## Research Article

# A Filled Function Approach for Nonsmooth Constrained Global Optimization

## Weixiang Wang,<sup>1</sup> Youlin Shang,<sup>2</sup> and Ying Zhang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Second Polytechnic University, Shanghai 201209, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, China <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Thiring New July in File 221004, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Weixiang Wang, zhesx@126.com

Received 28 April 2010; Revised 4 October 2010; Accepted 8 October 2010

Academic Editor: Jyh Horng Chou

Copyright © 2010 Weixiang Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A novel filled function is given in this paper to find a global minima for a nonsmooth constrained optimization problem. First, a modified concept of the filled function for nonsmooth constrained global optimization is introduced, and a filled function, which makes use of the idea of the filled function for unconstrained optimization and penalty function for constrained optimization, is proposed. Then, a solution algorithm based on the proposed filled function is developed. At last, some preliminary numerical results are reported. The results show that the proposed approach is promising.

## **1. Introduction**

Recently, since more accurate precisions demanded by real-world problems, studies on global optimization have become a hot topic. Many theories and algorithms for global optimization have been proposed. Among these methods, filled function method is a particularly popular one. The filled function method was originally introduced in [1, 2] for smooth unconstrained global optimization. Its idea is to construct a filled function via it the objective function leaves the current local minimum to find a better one. The filled function method consists of two phase: local minimization and filling. The two phases are performed repeatedly until no better minimizer could be located. The filled function methods deal only with smooth unconstrained or box constrained optimization problem. However, many practical problems could only be modelled as nonsmooth constrained global optimization problems. To address this situation, in this paper, we generalize the filled function proposed in [10] and establish

a novel filled function approach for nonsmooth constrained global optimization. The key idea of this approach is to combine the concept of filled function for unconstrained global optimization with the penalty function for constrained optimization.

In general, there are two difficulties in global optimization: the first is how to leave the current local minimizer of f(x) to go to a better one; the second is how to check whether the current minimizer is a global solution of the problem. Just like other GO methods, the filled function method has some weaknesses discussed in [11]. In particular, the filled function method cannot solve the second issue, so our paper focuses on the former issue.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries about nonsmooth optimization and filled function are listed. In Section 3, the concept of modified filled function for nonsmooth constrained global optimization is introduced, a novel filled function is given, and its properties are investigated. In Section 4, an efficient algorithm based on the proposed filled function is developed for solving nonsmooth constrained global optimization problem. Section 5 presents some numerical results. Last, in Section 6, the conclusion is given.

### 2. Nonsmooth Preliminaries

Consider the following problem (*P*):

$$\min_{x \in S} f(x), \tag{P}$$

where  $S = \{x \in X : g_i(x) \le 0\}, f, g_i : X \to R, i \in I = \{1, 2, ..., m\}, and X \subset R^n \text{ is a box set.}$ 

In this section, we first list some definitions and lemmas from [12], then we make some assumptions on f(x),  $g_i(x)$ ,  $i \in I$ , and finally we define filled function for problem (*P*).

*Definition* 2.1. Letting f(x) be Lipschitz with constant L > 0 at the point x, the generalized gradient of f at x is defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \left\{ \xi \in X : \langle \xi, d \rangle \le f^0(x; d), \ \forall d \in X \right\},\tag{2.1}$$

where  $f^0(x; d) = \limsup_{y \to x, t \downarrow 0} (f((y+td) - f(y))/t)$  is the generalized directional derivative of f(x) in the direction d at x.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let f be Lipschitz with constant L at the point x, then

- (a)  $f^0(x; d)$  is finite, sublinear and satisfies  $|f^0(x; d)| \le L ||d||$ ;
- (b) for all  $d \in X$ ,  $f^0(x; d) = \max\{\langle \xi, d \rangle : \xi \in \partial f(x)\}$ , and to any  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$ , one has  $\|\xi\| \le L$ ;
- (c)  $\partial \Sigma s_i f_i(x) \subseteq \Sigma s_i \partial f_i(x)$ , for all  $s_i \in R$ .

Considering problem (*P*), throughout the paper, we need the following assumptions:

- (A1) f(x) and  $g_i(x)$ ,  $i \in I$ , are Lipschitz continuous with a common constant L > 0.
- (A2) the number of the different value of local minimizer of (*P*) is finite;
- (A3)  $S^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ , cl  $S^{\circ} = S$ , where  $S^{\circ}$  denotes the interior of S, cl  $S^{\circ}$  denotes the closure of  $S^{\circ}$ .

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Now, we give the definition of filled function for problem (P) below.

Definition 2.3. A function  $P(x, x^*)$  is called a filled function of [P] at  $x^*$  if all the following conditions are met:

- (1)  $x^*$  is a strict local maximizer of  $P(x, x^*)$  on X;
- (2)  $P(x, x^*)$  has no stationary points in the set  $S_1 \setminus x^* \cup (X \setminus S)$ , that is,  $0 \notin \partial P(x, x^*)$ ;
- (3) If  $x^*$  is not a global minimizer of problem (*P*), then there exists a point  $x_1^* \in S$  such that  $x_1^*$  is a local minimizer of  $P(x, x^*)$  on X with  $f(x_1^*) < f(x^*)$ .

### 3. A New Filled Function and Its Properties

Consider the problem (P). Define

$$F(x, x^*, r) = \eta(\|x - x^*\|) + \frac{r}{1 + \left[\min(0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m))\right]^2},$$
(3.1)

where r > 0 is a parameter,  $\eta()$  is a differentiable function such that  $\eta(0) = 1$  and  $\eta'(t) < 0$  for any t > 0, and  $\|\cdot\|$  indicates the Euclidean vector norm.

Next, we will prove that  $F(x, x^*, r)$  is a filled function, where  $x^*$  is the current local minimizer of problem (*P*).

**Theorem 3.1.**  $x^*$  is a strict local maximizer of  $F(x, x^*, r)$  on X.

*Proof.* Since  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of (*P*), there exists a neighborhood  $N(x^*, \sigma^*)$  of  $x^*$  with  $\sigma^* > 0$  such that  $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$  for any  $x \in S \cap N(x^*, \sigma^*)$ . We consider the following two cases.

*Case 1* ( $x \in N(x^*, \sigma^*) \cap S$ , and  $x \neq x^*$ ). In this case, note that

$$\min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m)] = 0,$$
(3.2)

then

$$F(x, x^*, r) = \eta(||x - x^*||) - 1 + F(x^*, x^*, r) < F(x^*, x^*, r).$$
(3.3)

*Case 2* ( $x \in N(x^*, \sigma^*) \cap (X \setminus S)$ ). In this case,  $x \neq x^*$ ; moreover, there exists at least one index  $i_0 \in 1, ..., n$  such that  $g_{i0}(x) > 0$ . It follows that

$$\min\left[0, \max\left(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m\right)\right] = 0,$$
  

$$F(x, x^*, r) = \eta(\|x - x^*\|) + r < 1 + r = F(x^*, x^*, r).$$
(3.4)

Therefore,  $x^*$  is a strict local maximizer of  $F(x, x^*, r)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For any  $x \in (S_1 \setminus x^*) \cup (X \setminus S)$ , one has  $0 \notin \partial F(x, x^*, r)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in (S_1 \setminus x^*) \cup (X \setminus S)$ , similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m)] = 0.$$
(3.5)

Since  $x \neq x^*$ , it follows that

$$\partial (\min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m)])^2 \subset 2\min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m)] \times \partial \min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m)] = 0, \partial F(x, x^*, r) \subset \partial \eta (||x - x^*||) - \partial (\min[0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m)])^2 \times \frac{r}{\left(1 + [\min(0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m))]^2\right)^2} = \eta' (||x - x^*||) \frac{x - x^*}{||x - x^*||}.$$
(3.6)

Therefore, we have that

$$\left\langle \partial F(x, x^*, r), \frac{x - x^*}{\|x - x^*\|} \right\rangle \in \left\langle \eta'(\|x - x^*\|) \frac{x - x^*}{\|x - x^*\|}, \frac{x - x^*}{\|x - x^*\|} \right\rangle$$
  
=  $\eta'(\|x - x^*\|) < 0.$  (3.7)

So, to any 
$$\xi \in \partial F(x, x^*, r)$$
, one has  $\xi^T((x - x^*) / ||x - x^*||) < 0$ . Then  $0 \notin \partial F(x, x^*, r)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that Assumptions (1)–(3.8) are satisfied. If  $x^*$  is not a global minimizer, and r > 0 is appropriately large, then there exists a point  $x_r^* \in S_2$  such that  $x_r^*$  is a minimizer of  $F(x, x^*, r)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x^*$  is not a global minimizer, there exists another local minimizer  $x_1^*$  of (P) such that  $f(x_1^*) < f(x^*)$ ,  $g(x_1^*) \le 0$ . By Assumption (3.1), there exists one point  $x_2^* \in \text{int } X$  such that  $f(x_2^*) < f(x^*)$ ,  $g(x_2^*) < 0$ . Thus, we have

$$F(x_{2}^{*}, x^{*}, r) = \eta(\|x_{2}^{*} - x^{*}\|) + \frac{r}{1 + (\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}.$$
 (3.8)

On the other hand, to any  $x \in \partial S$ , where  $\partial S$  denotes the boundary of the set *S*, there exists at least one index  $i_0 \in \{1, ..., m\}$  such that  $g_{i_0}(x) = 0$ , which yields

$$F(x, x^*, r) = \eta(||x - x^*||) + r.$$
(3.9)

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Let  $M = \max_{x_1, x_2 \in X} ||x_2 - x_1|| > 0$ ,  $N_0 = \eta(||x_2^* - x^*||) - \eta(M) \ge 0$ . To any  $x \in \partial S$ , if r > 0 is chosen to be appropriately large such that

$$r > \frac{1 + \left(\max(f(x_2^*) - f(x^*), g_i(x_2^*), i = 1, \dots, m)\right)^2}{\left(\max(f(x_2^*) - f(x^*), g_i(x_2^*), i = 1, \dots, m)\right)^2} N_0,$$
(3.10)

then, we have that

$$F(x_{2}^{*}, x^{*}, r) - F(x, x^{*}, r)$$

$$= \eta(\|x_{2}^{*} - x^{*}\|) - \eta(\|x - x^{*}\|) - r\left(\frac{(\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}{1 + (\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq \eta(\|x_{2}^{*} - x^{*}\|) - \eta(M) - r\left(\frac{(\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}{1 + (\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq N_{0} - r\left(\frac{(\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}{1 + (\max(f(x_{2}^{*}) - f(x^{*}), g_{i}(x_{2}^{*}), i = 1, ..., m))^{2}}\right) < 0.$$
(3.11)

Denotes  $x_r^* = \arg \min_{x \in S} F(x, x^*, r)$ . Then, if r > 0 is appropriately large such that (3.10) is met, one has

$$F(x_r^*, x^*, r) = \min_{x \in S} F(x, x^*, r) = \min_{x \in S \setminus \partial S} F(x, x^*, r) \le F(x_2^*, x^*, r).$$
(3.12)

Note that  $S \setminus \partial S$  is an open bounded set, thus  $x_r^* \in S \setminus \partial S$  and  $g_i(x_r^*) < 0$ , for i = 1, ..., m. Moreover, we can easily prove that  $f(x_0^*) < f(x^*)$ . In fact, if it is not true, then

$$F(x_r^*, x^*, r) = \eta(\|x_r^* - x^*\|) + r > F(x_2^*, x^*, r),$$
(3.13)

which contradicts with (3.12).

Therefore, one has  $x_r^* \in S_2$ . This completes the proof.

## 4. Solution Algorithm

In the previous section, several properties of the proposed filled function are discussed. Now a solution algorithm based on these properties is described as follows.

Initialization Step

- (1) Choose a disturbance constant  $\delta$ ; for example, set  $\delta := 0.1$ .
- (2) Choose an upper bound of *r* such that  $r_U > 0$ ; for example, set  $r_U := 10^8$ .
- (3) Choose a constant  $\hat{r} > 0$ ; for example,  $\hat{r} = 10$ .

(4) Choose direction  $e_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ..., k_0$  with integer  $k_0 \ge 2n$ , where *n* is the number of variable.

(5) Set k := 1.

#### Main Step

- (1) Start from an initial point x, minimize the primal problem (P) by implementing a nonsmooth local search procedure, and obtain the first local minimizer  $x_1^*$  of f(x).
- (2) Let r = 1.
- (3) Construct the filled function:  $F(x, x_1^*, r) = \eta(||x x_1^*||) + (r/1 + [\min(0, \max(f(x) f(x_1^*), g_i(x), i = 1, ..., m))]^2).$
- (4) If  $k > k_0$ , then go to (7). Else set  $x := x_1^* + \delta e_k$  as an initial point, minimize the filled function problem by implementing a nonsmooth local search procedure, and obtain a local minimizer denoted  $x_k$ .
- (5) If  $x_k \in X$ , then set k := k + 1, go to (4). Else go to next step.
- (6) If x<sub>k</sub> satisfies f(x<sub>k</sub>) < f(x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>), then set x := x<sub>k</sub> and k := 1, start from x as a new initial point, minimize the primal problem (P) by implementing a local search procedure, and obtain another local minimizer x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> of f(x) such that f(x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>) < f(x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>), set x<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup> := x<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>, go to (2). Else go to next step.
- (7) Increase *r* by setting  $r := \hat{r}r$ .
- (8) If  $r \le r_U$ , then set k := 1, go to (3). Else the algorithm is incapable of finding a better local minimizer. The algorithm stops and  $x_1^*$  is taken as a global minimizer.

The motivation and mechanism behind the algorithm are explained as below.

A set of m = 2n initial points is chosen in Step (4) of the Initialization step to minimize the filled function. We set the initial points symmetric about the current local minimizer. For example, when n = 2, the initial points are: For example, when n = 2, the directions can be chosen as (1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 0), (0, -1).

In Step (1) and Step (6) of the Main step, we minimize the primal problem (P) by nonsmooth constrained local optimization algorithms such as penalty function method, bundle method, quasi-newton method and composite optimal method. In Step 4 of the Main step, we minimize the filled function problem by nonsmooth unconstrained local optimization algorithms such as cutting-planes method, powell method, and Hooke-Jeeve method. They are all effective methods.

Recall from Theorem 3.3 that the value of r should be selected large enough. Otherwise, there could be no minimizer of  $F(x, x_1^*, r)$  in set  $S_2$ . Thus, r is increased successively in Step (7) of the solution process if no better solution is found when minimizing the filled function. If all the initial points have been used and r reaches its upper bound  $r_U$ , but no better solution is found, then the current local minimizer is taken as a global one.

The proposed filled function method can also apply to smooth constrained global optimization.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

## 5. Numerical Experiment

In this section, we perform a numerical test to give an initial feeling of the potential application of the proposed function approach in real-world problems. In our programs, the filled function is of the form

$$F(x, x^*, r) = \exp(-\|x - x^*\|) + \frac{r}{1 + \left[\min(0, \max(f(x) - f(x^*), g_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m))\right]^2}.$$
(5.1)

The proposed algorithm is programmed in Fortran 95. The composite optimal method is used to find local minimizers of the original constrained problem, and the Hooke-Jeeve method is used to search for local minimizers of the filled function problems.

The main iterative results of Algorithm NFFA applying on four test examples are listed in Tables 1–4 . The symbols used in the tables are given as follows:

- *k*: the iteration number in finding the *k*th local minimize;
- *r*: the parameter to find the (k + 1)th local minimize;
- $x_k$ : the *k*th initial point to find the *k*th local minimize;

 $x_k^*$ : the *k*th local minimize;

 $f(x_k)$ : the function value of the *k*th initial point;

 $f(x_k^*)$ : the function value of the *k*th local minimizer.

Problem 1. We have

min 
$$f(x) = -20 \exp\left(-0.2\sqrt{\frac{|x_1| + |x_2|}{2}}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\cos(2\pi x_1) + \cos(2\pi x_2)}{2}\right) + 20$$
  
s.t.  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 300$ ,  $2x_1 + x_2 \le 4$ ,  $-30 \le x_i \le 30$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .
(5.2)

Algorithm NFFA succeeds in finding a global minimizer  $x^* = (0,0)^T$  with  $f(x^*) = -2.7183$ . The numerical results are listed in Table 1.

Problem 2. We have

$$\min \quad f(x) = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1$$
s.t. 
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 4 \le 0, \quad \min\{x_2 - x_3, x_3\} \le 0.$$

$$(5.3)$$

Algorithm NFFA successfully finds an approximate global solution  $x^* = (1.9889, -0.0001, -0.0111)^T$  with  $f(x^*) = -5.9446$ . Table 2 records the numerical results of Problem 2.

Problem 3. We have

min 
$$f(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x)\}$$
  
s.t.  $x_1^2 - x_2 - x_4^2 \le 0, \quad 0 \le x_i \le 3, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4,$  (5.4)

k	r	$x_k$	$f(x_k)$	$x_k^*$	$f(x_k^*)$
1		(-15,-2)	6.1184	(-15.0000,0.0000)	5.7164
2	1	(-1.0585,0.5165)	2.1433	(0.0001, -0.2094)	-0.3690
3	10	(0.0007, -0.0435)	-0.7470	(0.0000,0.0000)	-2.7183

 Table 1: Numerical results for Problem 1.

Table 2: Numerical results for Problem 2.					
k	r	$x_k$	$f(x_k)$	$x_k^*$	$f(x_k^*)$
1		(-1.5,1.0,-0.75)	0.8125	(-1.9802,-0.0130,-0.0006)	-1.9410
2	1	(1.1931,0.6332,-1.1931)	-3.9140	(1.9889, -0.0001, -0.0111)	-5.9446

where

$$f_{i}(x) = f_{0}(x) + 10 \cdot g_{i}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$f_{0}(x) = x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + 2x_{3}^{2} + x_{4}^{2} - 5x_{1} - 5x_{2} - 21x_{3} + 7x_{4},$$

$$g_{1}(x) = x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + 2x_{3}^{2} + x_{4}^{2} + x_{1} - x_{2} + x_{3} - x_{4} - 8,$$

$$g_{2}(x) = x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{2}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} + 2x_{4}^{2} - x_{1} - x_{4} - 10,$$

$$g_{3}(x) = x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} + 2x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{4} - 5.$$
(5.5)

Algorithm NFFA successfully finds a global solution  $x^* = (0, 1, 1, 1)^T$  with  $f(x^*) = -65$ . The computational results are listed in Table 3.

#### Problem 4. We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) = -25(x_1 - 2)^2 - (x_2 - 2)^2 - (x_3 - 1)^2 - (x_4 - 4)^2 - (x_5 - 1)^2 - (x_6 - 4)^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & (x_3 - 3)^2 + x_4 \ge 300, \quad (x5 - 3)^2 + x_6 \ge 4, \quad x_1 - 3x_2 \le 2, \quad -x_1 + x_2 \le 2, \\ & 2 \le x_1 + x_2 \le 6, \quad 0 \le x_1 \le 6, \quad 0 \le x_2 \le 8, \quad 1 \le x_3 \le 5, \\ & 0 \le x_4 \le 6, \quad 1 \le x_5 \le 5, \quad 0 \le x_6 \le 10. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(5.6)} \\ \end{array}$$

The proposed algorithm successfully finds a global solution  $x^* = (5, 1, 5, 0, 5, 10)^T$  with  $f(x^*) = -310$ . The main iterative results are listed in Table 4.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we extend the concept of the filled function for unconstrained global optimization to nonsmooth constrained global optimization. Firstly, we give the definition of the filled function for constrained optimization and construct a new filled function with one parameter. Then, we design a solution algorithm based on this filled function. Finally, we perform some numerical experiments. The preliminary numerical results show that the new algorithm is promising.

k	r	$x_k$	$f(x_k)$	$x_k^*$	$f(x_k^*)$
1	_	(2,2,2,2)	42.0000	(0.0000,1.0000,0.0000,2.0000)	-6.0000
2	1	(0.6078,2.0003,0.0003,0.0319)	-22.9117	(0.9289,0.8620,0.2453,0.0803)	-35.9939
3	100	(0.4012,0.2524,0.2288,0.0000)	-49.4733	(0.0000,1.0000,1.0000,1.0000)	-65.0000

Table 3: Numerical results for Problem 3.

#### Table 4: Numerical results for Problem 4.

k	r	$x_k$	$f(x_k)$	$x_k^*$	$f(x_k^*)$
1	_	(2,2,2,2,2,2)	-10.0000	(5,1,5,6,5,4)	-262.0000
2	1	(5,1,5,1.7581,5,4)	-263.0269	(5,1,5,0,5,4)	-274.0000
3	1000	(5,1,5,1.7579,5,10)	-299.0286	(5,1,5,0,5,10)	-310.0000

## Acknowledgment

The paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant nos. 10971053, 11001248.

### References

- R. P. Ge, "A filled function method for finding a global minimizer of a function of several variables," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 191–204, 1990.
- [2] R. P. Ge and Y. F. Qin, "A class of filled functions for finding global minimizers of a function of several variables," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 241–252, 1987.
- [3] R. Horst, P. M. Pardalos, and N. V. Thoai, *Introduction to Global Optimization*, vol. 3 of *Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.
- [4] Z. Xu, H.-X. Huang, P. M. Pardalos, and C.-X. Xu, "Filled functions for unconstrained global optimization," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 49–65, 2001.
- [5] X. Liu and W. Xu, "A new filled function applied to global optimization," Computers & Operations Research, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 61–80, 2004.
- [6] W. Wang, Y. Shang, and L. Zhang, "A filled function method with one parameter for box constrained global optimization," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 194, no. 1, pp. 54–66, 2007.
- [7] L.-S. Zhang, C.-K. Ng, D. Li, and W.-W. Tian, "A new filled function method for global optimization," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 17–43, 2004.
- [8] W. Wang and Y. Xu, "Simple transformation functions for finding better minima," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 502–509, 2008.
- [9] Y.-I. Shang, D.-g. Pu, and A.-p. Jiang, "Finding global minimizer with one-parameter filled function on unconstrained global optimization," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 191, no. 1, pp. 176– 182, 2007.
- [10] W. Wang, Y. Shang, and Y. Zhang, "Finding global minima with a filled function approach for nonsmooth global optimization," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2010, Article ID 843609, 10 pages, 2010.
- [11] A. Törn and A. Žilinskas, Global Optimization, vol. 350 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1989.
- [12] F. H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1983.



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

