimicking morphs, spermatogenesis still depends on testosterone, but circulating levels might differ from those of "normal" males, and various tissues and organs might be differentially sensitive to it. Hence, in some species with ARTS, it is possible to separate the direct effects of testosterone per se on immunity from the indirect effects caused by a reallocation of resources towards sexual displays.

Similarly, the ICHH generates different predictions in species with ARTS in which the morphs are reversible versus species in which the morphs are permanent. In reversible morphs, one would expect fluctuations in androgens and immunity that reflect a more cautious approach, only a partial commitment to a given morph. In contrast, individuals have more at stake when the change is permanent, and as a terminal gambit, final stage males might expose themselves to high levels of androgens and be more willing to suppress their immunity to allocate more resources towards reproduction.

A related and supposedly competing hypothesis has also been proposed: the "immunodistribution hypothesis" [65]. One way immunocompetence is measured by counting various immune cell types present in the blood. The immunodistribution hypothesis argues that these measures might give spurious results because testosterone might not actually decrease the numbers of any specific cell type, but rather merely redistribute these cells differentially throughout the body, and this redistribution might then be mistakenly interpreted as immune-suppression [66]. Unfortunately, the immunodistribution hypothesis does not specify what happens to these cells after they are no longer circulating, and nor the extent to which different cell types are necessary in the blood stream as opposed to other tissues. Hence, this hypothesis is more of a critique of a specific method of assessing immunocompetence, whether or not testosterone is involved, rather than an alternative to the ICHH.

The opposite mechanism, that immune activation depresses testosterone, has also been proposed [66], and it also predicts that only healthiest males should develop the most elaborate secondary sexual traits (Table 1). This mechanistic hypothesis differs from the ICHH only in the directionality of the effect; the ICHH says that testosterone affects immunity, and this one says that immune activation lowers testosterone. However, this distinction yields different predictions and different implications about the meaning of sexual signals to females.

3.1.2. "Sperm Protection" Hypothesis. Another testosterone-based hypothesis, the sperm protection hypothesis, states that testosterone suppresses immunity because spermatoocytes are haploid and recognized as "foreign" by the immune system [67]. Spermatocytes are located within one of several immunoprivileged areas in the body [68], where they are physically isolated from direct contact with blood. Nevertheless, some lymphocytes might squeeze through the testes-blood barrier, and spermatoocyte antigens might escape and enter circulation. The suggestion is that to prevent the attack of sperm cells, testosterone, in addition to inducing spermatogenesis, also causes a local suppression of immunity. Furthermore, the hypothesis argues, testosterone causes immunosuppression elsewhere in the body when it either spills over or when it is necessary for purposes other than spermatogenesis.

Few explicit tests of this hypothesis exist, but a meta-analysis of 32 studies in which corticosteroids were used to treat infertility in men showed that corticosteroid reduced plasma and seminal anti-sperm antibodies, increased sperm count and motility, and ultimately increased pregnancy rates [69]. Other than the predicted effect of testosterone specifically on areas near the testes, the predictions of this hypothesis do not differ from those of the ICHH. Both hypotheses argue that testosterone is necessary for the development of secondary sexual traits, and is also immunosuppressive, so a trade-off occurs and hence, sexual signals are guaranteed to be honest. The sperm protection hypothesis, however, does provide a functional reason as to why testosterone lowers immunity.

Although this hypothesis has not received much detailed attention, it might be particularly applicable to species with ARTS. One general prediction is that animals with large and prolific testes would be relatively immunosuppressed. In several species with ARTS, one male morph (dominant,
large, pugnacious, and/or territory holders) displays the typical somatic effects of testosterone, and the other morph (small, sneakers, non-territorial, and/or female mimics) sometimes has disproportionally large testes. Hence, correcting for the differences in somatic and gonadal sensitivity of testosterone, and the relative effectiveness of the respective blood-testes barriers, it might be possible to test whether immune function decreases because of a spill-over of testosterone, or because of a reallocation of resources towards growth.

3.2. Life History

3.2.1. Bateman’s Principle. A limitation of the ICHH and its derivatives is that they apply only to species in which sexual ornaments depend on testosterone, namely, vertebrates. However, sex differences in immunocompetence should also be expected simply based on life history [70]. Variance in reproductive success is usually greater for males, and generally males maximize their reproductive success by mating with more females, whereas females do so by increasing their fecundity by having longer reproductive lifespans [71]. Hence, life history predicts that investment in immune function ought to be greater and less variable for females than for males. More generally, extending the logic to species with ARTS, the expectations are that the sex, gender, or morph with greater lifetime variance in reproductive success should have a more risky lifetime strategy and hence investment on immune function should be lower and more variable.

A strict life-history hypothesis would still be viable in the absence of a testosterone-mediated system. The rationale does not depend on the mechanism regulating the trade-off, so it is applicable to non-vertebrate taxa, and even non-animal taxa. Non-animal taxa might not have an immune system per se, but still invest on pathogen defence. Another important difference is that from a life history perspective, sex differences in immunity are expected before the onset of reproduction and would work and even in the absence of costly sexual ornaments in males. The moment sexes or morphs are determined, investment in immune function would start to follow different paths.

3.3. Ecological

3.3.1. Energy and Resource Constraints. The basic premise of life-history theory is the fact that resources are limited and organisms must face choices in the allocation of resources towards various functions [72–75]. All physiological processes carry an energetic cost. Hence, everything else being equal, additional energetic requirements, such as sexual displays, reproduction, thermoregulation, territorial defence, or parental effort, might reduce investment in immunity. Of course, everything else is seldom equal, but nonetheless, a wide variety of trade-offs involving immune functions have been examined. For example, eliciting an immune response increases energetic costs [58]; fasting suppresses immune responses [59]; thermal stress depresses immunity [76]; and higher reproductive effort decreases long-term immune function [77]. Countless other examples exist.

However, finding the expected negative relationship between two resource- or energy-requiring functions is not particularly enlightening. Given limited resources, such dual trade-offs are a physical necessity. A more interesting scenario emerges when more than 2 players or energy-requiring functions are examined concurrently. For example, like any other animal, a reproducing female is expected to avoid parasites [78]. However, when a third party is involved, her offspring, and given that antibodies are transferred to offspring [79], she could also benefit by mithridatically* exposing herself to parasites, developing immunity, and transferring that immunity to her offspring [80] (“After Mithridates VI (135 – 63 B.C.), King of Pontus (120 - 63 B.C.), who, legend has it, purposely exposed himself to poisons in an attempt to become immune to them [81]).

Similarly, when morphs are involved, not just the 2 sexes, the behavioural, ecological, and evolutionary options become more interesting. Some male morphs might spend energy providing paternal care, developing sexual signals that also attract predators, or constructing structures for mate attraction and brood care [82]. In species with ARTS, “alternative” males pay only some of the costs that “normal” males pay, so these species offer more appropriate systems in which to further test these trade-offs.

### Table 1: Contrasting predictions about the relationship between sexual signals and immunity, depending on whether testosterone lowers immune function [60], or the reverse mechanism, that immune activation lowers testosterone [66].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental treatment</th>
<th>T lowers immunity</th>
<th>Immunity lowers T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in T</td>
<td>(1) Decreased immunity (2) More elaborate sexual traits</td>
<td>(1) No direct effect on immunity (2) More elaborate sexual traits (3) If resources are lacking, a decrease in all other energy requiring functions, not just immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune challenge</td>
<td>(1) No effect on T (2) Decreased sexual traits-due to energetic requirements</td>
<td>(1) Decrease in T (2) Decreased sexual traits-due to energetic requirements and lower T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information gleaned from sexual traits</td>
<td>Willingness to increase T and risk disease at the time sexual traits developed.</td>
<td>Low exposure to parasites at the time sexual traits developed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2. Exposure Risk. Behavioural defences against parasites come in many forms, including, for example, the physical removal of parasites [83, 84], prophylactic or therapeutic self-medication [51, 85], and the avoidance of certain habitats [86], food items [87, 88], or individuals [89, 90].

Immune defences can also vary depending on the habitat [91, 92] and the expected level of parasite exposure [93]. Seasonal changes in immune function [94] suggest that animals can pre-emptively adjust their immune systems in anticipation of parasite exposure [95]. Hence, differences between the sexes, or morphs, might exist even in the absence of any of the effects proposed by the aforementioned hypotheses. Given differences in behaviour, whether they are differences in foraging, migration, mate searching, mating, parental care, etc., morphs might be differentially exposed to parasites and would be expected to invest on their immunity accordingly.

4. Immunoecology in Species with ARTS

4.1. Fish. Among vertebrates, ARTS are particularly prominent in fish because of several reasons. First, external fertilization is the norm in fish, which makes it more difficult for males to control access to females, and for females to enforce their partner choice. Both these factors facilitate the evolution of sneakers. Second, fish often have indeterminate growth, so in some cases, adult males have to compete with males several times their own size, which favours the evolution of reproductive tactics and strategies that do not rely on direct competition. Third, flexible and variable sex-determination mechanisms allow for the evolution of age-, size-, and condition-dependent ARTS, with some fish being able to change their sex and male morph back and forth. Finally, when parental care occurs in fish, it is more likely to be paternal, not maternal or bi-parental, a condition that favours the evolution of parasitic males that exploit other males’ parental care. Hence, the variety and complexity of ARTS among fish are unlike that of other vertebrate classes [30, 96–100], but surprisingly, their immunoecology has only been examined in a handful of species.

The Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) is a circumpolar Salmonid that breeds in fresh water. Their life histories are quite variable. They can be either landlocked or anadromous; their migration is flexible and variable sex-determination mechanisms allow for the evolution of age-, size-, and condition-dependent ARTS, with some fish being able to change their sex and male morph back and forth. Finally, when parental care occurs in fish, it is more likely to be paternal, not maternal or bi-parental, a condition that favours the evolution of parasitic males that exploit other males’ parental care. Hence, the variety and complexity of ARTS among fish are unlike that of other vertebrate classes [30, 96–100], but surprisingly, their immunoecology has only been examined in a handful of species.

The Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) is a circumpolar Salmonid that breeds in fresh water. Their life histories are quite variable. They can be either landlocked or anadromous; their final adult size ranges from 13 to 75 cm, and the age of maturity from 3.5 to 10 years [101]. During the breeding season, both sexes display carotenoid-dependent red coloration in their abdomens [102, 103]. Depending on their relative size in their respective populations, males use one of two mating tactics: guarding or sneaking. Sneaking males are aggressive towards small sneakers, which could be interpreted as a preference for larger males, or a defence against nest predation. In addition, some populations have a dwarf male morph that is exclusively a sneaker [104]. Compared to guarding males, sneaker males have relatively smaller testes but higher sperm density and sperm number relative to their testes size [105]. Morphological differences can be induced in the same time a dominance hierarchy is established, in just a few days [105], so these morphs are at the extreme of the ephemeral-permanent spectrum.

Måsvær et al. [106] conducted a correlational study that did not specifically address differences among morphs. Prevalence and intensity of infection of several parasites were combined via Principal Component Analysis. The first 2 principal components correlated with sperm mass and density. However, the PC loadings are not shown, so it is not possible to know whether the relation between parasites and sperm is positive or negative. Nevertheless, no relation was found between immune measures (granulocytes, lymphocytes, and spleen mass) and testes size, sperm number, or secondary sexual traits.

Liljedal and Folstad [105] placed males into pairs and a social hierarchy was established in just 4 days. Subordinates were significantly more stressed, but there were no differences in immune function, as measured by circulating granulocyte and lymphocyte counts. Hence, neither stress nor dominance status affected immune condition. It might be illuminating to repeat or expand this work on Arctic char, paying particular attention to morph differences and using experimental infections and more sophisticated immunological techniques.

Immunity and alternative reproductive tactics have been studied in two species of blennies: the Azorean rock-pool blenny (Parablennius parvicornis), and the peacock blenny (Salaria pavo). Both species have sequential polymorphism associated with changes in reproductive behaviour [107, 108]. Small young males without secondary sexual characters (M- morph) reproduce as sneakers, and older and larger males develop secondary sexual traits (M+ morph) and follow the so-called bourgeoisie tactic, either as nest holders or non-territorial floaters [109, 110]. The switch is not reversible.

The Azorean rock-pool blenny (total length 8–18 cm) inhabits shallow waters on the Atlantic coast of North-East Africa and its adjacent Islands. The best studied population inhabits intertidal pools on Faial, in the Azores. The pools contain crevices that give the fish shelter from most predators. In the spring, large M+ males compete aggressively for these natural cavities [111]. Males that manage to defend and clean out a cavity become darker and start courting females [112]. Females enter the pools at high tide and visit several nest-holding males to lay their eggs. The smaller M- males sneak into the nest when nest-holder males (M+) are inattentive. In contrast, larger M- males settle close to a nest as “satellites,” and provide a service to nest holders (M+) by keeping sneakers (smaller M- males) away. In return, these satellites also benefit, as they manage to fertilize eggs via sneaking when nest-holder males (M+) habituate to their presence. About 30% of Azorean rock-pool blenny males are M- (Figure of [113]) and most males switch to the M+ morph when they are 2 or 3 years of age [114].

The peacock blenny (total length 5–15 cm) breeds in crevices and holes in hard substrate of intertidal areas in the Mediterranean and adjacent Atlantic coast. The best studied population is on Culatra Island in Portugal, where nest-holding males have populated holes in stones and debris that are used to border clam cultures belonging to local fishermen. Nest-holding males (M+) have a conspicuous orange crest and have anal glands. The anal gland
produces mate-attracting pheromones and antibacterial compounds that promote egg survival [115]. M- males are female mimics in appearance and behaviour, and both females and parasitic males (M-) are smaller than M+ males [116]. The age and size at which M- switch to M+ depend on the availability of suitable nest sites, and when nest sites are abundant, M- males are rarer [117]. At the peak of the reproductive period, 11 to 43% (mean 25%) of males are M- (Fagundes, pers.obs.). Females visit several nests and, in an example of mate choice copying, they prefer to lay their eggs in nests that already have large broods [118].

Ros et al. [119] tested the ICHH in rock-pool blennies correlatively by measuring circulating androgens, lymphocyte ratios, and primary antibody responses. Both 11-ketotestosterone and testosterone were higher in M+ males than in M- males and lymphocyte numbers and humoral immunity were lower in M+ males than in M- males. However, within each morph, there was no relation between androgens and immunocompetence. Hence, the first result supports the ICHH but the second does not. They suggested that either the hypothesis was incorrect or changes in androgens are not necessarily reflected immediately by changes in immunocompetence. Furthermore, they found that in M+ males androgens decreased after an immune challenge [119], which provides some support for the aforementioned "reverse" ICHH.

On the other hand, Ros et al. [120] conducted an experimental study in which androgens were manipulated and provided partial support for the ICHH. In this study, 11-ketotestosterone, but not testosterone, induced the development of secondary sexual characters in M- males. Furthermore, M- males treated with 11-ketotestosterone swam less than controls. Finally, lymphocyte numbers decreased in testosterone treated males relative to controls, but not in the 11-ketotestosterone group. Hence, the ICHH seems to be too general for the peacock blenny; the androgen that affects morphology and behaviour differs from the androgen that affects immunity. These 2 androgens, 11-ketotestosterone and testosterone, are separated by only one metabolic step. There are no evolutionary or ecological hypotheses of why they have such different effects, or, for that matter, those particular effects. It is unknown whether these differences hold for other species, but this problem might prove to be a fruitful area of research for both ecologists and physiologists.

An energetic constraint explanation is also possible. During the breeding season, nest-holders (M+) spend a lot of resources and time developing secondary sexual traits, defending territories courting females, and cleaning and aerating the nest area, all of which limit their foraging opportunities [108, 121–123]. In contrast, M- males do not incur these costs and hence, their condition does not decrease as it does in M+ males [122]. Hence, differences in androgens between M- and M+ males might not directly affect immunity, but rather regulate the allocation of energetic resources between immunity and reproductive behaviour. As M- males have more future reproductive potential than the older nesting males, young should primarily invest in survival and gradually (as M-) shift that investment to reproduction.

Other explanations are not necessarily mutually exclusive. First, nest-holders (M+) aggressively defend the nest against parasitic males (M-), and while doing so they inflict typical scratch-like injuries with their large canine-like teeth. In Azorean rock-pool blennies, M- males have many more such injuries than nest-holder M+ males. As a consequence, M- males are more exposed to potential infections with pathogenic organisms, which might explain why they are more immunocompetent [119]. Second, one might expect older males to have been exposed to most of the common pathogens in their environment and to have acquired immunity. As a result, older (M+) males might decrease production of naïve leukocytes which would be necessary for acquiring immunity to new pathogens. Therefore, the fact that if M+ males had lower lymphocyte blood cell counts and lower antibody responsiveness than M- males does not necessarily mean that they are more susceptible to disease [118]. Under this scenario, the immune system improves with age, but using some measures of immunity, the exact opposite is true. Long-term studies in which related individuals are raised in different pathogen and social environments are needed to separate these hypotheses.

The Corkwing wrasse (Symphodus melops) is an intertidal species occurring in the western Mediterranean and Adriatic seas, and in the Eastern Atlantic, from Morocco and the Azores in the South, to Norway in the North. They mostly live in waters less than 5 m in depth. Adults are 15–25 cm long. Females and juveniles are greenish brown and territorial males are iridescent blue-green. Territorial males build and defend nests where several females might deposit their eggs. In addition, between 2 and 20% of males are female mimics, which do not build or defend nests. Female mimics resemble females to the point of having a urogenital papilla similar to that of females. Corkwing wrasses do not change sex, nor do they change male morph. Female mimics of all ages and sizes occur, so it is not a sequential, age-, or size-dependent polymorphism. It is unknown whether the male morphs are strictly genetically determined, or environmentally determined early in the males’ lives. Female mimics are smaller than regular males but have relatively larger gonads, more motile, and longer-living sperm than territorial males [124–130].

Uglem et al. [19] tested the sperm protection hypothesis and found that territorial males have smaller gonads and larger spleens than female mimics, but the density of lymphocytes and granulocytes did not differ between the two male morphs. So, the cell counts did not support the hypothesis, and the differences in spleen size were the exact opposite of what is predicted by the sperm protection hypothesis [19]. The larger gonads of sneaker morphs are as expected based on sperm competition. Subsequent work confirmed that territorial males have larger gonads and more motile sperm than female mimics, but sex steroids affect only sperm quantity, and not sperm quality [131]. Hence, contrary to the sperm protection hypothesis and the ICHH, in this system was no detectable relation between sperm quality, immunity, and hormones.
4.2. Birds. Plumage polymorphisms in birds are fairly common [1], and are maintained by a variety of processes [132]. However, ARTS, as defined in this review, that is, relatively long-term and literally “alternative,” occur in only seven species [133]. Although much immunoeological work has been conducted with birds, so far, it has only been conducted on two species with ARTS.

The ruff is a lekking shorebird that breeds in marshes and grasslands in northern Eurasia and winters mostly in Africa. There are three morphological and behavioural types of males: independents, satellites, and faeders. Independents and satellites have elaborate plumage and courtship behaviour [134, 135], and faeders are female mimics [136]. About 1% of males are faeders [137], 15% satellites, and the rest independents. Ruffs range in mass from 70 g to 220 g, with extensive overlap between the morphs and sexes. Independents are the largest and females the smallest; faeders are at the upper end of the female distribution and the lower end of the male distribution [138, 139]. Females carrying the faeder gene are the smallest [139]. The faeder-like morph arose from the ancestral independent about 3.8 mya, and the satellite 0.5 mya [35, 140]. Females carry the independent/satellite alleles but express the respective phenotypes only when experimentally exposed to testosterone [141].

Faeders migrate to the breeding grounds later than most other males, but earlier than most females [142]. During the breeding season, independents establish lek mating courts to defend them against each other but try to attract into their court satellites and females [135, 143, 144]. Satellites do not fight but are pecked and chased by independents when they fail to be submissive and when they attempt to mount a visiting female, but they are tolerated because co-occupied courts are more likely to attract females [135, 143, 144]. Faeders look like and behave like females, spending time among females and visiting leks unchallenged. Nevertheless, faeders readily copulate when females solicit copulations from independents, satellites, or perhaps even faeders.

Lozano and Lank [145] tested the ICHH and the energetic constraints hypothesis in a captive population. They examined whether early in the breeding season immunity was positively correlated size and the degree of development testosterone-induced sexual traits. This work was conducted before the discovery of faeders. Cell-mediated immunity (CMI) was estimated using a delayed hypersensitivity test and humoral immunity using a SRBC agglutination test. However, other than the fact that CMI was weakly correlated with ruff length, neither measure of immunity was related to any other sexually selected traits, size of mass, in either satellites or independents. Lozano and Lank [145] also tested the energetic trade-off hypothesis, which predicts that satellites should have stronger immune responses than independents. Although humoral immunity did not differ between the two male morphs, independents had higher CMI responses than satellites. If anything, these results support the “risk of injury” hypothesis. In contrast, during the non-breeding season, the immune responses of both morphs were stronger, but despite a larger sample size (51 vs. only 21 males in the breeding season), there were no differences in CMI between the morphs [146]. Hence, the decrease in immune function during the breeding season compared to the non-breeding season supports the energetic constraints hypothesis, but differences in immunity between independents and satellites during the breeding season are best explained by “risk of injury” hypothesis.

These two alternatives were subsequently tested by Lozano et al. [147]. This latter study included the recently discovered faeder, the female mimicking male morph. Based on their behaviour and life history, the 3 male morphs and females can be placed on an ordinal scale with independents at one end and females at the other. A haemolysis-haemagglutination assay [148] on samples taken before and after an injection with liposaccharide was used to measure innate and adaptive humoral immunity, respectively. Furthermore, CMI was once again estimated via a delayed hypersensitivity test. No significant differences were evident between the four groups, but all three measures of immunity decreased along this axis from independents to females. These results support the risk-of-injury hypothesis over the energetic constraints hypothesis. Hence, the immune responses of the 4 ruff genders reflected their life history and behaviour, with faeders located in the immunological continuum between females and the other male morphs. So far in this system, support is lacking for hypotheses predicting a direct hormonal regulation of immune responses, as per the ICHH and its variants.

Additionally, in ruffs, CMI decreases significantly with age, in males [145], and in both sexes [146]. Nebel et al. [149] partially confirmed these results, although only for females, and with only one of several immune measures. Although the decrease was not related to morph differences, it is consistent with the basic life-history premise that investment on self-maintenance should decrease as residual reproductive success wanes. Finally, again, although morph differences were not detected, Lozano and Lank [146] showed that younger birds have weaker immune responses, presumably because of the way a neonate’s immune system develops, by learning which antigens are harmful, and/or because of energetic constraints as a neonate’s resources are channelled primarily towards growth.

The white-throated sparrow (Zonotrichia albicollis) is a common songbird that breeds in the northeast of the USA and Canada east of the Rockies, and winters mostly in southern and eastern USA. Both sexes have two morphs, defined by the colour of the medial crown stripe (a stripe on their heads): tan striped (TS) and white-striped (WS) [150]. The morphs are caused by a chromosomal inversion, and hence, they are fixed [151, 152]. Birds mate with the opposite morph, which maintains the population frequencies of the two morphs roughly equal [153].

At the wintering grounds, WS birds, particularly males, are more neophobic than TS birds [154]. In contrast, on the breeding grounds WS birds are more aggressive than TS birds [155]. Additionally, both male and female WS birds sing more than their TS counterparts ([156]). Furthermore, compared to TS males, WS males respond more aggressively to territorial intrusions (Collins and Houtman 1999), are less parental [157], and are more likely to engage in extra-pair copulations [157, 158]. Additionally, female WS are more
likely to solicit EPCs and dump their eggs in neighbouring nests [158]. Whereas the genetic and endocrine mechanisms causing and associated with the polymorphism have been extensively studied (e.g., [159–162]), so far, there is only one study addressing immunological differences.

Boyd et al. [163] looked for sex and morph differences in immunity under the general guise of “extended immunocompetence handicap models,” predicting lower resistance to haemosporidian parasites in the WS than the TS morph. Forty-two birds, 23 males (15 WS and 9 TS), and 19 females (7 WS and 12 TS), were captured after the breeding season, during the fall migration. Twelve of these were already infected, but there were no differences in prevalence between the two morphs. They were maintained in captivity for 4 months in a short day photoperiod (10 h Light, 14 h Dark). On the fifth month, they were switched to a long day photoperiod (16L: 8D) and inoculated or sham-inoculated with *Plasmodium* parasites obtained from two donors. Of the 43 birds, 12 were sham-inoculated and 30 inoculated. Of the 30 inoculated birds, two thirds (21) were completely resistant. Of the 9 infected birds (4 TS, 5 WS), the two highest parasite loads belonged to TS individuals. Androgens were higher in males than in females, as it would be expected, but androgens did not differ between the morphs. Therefore, no sex differences were detected, and if anything, parasite loads ended up being higher in TS than WS birds, contrary to the main prediction.

The study clearly suffered from a small sample size, but the most puzzling aspect is why the birds were captured at the end of the breeding season and kept in captivity for 4 months before testing their resistance to *Plasmodium* infections. The most notable result, that two thirds of all birds were completely resistant, was not discussed. Boyd et al. [163] concluded that their results did not support the mechanistic androgen-dependent ICHH, but did not consider other ecological of life history hypotheses. For instance, given that WS are more aggressive and likely are more exposed to parasites than TS birds, the “risk of injury” hypothesis could have been considered.

4.3. Reptiles. A variety of ARTS exist among reptiles. These include r- and k-life histories, manipulation of offspring sex, territoriality vs. non-territoriality, parthenogenesis vs. sexual reproduction, and behavioural, morphological, and chemical female mimicry [164, 165]. Unlike fish, reptiles lack the ability to change sex during adulthood, so the range of reproductive tactics and strategies does not include all of the ones present in fish, but they do include all of the ones present in birds. With reptiles, one must be careful to distinguish between merely polymorphic species and species with ARTS, as defined above.

Several studies have compared immune function of different morphs in polymorphic species. For instance, work was been conducted on the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*) [166], the wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*) [167, 168], and Iberian wall lizard (*Podarcis hispanicus*) [169]. In these studies, the general rationale seems to be that differences in immune responsiveness, presumably reflecting differences in parasite exposure or susceptibility to disease, contribute to the maintenance of the polymorphism. However, the reasons are not explicitly stated, and the predictions are nebulous. Even when significant differences are found, it is unclear why one morph’s immune response would be stronger than the other morph’s. In contrast, when using species with ARTS, or merely when considering the morphs’ ecology, it is possible to articulate specific directional predictions and design more purposeful tests.

The Dalmatian wall lizard (*Podarcis melisellensis*) is endemic to the Adriatic countries. Adults have an SVL of at least 5 cm; they lay 3 clutches per year with 3-9 eggs per clutch, and have a clearly evident trade-off between egg size and number. Males have a SVL of about 6 cm; their ventral surface can be orange, yellow, or white. They do not change between successive breeding seasons, so it is most likely that the morphs are permanent and established early in life. Although their sizes overlap considerably, orange males are generally larger and more aggressive than either white or yellow males [170].

Huyghe et al. [171] compared testosterone, corticosterone, morphology, biting strength, and immunity among the three morphs. The study framed around the immunocompetence handicap hypotheses, and it was predicted that aggressive orange males would have lower immunity and hence higher parasite susceptibility than the other morphs. Immunity was measured using the aforementioned delayed hypersensitivity test, and by counting the numbers of mites and ticks. Nevertheless, no significant differences were found among morphs in neither testosterone nor either measure of immunity.

Subsequently, Huyghe et al. [172] examined seasonal differences in cell-mediated immunity in males, again using PHA test for cell mediated immunity, ectoparasites, and blood parasites. Again, the study framed around the immunocompetence handicap hypotheses, perhaps mixed with the energetic trade-off hypothesis, and it was predicted that, as the season progressed, aggressive orange males would have lower immunity and hence higher parasite susceptibility than the other morphs. Ectoparasite prevalence was higher at the end of the breeding season than at the start for all morphs, but there were no differences among the morphs. The prevalence of blood parasites increased across the season for white and yellow morphs, but decreased for the orange morph. Unfortunately, data for parasite intensity are not shown; instead, the exact same figure, the one for prevalence, is presented twice (Figures in [172]). PHA responses followed the same pattern as prevalence; compared to the start of the breeding season, at the end of the season, PHA responses had increased in white and yellow males, but it remained unchanged in orange males. Hence, no support was found for the ICHH or the energetic trade-off hypotheses, but perhaps some support for the exposure risk hypothesis.

The side-blotched lizard (*Uta stansburiana*) is a small (50-60 mm SVL, 7-10 g), highly polymorphic lizard common in the south-west of North America [173]. It sometimes reaches high densities, of up to 2,600 per hectare [174]. They mature at one year of age, and usually live for only one breeding season. The species is separated into several populations or sub-species, usually with different types of polymorphisms [175].
In the population studied by Sinervo and colleagues, used for all the studies below, morphs are determined by 3 alleles (o, b, and y). Males occur in three morphs: orange, yellow, and blue. In males, the “o” allele is dominant, and the “b” allele is recessive to the “y” allele (oo, ob, and oy are orange, yb and yy are yellow, and bb are blue). Orange males are aggressive and highly territorial, blue males have smaller territories and are not as aggressive, and yellow males are sneakers. Male morphs are maintained via frequency dependent section in a “rock, paper, scissors” system [176], whereby orange males can take over territories of blue males, but are themselves vulnerable to cuckoldry by yellow males [176]. Females occur in two morphs, orange and yellow. In females, the “o” allele is also dominant (oo, ob, and oy are orange; yb, yy, and bb are yellow) [177]. Heterozygotes retain the ancestral plasticity to change colours depending on social conditions or habitat background coloration [178], so the morphs are both fixed and flexible. Orange females produce large clutches of small eggs and are favoured at low densities, and yellow females produce small clutches of large eggs and are favoured at high densities. Their relative abundances oscillate in 2-year cycles, driven by negative frequency dependent selection.

Using antibody responsiveness towards a tetanus toxoid antigen, Svensson et al. [174] found that population density was negatively related with immune responsiveness of both morphs but more so in orange females. Furthermore, subsequent survival was positively related to the strength of prior antibody responsiveness in yellow females, but negatively related in orange ones [174]. Hence, compared to yellow females, orange females, which are adapted to fast reproduction, invest less in immune function, and are less capable of activating their immune system without suffering negative consequences. These trade-offs are as expected based on life history theory, but seldom are they documented within one sex.

Svensson et al. [179] extended these findings to include males, examining the population genetics behind colour and humoral immunity. They found orange colour was highly heritable (0.46), and antibody responsiveness to diphtheria-tetanus antigens even more so (0.61 for sons and 0.82 for females). However, the correlations between orange colour and antibody responsiveness were in opposite directions for males and females, producing a significant intersexual conflict [179]. This means that, assuming there is no post-copulatory mate choice, females cannot simply select males based on good immunity, but rather must choose between producing immunocompetent sons or immunocompetent daughters. The implications are yet to be examined.

4.4. Insects. As it might be expected for such a speciose and diverse taxon with distinct life stages, a wide variety of ARTS occur among insects [12, 48]. Brockmann [48] divided reproductive behaviour in insects into four sequential events: (1) locating mates; (2) obtaining access to mates, usually females; (3) copulating; and (4) guarding the female after copulation. Except for the very act of copulation, ARTS in insects can occur at all stages. Although the literature on ARTS in insects is extensive, and their immune systems relatively simple compared to that of vertebrates, it is peculiar that few researchers have examined the immunoeocology of insects with ARTS.

The beetle *Onthophagus taurus* is a member of the scarabaeid dung beetle group, a taxon in which only males have horns. Diet during the larval stage determines whether individuals reach a certain size threshold at a given time in their development, at which point two growth trajectories are followed. Males above the size threshold develop unusually large horns and males below the threshold develop only rudimentary horns. This dimorphism is associated with behavioural dimorphisms. Horned males compete directly with each other in their tunnels for access to females, and guard them after copulation. Hornless males are sneakers and dig across tunnels, enter the tunnels beneath the guarding male, and, undetected by the guarding male, mate with females [180–182]. Both sexes excavate branched tunnels under dung patties, where females lay their eggs and leave some dung for their offspring to eat when they hatch. However, horned males provide more paternal care than hornless males, but only when there are no other males present [183].

Cotter et al. [184] examined immunity in male larvae, after the eventual morph had been determined but before the actual development of horns. At that point in their development, their diet has been slightly different, their size differs, but energetic requirements do not differ much yet. Unfortunately, trade-offs are mentioned but no hypothesis is explicitly addressed, so the expectations are unclear. Nevertheless, using phenoloxidase (PO) activity as a measure of innate immunity, they showed that, controlling for condition, male larvae that will eventually be horned have higher innate immunity than larvae of future hornless males. Perhaps these differences are a prophylactic investment in immune function and reflect future differences in pathogen exposure. Female PO activity was between that of the two male morphs, which would be potentially interesting if there were more explicit hypotheses predicting such a pattern. Because horn growth is induced by juvenile hormone [185], Cotter et al. [184] suggest that juvenile hormone also causes the differences in immunity. This juvenile hormone hypothesis does not depend on energetic trade-offs (proximate or ultimate), but it does provide a mechanism regulating immunity in insects, akin to the suggested androgen mechanisms for vertebrates. This idea is yet to be tested.

**Odonates** present an interesting contrast in that females, not males, are the ones with alternative morphs. In 134 out of 195 species, there is a male mimicking morph (called andromorphs or androchromes), and one or more female morphs (called gynomorphs or gyrochromes) [186]. Andromorphs mimic male morphology and behaviour. Compared to gynomorphs, andromorphs are brightly coloured and have narrower abdomens, and they are more aggressive and more likely to roam about in open spaces [187]. The polymorphism is based on a female-restricted autosomal system with 2 or 3 alleles [188–190]. The proportion of andromorphs is highly variable, but generally, polymorphisms are more common in populations with relatively more males than females [191, 192]. For example, in
Ischnura elegans, depending on the population, andromorphs constitute 14% to 94% of all females [193]. In Nehalennia irene (Hagen), andromorph frequencies range from 0 to >90% across Canada [192].

Andromorphs receive less sexual attention than gynomorphs. For instance, in Ischnura senegalensis, males court gynomorphs more than they do andromorphs. Compared to andromorphs, gynomorphs do not defecate as much, which presumably reflects their food intake, and most importantly, they produce 35% fewer eggs [194]. In Ischnura elegans, andromorphs mate less often than gynomorphs, and males are more attracted to gynomorphs [195]. As usual, the picture is not so simple. Another study of Ischnura elegans showed that naïve males prefer andromorph over gynomorph females, but experienced males have no such preference [193].

Although the consensus is that alternative female morphs are maintained, and perhaps have evolved because they help females decrease unwelcome male attention, that argument just reverses the question. Unless there is a cost to being an andromorph, andromorphs would eventually become fixed in a population. Sanchez-Guillen et al. [196] suggested that andromorphs are more conspicuous and more exposed to parasites than gynomorphs, so increased parasitism might counterbalance the benefits of reduced male attention: the “exposure risk” hypothesis. They tested the idea by quantifying the prevalence of intestinal gregarines (Apicomplexa: Eugregarinidae) and aquatic water mites (Arrenurus sp.) in several species of damselﬂies. Gregarine prevalence did not differ between the morphs, but, as predicted, mite prevalence was higher in andromorphs than in gynomorphs.

Joop et al. [197] conducted a similar study using the azure damselﬂy (Coenagrion puella). Once again, the general rationale was that differences in immune responsiveness contribute to the evolution and maintenance of the polymorphism, but the reasons were not explicitly stated. The role of melanin on coloration is repeatedly mentioned in the body of the paper, but, curiously, not in the abstract. The link between melanin and immunity, which is tenuous at best, is also mentioned, but not tested. In this species, the andromorph is blue, the gynomorph is green, and, as one might expect, the intermediate is blue-green. These colours are more likely to be structural, not melanin dependent, and nothing in the paper indicates otherwise. Nevertheless, no differences were found among the morphs in the prevalence of water mites or gregarines. Furthermore, following an experimental fungal infection, females were more resistant than males but there were no differences in resistance among the female morphs.

5. Conclusions

Males and females are dissimilar in so many ways that it would not be surprising to find one more difference between the sexes. The core beneﬁt of testing hypotheses using species with ARTS is that these species have additional genders beyond the usual two, so it is possible to disentangle the effects or sex per se from the effects of various morphological and behavioural adaptations that are usually associated with sex. Hypotheses predicting differences between two sexes could actually be more thoroughly tested by taking advantage of the additional morph(s) because any carefully articulated hypothesis would yield falsifiable, a priori, directional predictions. To be able to separate such effects, it is of paramount importance that the hypotheses are carefully delineated, and the predictions clearly articulated. This would be true for any hypotheses, not just those related to immunoeology. Unfortunately, this problem is evident in several immunoeological studies using ARTS, whereby the hypotheses and predictions are not clearly articulated. Instead, studies often just test for differences among morphs, hence squandering the main advantage of working with species with ARTS.

The paucity of work on insects is surprising. Let us be clear. Plenty of work exists on insect polymorphisms, and some of it on immunoeology; however, we were not able to find many immunoeological studies in species with alternative life histories. There are myriad beneﬁts to working with insects. Generally, the sheer number of species of insects, and arthropods in general, means that researchers could ﬁnd the best possible species with which to test a particular hypothesis. Second, given their small size, obtaining a reasonable sample size becomes less of a problem. Also, it goes without saying that it is easier to get permits to work with insects. There are also other beneﬁts to working with insects more specifically relevant to immunoeology in species with ARTS. First, many types of polymorphisms, both morphological and/or behavioural, have already been described in insects [48], and many of these are technically “alternative.” Second, compared to vertebrates, their immune systems are relatively simple, so it might be easier to focus on the evolutionary ecology and avoid being bogged down by the mechanisms of immunity. For instance, their immune system does not have immunological memory [198]; therefore, should experimental design require it, repeatedly assessing the immune systems of individuals would not compromise the study’s validity. Hence, researchers who are truly interested in trade-offs between maintenance and reproduction in species with alternative life histories, and who are not required to work on vertebrates, would do well by choosing to work with insects.

For 30 years now, the ICHH has been a starting point for many studies, but maybe it has had too much inﬂuence. It has been so pervasive that often it is used as a generic starting point, foregoing the need to articulate explicit predictions. Meanwhile, other hypotheses have been relatively ignored. In some cases, after failing to ﬁnd support for the ICHH, authors fall back on these hypotheses a posteriori. Instead of being relegated to the end of the paper as caveats and unexplored possibilities, perhaps is it time for these physiological, ecological, life history hypotheses to take centre stage, or at least be considered a priori, in conjunction with the ICHH.

Even if the ICHH is still considered a convenient starting point, it is time to examine the subtleties within it. For instance, by examining several indices of immunity and several androgen hormones, studies can increase the chances of
finding some support for the hypothesis. However, different androgens often have different effects on different aspects of the immune system, but there are never any evolutionary, ecological, or phylogenetic explanations of why some androgens, but not others, should affect immunity in that particular way. It is at this point that the unification of the ecological and the physiological approaches vanishes and the focus is shifted to physiological mechanisms. In immunoeology, as in any branch of physioecology, we must remain keenly aware that the proximate and ultimate approaches pull us in opposite directions. It seems that evolutionary ecologists are easily seduced by the sophisticated instrumentation and technical complexity of the reductionist approach, in the process forgetting the evolutionary history and ecological intricacies of their beloved organisms.

In evolutionary ecology, hypotheses must not merely deal with physiology and mechanisms but also address the far more relevant question of how ecological and evolutionary forces have produced these particular mechanisms. One possible fruitful way to merge the two approaches might be to start with how ecology and life-history not only affect immunity, but, more specifically, when and how they would activate and/or suppress immune function. Many papers focus on immunosuppression or immunotolerance and give adaptive explanations for this in terms of trade-offs or in terms of energetic constraints. However, all these could be divided into two general situations that might determine when to (1) activate or stimulate immunity, for instance, to fight a new pathogen, and 2) suppress or subdue it, for instance, to temporarily tolerate a parasite. A suitable regulation mechanism is one that is flexible enough so it can respond to ecological opportunities, both during an individual’s lifetime and at evolutionary time scales [199]. Thus, the trade-off between immunocompetence and reproduction will change with age and with the particular morph. Similar conceptual frameworks merging the ultimate and proximate approaches might be necessary for continued development of immunoeology, and in particular when dealing with species with ARTS.

Finally, species with ARTS are clearly great systems in which to examine sex- and morph-specific gene expression, and several recent studies have addressed genomics in species with ARTS [200–202]. Using these rapidly advancing techniques, it is now possible to identify genes involved in the development of morphs and the regulation of immunity, and to conduct truly integrative studies across all levels of complexity. However, no technical advance is without its conceptual detractors. Because of polygenic effects on phenotypes, the reciprocating modifying effects of organisms and their environments, and the fact that different evolutionary and ecological selection regimes can produce a given genome, it has been argued that further genomic details will distract us from our goals and detract from our understanding [203]. Others acknowledge the pitfalls, but advocate cautious optimism [204]. In the case of ARTS, knowing the genetic basis of morph development and immune regulation within each morph might provide unexpected insights, but without ecological and evolutionary hypotheses guiding the effort, we will not really know how immunity interacts with the evolution and maintenance of these morphs. Decoding entire genomes and disentangling physiological mechanisms can be informative, but there is no grandeur in the strict reductionist view of life.
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