

# Fluid Queues Driven by an $M/M/1/N$ Queue

R. B. LENIN<sup>a,\*</sup> and P. R. PARTHASARATHY<sup>b,†</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antwerpen,  
Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Antwerpen, Belgium;*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras,  
Chennai 600 036, India*

*(Received in final form 17 January 2000)*

In this paper we consider fluid queue models with infinite buffer capacity which receives and releases fluid at variable rates in such a way that the net input rate of fluid into the buffer (which is negative when fluid is flowing out of the buffer) is uniquely determined by the number of customers in an  $M/M/1/N$  queue model (that is, the fluid queue is driven by this Markovian queue) with constant arrival and service rates. We use some interesting identities of tridiagonal determinants to find analytically the eigenvalues of the underlying tridiagonal matrix and hence the distribution function of the buffer occupancy. For specific cases, we verify the results available in the literature.

*Keywords and Phrases:* Tridiagonal matrices; Tridiagonal determinants; Eigenvalues; Eigenvectors

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classifications:* Primary: 60K25; Secondary: 42C05, 60J80, 90B22

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, the literature on queueing theory has paid considerable attention to Markov-modulated fluid models (MMFMs). In these models, a fluid buffer is either filled or depleted, or both, at rates

---

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: 32-3-8202416, Fax: 32-3-8202421, e-mail: lenin@uia.ua.ac.be

†e-mail: prp@pallava.iitm.ernet.in

which are determined by the current state of a background Markov process. The main reason why the class of MMFMs has attracted so much attention is that they are relevant for modelling certain phenomena in telecommunication networks or otherwise shown to give good approximations for the actual behaviour of network traffic ([1, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14]). In such applications the bursts of data are usually transmitted in many small-sized data packets or cells. Here, the use of fluid models is particularly useful, since the variations on the cell level are almost negligible compared to those on the more important burst level.

In [2, 13], the authors analyze fluid queue models where the fluid rates are controlled by finite state Markov chains. Fluid queue models where the fluid rates controlled by a state-dependent queueing model  $M_n/M_n/N/N$  with linear arrival and service rates have been studied in the literature ([1, 4, 11, 12]). In particular, in [1], the authors find explicit expressions for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the underlying matrix.

But there has been no work done in the literature for fluid queues driven by an  $M/M/1/N$  queue in providing explicit expressions for the eigenvalues of the matrix and the distribution of the buffer occupancy.

In this paper, we study fluid queues driven by an  $M/M/1/N$  queue. In order to achieve closed form analytical expressions for eigenvalues of the underlying tridiagonal matrix by using some identities of tridiagonal determinants, due to Losonczi [9], we restrict our analysis to three particular fluid queues whose net effective input fluid rates differ when the number of customers in the  $M/M/1/N$  system is either empty or full. We also give explicit expressions for the distribution functions of the buffer occupancy. In [12], the author gives an explicit expression for the density function of the buffer occupancy in equilibrium for the special case  $N=1$ . We verify this result by our results.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, preliminaries and the solution procedure are discussed. Three fluid queue models driven by an  $M/M/1/N$  queue are analyzed in Section 3.

Throughout our analysis we use tridiagonal determinants and tridiagonal matrices. Hence we present only the elements in the main diagonal, upper and lower off-diagonals for the convenient sake and other elements are assumed to be zero.





$$c_{mj} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{j-m}}{r_{m+1}r_{m+2}\cdots r_j}, & m < j \\ 1, & m = j, \\ \frac{\mu^{j-m}}{r_j r_{j+1} \cdots r_{m-1}}, & m > j \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

the polynomials  $B_n(s)$  are defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(s) &= 1 \\ B_1(s) - \left(s + \frac{\lambda}{r_0}\right)B_0(s) &= 0 \\ B_n(s) - \left(s + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{r_{n-1}}\right)B_{n-1}(s) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda\mu}{r_{n-2}r_{n-1}}B_{n-2}(s) = 0, \quad n = 2, \dots, N \\ B_{N+1}(s) - \left(s + \frac{\mu}{r_N}\right)B_N(s) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{r_{N-1}r_N}B_{N-1}(s) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and  $d_m \equiv F_m(0)$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Mitra [10] and Stern and Elwalid [14] show that  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  has exactly  $N_+$  negative eigenvalues,  $N_- - 1$  positive eigenvalues and one zero eigenvalue, where  $N_+$  is the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{S}^+ \equiv \{j \in \mathcal{S} : r_j > 0\}$  and  $N_-$  is that of  $\mathcal{S}^- \equiv \{j \in \mathcal{S} : r_j < 0\}$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j < 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N_+ - 1, \quad \xi_{N_+} = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \xi_j > 0, \quad j = N_+ + 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

The constants  $\kappa_l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N_+ - 1$  in (2.5) are determined by solving the system of equations

$$p_j + \sum_{l=0}^{N_+-1} \kappa_l \frac{B_j(\xi_l)}{c_{j0}} = 0, \quad j \in \mathcal{S}^+ \tag{2.9}$$

whereas the constants  $d_m$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{S}^-$  in (2.6) are determined by solving the system of equations ([8])

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}^-} d_m c_{mN} B_m(\xi_l) &= 0, \quad l = N_+ + 1, \dots, N. \\ \sum_{m \in \mathcal{S}^-} r_m d_m &= p_0 \sum_{j=0}^N r_j \pi_j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\pi_j = \frac{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_{j-1}}{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_j}$$

We observe that the number of unknowns  $d_m$  in (2.6) is  $N_-$  and so we need to solve as many Eqs. (2.10) to find these quantities. The number of unknowns  $\kappa_i$  in (2.5) is  $N_+$  and thus we need to solve as many Eqs. (2.9) to find these quantities. Therefore, whenever  $N_-$  is large,  $N_+$  is small, because  $N_- + N_+ = N$ , and hence it is convenient to use the expression (2.5) because of a less number of unknowns  $\kappa_i$  and whenever  $N_-$  is small using (2.6) is more convenient because of a less number of unknowns  $d_m$ .

In next section we consider three particular fluid queue models driven by an  $M/M/1/N$  queue.

### 3. FLUID MODELS

In this section we consider three fluid models, driven by an  $M/M/1/N$  queue whose net effective input fluid rates are given as follows:

- M-1 The net effective input rates are  $r_0 = (\lambda r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda \mu}))$ ,  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .
- M-2 The net effective input rates are  $r_0 = (\lambda r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda \mu}))$ ,  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  and  $r_N = (\mu r / (\mu - \sqrt{\lambda \mu}))$ .
- M-3 The net effective input rates are  $r_0 = (\lambda r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda \mu}))$ ,  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  and  $r_N = (\mu r / (\mu + \sqrt{\lambda \mu}))$ .

In all the three models, we assume  $r > 0$  and  $\lambda < \mu$ , so that,  $r_0 < 0$  and  $r_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Before finding exact expressions for the eigenvalues and  $\eta_{i,j}$  for the above three models, we give an explicit expression for  $B_n(s)$  in the following theorem which will be used in analyzing the models under consideration. We use the following notations:

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{r_0}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{r_N}. \quad (3.1)$$

**THEOREM 3.1** *For all the three models under consideration the polynomial  $B_n(s)$  in (2.8) can be given by, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,*













$$\prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N [\cos y_l - \cos y_i] = \frac{2N+1}{2^{N+1} \cos(2N-1)(y_l/2) \cos(y_l/2)} \quad (3.15)$$

(where  $y_l = ((2l-1)\pi)/(2N+1)$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) we get

$$\xi_l \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N (\xi_l - \xi_i) = -\frac{(2N+1)\rho^{-1/2}(\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^N}{4r^N} \frac{[1 + \rho - 2\rho^{1/2} \cos y_l]}{\cos(2N-1)(y_l/2) \cos(y_l/2)}. \quad (3.16)$$

Also, from (2.7) we have

$$c_{Nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ (1 - \sqrt{\rho}^{-1}) \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$c_{0N} = \frac{\lambda^N}{r^N}. \quad (3.18)$$

Putting  $r_0 = \lambda r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda\mu})$  and  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  in (3.7) we get after some calculation

$$d_0 = 1 - \sqrt{\rho} \frac{1 - \rho^N}{1 - \rho^{N+1}}.$$

Substituting (3.13), (3.14), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) in (3.6) we get after considerable simplification

$$\eta_{l,j} = \frac{4d_0\sqrt{\rho}^{j+2}}{2N+1} \times \frac{\cos(y_l/2)[\cos(2j+1)(y_l/2) - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2j-1)(y_l/2)]}{[1 + \rho - 2\sqrt{\rho} \cos y_l]}, \quad l, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Theorem follows by substituting for  $\eta_{l,j}$  in (2.4). ■

*Special Case*  $N=1$ .

The eigenvalues are

$$\xi_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} = -\frac{\mu}{r} (1 + \rho - \sqrt{\rho}).$$

The equilibrium distributions of the buffer occupancy are given by

$$F_0(u) = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\rho(1 - \sqrt{\rho})}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u), \quad \text{and}$$

$$F_1(u) = \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Hence, the marginal distribution of the buffer occupancy is given by

$$P(C \leq u) = F_0(u) + F_1(u) = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Therefore, the probability density function  $b(u)$  of having  $u$  amount of fluid in the buffer is given by

$$b(u) = \frac{\mu \sqrt{\rho}(1 + \rho - \sqrt{\rho})}{r(1 + \rho)} \exp(\xi_1 u)$$

with point mass  $d_0$  at  $x = 0$ :

$$d_0 = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \rho}$$

which verifies the result for the case

$$S = 1, c = 0, \delta = r \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{r}{1 - \sqrt{\rho}}$$

in [12, p. 122].

**THEOREM 3.3** *For the fluid queue model M-2 the eigenvalues  $\xi_l, l = 0, 1, \dots, N$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  are given by*

$$\xi_0 = 0, \xi_l = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \cos\frac{(l-1)\pi}{N}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (3.19)$$

and for  $j \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$F_j(u) = \frac{(1 - \rho)\rho^j}{1 - \rho^{N+1}} + d_0\sqrt{\rho}^{j+2} \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{\sin y_l(\cos y_l + 1) [\cos(2j + 1)(y_l/2) - \rho^{-1/2}\cos(2j - 1)(y_l/2)]}{\cos(2N - 1)(y_l/2)[N \cos Ny_l \sin y_l + \sin Ny_l][1 + \rho - 2\sqrt{\rho} \cos y_l]} \exp(\xi_l u) \quad (3.20)$$



Therefore the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  are

$$\xi_0 = 0, \quad \xi_l = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \cos \frac{(l-1)\pi}{N}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (3.22)$$

Now, we find an expression for  $B_n(\xi_l)$  for this model. Substituting  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda/r) - (\sqrt{\lambda\mu}/r)$  and  $\xi_l$  in (3.2) and using the identity (3.12) we get

$$B_n(\xi_l) = \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^n}{r^n \cos(y_l/2)} \left[ \cos(2n+1)\frac{y_l}{2} - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2n-1)\frac{y_l}{2} \right], \quad n \in \mathcal{S} \quad (3.23)$$

where  $y_l = (l-1)\pi/N$ . In particular,

$$B_N(\xi_l) = \frac{(1 - \rho^{-1/2})(\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^N}{r^N \cos(y_l/2)} \cos(2N-1)\frac{y_l}{2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now we find an expression for  $\xi_l \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N (\xi_l - \xi_i)$ .

Substituting for  $\xi_l$  in the above expression and using the following identity [5]:

$$\prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N [\cos y_l - \cos y_i] = \frac{N \cos Ny_l \sin y_l + \sin Ny_l}{2^{N-1} \sin y_l (\cos y_l + 1)} \quad (3.25)$$

(where  $y_l = (l-1)\pi/N, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) we get after some simplification

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_l \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N (\xi_l - \xi_i) &= -\frac{\rho^{1/2} (\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^N}{r^N} \\ &\quad \frac{N \cos Ny_l \sin y_l + \sin Ny_l}{\sin y_l (\cos y_l + 1)} [1 + \rho - 2\rho^{1/2} \cos y_l] \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Also, from (2.7) we have

$$c_{Nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ (1 - \sqrt{\rho}^{-1}) \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$c_{0N} = (1 - \sqrt{\rho}) \frac{\lambda^N}{r^N}. \quad (3.28)$$

Putting  $r_0 = \lambda r / (\mu - \sqrt{\lambda\mu})$ ,  $r_N = \mu r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda\mu})$  and  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  in (3.7) we get after some calculation

$$d_0 = \frac{(1 - \rho^N)(1 - \sqrt{\rho})}{1 - \rho^{N+1}}.$$

Substituting (3.23), (3.24), (3.26), (3.27) and (3.28) in (3.6) we get after considerable simplification

$$\eta_{l,j} = d_0 \sqrt{\rho}^{j+2} \frac{\sin y_l (\cos y_l + 1) [\cos(2j+1)(y_l/2) - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2j-1)(y_l/2)]}{\cos(2N-1)(y_l/2) [N \cos N y_l \sin y_l + \sin N y_l] [1 + \rho - 2\sqrt{\rho} \cos y_l]}, \quad l, j, \mathcal{S}.$$

Theorem follows by substituting for  $\eta_{l,j}$  in (2.4). ■

*Special Case*  $N = 1$ .

The eigenvalues are

$$\xi_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + 2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} = -\frac{\mu}{r} (1 - \sqrt{\rho})^2.$$

The equilibrium distributions of the buffer occupancy are given by

$$F_0(u) = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u), \quad \text{and}$$

$$F_1(u) = \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Hence, the marginal distribution of the buffer occupancy is given by

$$P(C \leq u) = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}(1 + \sqrt{\rho})}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Therefore, the probability density function  $b(u)$  of having  $u$  amount of fluid in the buffer is given by

$$b(u) = \frac{\mu \sqrt{\rho}(1 - \sqrt{\rho})(1 - \rho)}{r(1 + \rho)} \exp(\xi_1 u)$$



with point mass  $d_0$  at  $x = 0$ :

$$d_0 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \rho}$$

which verifies the result for the case

$$S = 1, c = 0, \delta = \frac{r}{1 - \sqrt{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{r(1 - \rho)}{(1 - \sqrt{\rho})^2}.$$

in [12, p. 122].

**THEOREM 3.4** *For the fluid queue model M-3 the eigenvalues  $\xi_l, l=0, 1, \dots, N$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  are given by*

$$\xi_0 = 0, \xi_l = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \cos \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2N}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (3.29)$$

and for  $j \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$F_j(u) = \frac{(1 - \rho)\rho^j}{1 - \rho^{N+1}} - \frac{d_0\sqrt{\rho}^{j+1}(1 + \sqrt{\rho})}{N} + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{\sin y_l [\cos(2j+1)(y_l/2) - \rho^{-1/2}\cos(2j-1)(y_l/2)]}{\Theta_l \sin Ny_l [1 + \rho - 2\sqrt{\rho} \cos y_l]} \exp \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$d_0 = \frac{(1 + \rho^N)(1 - \sqrt{\rho})}{1 - \rho^{N+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad y_l = \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2N}$$

and

$$\Theta_l = \left[ \cos(2N+1)\frac{y_l}{2} - \rho^{-1/2}\cos(2N-1)\frac{y_l}{2} \right].$$

*Proof* We use the following identity of [9] to find the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  related to this model.

*Identity*

$$\begin{vmatrix} v - \sqrt{pq} & p & & & & \\ q & v & p & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & v & p & \\ & & & q & v + \sqrt{pq} & \end{vmatrix}_{N \times N} = \prod_{l=1}^N \left( v - 2\sqrt{pq} \cos \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2N} \right).$$

Since  $r_0 = r\lambda/(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda\mu})$  and  $r_N = r\mu/(\mu + \sqrt{\lambda\mu})$  we have from (3.1),

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r}.$$

Substituting for  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  in (3.5) we get

$$B_{N+1}(s) = s \times \begin{vmatrix} s + \frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{\mu}{r} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} & \frac{\mu}{r} & & & & \\ \frac{\lambda}{r} & s + \frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{\mu}{r} & \frac{\mu}{r} & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \frac{\mu}{r} & \\ & & & & \frac{\mu}{r} & s + \frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \end{vmatrix}_{N \times N} \tag{3.31}$$

Hence by the above identity we have

$$B_{N+1}(s) = s \prod_{l=1}^N \left( s + \frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{\mu}{r} - 2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \cos \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2N} \right).$$

Therefore the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^T$  are

$$\xi_0 = 0, \quad \xi_l = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} + 2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda\mu}}{r} \cos \frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2N}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N. \tag{3.32}$$

Now, we find an expression for  $B_n(\xi_l)$  for this model. Substituting  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda/r) - (\sqrt{\lambda\mu}/r)$  and  $\xi_l$  in (3.2) and using the identity (3.12) we get

$$B_n(\xi_l) = \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^n}{r^n \cos(y_l/2)} \left[ \cos(2n+1) \frac{y_l}{2} - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2n-1) \frac{y_l}{2} \right], \quad n \in \mathcal{S} \tag{3.33}$$

where  $y_l = (2l-1)\pi/2N$ . In particular,

$$B_N(\xi_l) = \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^N}{r^N \cos(y_l/2)} \left[ \cos(2N+1) \frac{y_l}{2} - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2N-1) \frac{y_l}{2} \right]. \tag{3.34}$$

Now we find an expression for  $\xi_l \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N (\xi_l - \xi_i)$ .

Substituting for  $\xi_l$  in the above expression and using the following identity [5]:

$$\prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N [\cos y_l - \cos y_i] = \frac{N \sin Ny_l}{2^{N-1} \sin y_l} \tag{3.35}$$

(where  $y_l = (2l-1)\pi/2N, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) we get after some simplification

$$\xi_l \prod_{i=1, i \neq l}^N (\xi_l - \xi_i) = - \frac{N \rho^{-1/2} (\sqrt{\lambda\mu})^N \sin Ny_l [1 + \rho - 2\rho^{1/2} \cos y_l]}{r^N \sin y_l} \tag{3.36}$$

Also, from (2.7) we have

$$c_{Nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ (1 - \sqrt{\rho^{-1}}) \frac{\mu^{N-j}}{r^{N-j}}, & j = 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$c_{0N} = (1 + \sqrt{\rho}) \frac{\lambda^N}{r^N}. \tag{3.38}$$

Putting  $r_0 = \lambda r / (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda \mu})$ ,  $r_N = \mu r / (\mu + \sqrt{\lambda \mu})$  and  $r_i = r$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  in (3.7) we get after some calculation

$$d_0 = \frac{(1 + \rho^N)(1 - \sqrt{\rho})}{1 - \rho^{N+1}}.$$

Substituting (3.33), (3.34), (3.36), (3.37) and (3.38) in (3.6) we get after considerable simplification

$$\eta_{l,j} = - \frac{d_0 \sqrt{\rho}^{j+1} (1 + \sqrt{\rho})}{N} \frac{\sin y_l [\cos(2j + 1)(y_l/2) - \rho^{-1/2} \cos(2j - 1)(y_l/2)]}{\Theta_l \sin N y_l [1 + \rho - 2\sqrt{\rho} \cos y_l]}, \quad l, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Theorem follows by substituting for  $\eta_{l,j}$  in (2.4). ■ ■

*Special Case*  $N = 1$ .

The eigenvalues are

$$\xi_0 = 0 \text{ and } \xi_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} = -\frac{\mu}{r} (1 + \rho).$$

The equilibrium distributions of the buffer occupancy are given by

$$F_0(u) = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}(1 - \sqrt{\rho})}{(1 + \rho)(1 + \sqrt{\rho})} \exp(\xi_1 u), \text{ and}$$

$$F_1(u) = \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} - \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Hence, the marginal distribution of the buffer occupancy is given by

$$P(C \leq u) = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \sqrt{\rho}} \exp(\xi_1 u).$$

Therefore, the probability density function  $b(u)$  of having  $u$  amount of fluid in the buffer is given by

$$b(u) = \frac{\mu \sqrt{\rho}(1 + \rho)}{r (1 + \sqrt{\rho})} \exp(\xi_1 u)$$

with point mass  $d_0$  at  $x = 0$ :

$$d_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\rho}}$$

which verifies the result for the case

$$S = 1, \quad c = 0, \quad \delta = \frac{r}{1 + \sqrt{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{r(1 + \rho)}{1 - \rho}.$$

in [12, p. 122].


### **Acknowledgement**

We thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions. The first author is supported by the Foundation for Scientific Research (FWO) (Flemish Division), Belgium and the second author by Ecole Polytechnique de Federale, Lausanne, Switzerland.

### **References**

- [1] Anick, D., Mitra, D. and Sondhi, M. M. (1982). Stochastic theory of a data-handling system with multiple sources. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, **61**, 1871–1894.
- [2] Asmussen, S. and Rubinstein, R. Y., Steady state rare events simulation in queueing models and its complexity properties. In: Dshalalow, J. H. Editor, *Advances in Queueing Theory, Methods and Open Problems*, pp. 429–461, Boca Raton, Florida, 1995. CRC Press.
- [3] van Doorn, E. A., Jagers, A. A. and de Wit, J. S. J. (1988). A fluid reservoir regulated by a birth-death process. *Stochastic Models*, **3**, 457–472.
- [4] Gaver, D. P. and Lehoczky, J. P. (1982). Channels that cooperatively service a data stream and voice messages. *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, **28**, 1153–1162.
- [5] Hansen, E. R., *A Table of Series and Products*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1975.
- [6] Kosten, L. (1974). Stochastic theory of a multi-entry buffer. *Delft Progress Report*, **1**, 10–18.
- [7] Kulkarni, V. G., Fluid models for single buffer systems. In: Dshalalow, J. H. Editor, *Advances in Queueing Theory, Methods and Open Problems*, pp. 321–338, Boca Raton, Florida, 1995. CRC Press.
- [8] Lenin, R. B. and Parthasarathy, P. R., A computational approach for fluid queues driven by truncated birth-death processes. Submitted, 1999.
- [9] Losonczi, L. (1992). Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of some tridiagonal matrices. *Acta Math. Hung.*, **60**, 309–322.
- [10] Mitra, D. (1988). Stochastic theory of a fluid model of producers and consumers coupled by a buffer. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, **20**, 646–676.

- [11] O'Reilly, P. (1986). Performance analysis of data in burst switching. *IEEE Trans. Comm.*, **COM34**, 1259–1263.
- [12] O'Reilly, P. (1986). A fluid-flow approach to performance analysis of integrated voice-data systems with speech interpolation. In: Abu El Ata, Editor, *Modelling Techniques and Tools for Performance Analysis*, pp. 115–130.
- [13] Rogers, L. C. G. (1994). Fluid models in queueing theory and Weiner–Hopf factorization of Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **2**, 390–413.
- [14] Stern, T. E. and Elwalid, A. I. (1991). Analysis of separable Markov-modulated rate models for information-handling systems. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, **23**, 105–139.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

