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Satellite telecommunication systems promise to bridge digital gaps and deliver wireless communication services to any corner of the world. However, despite satellites’ global connectivity and wide footprint, still atmospheric and dust impairments are open challenges that face satellite systems, especially at high-frequency bands in arid and semi-arid regions. Therefore, this paper aims to predict joint effects of atmospheric and dust attenuations in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries on CubeSat communications using Artificial Neural Network (ANN). The prediction model has been carried out using a massive Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) antenna payload at K-frequency Bands. Consider these joint effects have positive relations in calculating satellites link margin, which leads to obtaining efficient communication system, delivering better quality of service (QoS), and enhancing Internet of Things (IoT) connectivity, or even Internet of Space Things (IoST). Predicated results infer that the ANN attenuation predictions, along with the 5G MIMO antenna on-board the CubeSat, offer much promise channel model for satellite communications, which in turn leads to not only supporting IoT connectivity but also reducing power consumption, thus enhancing lifetime of CubeSat. Also, this study can provide a reference for CubeSat engineers to guarantee large-capacity communication.

1. Introduction

Traditional wireless communication systems provide services with a good level of data rates, reconfigurable provision with various dynamic coverage demands. However, the deployment of these enabling technologies has led to a huge rise in the demand for mobile communications, partly due to the exponential growth in multimedia traffic and the emergence of a new type of technology such as the Internet of Things (IoT) or Big Data. However, space-based wireless communication systems such as satellites and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) promise to provide global connectivity, because they contribute effectively to linking trillions of objects and sensors, all of which generate real-time data. This also helps in boosting IoT and Internet of Everything (IoE) technologies, which are considered fuel for the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) [1–3]. Figure 1 shows the main technological pillars of 4IR include IoT and space-based vehicles (e.g., Drones and Satellites). The 4IR and its enormous processing power represent creative digitization based on a combination of symbiotically interacting technological breakthroughs through innovative algorithms. Further, the combination between IoT and Drones and Satellites is vital, which would massively pave the way to hyperconnected societies, thus leading to global smart connectivity [4–6].

Figure 1 displays that Artificial Intelligent (AI) is one of the key pillars of 4IR, where such an innovative technology can be considered a great booster of the 4IR and digital transformation in any modern society. One of those AI techniques is an Artificial Neural Network (ANN), which is a computing system inspired by the biological neural networks that constitute biological brains, where all neurons contain individual weights and bias values that are parallel and organized nonlinear components. Further, the ANN technique
is widely used for highly accurate predictions and/or optimization due to its simplicity and efficiency [7]. The authors in [8–11] are representative examples of related work that considered ANN for optimization and enhancement.

Researchers in space-based systems confirm that reliability, flexibility, efficiency, wide applicability, Line of Sight (LoS) connectivity, low latency, rapid deployment, and cost-effectiveness are the main advantages of using such a technology. The authors have emphasised that space is stepping up to the connectivity challenge posed by the 4IR, where one of the driving forces of this change has been the introduction of next-generation high-technology cube-satellite systems [12–14]. Further, space-based communication systems include satellites enticing due to their various applications and low design-and-deployment cost [15–17]. Furthermore, in the last few years, there has been a tremendous interest in cube satellites, which are also known as “CubeSats” or “Nanosatellites,” built to standard dimensions of few tens on centimetres and weigh few kilograms. These small satellites can fly on a rocket to space to provide opportunities for small satellite payloads to serve some applications as follows [18, 19]:

(i) Technology demonstration: in the harsh environment of space to test new instruments or materials
(ii) Academia and educational projects: which provide a unique hands-on experience in developing space missions from design to launch and operations
(iii) Commercial applications: telecommunications, remote sensing, high-resolution aerial imagery, ship tracking, and formation flying

The nanosatellites that operate at Low Earth Orbit (LEO) based on Van Allen radiation belts at altitude start from around 160 km to 600 km from the Earth facing some challenges, for instance, propagation impairments in last-mile communications, especially when using high-frequency bands often assigned to space-based communication systems (e.g., above 10 GHz). Although high-frequency bands offer wide bandwidths, they are more vulnerable to signal degradation, as radio signals get absorbed by atmospheric rain, snow, ice, or even dust. The attenuations’ factors vary from one region to another worldwide [20–22]. The authors in [23, 24] introduced a holistic overview of various aspects of CubeSats missions, services, recent advances, and future challenges for further research. The authors stressed that the research on cube satellites for communications is still in its early phase. IoT, Internet of Space Things (IoST), low-power long-range networks, Iridium communications, earth-remote sensing, and machine learning are opened research areas for cube satellites. The authors in [25] have introduced CubeSats as “Eyes in the Sky” because it monitors and offers various remote sensing with capabilities of IoST. According to a forecast by SpaceWorks Enterprises, Inc. (SEI), more than 2,800 nano-/microsatellites will require launch over the next five years. Figure 2 shows a comparison between small satellites application trends that weigh (1–50 kg), where the left pie chart shows application trends from 2014 to 2018, while the right one from 2019 to 2023 [26]. Figure 3 illustrates Cube satellite wide applications.

Woellert et al. [27] shed light on CubeSats capabilities in science education and spur technology for developing nations. Aslan et al. [28] constructed a CubeSat project in Sharjah–Emirates, one of the Gulf Cooperation Council
The project aims to educate students in cutting edge technologies including space technology, which puts human capacity development in its centre and support United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDG) for 2030. Akyildiz et al. [29] highlighted the importance of atmospheric attenuation when designing link budget analysis at an altitude of 400km with above 10GHz frequency. Qu et al. [30] focused on rain attenuation caused by satellite communication operating on frequency bands above 10 GHz, as they are sensitive to atmosphere physical phenomena. The work predicted real-time satellite channel fading caused by rain using stochastic dynamic modelling (SDM). Luini and Capsoni [31] addressed the joint effects of rain and clouds on Ka-Band for LEO satellite using
stochastic model. The results give better understanding of link budget; however, more atmospheric effects (e.g., gases, water vapor, and oxygen) are recommended for further work.

Mpoporo and Owolawi [32] inferred that rain attenuation is the most unfavourable impact on signal transmission at high-frequency bands, especially in places with high rainfall. This paper aims to estimate rain attenuation on satellite links using the ITU-R model in South Africa. Further, Wang et al. [33] gave rain attenuation analysis of the Ka-Band satellite communication in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Reasonable results of the ITU-R model in predicting rain attenuation have been presented. Averly and Suryana [34] also considered the ITU-R model and simulated it in MATLAB at below 3 GHz frequencies. However, Regonesi et al. [35] emphasised that the ITU-R model with some limitations and inaccuracies, especially in high frequencies elevation angles and rainfall cases.

An experimental work of radio link design for a CubeSat communication system is presented in [36]. The CubeSat is placed at 400 km altitude using a dipole antenna with an omnidirectional pattern and frequency band of 401 MHz. Experimental results of link budget are 137 dB of free space path loss (FSPL), 5 Kb/S of effective throughput, and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) floats between 10 dB and 24.54 dB at bit error rate (BER) of 1x10^{-5}. Atmospheric effects have been neglected.

Charbit et al. [37] showed a Narrow-Band IoT (NB-IoT) for satellite-to-ground communication with atmospheric effects. Carrier-to-noise ratio (CNR) of satellite links are evaluated at altitude of 600 km and 2 Ghz frequency band with wide consideration of atmospheric effects (gasses, rain, scintillation). Results are adequate, but the work does not include high-frequency bands, where it truly shows the predictions of the atmospheric effects.

Harb et al. [38] confirmed that dust is a vital cause of wireless channel impairments, which are regularly observed in arid and semiarid areas worldwide. References [38, 39] presented a dust storm model that affects high-frequency satellite-to-ground communications in Saudi Arabia and other countries in the Middle East. The dust model includes mathematical variables such as visibility, average dust particles size, and permittivity indices. SNR of various elevation angles, altitude, and frequency bands have been analysed in case of using a directional-hemispherical antenna. Predications of dust attenuations help in optimally designing satellite link budgets.

Motivated by the below observations through the related studies, major portions of satellite link budgets have considered either part of atmospheric impairments mainly rains attenuation or dust attenuation separately. Therefore, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive prediction model that encompasses joint effects of atmospheric and dust impairments from CubeSat to GCC countries using an ANN technique. Since researchers and designers need to consider a wide range of parameters that can impact communication links. This work considers a CubeSat simulation library for Aerospace Blockset in MATLAB. It simulates, analyses, and visualizes the motion and dynamics of CubeSats and nanosatellites, as Figure 5 shows the Cube satellite network communication architecture. The CubeSat simulation toolbox provides standards-based tools and enormous parameters and network configurations for designing, simulating, and verifying satellite communications systems and links. This section contains three subsections: (a) CubeSat Link Margin, (b) Atmospheric Effects Calculation, and (c) ANN Framework.

2. Proposed Predictions Model

Predicating weather impairments is an essential component when evaluating optimal link budgets for satellite communication links. This section sheds light on the proposed prediction model that comprehensively includes joint effects of atmospheric and dust impairments from CubeSat to GCC countries using an ANN technique. Since researchers and designers need to consider a wide range of parameters that can impact communication links. This work considers a CubeSat simulation library for Aerospace Blockset in MATLAB. It simulates, analyses, and visualizes the motion and dynamics of CubeSats and nanosatellites, as Figure 5 shows the Cube satellite network communication architecture. The CubeSat simulation toolbox provides standards-based tools and enormous parameters and network configurations for designing, simulating, and verifying satellite communications systems and links. This section contains three subsections: (a) CubeSat Link Margin, (b) Atmospheric Effects Calculation, and (c) ANN Framework.

2.1. CubeSat Link Margin. Calculating atmospheric and dust impairments is affecting the total carrier-to-noise ratio (C/N), as per equations (1) and (2), because it is one of the performance quality indicators of a satellite communication channel. Further, the most critical performance parameter is bit energy per noise density ratio (E_b/N_0) as per equation (3), which is also influenced over a satellite network due to its characteristics such as long propagation, frequency, and bandwidth [40, 41]:

\[
\frac{C}{N_T} = P_t + G_c + G_s - \left(\frac{4\pi d}{\lambda^2}\right)\cos^\theta - F - 10 \log_{10}(KT_0 B) - \text{Losses},
\]  

\[
d[\text{km}] = 2 \frac{E_b}{E_s} \left[\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{E_r}{E_s + h_0 \cos(\theta)}\right) - \theta\right],
\]
where $P_t$ denotes transmitter power; $G_C$ denotes CubeSat antenna gain; $G_S$ denotes ground station antenna gain; $d$ denotes the distance from CubeSat to Earth station/terrestrial users; $\lambda$ denotes wavelength, which is the ratio of the speed of light ($c$) to the frequency of transmission $f$; $d$ is calculated with consideration of elevation angle $\theta$, which is a vital aspect in space-based wireless communication systems to achieve better LoS connectivity; $E_r$ denotes the Earth’s radius (6,371 km); $h$ denotes CubeSat’s altitude; $F$ denotes the receiver noise figure; $K$ denotes Boltzmann’s constant (1.38 x 10^{-23} \text{Ws/K}); $T_0$ denotes the absolute temperature (290K); $B$ denotes the receiver noise bandwidth; Losses represent atmospheric (rain, gasses, clouds, and scintillation) and dust attenuations; $Bw$ denotes the required bandwidth; and $R_b$ denotes data bit rate.

A massive 5G MIMO antenna is used in the proposed model design, where it consists of a planar phased array of 64 patches designed for operation at up to tens of GHz, along with an optimum feed location to produce optimal return
loss. Further, the considered massive 5G MIMO antenna uses adaptive beamforming with spatial multiplexing to increase data rates and decrease interference. To propagate signals well from CubeSat to Earth stations/users, each patch of massive 5G MIMO antenna uses an adaptable phase offset to steer beamforming at various angles (θ) towards the desired direction, where the main beams can be calculated as follows [42–44]:

$$W_n = \exp \left[ -j \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \right) \sin (\theta_n) \cos (\phi_n) + y_n \sin (\phi_n) \right],$$

where θₙ, φₙ denote phases at xₙ and yₙ.

2.2. Atmospheric Effects Calculation. This section presents the mathematical modelling used to evaluate the performance of CubeSat links and determine different types of atmospheric losses. These atmospheric and dust impairments can seriously affect satellite-to-Earth links at high frequencies, which are often above 10 GHz. Equations (5)–(9) represent losses (attenuations) of rain, gases, clouds, scintillation, and dust, respectively [33, 38, 39, 45, 46]:

$$R_A = \alpha R^{Kr} \cdot h_t,$$

$$G_A = \frac{A_0 + A_w}{\sin \theta},$$

$$C_A = \gamma_{\text{cloud}} \left( \frac{\text{LWC}}{\sin \theta} \right),$$

$$S_A = a(p) \cdot \sigma,$$

$$D_A = \left( \frac{5.67 \times 10^{-5}}{V(\theta), r_{eq}^2, \lambda} \right) \epsilon'' \left( \epsilon + 2 \right)^2 + \epsilon'' \sum_{i} P_i \cdot r_i^2,$$

where $R_A$ denotes rain attenuation, $\alpha$ and $K_r$ are empirical coefficients that depend on frequency band and temperature, $R$ denotes rain rate in mm/hr, $G_A$ denotes gasses attenuation, denotes oxygen absorption, $A_w$ denotes water vapor, $C_A$ denotes cloud attenuation, LWC denotes liquid water content, $S_A$ denotes scintillation attenuation, $a(p)$ denotes the time percentage factor for time percentage, $\sigma$ denotes standard deviation of the signal amplitude, $D_A$ denotes dust attenuation as a function of propagation angle and frequency, $V$ denotes visibility in Km, $r_{eq}$ denotes equivalent particle radius, $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon''$ are real and imaginary parts of the dielectric constant of dust, and $\sum_{i} P_i \cdot r_i^2$ denote summation of the probability particle size between $r_i$ series of particle volume at the lowest and highest layer level.

2.3. ANN Framework. There are various types of machine learning techniques, which are widely used for high accurate predictions/optimization. One of those well-known techniques is ANN that mainly comprises three layers: input layer, hidden layer, and output layer. Figure 6 shows universal illustration of an ANN, where all neurons contain individual weights and bias values that are parallel and organized nonlinear components, which are repeatedly appropriate for improving performance during training [47–51].

This work uses Multilayer Feedforward Network Architecture (MLP), which is one of the most common type of ANNs used in the literature. MLP is used to predict the link margin of CubeSat as per the following equations:

$$\chi(a) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-a})},$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^{H} (A_0 - D_0)^2 (\frac{mm}{h}),$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{\text{total}}}{\partial A_0} = -(D_0 - A_0),$$

$$\Delta w_i = \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial A_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, I,$$

where $\alpha$ denotes the additive and output function, which is a sigmoid activation function of $\chi$ that denotes neuron prediction, $b$ denotes bias input, $y_n$ denotes nth weight, $t_n$ denotes nth input, and $N$ denotes the number of inputs. $E$ estimates the error when training the ANN occurs, $D_0$ denotes expected output (target), $mm$ denotes multilayer of the feedforward network, $A_0$ denotes real output, $H$ denotes the data point number, $\omega$ denotes optimal weight vector, $\Delta w_i$ denotes the change in weight on the ith input, $\eta$ denotes learning rate, and $i$ denotes the input and $I$ denotes the last input of the neural network. The training algorithm stops when the size of the error function is minimal enough.

Figure 7 demonstrates the proposed ANN framework to predict the link margin of CubeSat with consideration of atmospheric and dust impairments. Such an ANN framework seems massive parallel architectures, yet it operates with interconnected mechanisms efficiently to solve difficult problems. A Levenberg–Marquardt backpropagation algorithm is used in the feedback forward fitting tool to evaluate the performance of the ANN predictions, where the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm uses the Hessian matrix approximation, as per equation (15), where it is considered faster and more accurate near an error minimum. Hence, the scalar $\mu$ decreases after each drop-in performance function, which indicates the performance function is always reduced at each iteration of the algorithm [52–56]:

$$xk + 1 = xk - [JTJ + \mu I] - 1JT_x,$$
Training makes offerings to the ANN while training, and the network is tuned in response to its error, consequently calculating the gradient and updating the weights and biases. Validation measures network generalization and stops training when generalization stops improving. Testing delivers an autonomous performance measure during and after training, hence with no effect on training.

3. Performance Analysis and Discussions

Predictions of joint effects of atmospheric and dust attenuations for CubeSat communications associated with a massive MIMO antenna in GCC countries are presented in this section, where the predictions take into consideration the proposed ANN framework, using MATLAB. K-frequency bands include Ku-Band 13–14 GHz, K-Band 18 GHz, and Ka-Band 26.6 GHz, at CubeSat altitude of 400 km above the ground. These three types of variables in the framework of the proposed prediction can be classified into three groups as follows:

(i) Fixed parameters: these parameters are fixed input to the ANN, which are $P_t$, $G_C$, $G_S$, $d$, $\lambda$, $E_r$, $h_t$, $F$, $K$, $T_0$, $B$, $Bw$, and $R_b$

(ii) Variable parameters: these parameters are variable input to the ANN, which are $\theta$, $f$, longitude and latitude of the specific area in the GCC countries

(iii) Predicted parameters: these parameters are expected output from the ANN, which are $(C/N)_T$, $E_b/N_0$, $R_A$, $G_A$, $C_A$, $S_A$, $D_A$, and $E$
Figures 8 and 9 visualize the link margin of the proposed CubeSat framework. Figure 8 shows C/N as functions of path loss at different K-frequency bands. When the frequency band increases, path loss increases too. Figure 9 reveals the BER of the signal as a function of $E_b/N_o$ at different K-frequency bands. It is observed that an increase of the frequency offset increases the BER value at a fixed $E_b/N_o$ ratio. The best link performance is the one that allows for the lowest possible BER with the lowest possible $E_b/N_o$. At the lowest BER achieved of $1 \times 10^{-6}$, the $E_b/N_o$ with range floats between 13.5 dB and 16.5 dB. It is observed that, as PL/frequency band increases, both BER and $E_b/N_o$ increase, and system performance drops gradually. Overall, these two performance quality indicators of the proposed CubeSat communication channel framework seem reasonable.

Figures 10–13 show the performance of the simulated MIMO antenna at K-frequency Bands. Figure 10 displays the specification and geometry of the 8 x 8 patch MIMO antenna configuration. Figure 11 presents an antenna gain where all patches are in-phase and beamforming has been steered at various angles (°) towards the desired direction. Figure 12 displays the return loss result $S_{11}$ of the proposed feed geometry with respect to port. $S_{11}$, which is also known as “reflection coefficient” represents how much power is reflected from the antenna. The $S$ parameter measures the resonant frequency of the antenna in relation to the resonance of the TM12 mode. Herein, it shows an accepted radiation power with low loss at 14, 18, and 26 GHz, respectively. Figure 13 demonstrates the Effective Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) of the proposed MIMO antenna to measure the coverage of the arrays, where it plots a Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF). Figure 13 shows that coverage just above 56% of the sphere has a positive gain at 23 dBmW input power, representing an acceptable performance level.

Figures 14 and 15 show attenuations of rain, gasses, clouds, scintillation, and dust across wide range of frequency bands and elevation angles. Also, total attenuation, which includes rain, gasses, clouds, scintillation, and dust, of the CubeSat communication channel framework has been calculated. The average of the total attenuation at different frequency bands floats between 5 and 7 dB. Clearly, dust attenuation registers the highest attenuation value compared to other attenuations. This is due to the physical and chemical characteristics of dust and sand particles (e.g., permittivity, size, concentration) that have an extra amount of radio wave energy dissipated by means of scattering and absorption. Thus, dust is the most vital cause of wireless satellite channel impairments, often observed in arid and semiarid areas such as GCC countries.

Another observation is that the attenuations increase as frequency increases along with a decrease in elevation angle. Unsurprisingly, high frequencies are more vulnerable to signal degradation, as radio signals get absorbed by atmospheric rain, snow, ice, or even dust, whereas the reason why attenuations increase positively with elevation angle is that distance increases accordingly at lower elevation angles. Path loss increases due to distance increases, whereas at high elevation angles, more LoS connectivity is achieved but less coverage footprint. Thus it is a trade-off.

Figures 16–19 depict performance results of the proposed ANN prediction framework. Batch training has been considered to train input sets via a learning algorithm in one epoch, which relates to the maximum iterations before weights get updated. Then, the process regulates the optimal number of iterations, during which validation produces a minimal value that can be visualized in regression plotting. Figure 16 shows the process of training the proposed ANN.
continues for 6 more iterations, during which error rates do not drop lower. However, in the 7th iteration, training stops as the error rate increases. The result is reasonable due to three reasons: first, the concluding mean square error (MSE) is small; second, no substantial overfitting has occurred by iteration 7, before which the best validation performance occurs; and third, the test set error and the validation set error have similar characteristics.

Figure 17 shows a 3-layer training performance of the proposed ANN prediction framework using Stochastic gradient descent (SGD), which refers to the value of the backpropagation gradient on each iteration in a logarithmic scale. So, at each iteration, the weights and biases get updated. Observing both values of gradient coefficient and Mu at their lowest minimum results indicate better training and testing of the ANN. However, validation checks represent the number of successive epochs in which the validation performance fails to decrease. Training stops when the validation parameter reaches the supreme number of validations of 6 at epoch 13 with the lowest gradient coefficient and Mu values. Overall performance shows that precise predictions may be obtained, where no
Figure 11: Antenna gain at various angles.

Figure 12: 5G MIMO antenna S11 results at K-frequency bands.

Figure 13: 5G MIMO antenna CDF of EIRP.
overfitting occurs before its best validation performance occurs.

Figure 18 demonstrates that regression plots target against training, validation, and test sets. Data must fall along a 45-degree line, where the outputs are equal to the targets to achieve a perfect fit. Targets mean the difference between the perfect result and the outputs. The dashed lines refer to the targets, while the solid lines refer to the best fit between targets and outputs. The $R$ value denotes the relation among the outputs and targets, where $R$ is equal or close to 1. Then, there is a linear relation. Overall performance shows that $R$ values in the regression plots are satisfactory and represent the best fitness levels. Figure 19 displays the $E$ performance of attenuations prediction against the attenuations ANN prediction framework, where the proposed ANN approach is aimed at offering robust channel model planning, with full-range consideration of different attenuation impairments. The error performance of the proposed ANN approach shows lower value in comparison to nonoptimized predictions that describe a robust channel, where you can achieve low error rate without requiring a lot of transmission power.
Validation Checks = 6, at epoch 13

Mu = 0.001, at epoch 13

Gradient = 25.305, at epoch 13

Best Validation Performance is 4.7144 at epoch 7

Figure 16: Training performance of the proposed ANN prediction framework.

Figure 17: Training data of proposed ANN prediction framework using GSD.
4. Conclusion

Providing seamless communication services using space-based systems, such as micro-/nanosatellites (e.g., CubeSat), would massively pave the way to hyperconnected societies and thus more global smart connectivity. Still, atmospheric and dust impairments are open challenges that face satellite systems, especially at high-frequency bands in arid and

Figure 18: Regression plots of the ANN prediction framework.

Figure 19: E performance of attenuations prediction against the attenuations ANN prediction framework.
semi-arid regions. Motivated by this, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive prediction model that encompasses joint effects of atmospheric and dust impairments from CubeSat communication aspect in GCC region using ANN framework for CubeSat communication. The prediction model also considers MIMO antenna to obtain better QoS and capacity. The predicted results confirm that the comprehensive prediction model for CubeSat using ANN offers much promise channel model for satellite communications, which in turn leads to not only supporting IoT connectivity but also reducing power consumption, thus enhancing lifetime of CubeSat. Further, the overall ANN model outperforms the nonoptimized model. As future work, generating predictions with fine-tune environmental considerations in any location using a model that integrates Google Maps is gaining momentum. Further, this work has considered OFDM technology with the proposed massive MIMO antenna. Nevertheless, Filter Bank Multicarrier (FBMC) can be investigated further as proposed in [57–59] but from spec-based systems such as CubeSat perspectives because of its efficiency of high date rate and low latency.
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