

Research Article

The Association between *Helicobacter pylori* Seropositivity and Bone Mineral Density in Adults

Jinke Huang¹, Zhihong Liu¹, Jinxin Ma¹, Jiali Liu¹, Mi Lv¹, Fengyun Wang¹, and Xudong Tang¹

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China ²Department of Gastroenterology, Peking University Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Medical School (Xiyuan), Beijing, China ³China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Fengyun Wang; wfy811@163.com and Xudong Tang; txdly@sina.com

Received 7 January 2022; Revised 19 March 2022; Accepted 23 March 2022; Published 4 April 2022

Academic Editor: Hongmei Jiang

Copyright © 2022 Jinke Huang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objectives. Current evidence on the associations between *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection and bone mineral density (BMD) is conflicting. Therefore, a nationally representative sample of adults was analyzed to investigate the associations of *H. pylori* seropositivity and BMD in this study. *Methods*. A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted with 2555 subjects aged 40-85 years in the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2001. Multivariable logistic regression models were performed to evaluate the associations between *H. pylori* seropositivity and BMD. Subgroup analyses stratified by sex, age, race, and body mass index (BMI) were performed. *Results*. No association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and BMD ($\beta = 0.006$, 95% CI: -0.003 to 0.015, P = 0.177). In the subgroup analyses stratified by age, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD among subjects aged 40-55 years ($\beta = 0.018$, 95% CI: 0.004 to 0.033, P = 0.012); in the subgroup analyses stratified by sex, a positive and total BMD in male ($\beta = 0.019$, 95% CI: 0.007 to 0.032, P = 0.003); in the subgroup analyses stratified by age and sex, the total BMD was higher in men aged 40-55 years with *H. pylori* seropositive than those with *H. pylori* seropositive and total BMD was demonstrated among most middle-aged and elderly adults. *H. pylori* infection may not be one key factor in the loss of BMD.

1. Introduction

Helicobacter pylori (*H. pylori*) is the most common chronic bacterial colonizing the human stomach. *H. pylori* infection is prevalent [1], with a prevalence of approximately 35.6% in the United States [2]. *H. pylori* infection has been well known to be associated with a variety of gastric diseases, including chronic gastritis, peptic ulcers, gastric cancer, and mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma [3]. Furthermore, several extra gastric disorders have also been proven to be associated with *H. pylori* infection, such as metabolic, neurological, and cardiovascular diseases [4].

Osteoporosis is a silent health problem characterized by deterioration of bone structure due to low bone mineral density (BMD) and disruption of bone homeostasis [5]. As one of the most common metabolic bone diseases worldwide, osteoporosis mostly affects middle-aged and elderly populations [6]. Patients with osteoporosis are susceptible to bone fragility and osteoporotic fractures, and the occurrence of these fractures affects morbidity, mortality, and quality of life, making osteoporosis a growing health and healtheconomic problem worldwide [7, 8]. Therefore, understanding the risk factors is essential for the prevention, early diagnosis, and management of osteoporosis.

It is reported that *H. pylori* infection can induce inflammatory and immune reactions in individuals, which may modulate bone turnover [9]. However, evidence for the association between *H. pylori* infection and BMD is limited and controversial [10, 11]. Therefore, to investigate the association between *H. pylori* seropositivity and BMD, a



FIGURE 1: The sample selection flow chart.

population-based sample from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) was analyzed in this study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population. The NHANES is a representative survey of the national population of US, providing multitudinous information about the nutrition and health of the general US population using a complex, multistage, and probability sampling design [12]. Data for this study was obtained from the 1999–2001 continuous cycle of the US NHANES dataset. The number of subjects in this cycle was 9965. After excluding subjects without information on laboratory and demographic variables, 2555 subjects were finally included for analyses. The sample selection flow chart is presented in Figure 1.

2.2. Variables. In this study, the dependent variable was *H. pylori* seropositivity, and the targeted independent variable was total BMD. *H. pylori* seropositivity was measured by the Wampole Laboratories *H. pylori* IgG Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA). For each specimen, immune status ratio values of >1.1 and <0.9 were considered as seropositive and seronegative, respectively, whereas 0.9–1.1 were an equivocal value [13]. Subjects with equivocal values were excluded to prevent misleading statistical outcomes in this study. The measurements of total BMD were determined by DEXA scans. For covariates, sex, race, educational level, physical activity, body mass index (BMI), smoking behavior, and other disease status were used as categorical variables; age, poverty to income ratio, days drink

in year, serum uric acid, total calcium, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, total cholesterol, bone alkaline phosphatase, and dietary calcium intake were used as continuous variables. More detailed information on *H. pylori* seropositivity, total BMD, and the covariates is publicly available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The design of complex sampling strategies and appropriate weight were incorporated in all analyses. Weighted multivariate linear regression models were performed to evaluate the associations between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD. The other variables were considered potential effect modifiers. For continuous variables, the weighted linear regression model was used to calculate the differences among different groups. For categorical variables, the weighted chi-square test was used. All analyses were conducted in R (http://www.Rproject.org, The R Foundation) and EmpowerStats software (http://www. http://empowwerstats.com/, X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Included Subjects. A total of 2555 subjects were included in final analyses, of which 1263 (49.43%) subjects were *H. pylori* seronegative and 1292 (50.57%) subjects were *H. pylori* seropositive. In these two groups, race, educational level, income poverty ratio, physical activity, days drink in year, smoking behavior, diabetes status, serum creatinine, bone alkaline phosphatase, dietary calcium intake, and total BMD were significantly different (P < 0.05). More details are presented in Table 1.

Mediators of Inflammation

| Age (years) 60.493 ± 13.239 61.158 ± 12.359 0.200 Ser. (%) 0.33 Male 48.219 49.768 Female 51.781 50.232 Race (%) 7.7941 60.001 Non-Hispanic black 12.747 22.755 Mexican American 14.727 38.854 Other races 5.146 10.449 Collog graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 8.85 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 Lest than high school 25.178 17.492 Collog graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 8.85 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 0.398 Undernutrition 2.142 1.068 Normal 30.038 29.890 Overweight 35.224 35.551 Obsec 33.351 Other Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 47.988 0.044 None 48.614 47.988 0.001 Past 3.514 32.198 0.0001 Or 24.149 3 | | <i>H. pylori</i> seronegative $(n = 1263)$ | <i>H. pylori</i> seropositive $(n = 1292)$ | P value |
|--|---|--|--|---------|
| Sex (%) 0.433 Male 48.219 49.768 Female 51.781 0.032 Non-Hispanic black 12.747 22.755 Mickian American 14.727 38.854 Other races 51.46 10.449 Educational level (%) 51.46 0.049 Educational level (%) 25.178 17.492 College graduate or above 47.902 32.327 Ratio of family income to poverty 68.21 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 0.001 Body mass index (100%) 0 20.178 1.049 0.018 Body mass index (100%) 21.42 1.208 0.018 Overweight 35.224 35.551 0.044 Normal 30.038 29.890 0.044 None 48.614 47.998 0.041 Past 35.154 32.198 0.011 Ouge drink in year 96.090 ± 97.198 79.335 ± 78.680 0.001 Overweight 32.225 30.186 2.019 0.014 0.014 | Age (years) | 60.493 ± 13.239 | 61.158 ± 12.959 | 0.200 |
| Male 48.219 49.768 Female 51.781 50.0232 Rac (%) -0.001 Non-Hispanic white 67.379 22.941 Non-Hispanic black 12.747 28.854 Other races 5.146 10.449 Educational level (%) | Sex (%) | | | 0.433 |
| Fende 51.781 50.232 Race (%) | Male | 48.219 | 49.768 | |
| Race (%) <td< td=""><td>Female</td><td>51.781</td><td>50.232</td><td></td></td<> | Female | 51.781 | 50.232 | |
| Non-Hispanic white 67.379 22.415 Non-Hispanic black 12.747 22.755 Mexican American 14.727 38.854 Other races 5.146 10.449 Educational level (%) 6.6200 59.211 Less than high school 25.178 17.492 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 c0.001 Rody mass index (100%) 23.297 3.351 0.038 Normal 30.038 28.890 0.039 Normal 30.038 28.890 0.044 Normal 30.038 28.890 0.044 Normal 30.038 28.890 0.044 Normal 30.038 28.890 0.044 Normal 30.038 28.990 0.044 Normal 32.296 33.514 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 0.001 Day drink in yaar 0.900 ± 97.198 32.318 0.001 Yes 24.149 38.313 1 | Race (%) | | | < 0.001 |
| Non-Hspanic black 12,747 22,75 Mexican American 14,727 38,854 Other races 5,146 10.449 Educational level (%) - <0.001 | Non-Hispanic white | 67.379 | 27.941 | |
| Mexican American 14.727 38.854 Other races 5.146 10.449 Educational level (%) Less than high school 26.920 59.211 High school 25.178 7.4792 College graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 | Non-Hispanic black | 12.747 | 22.755 | |
| Other naces 5.146 10.009 Educational level (%) <.0001 | Mexican American | 14.727 | 38.854 | |
| Educational level (%) <td>Other races</td> <td>5.146</td> <td>10.449</td> <td></td> | Other races | 5.146 | 10.449 | |
| Less than high school 26.920 59.211 High school 25.178 17.492 College graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 | Educational level (%) | | | < 0.001 |
| High school 25.78 17.492 College graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 | Less than high school | 26.920 | 59.211 | |
| College graduate or above 47.902 23.297 Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 <0.001 | High school | 25.178 | 17.492 | |
| Ratio of family income to poverty 6.821 ± 2.856 5.794 ± 2.568 $c0.001$ Body mass index (100%) | College graduate or above | 47.902 | 23.297 | |
| Body mass index (100%) 0.038 1.208 Undernutrition 2.038 2.9800 Normal 30.038 2.9800 Overweight 35.524 35.551 Obese 32.596 33.351 Smoking behavior (%) 0.048 47.988 Normal 35.154 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 Day drink in year 96.09.79.198 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 | Ratio of family income to poverty | 6.821 ± 2.856 | 5.794 ± 2.568 | < 0.001 |
| Undernutrition 2.142 1.208 Normal 30.038 29.890 Overweight 35.2524 35.551 Obese 32.596 33.351 Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 None 48.614 47.988 Past 35.154 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 Days drink in year 96.090 ± 97.198 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 | Body mass index (100%) | | | 0.398 |
| Normal 30.038 29.890 Overweight 35.524 35.551 Obese 32.296 33.351 Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 None 48.614 47.988 Past 35.154 22.198 Current 16.231 19.814 Days drink in year 96.090 ± 97.198 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 Physical activity (MET-based rank) (100%) <0.001 <0.001 0 24.149 88.313 <0.001 1 32.225 30.186 <0.001 2 16.706 11.842 <0.001 3 26.920 19.659 <0.001 None 57.641 55.108 <0.001 None 86.936 81.115 <0.001 None 86.936 81.115 <0.001 None 94.458 94.195 <0.001 None 94.458 94.195 <0.001 None 94.458 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Ot calcium (mg/dl.) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Serum caid (mg/dl.) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl.) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.603 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum caid (mg/dl.) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Booa urea nitrogen (mg/dl.) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Booa urea nitrogen (mg/dl.) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dl.) $362.894 \pm $ | Undernutrition | 2.142 | 1.208 | |
| Overweight 35.224 35.551 Obese 32.596 33.351 Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 48.614 47.988 None 48.614 47.988 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 0.001 Physical activity (MET-based rank) (100%) 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 | Normal | 30.038 | 29.890 | |
| Obese 32.596 33.351 Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 None 48.614 47.988 Past 35.154 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 Days drink in year 96.090 ± 97.198 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 | Overweight | 35.224 | 35.551 | |
| Smoking behavior (%) 0.044 None 48.614 47.988 Past 35.154 32.198 Current 16.231 19.814 Days drink in year 96.090 ± 97.198 79.335 ± 78.680 <0.001 | Obese | 32.596 | 33.351 | |
| None48.61447.988Past35.15432.198Current16.23119.814Days drink in year96.090 ± 97.19879.355 ± 78.680<0.001 | Smoking behavior (%) | | | 0.044 |
| Past 35.154 32.198 Current16.23119.814Days drink in year96.090 ± 97.19879.355 ± 78.680<0.001 | None | 48.614 | 47.988 | |
| Current16.23119.814Days drink in year96.090 ± 97.19879.335 ± 78.680<0.001 | Past | 35.154 | 32.198 | |
| Days drink in year96.090 ± 97.19879.335 ± 78.680<0.001Physical activity (MET-based rank) (100%)<0.001 | Current | 16.231 | 19.814 | |
| Physical activity (MET-based rank) (100%) <0.01 | Days drink in year | 96.090 ± 97.198 | 79.335 ± 78.680 | < 0.001 |
| 024.14938.313132.22530.186216.70611.842326.92019.659Any hypertension (%)57.64155.108Yes42.35944.892Any diabets (%) < 0.017 None86.93681.115Yes10.00418.885Any coronary artery disease (%) < 0.744 None94.45894.195Yes5.5425.805Serum uric acid (mg/dL)9.494 ± 1.5585.462 ± 1.6370.389Total calcium (mg/dL)9.349 ± 0.8985.622 ± 1.6370.255Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)1.419 ± 0.4701.454 ± 0.5150.070Total cholesterol (mg/dL)203.684 ± 42.647204.084 ± 43.0370.813Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL)362.894 ± 85.213372.056 ± 83.9240.006Dietary calcium intake (mg)795.699 ± 493.174671.699 ± 505.352<0.001 | Physical activity (MET-based rank) (100%) | | | < 0.001 |
| 132.22530.186216.70611.842326.92019.659Any hypertension (%) 0.197 None57.64155.108Yes42.35944.892Any diabets (%) < 0.011 None86.93681.115Yes13.06418.885Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.744 None94.45894.195Yes5.5425.805Serum uric acid (mg/dL)9.494 ± 1.5585.462 ± 1.6370.389Total calcium (mg/dL)9.349 ± 0.8985.462 ± 1.6370.255Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)15.777 ± 6.50815.630 ± 6.2940.563Serum creatinine (µmol/L)1.419 ± 0.4701.454 ± 0.5150.070Total cholesterol (mg/dL)203.684 ± 42.647204.084 ± 43.0370.813Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL)362.894 ± 85.213372.056 ± 83.9240.006Dietary calcium intake (mg)795.699 ± 493.1741.269 ± 50.352 0.001 | 0 | 24.149 | 38.313 | |
| 216.70611.842326.92019.659Any hypertension (%) 0.197 None 57.641 55.108 Yes42.35944.892Any diabetes (%) 0.197 None 86.936 81.115 Yes13.06418.885Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None94.45894.195Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (µmol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 61.269 ± 50.5352 <0.001 | 1 | 32.225 | 30.186 | |
| 326.92019.659Any hypertension (%)0.197None57.641Yes42.359Any diabetes (%)44.892Any diabetes (%) < 0.001 None86.936Yes13.06418.88581.115Yes13.064Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None94.458Yes5.542Serum uric acid (mg/dL)5.408 ± 1.5585.462 ± 1.6370.389Total calcium (mg/dL)9.349 ± 0.8985.642 ± 1.6370.255Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)15.777 ± 6.50815.630 ± 6.2940.563Serum creatinine (µmol/L)1.419 ± 0.4701.454 ± 0.5150.070Total cholesterol (mg/dL)203.684 ± 42.647204.084 ± 43.0370.813Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL)362.894 ± 85.213372.056 ± 83.9240.006Dictary calcium intake (mg)75.699 ± 493.174Total cholesterol (mg/dL)75.699 ± 493.174 | 2 | 16.706 | 11.842 | |
| Any hypertension (%) 0.197 None 57.641 55.108 Yes 42.359 44.892 Any diabetes (%) $<$ 0.001 None 86.936 81.115 Yes 13.064 18.885 Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 0.006 | 3 | 26.920 | 19.659 | |
| None 57.641 55.108 Yes 42.359 44.892 Any diabetes (%) None 86.936 81.115 Yes 13.064 18.885 Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (µmol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 79.5699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 c.001 | Any hypertension (%) | | | 0.197 |
| Yes42.35944.892Any diabetes (%)None 86.936 81.115 Yes 13.064 18.885 Any coronary artery disease (%)None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 Otal calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 8.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | None | 57.641 | 55.108 | |
| Any diabetes (%)None 86.936 81.115 Yes 13.064 18.885 Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Yes | 42.359 | 44.892 | |
| None 86.936 81.115 Yes 13.064 18.885 Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Any diabetes (%) | | | < 0.001 |
| Yes13.06418.885Any coronary artery disease (%)0.774None94.458Yes 5.542 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 Sone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 Contraction of the model 671.269 ± 505.352 Contraction of the model 4.005 ± 0.006 Contraction of the model 6.006 ± 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 Contraction of the model 671.269 ± 505.352 Contraction of the model 6.006 | None | 86.936 | 81.115 | |
| Any coronary artery disease (%) 0.774 None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Yes | 13.064 | 18.885 | |
| None 94.458 94.195 Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Any coronary artery disease (%) | | | 0.774 |
| Yes 5.542 5.805 Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | None | 94.458 | 94.195 | |
| Serum uric acid (mg/dL) 5.408 ± 1.558 5.462 ± 1.637 0.389 Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (µmol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Yes | 5.542 | 5.805 | |
| Total calcium (mg/dL) 9.349 ± 0.898 5.462 ± 1.637 0.255 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Serum uric acid (mg/dL) | 5.408 ± 1.558 | 5.462 ± 1.637 | 0.389 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 15.777 ± 6.508 15.630 ± 6.294 0.563 Serum creatinine (µmol/L) 1.419 ± 0.470 1.454 ± 0.515 0.070 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 < 0.001 | Total calcium (mg/dL) | 9.349 ± 0.898 | 5.462 ± 1.637 | 0.255 |
| Serum creatinine (μ mol/L)1.419 ± 0.4701.454 ± 0.5150.070Total cholesterol (mg/dL)203.684 ± 42.647204.084 ± 43.0370.813Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL)362.894 ± 85.213372.056 ± 83.9240.006Dietary calcium intake (mg)795.699 ± 493.174671.269 ± 505.352<0.001 | Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | 15.777 ± 6.508 | 15.630 ± 6.294 | 0.563 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 203.684 ± 42.647 204.084 ± 43.037 0.813 Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Serum creatinine (μ mol/L) | 1.419 ± 0.470 | 1.454 ± 0.515 | 0.070 |
| Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) 362.894 ± 85.213 372.056 ± 83.924 0.006 Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 203.684 ± 42.647 | 204.084 ± 43.037 | 0.813 |
| Dietary calcium intake (mg) 795.699 ± 493.174 671.269 ± 505.352 <0.001 | Bone alkaline phosphatase (mg/dL) | 362.894 ± 85.213 | 372.056 ± 83.924 | 0.006 |
| | Dietary calcium intake (mg) | 795.699 ± 493.174 | 671.269 ± 505.352 | < 0.001 |
| Total bone mineral density (g/cm^2) 1.088 ± 0.130 1.074 ± 0.129 0.007 | Total bone mineral density (g/cm^2) | 1.088 ± 0.130 | 1.074 ± 0.129 | 0.007 |

TABLE 1: Weighted characteristics of included subjects.

Mean \pm SD for continuous variables: *P* value was calculated by a weighted linear regression model. % for categorical variables: *P* value was calculated by a weighted chi-square test.

TABLE 2: Association of *H. pylori* seropositive and total bone mineral density.

| Effect modifier | Model I (β , 95% CI, P) | Model II (β, 95% CI, P) | Model III (β , 95% CI, P) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Total | -0.015 (-0.025, -0.006) 0.001 | -0.002 (-0.011, 0.007) 0.665 | 0.006 (-0.003, 0.015) 0.177 |
| Age groups | | | |
| 40-55 years ($n = 977$) | -0.001 (-0.016, 0.013) 0.850 | 0.004 (-0.011, 0.019) 0.590 | 0.018 (0.004, 0.033) 0.012 |
| ~70 years ($n = 921$) | -0.019 (-0.035, -0.003) 0.0188 | -0.014 (-0.029, 0.001) 0.064 | -0.010 (-0.025, 0.004) 0.169 |
| ~85 years ($n = 657$) | 0.007 (-0.012, 0.026) 0.487 | 0.008 (-0.008, 0.023) 0.329 | 0.009 (-0.007, 0.024) 0.257 |
| Sex | | | |
| Male (<i>n</i> = 1252) | 0.002 (-0.011, 0.015) 0.746 | 0.013 (-0.000, 0.026) 0.056 | 0.019 (0.007, 0.032) 0.003 |
| Female (<i>n</i> = 1303) | -0.031 (-0.044, -0.018) <0.001 | -0.014 (-0.025, -0.002) 0.023 | -0.002 (-0.014, 0.009) 0.730 |
| Race | | | |
| Non-Hispanic White $(n = 1212)$ | -0.023 (-0.039, -0.007) 0.004 | -0.003 (-0.016, 0.010) 0.655 | 0.008 (-0.005, 0.021) 0.237 |
| Non-Hispanic Black ($n = 455$) | -0.019 (-0.043, 0.006) 0.131 | -0.018 (-0.039, 0.003) 0.100 | -0.013 (-0.035, 0.008) 0.230 |
| Mexican American $(n = 688)$ | 0.006 (-0.013, 0.025) 0.514 | -0.004 (-0.020, 0.013) 0.672 | 0.003 (-0.014, 0.020) 0.703 |
| Other races $(n = 200)$ | 0.018 (-0.013, 0.049) 0.256 | 0.024 (-0.004, 0.051) 0.089 | 0.015 (-0.010, 0.041) 0.244 |
| BMI categories | | | |
| Undernutrition ($n = 36$) | -0.081 (-0.199, 0.037) 0.188 | 0.020 (-0.093, 0.134) 0.728 | -0.000 (-0.282, 0.281) 0.997 |
| Normal ($n = 695$) | -0.015 (-0.034, 0.004) 0.131 | 0.001 (-0.017, 0.018) 0.951 | 0.017 (-0.001, 0.035) 0.064 |
| Overweight $(n = 953)$ | -0.010 (-0.026, 0.006) 0.234 | 0.005 (-0.009, 0.019) 0.515 | 0.007 (-0.007, 0.022) 0.304 |
| Obese (<i>n</i> = 871) | -0.023 (-0.039, -0.007) 0.0056 | -0.008 (-0.022, 0.006) 0.270 | -0.003 (-0.017, 0.011) 0.668 |
| | | | |

Model I: no covariates were adjusted; model II: age, sex, and race were adjusted; model III: age, sex, race, educational level, body mass index, ratio of family income to poverty, physical activity, smoking behavior, hypertension status, diabetes status, coronary artery disease status, serum uric acid, total calcium, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, total cholesterol, bone alkaline phosphatase, and dietary calcium intake were adjusted.

3.2. Association between H. pylori Seropositivity and Total BMD

3.2.1. Multiple Regression Model. Three weighted univariate and multivariate linear regression models were constructed: model I, unadjusted; model II, age, sex, and race that were adjusted; and model III, covariates presented in Table 1 that were adjusted. In the unadjusted model, a negative association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD ($\beta = -0.015$, 95% CI: -0.025 to -0.006, P = 0.001). However, after variable adjustments, the association between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD was not significant in model II and model III. Details are presented in Table 2.

3.2.2. Subgroup Analyses. In the subgroup analyses stratified by age, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD among subjects aged 40-55 years ($\beta = 0.018$, 95% CI: 0.004 to 0.033, P = 0.012); however, the total BMD was not related to *H. pylori* seropositivity in other groups. In the subgroup analyses stratified by sex, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositive and total BMD in male ($\beta = 0.019$, 95% CI: 0.007 to 0.032, P = 0.003); however, the total BMD was not related to *H. pylori* seropositivity in female. In the subgroup analyses stratified by race and BMI categories, no association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD. Details are presented in Table 2.

In the subgroup analysis by age and sex, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD in male aged 40–55 years ($\beta = 0.034$, 95%) CI: 0.013 to 0.056, P = 0.002); however, no association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD in female aged 40–55 years. Moreover, in the groups of age over 55 years, no association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD neither male nor female. Details are presented in Table 3.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to explore the associations between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD using the data from NHANES. In summary, no association was found between *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD among most middle-aged and elderly adults. However, in the subgroup analyses stratified by age, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositivity and total BMD among subjects aged 40-55 years; in the subgroup analyses stratified by sex, a positive association was observed between the *H. pylori* seropositive and total BMD in male; in the subgroup analyses stratified by age and sex, the total BMD was higher in men aged 40-55 years with *H. pylori* seropositive than those with *H. pylori* seronegative.

Osteoporosis, as one of the metabolic bone diseases, is characterized by constant loss of BMD. It is important to understand the risk factors for BMD loss, which can help in the prevention, early diagnosis, and management of osteoporosis. *H. pylori* has been coevolved with humans over 50,000 years. Infection with *H. pylori* is a common risk factor for susceptibility to metabolic diseases; however, the association between *H. pylori* infection and BMD is limited

TABLE 3: Total bone mineral density stratified by race and age.

| Helicobacter pylori infection | Total bone mineral density (g/cm ²) (β , 95% CI, P) | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 40-55 years | 56~70 years | 71~85 years |
| Male | 0.034 (0.013, 0.056) 0.002 | -0.001 (-0.022, 0.020) 0.907 | 0.010 (-0.014, 0.015) 0.907 |
| Female | 0.005 (-0.014, 0.023) 0.616 | -0.011 (-0.031, 0.009) 0.285 | 0.008 (-0.014, 0.029) 0.485 |

Adjusted for age, sex, race, educational level, body mass index, ratio of family income to poverty, physical activity, smoking behavior, hypertension status, diabetes status, coronary artery disease status, serum uric acid, total calcium, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, total cholesterol, bone alkaline phosphatase, and dietary calcium intake.

and controversial. In most studies [14-21], no association between H. pylori infection and BMD or osteoporosis was observed, which is consistent with our observation. Recently, a meta-analysis including 1321 adults without other causes of osteoporosis or pathological bone disease at baseline showed that H. pylori infection was not associated with osteoporosis (OR = 1.49, 95% CI: 0.88 to 2.55) [11]. However, another pooled study [10] including 9655 subjects came to the opposite conclusion that H. pylori infection was associated with increased odds of osteoporosis (OR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.13 to 1.71). Notably, as acknowledged by the authors, the reference value of the results needs to be further validated due to the heterogeneity of the included studies. Thus, heterogeneity between these studies, including differences in the study design, study simple, and the controlled confounding variables, may explain the controversial findings. In this study, a nationally representative sample of US was used, so the findings are highly relevant to the whole population. Additionally, we further performed subgroup analyses for more appropriate representation of the dataset as recommended by the STROBE statement [22], and a special group was found; that is, a positive association was found between H. pylori seropositive and total BMD in male aged 40-55 years. As recently reported, sex and age are also predictors of H. pylori infection and BMD [9]. Furthermore, an interesting finding was reported; that is, 63.6% studies conducted in Eastern countries have observed an association between H. pylori infection and BMD status, whereas only 22.2% studies conducted in Western countries have observed such association [9]. The difference in the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection (about 30% in developed countries and up to 80% in developing countries) seems to contribute to the understanding of these findings [20].

The mechanism by which *H. pylori* infection increases the risk of osteoporosis and fracture remains to be elucidated in detail. Based on the evidence available to date, several potential mechanisms may underlie the association between *H. pylori* infection and osteoporosis. First, proinflammatory cytokines can act on mesenchymal stem cells and osteoclast precursors to enhance osteoclast-mediated bone resorption [23]. It was reported that high levels of circulating inflammatory markers were associated with increased bone loss or increased fracture risk [24, 25]. Therefore, it is likely that *H. pylori* increases the risk of osteoporosis by promoting an inflammatory response to produce proosteoclastogenic cytokines such as TNF α , IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8 [23]. Second, *H. pylori* infection was associated with reduced levels of estro-

gen, total estradiol, free estradiol, and bioavailable estradiol in both genders [26]. It was found that decreased estrogen production was associated with a sustained increase in the spontaneous secretion of osteoclastogenic cytokines by T cells, mononuclear cells, and bone marrow stromal cells, leading to net bone loss with increased bone resorption and decreased bone formation [27]. Third, chronic H. pylori infection may be associated with gastric mucosal atrophy. Atrophy of the gastric mucosa can inhibit acid secretion and thus affect calcium absorption and consequently adversely affect bone mass [15]. However, a recent crosssectional study of 268 healthy men showed that decreased bone mineral density was not associated with H. pylori-associated estradiol levels or gastric mucosal atrophy [21]. Similarly, the chronic use of proton pump inhibitors for treatment for gastroduodenal mucosal injury may result in low levels of gastric acid, which is believed to impair calcium solubility and lead to malabsorption, thereby exacerbating bone mineral density loss secondary to hypocalcemic hyperparathyroidism, osteoclast activation, and bone resorption [28, 29]. Overall, the current available data are equivocal, and further mechanistic studies are still necessary.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the association between *H. pylori* seropositive and BMD using the data from NHANES. The NHANES features a rigorous sampling design from the national population of US, high-quality research measurement, detailed quality control procedures, and a more representative population. However, limitations must be acknowledged. First, all data in NHANES are cross-sectional; this study cannot draw the causal relationship between *H. pylori* seropositive and total BMD. Second, the bias caused by other potential confounding factors that did not be adjusted in this study is not excluded. Furthermore, this study did not include the inflammation status of the subjects, which can possibly explain the relationship between *H. Pylori* seropositive and bone health.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, no association between *H. pylori* seropositive and total BMD was demonstrated in our study. *H. pylori* infection may not be one key factor in the loss of BMD.

Abbreviations

| H. pylori: | Helicobacter pylori |
|------------|----------------------|
| BMD: | Bone mineral density |

Data Availability

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available in the NHANES repository (https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/Default.aspx).

Disclosure

Jinke Huang and Zhihong Liu are the co-first authors.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Jinke Huang and Zhihong Liu initiated the study design. Jinke Huang drafted the manuscript. Zhihong Liu, Jinxin Ma, Jiali Liu, and Mi Lv helped with implementation of this work. Fengyun Wang and Xudong Tang contributed to the methodology, review, and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine Digestive Refractory Disease Inheritance and Innovation Team Project (No. ZYYCXTD-C-C202010) and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research Business of Central Public Welfare Scientific Research Institute (No. ZZ13-YQ-003).

References

- M. Zamani, F. Ebrahimtabar, V. Zamani et al., "Systematic review with meta-analysis: the worldwide prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection," *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics.*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 868–876, 2018.
- [2] C. Burucoa and A. Axon, "Epidemiology of Helicobacter pylori infection," *Helicobacter*, vol. 22, Supplement 1, pp. 1– 5, 2017.
- [3] J. C. Atherton, "The pathogenesis ofHelicobacter pyloriinduced gastro-duodenal diseases," *Annual Review of Pathol*ogy, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 63–96, 2006.
- [4] T. Wang, X. Li, Q. Zhang et al., "Relationship betweenHelicobacter pyloriinfection and osteoporosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *BMJ Open*, vol. 9, no. 6, article e027356, 2019.
- [5] A. Klibanski, L. Adams-Campbell, and T. Bassford, "Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 285, no. 6, pp. 785–795, 2001.
- [6] O. Johnell and J. A. Kanis, "An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures," *Osteoporosis International*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1726–1733, 2006.
- [7] N. F. Ray, J. K. Chan, M. Thamer, and L. J. Melton, "Medical expenditures for the treatment of osteoporotic fractures in the United States in 1995: report from the National Osteopo-

rosis Foundation," Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 24-35, 1997.

- [8] C. R. Lyles, A. L. Schafer, and H. K. Seligman, "Income, food insecurity, and osteoporosis among older adults in the 2007-2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)," *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1530–1541, 2014.
- [9] F. Leon, F. Alexander, and N. Smith Paul, "Helicobacter pylori related diseases and osteoporotic fractures (Narrative Review)," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 3253, 2020.
- [10] T. Wang, X. Li, Q. Zhang et al., "Helicobacter pylori Relationship between infection and osteoporosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *BMJ Open*, vol. 9, no. 6, article e027356, 2019.
- [11] S. Upala, A. Sanguankeo, K. Wijarnpreecha, and V. Jaruvongvanich, "Association between Helicobacter pylori infection and osteoporosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 433–440, 2015.
- [12] L. R. Curtin, L. K. Mohadjer, S. M. Dohrmann et al., "The national health and nutrition examination survey: sample design, 1999–2006," *Vital and Health Statistics*, vol. 2, pp. 1– 39, 2012.
- [13] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Helicobacter pylori ELISA measurement in NHANES*, 2008.
- [14] A. M. Kakehasi, C. M. Mendes, L. G. Coelho, L. P. Castro, and A. J. A. Barbosa, "The presence of Helicobacter pylori in postmenopausal women is not a factor to the decrease of bone mineral density," *Arquivos de Gastroenterologia*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 266–270, 2007.
- [15] A. M. Kakehasi, C. B. Rodrigues, A. V. Carvalho, and A. J. A. Barbosa, "Chronic gastritis and bone mineral density in women," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 819–824, 2009.
- [16] M. Fotouk-Kiai, S. R. Hoseini, N. Meftah et al., "Relationship between helicobacter pylori infection (Hp) and bone mineral density (BMD) in elderly people," *Caspian Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 6, pp. 62–66, 2015.
- [17] M. R. Kalantarhormozi, M. Assadi, K. Vahdat et al., "Chlamydia pneumoniae and helicobacter pylori IgG seropositivities are not predictors of osteoporosis-associated bone loss: a prospective cohort study," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 422–428, 2016.
- [18] D. Chinda, T. Shimoyama, C. Iino, M. Matsuzaka, S. Nakaji, and S. Fukuda, "Decrease of estradiol and several lifestyle factors, but not Helicobacter pylori infection, are significant risks for osteopenia in Japanese females," *Digestion*, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 103–109, 2017.
- [19] B. Heidari, A. Muhammadi, Y. Javadian, A. Bijani, R. Hosseini, and M. Babaei, "Associated factors of bone mineral density and osteoporosis in elderly males," *International Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 15, no. 1, article e39662, 2016.
- [20] L. J. Lu, N. B. Hao, J. J. Liu, X. Li, and R. L. Wang, "Correlation between Helicobacter pylori infection and metabolic abnormality in general population: a cross-sectional study," *Gastroenterology Research and Practice*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7410801, 6 pages, 2018.
- [21] D. Chinda, T. Shimoyama, K. Sawada et al., "Lifestyle factors rather thanHelicobacter pyloriinfection or estradiol level are

associated with osteopenia in Japanese men," American Journal of Men's Health, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 155798831984821, 2019.

- [22] E. von Elm, D. G. Altman, M. Egger, S. J. Pocock, P. C. Gøtzsche, and J. P. Vandenbroucke, "The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies," *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 344–349, 2008.
- [23] K. Papamichael, G. Papaioannou, M. A. Cheifetz, and A. S. Cheifetz, "Bone of contention: Helicobacter pylori and osteoporosis-is there an association?," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 2736–2739, 2019.
- [24] C. Ding, V. Parameswaran, R. Udayan, J. Burgess, and G. Jones, "Circulating levels of inflammatory markers predict change in bone mineral density and resorption in older adults: a longitudinal study," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 1952–1958, 2008.
- [25] B. Abrahamsen, V. Bonnevie-Nielsen, E. N. Ebbesen, J. Gram, and H. Beck-Nielsen, "Cytokines and bone loss in a 5-year longitudinal study-hormone replacement therapy suppresses serum soluble interleukin-6 receptor and increases interleukin-1-receptor antagonist: the Danish Osteoporosis Prevention Study," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 1545–1554, 2000.
- [26] L. Gennari, D. Merlotti, N. Figura et al., "Infection by CagApositive helicobacter pylori strains and bone fragility: a prospective cohort study," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 80–89, 2021.
- [27] T. Ueyama, N. Shirasawa, M. Numazawa et al., "Gastric parietal cells: potent endocrine role in secreting estrogen as a possible regulator of gastro-hepatic axis," *Endocrinology*, vol. 143, no. 8, pp. 3162–3170, 2002.
- [28] Y. Nassar and S. Richter, "Proton-pump inhibitor use and fracture risk: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Bone Metabolism*, vol. 25, no. 3, 2018.
- [29] Y. X. Yang, "Chronic proton pump inihibitor therapy and calcium metabolism," *Current Gastroenterology Reports*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 473–479, 2012.