Research Article

Integrative Analysis of Clinical and Bioinformatics Databases to Reveal the Role of Peripheral Innate Immunity in Kawasaki Disease

Hongjun Ba,1,2 Lili Zhang,1 Huimin Peng,1 Jixun Lin,1 Youzhen Qin,1,2 and Yao Wang3

1Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Heart Centre, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
2NHC Key Laboratory of Assisted Circulation and Vascular Diseases, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
3Guangzhou Medical University Cancer Hospital, Guangzhou, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yao Wang; wangyao@gzhmu.edu.cn

Received 14 July 2023; Revised 5 October 2023; Accepted 18 October 2023; Published 14 November 2023

Academic Editor: Cheorl-Ho Kim

Copyright © 2023 Hongjun Ba et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Kawasaki disease (KD) is an immune-response disorder with unknown etiology. KD is an acute systemic immune vasculitis caused by infectious factors that can be complicated by coronary artery lesions. Innate immune cells are closely associated with KD onset, but we know little regarding the expression of immunity-related genes (IRGs) and the possible immune regulatory mechanisms involved in KD. In this study, we analyzed public single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and microarray data of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from normal controls and KD patients. The results of scRNA-seq revealed myeloid cells, T cells, B cells, NK cells, erythrocytes, platelets, plasma cells, hematopoietic stem cells, and progenitor cells in the peripheral blood of patients with KD. In particular, myeloid cells were expanded and heterogeneous. Further analysis of the myeloid cell population revealed that mono-myeloid cells exhibited higher expression of the inflammatory genes S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12; furthermore, CD14+CD16+ monocyte clusters were associated with inflammatory responses. Microarray data revealed that activation of the innate immune response contributed to KD development and progression. Differential expression and weighted gene coexpression network analysis identified 48 differentially expressed IRGs associated with response to intravenous immunoglobulin, currently the most effective treatment of KD, although numerous patients are resistant. Protein–protein interaction analysis identified ten hub genes (IL1R1, SOCS3, IL1R2, TLR8, IL1RN, CCR1, IL1B, IL4R, IL10RB, and IFNGR1) among the IRGs. In addition, the expressions of IL1R1, SOCS3, CCR1, IL1B, and IL10RB were validated in Chinese KD patients using the real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. Finally, we found that the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio could be used as a biomarker to predict responsiveness to intravenous immunoglobulin in KD. In conclusion, our data highlight the importance of innate immunity in KD pathogenesis and its potential in predicting treatment response.

1. Introduction

Kawasaki disease (KD), also known as cutaneous mucosal lymph node syndrome, was first reported from Japan in 1967 by Kawasaki [1]. KD is a disorder characterized by abnormal inflammation and an atypical immune response. Genetic factors, particularly variations in genes associated with the immune system, contribute to the risk of developing this condition [2]. Immune dysregulation and the activation of T cells and monocytes play a role in the disease [3, 4]. Inflammation and the formation of aneurysms in the coronary arteries are caused by dysfunction in the endothelial lining of blood vessels [5]. While the exact infectious agent responsible for KD remains unknown, some theories propose that specific viruses or bacteria may trigger the immune response [6]. However, the exact cause of KD remains unknown.

Immunohistochemical analysis of postmortem tissue from patients with KD has revealed the presence of monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils [3, 4], as well as activated...
CD8+ T cells [7] and IgA+ plasma cells [8, 9], in the arterial wall. Infiltrating immune cells release proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF and IL-1β) that then contribute to the development of endothelial lesions and CAL [10, 11]. In addition, endothelin autoantibodies can cause endothelial disease in KD [12]. Recent research using single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) has revealed alterations to immune cells in KD patients at the acute stage, including increases in immunomodulatory T cells, NK cells [13], plasma cells, and B cells [14]. Immunomodulatory genes are involved in the pathogenesis of KD [15]. Changes have also been observed in monocyte developmental trajectory [16], and CD14+CD16- monocytes were found to be expanded in KD. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C), an inflammatory disorder associated with immune dysfunction, has clinical manifestations similar to KD which are sometimes difficult to distinguish. Immunological studies showed a decrease in the number of follicular B cells, an increase in the number of terminally differentiated CD4+T lymphocytes (LYM), and a decrease in IL-17A levels in MIS-C [17]. These studies suggest that innate immunity plays an important role in KD pathogenesis. Furthermore, the most effective treatment for KD is currently high-dose intravenous gamma globulin (IVIG), which reduces CAL incidence. However, up to 15%–20% of patients do not respond to IVIG therapy, and CAL progression remains unaffected [17, 18]. An improved understanding of the mechanisms underlying the involvement of innate immunity could help to explain the nonresponsive patients. One promising area of research is immunity-related genes (IRGs), known to be critical during immune infiltration [19, 20]. No research has yet examined their regulation or expression characteristics in KD.

The objective of our study was to explore the role of innate immunity in the pathogenesis of KD and its potential in predicting treatment response. Here, we used scRNA-seq and RNA microarray data to investigate the expression characteristics of IRGs and their possible regulatory mechanisms. Furthermore, we validated the hub genes in Chinese KD patients. We demonstrated that CD14+CD16- monocytes are important effector cells in the acute stage of KD, and regulate cytokine levels through promoting the expression of inflammatory transcription factors. Moreover, CD14+CD16+ and CD14-CD16- monocytes are closely related to the efficacy of IVIG treatment. Our findings provide insight into the role of innate immunity in KD pathogenesis and offer valuable biomarkers that can be useful for improving treatment efficacy.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Gene Expression Datasets

Two whole-blood RNA microarray datasets (GSE18606 [21] and GSE63881 [22]) and two scRNA-seq datasets (GSE168732 [14] and GSE152450 [16]) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) (Table 1). GSE63881 array data included acute and convalescent whole blood transcriptional profiles of 171 KD patients before IVIG administration (110 IVIG responders and 61 nonresponders). GSE18606 comprised expression profiles of nine healthy age-appropriate subjects and 20 acute KD subjects (8 nonresponders and 12 responders). Whole blood peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were used for scRNA-seq; GSE168732 data contained six KD and three healthy subjects. Analysis of GSE152450 data revealed monocyte heterogeneity in two healthy and two KD infants.

#### 2.2. Analysis of scRNA Sequencing Data

Processing of GSE168732 scRNA sequencing data followed methods from a previous study [14]. The quality control standards are as follows: first, we assigned the CreateSeuratObject function of the R package Seurat [23] was used to perform downstream analyses of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Criteria for DEGs were adjusted $P<0.05$ and $|\text{log fold change}| \geq 0.25$. R packages Monocle2 [24] and Dorothea [25] were used to determine the pseudo-time developmental trajectory and transcription factor activity of myeloid subpopulations, respectively.

#### 2.3. Score Analysis of IRGs

IRGs were downloaded from ImmPort (https://www.immport.org/shared/home) [26]. The R package AUCell was used to calculate IRG scores among cell clusters, following publicly available code and tutorial (https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/AUCell/html).
2.4. Microarray Data Analysis. The R package microarray biological research [27] was used to construct the expression matrix and to match the probes with gene symbols. Downstream analysis, including normalization and analysis of DEGs (adjusted $P < 0.05$, and $|\log \text{fold change}| \geq 1.0$), was done with R package limma [28].

2.5. Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis. Gene coexpression networks were constructed with the R package weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA) [29]. After analyzing the correlation modules and different sample periods, MEblue modules were selected for further analysis because they had the highest positive correlation with responders from acute samples. The code and tutorial were obtained online (https://rstudio-pubs-static.s3.amazonaws.com/687551_ed469310d8ea4652991a2e850b0018de.html).

2.6. Functional Analysis. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses were performed for DEGs using clusterProfiler [30]. Differences were considered significant at adjusted $P < 0.05$. The R package GSVA (gene set variation analysis) was applied on hallmark gene sets among cell clusters [31].

2.7. Protein–Protein Interaction Analysis and Validation of Hub Genes. Network analysis of protein–protein interactions (PPIs) was performed using STRING (https://string-db.org/) [32]. Hub genes were identified in Cytoscape version 3.8.2. Real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assays were performed to verify the reliability of bioinformatics-based results. A total of 28 study participants were recruited from the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, including 18 KD patients (10 IVIG-responsive, 8 IVIG-nonresponsive), and 10 healthy controls. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University ((2022)514). Peripheral venous blood was collected from each participant; then, total RNA was extracted from each sample using TRIzol (Invitrogen, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Invitrogen, USA). RT-qPCR was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (TransGen Biotech, China) on an ABI 7500 fast real-time PCR system. The amplification procedure was as follows: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for 40 cycles. GAPDH was selected as the internal control for mRNA, and the relative expression level of mRNA was calculated by the relative quantification ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) method. The primer sequences are listed in Table 2.

2.8. Laboratory Indicators of KD. Clinical data and common laboratory inflammation indices were collected from patients diagnosed with KD between January 2014 and January 2021 in the Department of Pediatric Cardiology at the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. The nature and purpose of the study was carefully explained to parents before written consent was obtained from the parents. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University ((2022)514). All patients had acute KD. Individuals were excluded if they had other systemic diseases (e.g., kidney disease), or did not receive IVIG. The diagnostic criteria for KD were based on literature [33]. Patients were divided into IVIG-responsive and IVIG-resistant groups [33]. Inflammatory markers, including C-reactive protein (CRP), procollagen (PCT), white blood cells, neutrophils (NEUT), LYM, monocytes (MONO), and platelets (PLT) were collected; the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was then calculated. These indicators were measured before IVIG administration.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. Wilcoxon tests or Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for between-group comparisons. All statistics and visualizations used R and the ggplot2 package [34]. Results from descriptive analyses are reported as percentages and medians (interquartile spacing), as appropriate. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

### Table 2: Primers used for RT-qPCR with their sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer (5'–3')</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5'–3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL1R1</td>
<td>CGTCCTGTCCTCCTAACCACATG</td>
<td>TGGTGTAGTAGAATCCTGGAAGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>GACTGGGCTGCTCAAGCTGGTG</td>
<td>CTGGAGGAAGGTTGTAGGGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1R2</td>
<td>GACGGTGGCTGCTGGCTGCTG</td>
<td>GGGTATGAGATGAACGGCAGGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR8</td>
<td>GAGGCCAGTTGTCAGCAATTCCTG</td>
<td>GCCCTGCTGGTGGGGTTCAGGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1RN</td>
<td>GTGGCTGTCCTGTCAGAATCTG</td>
<td>GCCATCTGTCGAGGAGGATGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR1</td>
<td>CAACTCCTGGCCAGAAGGGTGAAC</td>
<td>AAGGACCAAGGACCCAGGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II1B</td>
<td>GACAGAGATAGGGAGAACTGGTG</td>
<td>TCATCTTTCACACGGCAAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II4R</td>
<td>AGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG</td>
<td>TCCGAGAGGCTCCAGAAGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10RB</td>
<td>GACCAAAACCCATGAGACCACCG</td>
<td>CACACAGACAGGAGCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNGR1</td>
<td>AGATTCAGTGCCAGTTAAGGATTC</td>
<td>CCCACACATGTAAGACTTCCTTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Profiling of scRNAs from KD PBMCs. Analysis of GSE168732 involved 36,849 cells (22,775 KD patients and 14,074 normal controls (NC)). After filtration, we retained 24,679 cells (14,033 patients with KD and 10,646 NC). The expression characteristics of each sample are shown in Figure S1.

Cells were assigned to known clusters based on marker genes [11]. Using t-SNE analysis, we visualized nine clusters: myeloid cells, T cells, B cells, NK cells, erythrocytes, platelets,
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plasma cells, hematopoietic stem cells, and progenitor cells, and mixed clusters (Figure 1(a) and Table S1, for all marker gene expression, see Figure S2). The KD group exhibited an increase in the number of myeloid and B cells (Figure 1(a)). We then identified DEGs (between KD and NC groups) in each cell cluster (the top five DEGs per cluster are shown in Figure 1(b)).

Compared with the NC group, T cells in the KD group decreased significantly, while myeloid cells increased, but not significantly (Figures 1(c) and 1(d) and Table 3).

### 3.2. Expression of IRG in PBMC Clusters from KD Patients

We selected IRGs according to the import database, and then obtained them from DEGs of each cluster in the PBMCs, resulting in 381 IRGs for analysis (Table S2).

The uniform manifold approximation and projection analysis revealed that myeloid cells had the highest number of DEGs among the nine cell subgroups (Figure 2(a)). We confirmed this outcome with area under the curve (AUC) analyses of IRG activity, where cells expressing more genes had higher AUC values (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)). Next, GO and KEGG analyses of 381 IRGs revealed that they were mainly enriched in immune response-activating cell surface receptor signaling pathway and cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction (Figures 2(d) and 2(e)). These data suggest that myeloid cell clusters are heterogeneous and that the innate immune system plays an essential role in the development of KD. Therefore, we mainly focused on myeloid cells in subsequent analyses.

### 3.3. Characteristics of Monocyte Expression in KD

Further reclustering analysis of myeloid cells based on cell-type marker genes showed that the main components were CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes, leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor A4^+ plasmacytoid dendritic cells (LILRA4^+ pDC), CD14^+CD16^- monocytes, and CD14^-CD16^- monocytes.

---

**Figure 1:** Cluster distribution analysis. (a) Cell types identified in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP). (b) Cluster heat map of top five differentially expressed genes (DEGs) per cluster. (c) Cluster distribution in each sample. (d) Cluster distribution in Kawasaki disease (KD) and normal control (NC) groups. *P < 0.05.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Myeloid cells</th>
<th>B cells</th>
<th>T cells</th>
<th>NK cells</th>
<th>Erythrocytes</th>
<th>Platelet</th>
<th>Plasma cells</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KD1</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>2,203</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD2</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>2,783</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD3</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1,347</td>
<td>3,294</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD4</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>1,658</td>
<td>1,940</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD5</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>3,049</td>
<td>2,851</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD6</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC1</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>3,081</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>2,907</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC3</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>3,389</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table 3: Cell numbers of each cluster.**
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A previous study reported that peripheral blood monocytes play a central role in acute KD [35]. We thus compared monocyte expression between the KD and NC groups, revealing that monocytes in the KD group expressed the calgranulin genes $S100A8$, $S100A9$, and $S100A12$ at significantly higher levels (Figure 3(b)). Dot plots showed that these inflammatory genes were mainly concentrated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes (Figure 3(c)). Pseudo-time analysis of monocyte subsets indicated that the developmental trajectory started from $CD14^−CD16^+$ monocytes and ended with $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes; additionally, inflammatory gene expression increased during development (Figure 3(d)). Next, GSVA of significant hallmarks in myeloid cells indicated that immunomodulatory genes associated with the inflammatory response were significantly upregulated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes from the KD group (Figure 4(a)).

(Figure 3(a) and Figure S3). A previous study reported that peripheral blood monocytes play a central role in acute KD [35]. We thus compared monocyte expression between the KD and NC groups, revealing that monocytes in the KD group expressed the calgranulin genes $S100A8$, $S100A9$, and $S100A12$ at significantly higher levels (Figure 3(b)). Dot plots showed that these inflammatory genes were mainly concentrated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes (Figure 3(c)). Pseudo-time analysis of monocyte subsets indicated that the developmental trajectory started from $CD14^−CD16^+$ monocytes and ended with $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes; additionally, inflammatory gene expression increased during development (Figure 3(d)). Next, GSVA of significant hallmarks in myeloid cells indicated that immunomodulatory genes associated with the inflammatory response were significantly upregulated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes from the KD group (Figure 4(a)).

(Figure 3(a) and Figure S3). A previous study reported that peripheral blood monocytes play a central role in acute KD [35]. We thus compared monocyte expression between the KD and NC groups, revealing that monocytes in the KD group expressed the calgranulin genes $S100A8$, $S100A9$, and $S100A12$ at significantly higher levels (Figure 3(b)). Dot plots showed that these inflammatory genes were mainly concentrated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes (Figure 3(c)). Pseudo-time analysis of monocyte subsets indicated that the developmental trajectory started from $CD14^−CD16^+$ monocytes and ended with $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes; additionally, inflammatory gene expression increased during development (Figure 3(d)). Next, GSVA of significant hallmarks in myeloid cells indicated that immunomodulatory genes associated with the inflammatory response were significantly upregulated in $CD14^+CD16^+$ monocytes from the KD group (Figure 4(a)).
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heat map showing functional enrichment indicated that transcription factors related to inflammatory NFKB1 were highly expressed in CD14+CD16+ monocytes, suggesting their likely involvement in the inflammatory response of KD (Figure 4(b)).

3.4. Differentially Expressed Genes in RNA Microarray Data of KD. We analyzed the RNA microarray dataset GSE18606 containing 12 IVIG-responsive KD patients and nine controls to explore DEGs in KD and screen for common IRGs in myeloid cells. We identified 5,339 up- and 5,542 downregulated...
DEGs in KD (Table S3), including the inflammatory genes S100A8 and S100A12 (Figure 5(a)). Among these DEGs, we found 289 IRGs in the KD group (Figure 5(b)). According to GO analysis, these IRGs were enriched in pathways related to innate immunity, including neutrophil chemotaxis and myeloid leukocyte migration. (Figure 5(c)). Moreover, t-SNE plots of IRG scores in all clusters indicated that the 289 IRGs were mainly expressed in members of the innate immunity myeloid cell cluster (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)). These results further indicate that innate immunity is associated with KD pathogenesis.

3.5. Confirmation of Monocyte Function in KD. We used the scRNA sequencing dataset GSE152450 to confirm monocyte infiltration into KD. We grouped 2,302 myeloid cells into five subsets, including CD14+CD16- monocytes, CD14+CD16+ monocytes, CD14+CD16+ monocytes, CD1C+ classical dendritic cells, and LILR4+ pDC cells; monocytes were dominant (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)). Analysis of DEGs in monocytes between KD and NC groups showed that S1008A, S1009A, and other inflammatory regulatory genes were highly expressed in KD (Figure 6(c)). Again, GSVA of hallmarks indicated that monocytes are closely related to the inflammatory signaling pathways (Figure 6(d)). In summary, GSE152450 analysis confirmed that monocytes are closely related to the inflammatory response in KD.

3.6. Identification of Hub Genes Related to IVIG-Responsive Acute KD. To investigate biomarkers of IVIG-responsive acute KD, we analyzed whole blood RNA microarray data from patients with IVIG-resistant and IVIG-responsive KD. The results of WGCNA analysis (GSE63881) showed that IVIG-responsive KD had a highly synergistic gene set that correlated with disease phenotype (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)). These hub genes were confirmed to be disease-related markers through further analysis of the protein-protein interaction network.
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Figure 5: Continued.
FIGURE 5: DEGs of KD from the GSE18606 dataset. (a) Volcano plot of DEGs (|logFC| ≥ 0.25 and adjusted P < 0.05). Upregulated genes are in red and downregulated genes are in blue. The first 20 DEGs are tagged. (b) GO of DEGs in GSE18606, showing enrichment in G protein-coupled receptor binding, neutrophil chemotaxis, intercellular adhesion, and inflammatory cytokine production. (c) Venn diagram showing 289 IRGs from the DEGs in KD. (d) Scores of 289 screened IRGs. (e) UMAP plots of IRG scores in all clusters. Myeloid cells express more genes and exhibit higher AUC values.
FIGURE 6: Continued.
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Figure 6: Cluster analysis of myeloid cells in KD from the GSE15245 dataset. (a) Cell types identified in myeloid cells using UMAP. (b) Violin plot depicts distributions of cell-type marker genes in monocytes and LILRA4+ pDC. (c) Volcano plot of DEGs in monocytes from KD patients. Upregulated genes are in red and downregulated genes are in blue. (d) Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) of significant hallmarks in monocytes, showing that DEGs are mainly involved in the regulation of immune response and TNFA signaling via NFKB.
**Figure 7: Continued.**

**Module–trait relationships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Acute_resistant</th>
<th>Acute_responsive</th>
<th>Convalescent_resistant</th>
<th>Convalescent_responsive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MElightcyan</td>
<td>0.083 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.01)</td>
<td>−0.043 (0.4)</td>
<td>−0.17 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEblack</td>
<td>−0.1 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.074 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGrey60</td>
<td>−0.094 (0.08)</td>
<td>−0.1 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.075 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEsalmon</td>
<td>0.099 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02)</td>
<td>−0.099 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEblue</td>
<td>0.27 (3e−07)</td>
<td>0.52 (2e−25)</td>
<td>−0.32 (1e−09)</td>
<td>−0.49 (1e−21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METan</td>
<td>0.15 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.42 (2e−16)</td>
<td>−0.25 (2e−06)</td>
<td>−0.35 (6e−11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGreenyellow</td>
<td>0.016 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.027 (0.6)</td>
<td>−0.055 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.0049 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPurple</td>
<td>0.025 (0.7)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.039 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.056 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGreen</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.006)</td>
<td>−0.19 (5e−04)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.23 (3e−05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERed</td>
<td>−0.041 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.05)</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.008)</td>
<td>0.044 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPink</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.007)</td>
<td>−0.37 (1e−12)</td>
<td>0.24 (7e−06)</td>
<td>0.29 (3e−08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METurquoise</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.03)</td>
<td>−0.27 (4e−07)</td>
<td>0.22 (3e−05)</td>
<td>0.18 (7e−04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEbrown</td>
<td>−0.27 (4e−07)</td>
<td>−0.34 (2e−10)</td>
<td>0.22 (3e−05)</td>
<td>0.38 (6e−13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEmidnightblue</td>
<td>−0.21 (1e−04)</td>
<td>−0.41 (5e−15)</td>
<td>0.31 (6e−09)</td>
<td>0.32 (8e−10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEcyan</td>
<td>−0.033 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.012 (0.8)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMagenta</td>
<td>0.091 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.27 (4e−07)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.04)</td>
<td>−0.26 (2e−06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEyellow</td>
<td>0.15 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.29 (4e−08)</td>
<td>−0.15 (0.005)</td>
<td>−0.29 (5e−08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGrey</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.009)</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.088 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module membership vs. gene significance**

\[
\text{cor} = 0.71, p = 5.5e^{-167}
\]

**Figure 7: Continued.**
genes were mainly enriched in innate immune pathways, such as neutrophil activation, neutrophil degranulation, and neutrophil-mediated immunity (Figure 7(c)).

In addition, analysis of GSE18606 revealed 3,598 DEGs (1,570 upregulated and 2,028 downregulated) between acute IVIG-responsive and acute IVIG-resistant KD (Figure 8(a), Table S4). These DEGs were mainly enriched in neutrophil activation, neutrophil degranulation, and neutrophil-mediated immunity (Figure 8(b)). Intersection analysis yielded 48 IRGs from the DEGs (Figure 8(c)) that were mostly involved in regulation of the innate immune response (Figure 8(d)). In addition, they were expressed in myeloid cells and CD14+CD16+ monocytes (Figures 9(a) and 9(b)). The PPI network analysis indicated that inflammation-related genes IL1R1, SOCS3, IL1R2, TLR8, IL1RN, CCR1, IL1B, IL4R, IL10RB, and IFNGR1 were hub genes (Figure 9(c)).

3.7 RT-qPCR Validation of Hub Genes in Chinese KD Patients. To further validate the expression of the ten hub genes in KD patients, we detected their expression in 18 samples of peripheral venous blood from KD patients (10 IVIG responsive, 8 IVIG nonresponsive) and 10 samples from healthy controls. The results showed that the expression of IL1R1 (P < 0.01), SOCS3 (P < 0.01), TLR8 (P < 0.01), CCR1 (P < 0.01), IL1B (P < 0.01), and IL10RB (P < 0.001) was significantly up-regulated in the IVIG nonresponsive KD group compared with the control group and the IVIG responsive group. The expression of IL1R2 (P < 0.01), IL1RN (P < 0.01), IL4R (P < 0.01), and IFNGR1 (P < 0.01) was significantly up-regulated in the IVIG nonresponsive KD group compared to the control group, but there was no significant difference compared to the IVIG responsive group (Figure 10).
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Figure 8: Analysis of DEGs between acute IVIG-responsive KD and acute IVIG-resistant KD (GSE18606). (a) Volcano plot of DEGs (|logFC| ≥ 1 and adjusted P < 0.05). The adjusted P-value levels are indicated by the color of the dot, with the top 20 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) being specifically labeled. Upregulated genes are on the right and downregulated genes on the left. (b) These DEGs are mainly involved in neutrophil activation, neutrophil degranulation, and neutrophil-mediated immunity. (c) Intersection analysis of IVIG-related DEGs between the two datasets (GSE63881 and GSE18606). (d) The DEGs found in both datasets are associated with positive regulation of T-helper 1 type immune response and lipopolysaccharide-mediated signaling pathway.
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FIGURE 9: Distribution of DEGs in immune cells. (a) The DEGs are mainly found in myeloid cells, specifically (b) CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes and CD14⁻CD16⁺ monocytes. (c) The PPI network analysis of common hub genes.

FIGURE 10: Continued.
3.8. Inflammatory Markers in KD Patients. We investigated 151 KD patients, including 133 IVIG-responsive individuals and 18 IVIG-resistant individuals (Table 4). The two patient groups did not differ in age or sex. The IVIG-resistant group had higher CRP, PCT, and NLR levels than the IVIG-responsive group. Additionally, the IVIG-resistant group had significantly lower lymphocyte levels than the IVIG-responsive group.

### Table 4: Comparison of the IVIG-responsive and -resistant patients with KD in terms of demographic and clinical variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>IVIG-responsive (n=133)</th>
<th>IVIG-resistant (n=18)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>21.9 (13.2, 40.5)</td>
<td>27.95 (16.2, 35.5)</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, percentage)</td>
<td>86 (64.7%)</td>
<td>13 (72.2%)</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>80 (45.9, 111.0)</td>
<td>121.5 (82.9, 148.2)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT (ng/mL)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2, 1.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3, 3.1)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>14.3 (11.5, 19.0)</td>
<td>15.2 (11.8, 26.1)</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEUT (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>8.9 (6.5, 11.9)</td>
<td>10.7 (8.3, 16.2)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYM (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.6, 6.0)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.9, 6.5)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONO (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6, 1.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>383 (310.5, 493.5)</td>
<td>372.5 (229.7, 459.7)</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5, 3.5)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.5, 13.1)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin; WBC, white blood cells; NEUT, neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocytes; PLT, platelet; NLR, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio. The data are presented as Median (interquartile spacing) or number (%).
4. Discussion

Increasing evidence suggests that the innate immune response may be involved in KD pathogenesis [13, 14, 36]. Of particular note is the involvement of monocytes, innate immune cells from the bone marrow that have multiple functions, including tissue development and homeostasis, inflammation initiation and resolution, and tissue repair [37–39]. Monocytes are involved in the pathogenesis of other cardiovascular diseases [40–42], and changes in monocyte subsets have been observed in KD patients [16]. Other evidence to suggest that innate immunity plays a major role in KD pathogenesis includes research using mouse models of the disease. One such study identified a nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein (NOD) 1 ligand as an important inducer of coronary arteritis [43]. Another study using a mouse model found that inhibition of interleukin-1β attenuates vasculitis [44].

Here, we followed up on those previous reports in an effort to better understand the potential mechanisms of innate immunity in KD pathogenesis. Through an analysis of scRNA sequencing data and marker gene expression, we first found that T cells in patients with KD were significantly reduced (Figure 1(d)), and also confirmed that myeloid cells—the main source of monocytes—were the most heterogeneous cell group (Figure 2(a)). Our results corroborated earlier research showing changes to T cells in KD patients [13, 14], but myeloid cells have rarely been studied in KD, although their involvement has been confirmed in various other inflammatory diseases [42, 45]. Here, functional analyses revealed that DEGs in myeloid cells were closely related to inflammatory response and cytokine regulation (Figures 2(d) and 2(e)), implicating them in the development of KD. Our subgroup analysis then revealed that myeloid cells in KD patients were mainly composed of monocytes and LILR4⁺pDC. Monocytes can be broken down into three subtypes: CD14⁺CD16⁻, CD14⁺CD16⁺, and CD14⁻CD16⁺ [46], or intermediate, classical, and nonclassical in humans. Our observed monocyte composition was consistent with previous studies [16]. We also noted that the DEGs S100A12, S100A9, S100A8, and ITGAM were primarily expressed in CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes (Figure 3(c)). Previous studies have found that patients with acute KD had higher circulating concentrations of S100A8/9 heterodimer and S100A12 than patients with fever caused by other diseases; IVIG treatment decreased these concentrations [47, 48]. Even after the acute stage, KD patients with large coronary aneurysms maintained higher S100A8/9 heterodimer levels [47]. In addition, elevated S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12 levels have been found in inflammatory diseases associated with immune disorders, such as juvenile idiopathic arthritis [49]. Hence, the increased levels of S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12 proteins cannot be exclusively attributed to KD. In addition, integrin ITGAM was upregulated in KD vasculopathy [50]. Furthermore, we observed elevated expression of inflammatory regulation genes associated with CD14⁺CD16⁺ cells (Figure 3(c)), and GSVA indicated that this monocyte subtype is important to the inflammatory response in KD (Figure 4(b)). Similarly, recent reports have shown that, in addition to having high antigen presentation capacity, CD14⁺CD16⁺ cells highly express proinflammatory cytokines [51, 52]. Data from peripheral blood of KD patients also revealed a link between these cells and inflammation [53]. Taken together, our study and previous research all indicate that CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes are heavily involved in the inflammatory response of acute KD.

Changes in the trajectory of monocyte development are closely related to disease occurrence [54, 55]. Here, pseudo-time analysis of monocyte subsets revealed a trajectory from CD14⁻CD16⁻ monocytes to CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes (Figure 3(d)), contradicting earlier research showing that CD14⁺CD16⁻ monocytes are significantly elevated in acute KD [16]. Moreover, during monocyte development, inflammation-related gene expression increased, but their expression in CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes actually decreased at the end of the developmental trajectory. By contrast, the expression of inflammatory transcription factors was significantly higher in CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes (Figure 4(b)). Therefore, we speculate that CD14⁺CD16⁺ monocytes are the final effector cells in acute KD and that they regulate cytokine levels by promoting the expression of inflammatory transcription factors.

Although IVIG is an effective treatment for KD, drug resistance rates are high [56, 57]. Because IVIG-resistance is associated with an increased incidence of coronary artery aneurysms, IVIG-resistant patients should be identified before initiating treatment because they may benefit from additional anti-inflammatory therapy. In this study, we therefore analyzed multiple GEO scRNA datasets to identify potential genetic markers that could distinguish between IVIG-resistant and -responsive patients. Our results revealed that IVIG-responsive patients had highly synergistic differential genes (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)) that are involved in neutrophil activation and degranulation (Figures 7(c) and 8). Neutrophils are a critical part of innate immunity but can have harmful effects if excessively activated [58], causing immune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis [59, 60] and vasculitis [61, 62]. Elevated peripheral neutrophils in patients with KD are associated with coronary artery dilation and IVIG resistance [63, 64]. The NLR is a comprehensive indicator of neutrophil activation and immune disorders that also plays an important role in KD [64, 65]. Here, our clinical data revealed that IVIG-resistant patients had higher NLR than IVIG-responsive patients, validating the results of scRNA sequencing.

Further analysis showed that DEGs associated with neutrophils were mainly expressed in CD14⁺CD16⁺ and CD14⁻CD16⁺ monocytes (Figures 9(a) and 9(b)). In addition, the effect of IVIG on acute KD is closely related to the expression of immunomodulatory genes that activate neutrophils in these two monocyte subsets. The PPI network analysis then revealed that all hub genes in KD (Figure 9(c)) are inflammation-related genes that regulate the innate immune system. Broadly, these results corroborate a prior genome-wide transcriptome analysis demonstrating that increased CD177 transcript levels activate neutrophils and
are closely related to KD [66]. Autopsy results of patients who died during the acute phase of KD suggest neutrophilic involvement in damage to the coronary arteries [67]. Consistent with our results, it has been found that the hub gene CCR1 is an important gene in the pathogenesis of KD [15]. The hub genes TLR8 and IL1B are also closely related to neutrophil degranulation in patients with KD [68]. All available data thus provide evidence of neutrophil activation being crucial to KD pathogenesis. Furthermore, it has been observed that IL1B, SOCS3, and IL1RN exhibit high expression levels in other autoimmune diseases that are closely linked to impaired innate immune function [69]. Although there are many clinical predictors of IVIG nonresponse in KD, the clinical application value is limited. In our study, we screened for hub genes by analyzing the expression of differential genes in monocytes of KD patients with IVIG response and IVIG nonresponse, and these results were further verified using RT-qPCR. The validated results indicated that the expression of IL1R1 (P < 0.01), SOCS3 (P < 0.01), TLR8 (P < 0.01), CCR1 (P < 0.01), IL1B (P < 0.01), and IL10RB (P < 0.001) was significantly up-regulated in the IVIG nonresponsive group compared with that in the control group and the IVIG responsive group, while IL1R2 (P < 0.01), IL1RN (P < 0.01), IL4R (P < 0.01), and IFNGR1 (P < 0.01) were significantly up-regulated in the IVIG nonresponsive group compared to that in the normal group, but there was no significant difference compared to the IVIG responsive group (Figure 10). The results suggested that IL1R1, SOCS3, TLR8, CCR1, IL1B, and IL10RB could be used as hub genes for screening IVIG responsive and IVIG nonresponsive patients of KD.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to elaborate on the role of innate immunity in the pathogenesis of KD and the mechanism of IVIG resistance. Combining both scRNA-seq and microarray data analysis, our data strongly illustrates the involvement of innate immunity in the pathogenesis of KD and provides insights into the mechanism of IVIG resistance. Specifically, abnormal expression of immunomodulatory genes in CD14+CD16+ and CD14+CD16− monocytes seems to trigger neutrophil activation, causing the worst symptoms of the disease and influencing the response to IVIG. The limitations of this study are as follows: first, as the study mainly relied on published RNA microarray datasets and RNA sequencing datasets for analysis, key clinical data could not be obtained. Second, the mechanism of action of these identified hub genes needs to be further studied.
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