

Research Article **On Multiple Convolutions and Time Scales**

Hassan Eltayeb,¹ Adem Kılıçman,² and Brian Fisher³

¹ Mathematics Department, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics and Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM),

43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to Adem Kılıçman; akilic@upm.edu.my

Received 23 September 2013; Accepted 7 November 2013

Academic Editor: Suares Clovis Oukouomi Noutchie

Copyright © 2013 Hassan Eltayeb et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The properties of the multiple Laplace transform and convolutions on a time scale are studied. Further, some related results are also obtained by utilizing the double Laplace transform. We also provide an example in order to illustrate the main result.

1. Introduction

A time scale \top is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \mathbb{R} and it has the topology that it inherits from the real numbers with the standard topology. It is also well known that if the time scale is the set of real numbers, then the dynamic equation is a differential equation, whilst if the time scale is the set of integers, then the dynamic equation is reduced to a difference equation. Thus integral transform of differential and integral calculus on time scales allows us to develop a theory of differential equations. For the single Laplace transform on time scales, see [1-3]. Similarly, in [4-8], the authors defined the time scale to be any nonempty closed subset of the real numbers and provided the motivation and formulation of delta derivatives on a time scale as well as the properties of delta derivatives and integrals. In [2], Bohner and Peterson defined the Laplace transform of a time scale for function of single variable as follows.

Definition 1. For $f : \top \to \mathbb{C}$, the time scale or generalized Laplace transform of f, denoted by L[f(t)] or F(z), is given by

$$L\left[f\left(t\right)\right]\left(z\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{\ominus z}^{\sigma}\left(t,0\right) f\left(t\right) \Delta t, \quad \text{for } z \in D\left\{f\right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $D{f}$ consists of all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the improper integral exists and $1 + \mu_1 z \neq 0$ for all $t \in \top$.

Definition 2. The function $f : \top \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of exponential type I if there exist constants M, c > 0 such that $|f(t)| \leq Me^{ct}$. Furthermore, f is said to be of exponential type II if there exist constants M, c > 0 such that $|f(t)| \leq Me_c(t, 0)$.

The following two theorems were proved in [3].

Theorem 3. The integral $\int_0^\infty e_{\ominus z}^\sigma(t,0) f(t) \Delta t$ converges absolutely for $z \in D$ if f(t) is of exponential type II with exponential constant c. For more details, see [3].

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be regressive. Then *(I)*

$$L\left\{e_{\alpha}\left(t,0\right)\right\}\left(z\right) = \frac{1}{z-\alpha},\tag{2}$$

provided that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e_{\alpha\ominus z}(t,0) = 0$, (II)

$$L\left\{\cos_{\alpha}(t,0)\right\}(z) = \frac{z}{z^2 + \alpha^2},$$
 (3)

provided that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e_{i\alpha\ominus z}(t,0) = \lim_{t\to\infty} e_{-i\alpha\ominus z}(t,0) = 0$, (III)

$$L\left\{\sin_{\alpha}\left(t,0\right)\right\}\left(z\right) = \frac{\alpha}{z^{2} + \alpha^{2}},\tag{4}$$

provided that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e_{i\alpha\ominus z}(t,0) = \lim_{t\to\infty} e_{-i\alpha\ominus z}(t,0) = 0.$

The following theorem was also studied by Bohner and Peterson in [2].

Theorem 5. If $f: \top \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that f^{Δ} is regulated, then $L\left[f^{\Delta}\right](z) = zL\left[f(t)\right](z) - f(0),$ (5)

for all $z \in D{f}$ such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \{e_{\Theta z}(t)f(t)\} = 0$.

Throughout the study, the following notation will be useful, where \top_1 , \top_2 are time scales and

$$e_{a\oplus b}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = e_{b}(t_{1}) e_{b}(t_{2}),$$

$$e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = e_{\ominus z_{1}}(t_{1}) e_{\ominus z_{2}}(t_{2}).$$
(6)

In [2], further it was proved that

$$e_{\ominus z}^{\sigma} = \frac{e_{\ominus z_1}}{\left(1 + \mu z\right)} \tag{7}$$

was satisfied, where $1 + \mu_1 z \neq 0$, by using the property

$$e_z^{\sigma} = (1+\mu z)e_z, \qquad \Theta z = -\frac{z}{1+z}, \qquad p \Theta q = \frac{p-q}{(1+\mu q)}.$$
(8)

Later in [9], Bohner and Guseinov defined the single convolution operation on time scale of two functions $f, g : \top \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the following formula:

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{f}(t, \sigma(s)) g(s) \Delta s.$$
(9)

In this study, we introduce double Laplace transform on time scales and study some of the properties in solving partial differential equations. Further, we also extend the Δ -Laplace transform, which is given by Jackson in [10], to the multiple Δ -Laplace transform as follows.

Definition 6. Let $0 \in T_1, T_2$, $\sup\{T_1, T_2\} = \infty$, and $t_1 \in T_1, t_2 \in T_2$. The Δ -double Laplace transform of the function $f(t_1, t_2)$ (for $f \in C(T_1 \times T_2)$) with respect to t_1, t_2 is given by

$$L_{t_1}L_{t_2}\left[f(t_1, t_2)\right](z_1, z_2) = \iint_0^\infty e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(t_1, t_2) f(t_1, t_2) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2$$
(10)

provided that the integral exists, further $(1 + \mu_1 z_1) \neq 0$, and $(1 + \mu_2 z_2) \neq 0$, for all $(t_1, t_2) \in (\top_1 \times \top_2)_+$.

Lemma 7. If $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are regressive, then

$$e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2}}{\left(1 + \mu_1 z_1\right) \left(1 + \mu_2 z_2\right)}.$$
 (11)

Proof. By using the above analysis, we have

$$e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \left(\left(1 + \mu_1 \left(\Theta z_1 \right) \right) \right) e_{\Theta z_1} \left(1 + \mu_2 \left(\Theta z_2 \right) \right) e_{\Theta z_2}$$
$$= \left[1 - \mu_1 \frac{z_1}{1 + \mu_1 z_1} \right] \left[1 - \mu_2 \frac{z_2}{1 + \mu_2 z_2} \right] e_{\Theta z_1 e_{\Theta z_2}} \quad (12)$$
$$= \frac{e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}}{\left(1 + \mu_1 z_1 \right) \left(1 + \mu_2 z_2 \right)}.$$

As an example, we can easily compute $L_{t_2}L_{t_1}[e_{a\oplus b}(t_1, t_2, 0, 0)](z_1, z_2)$, where a, b are constants such that $\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} e_{a\oplus b \ominus z_1 \ominus z_2}(t_1, t_2, 0, 0) = 0$.

We have

$$\begin{split} L_{t_2} L_{t_1} \left[e_{a \oplus b} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \right] (z_1, z_2) \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty} e_{a \oplus b} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2 \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu_{\sigma_1} \left(t_1 \right) \ominus z_1 \left(t_1 \right) \right) \left(1 + \mu_{\sigma_2} \left(t_2 \right) \ominus z_2 \left(t_2 \right) \right) e_{a \oplus b} \\ &\times \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2 \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{\sigma_1} \left(t_1 \right) z_1}{1 + \mu_{\sigma_1} \ominus z_1} \right) \\ &\times \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{\sigma_1} \left(t_2 \right) z_2}{1 + \mu_{\sigma_2} \ominus z_2} \right) e_{b \ominus z_2} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \Delta_2 t_2 \right] e_{a \ominus z_1} \\ &\times \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \Delta_1 t_1 \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \mu_{\sigma_1} \ominus z_1} \right) \\ &\times \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \mu_{\sigma_2} \ominus z_2} \right) e_{b \ominus z_2} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \Delta_2 t_2 \right] \\ &\times e_{a \ominus z_1} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \Delta_1 t_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{a - z_1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{b - z_2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b \ominus z_2 e_{b \ominus z_2} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \Delta_2 t_2 \right] \\ &\times \left(e_{a \ominus z_1} \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \right)_{\Delta_1 t_1} \Delta_1 t_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(z_1 - a \right) \left(z_2 - b \right)}. \end{split}$$

For $t_1 \in \top_1$ and $t_2 \in \top_2$ with $t_1 \ge \alpha$ and $t_2 \ge \beta$, we can easily see that

$$\cosh_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e_{(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)} + e_{-(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)}}{2},$$

$$\sinh_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e_{(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)} - e_{-(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)}}{2},$$

$$\cos_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e_{i(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)} + e_{-i(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)}}{2},$$

$$\sin_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e_{i(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)} - e_{-i(\alpha t_1\oplus\beta t_2)}}{2i},$$
(14)

and then the double Laplace transforms are given by

$$\begin{split} L_{t_2} L_{t_1} \left[\cosh_{(\alpha \oplus \beta)} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1/\left(\left(z_1 - \alpha \right) \left(z_2 - \beta \right) \right) + 1/\left(\left(z_1 + \alpha \right) \left(z_2 + \beta \right) \right)}{2} \quad (15) \\ &= \frac{z_1 z_2 + \alpha \beta}{\left(z_2^2 - \beta^2 \right) \left(z_1^2 - \alpha^2 \right)}, \\ L_{t_2} L_{t_1} \left[\sinh_{(\alpha \oplus \beta)} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1/\left(\left(z_1 - \alpha \right) \left(z_2 - \beta \right) \right) - 1/\left(\left(z_1 + \alpha \right) \left(z_2 + \beta \right) \right)}{2} \quad (16) \\ &= \frac{\beta z_1 + \alpha z_2}{\left(z_2^2 - \beta^2 \right) \left(z_1^2 - \alpha^2 \right)}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[\cos_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_{1},t_{2})\right] = \frac{z_{1}z_{2} - \alpha\beta}{(z_{2}^{2} + \beta^{2})(z_{1}^{2} + \alpha^{2})},$$

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[\sin_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_{1},t_{2})\right] = \frac{z_{1}z_{2} + \alpha\beta}{(z_{2}^{2} + \beta^{2})(z_{1}^{2} + \alpha^{2})}.$$
(17)

Proposition 8. Suppose \top_1 , \top_2 are two time scales such that $\mu_1 < N_1$ and $\mu_1 < N_2$ for some $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $(t_1, t_2) \in \top_1 \times \top_2$. Let $f : (\top_1 \times \top_2)_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(\sigma \left(t_1 \right), \sigma \left(t_2 \right), 0, 0 \right) \right\} = 0.$$
(18)

Then

$$\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \right\} = 0.$$
(19)

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(\sigma \left(t_1 \right), \sigma \left(t_2 \right), 0, 0 \right) \right\} = 0$$
(20)

and on using the following relation:

$$e_{\Theta p_{1} \Theta p_{2}} \left(\sigma \left(t_{1} \right), \sigma \left(t_{2} \right), 0, 0 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(1 + \mu_{1} p_{1} \right) \left(1 + \mu_{2} p_{2} \right)} e_{\Theta p_{1} \Theta p_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\Theta p_{1} \Theta p_{2}}{p_{1} p_{2}} e_{\Theta p_{1} \Theta p_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0 \right), \qquad (21)$$

we have

$$\lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ \frac{\Theta z_{1}(t_{1}) \Theta z_{2}(t_{2})}{z_{1} z_{2}} e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0) \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ \frac{\Theta z_{1}(t_{1}) \Theta z_{2}(t_{2})}{z_{1} z_{2}(1 + \mu_{1} z_{1})(1 + \mu_{2} z_{2})} e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \times (t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0) \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \mu_{1} z_{1})(1 + \mu_{2} z_{2})} e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \times (t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0) \right\} = 0.$$

$$\times (t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0) \right\} = 0.$$
(22)

Since $\mu_1(t_2) < N_1$ and $\mu_1(t_2) < N_2$, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left| \left\{ \frac{e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0)}{(1 + \mu_{1} z_{1}) (1 + \mu_{2} z_{2})} \right\} \right| \\
> \left| \frac{\lim_{t_{1} \to \infty} t_{1} \Theta \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}) f(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0) \right\}}{(1 + N_{1} z_{1}) (1 + N_{2} z_{2})} \right| > 0.$$
(23)

Thus we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{(1+N_1z_1)(1+N_2z_2)} \times \lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}(t_1, t_2) f(t_1, t_2, 0, 0) \right\} = 0$$
(24)

and it follows that

$$\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \right\} = 0.$$
(25)

Theorem 9. Let $f: (T_1 \times T_2)_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be regulated and let

$$F(t_1, t_2) = \iint_0^{t_1} f(\zeta, \eta) \, \Delta \zeta \, \Delta \eta \tag{26}$$

for
$$(t_1, t_2) \in (\top_1 \times \top_2)_+$$
. Then
 $L_{t_1} L_{t_2} [f(t_1, t_2)] (z_1, z_2)$
 $= \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} L_{t_1} L_{t_2} [f(t_1, t_2)] (z_1, z_2)$ (27)
 $-\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} (t_1, t_2) f(t_1, t_2, 0, 0),$

for $z_1, z_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. By using the definition of the double Laplace transform on a time scale, we have

$$L_{t_1}L_{t_2}[f(t_1, t_2)](z_1, z_2)$$

$$= \iint_0^\infty e^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2}(t_1, t_2) F(t_1, t_2, 0, 0) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2,$$
(28)

and on using (21), we have

$$L_{t_1}L_{t_2} [f(t_1, t_2)](z_1, z_2)$$

= $\frac{1}{z_1 z_2} \iint_0^{\infty} e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2} (t_1, t_2) (\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2)$ (29)
 $\times F(t_1, t_2, 0, 0) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2.$

Now, applying integration by parts, and on using the fact that F(0,0) = 0 together with the fundamental theorem of calculus, we obtain that

$$L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}[f(t_{1},t_{2})](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$=\frac{1}{z_{1}z_{2}}L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}[f(t_{1},t_{2})](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$-\lim_{\substack{t_{1}\to\infty\\t_{2}\to\infty}}e_{\Theta z_{1}\Theta z_{2}}(t_{1},t_{2})f(t_{1},t_{2},0,0).$$

$$\Box$$

Example 10. Let $a \in T_1$, $b \in T_2$, a, b > 0, and $H_{a,b}(t_1, t_2) = 0$ for $t_1 \in T_1$ and $t_2 \in T_2$, $t_1 < a$, and $t_2 < b$ whilst $H_{a,b}(t_1, t_2) = 1$ for $t_1 \in T_1$, $t_2 \in T_2$ and $t_1 \ge a$, $t_2 \ge b$, where $H_{a,b}(t_1, t_2) = H_a, (t_1) \otimes H_b(t_2)$ and the symbol \otimes denotes the tensor product. Then we have

$$L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}\left[H_{a,b}\left(t_{1},t_{2}\right)\right]\left(z_{1},z_{2}\right)$$

$$= \iint_{0}^{\infty} e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}\left(t_{1},t_{2}\right)H_{a,b}\left(t_{1},t_{2}\right)\Delta_{1}t_{1}\Delta_{2}t_{2} \qquad (31)$$

$$= \frac{e_{\ominus z_{1}}\left(a\right)e_{\ominus z_{2}}\left(b\right)}{z_{1}z_{2}}.$$

Now assume that $f(t_1, t_2) : \top_1 \times \top_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that f^{Δ_1} is continuous. Then the Δ -double Laplace transform

$$L_{t_1}L_{t_2}\left[f^{\Delta_1}(t_1, t_2)\right](z_1, z_2) = z_1 \bar{\bar{F}}(z_1, z_2) - \bar{F}(0, z_2) \quad (32)$$

holds for those regressive $z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}$ with respect to t_1 and t_2 which further satisfies

$$\lim_{\substack{t_1 \to \infty \\ t_2 \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1 \Theta z_2} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right\} = 0.$$
(33)

We next extend the result that was proved by Davis et al. in [3].

Proposition 11. Let $(a, b) \in (\top_1 \times \top_2)_+$, a, b > 0. Assume that $f(t_1, t_2)$ is one of the following functions:

$$e_{a\oplus b}(t_1, t_2, 0, 0), \qquad \sinh_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1 + t_2),$$

$$\cosh_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1 + t_2), \qquad \cos_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1 + t_2), \qquad (34)$$

$$or \, \sin_{(\alpha\oplus\beta)}(t_1 + t_2).$$

If
$$z_1, z_2, \alpha$$
, and β are regressive and satisfy

$$\lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{\alpha \ominus z_{1} \beta \ominus z_{2}} (t_{1}, t_{2}) f (t_{1}, t_{2}, a, b) \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{i\alpha \ominus z_{1} i\beta \ominus z_{2}} (t_{1}, t_{2}) f (t_{1}, t_{2}, a, b) \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{t_{1} \to \infty \\ t_{2} \to \infty}} \left\{ e_{-i\alpha \ominus z_{1} - i\beta \ominus z_{2}} (t_{1}, t_{2}) f (t_{1}, t_{2}, a, b) \right\}, \quad (35)$$

then

$$L_{t_1}L_{t_2} \left[H_{a,b} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2, a, b \right) \right] (z_1, z_2)$$

= $e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2} \left(a, b, 0, 0 \right) L_{t_1}L_{t_2} \left[f \left(t_1, t_2, 0, 0 \right) \right].$ (36)

Proof. Let us study the case $f(t_1, t_2, a, b) = e_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(t_1, t_2, a, b)$. By using (21), we get

$$e_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(t_{1}, t_{2}, a, b) e_{\ominus z_{1} \ominus z_{2}}(\sigma(t_{1}), \sigma(t_{2}), 0, 0)$$

$$= \frac{e_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(t_{1}, t_{2}, a, b) e_{\ominus z_{1} \ominus z_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0)}{(1 + \mu_{1} z_{1})(1 + \mu_{2} z_{2})}$$
(37)

and by using Theorem 4 and (21) the right hand side of equation (37) is

$$= \frac{e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(a,b,0,0)}{(\alpha-z_{1})(\beta-z_{2})} \left(\frac{(\alpha-z_{1})(\beta-z_{2})}{(1+\mu_{1}z_{1})(1+\mu_{2}z_{2})}\right) \times e_{\alpha\ominus z_{1}\beta\ominus z_{2}}(t_{1},t_{2},a,b)$$

$$= \frac{e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(a,b,0,0)}{(\alpha-z_{1})(\beta-z_{2})} (\alpha\ominus z_{1})(\beta\ominus z_{2})e_{\alpha\ominus z_{1}\beta\ominus z_{2}}(t_{1},t_{2},a,b).$$
(38)

Then

$$\begin{split} L_{t_1} L_{t_2} \left[H_{a,b} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2, a, b \right) \right] (z_1, z_2) \\ &= \iint_0^\infty e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2} \left(\sigma \left(t_1 \right), \sigma \left(t_2 \right), 0, 0 \right) H_{a,b} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) e_{\alpha \oplus \beta} \\ &\times \left(t_1, t_2, a, b \right) \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2 \\ &= \frac{e_{\ominus z_1 \ominus z_2} \left(a, b, 0, 0 \right)}{\left(\alpha - z_1 \right) \left(\beta - z_2 \right)} \iint_0^\infty H_{a,b} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \\ &\times \left(\left(\alpha \ominus z_1 \right) \left(\beta \ominus z_2 \right) \right) \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \\ &\times e_{\alpha \ominus z_1 \beta \ominus z_2} \left(t_1, t_2, a, b \right) \\ &\times \Delta_1 t_1 \Delta_2 t_2 \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(a,b,0,0)}{(\alpha-z_{1})(\beta-z_{2})} \int_{b}^{\infty} \int_{a}^{\infty} ((\alpha \ominus z_{1})(\beta \ominus z_{2})) \times e_{\alpha \ominus z_{1}\beta \ominus z_{2}}(t_{1},t_{2},a,b) \times \Delta_{1}t_{1}\Delta_{2}t_{2}$$
$$= \frac{e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(a,b,0,0)}{(\alpha-z_{1})(\beta-z_{2})}$$
$$= e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}(a,b,0,0) L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}\left[e_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(t_{1},t_{2},0,0)\right].$$
(39)

Theorem 12. Let $f(t_1, t_2) : \top_1 \times \top_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that f^{Δ_i} and f^{Δ_j} are continuous for all i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m, respectively; then the Δ -double Laplace transforms for f^{Δ_i} and f^{Δ_j} are given by

$$L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}\left[f^{\Delta_{n}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$=z_{1}^{n}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2})-z_{1}^{n-1}\overline{F}(0,z_{2})-\cdots-\overset{-\Delta_{1}^{n-1}}{F}(0,z_{2}),$$

$$L_{t_{1}}L_{t_{2}}\left[f^{\Delta_{m}^{2}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$=z_{2}^{m}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2})-z_{2}^{m-1}\overline{F}(z_{1},0)-\cdots-\overset{-\Delta_{2}^{m-1}}{F}(z_{1},0),$$
(40)
(41)

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ *with*

$$\lim_{t_{1} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} \\
= \lim_{t_{1} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f^{\Delta_{n}^{1}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} \cdots \\
= \lim_{t_{1} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f^{\Delta_{n-1}^{1}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\lim_{t_{2} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} \\
= \lim_{t_{2} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f^{\Delta_{m}^{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} \cdots \\
= \lim_{t_{2} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f^{\Delta_{m-1}^{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} \cdots \\
= \lim_{t_{2} \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_{1} \Theta z_{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) f^{\Delta_{m-1}^{2}} \left(t_{1}, t_{2} \right) \right\} = 0,$$

respectively.

Proof. Let n = 1. On using the definition of the Δ -single Laplace transform and integrating parts of the function $f^{\Delta_1^1}(t_1, t_2)$, we have

$$L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{1}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1})$$

$$=\int_{0}^{\infty}e_{\Theta z_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}} f^{\Delta_{1}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\Delta t_{1}$$

$$=\left[f(t_{1},t_{2})\right]_{0}^{\infty}+z_{1}\int_{0}^{\infty}e_{\Theta z_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}} f(t_{1},t_{2})\Delta t_{1}$$

$$=-f(0,t_{2})+z_{1}\overline{F}(z_{1},t_{2}).$$
(43)

Then the single Laplace transform with respect to t_1 is given by

$$L_{t_1}\left[f^{\Delta_1^1}(t_1, t_2)\right](z_1, z_2) = z_1\overline{F}(z_1, t_2) - f(0, t_2).$$
(44)

Similarly, Laplace transform for (44) with respect to t_2 is given by

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{1}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1}) = z_{1}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2}) - \overline{F}(0,z_{2}).$$
(45)

Then (45) is called the Δ -double Laplace transform for the function $f^{\Delta_1^1}(t_1, t_2)$. Similarly, if m = 1 in (41), the Δ -double Laplace transform for the function $f^{\Delta_1^2}(t_1, t_2)$ is given by

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{1}^{2}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1},z_{2}) = z_{2}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2}) - \overline{F}(z_{1},0).$$
(46)

Now, in order to obtain the $\Delta\text{-}\mathrm{double}$ Laplace transform for the function

$$f^{\Delta_{2}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2}) = \frac{\partial^{2} f(t_{1},t_{2})}{\Delta_{1} t_{1}^{2}}$$
(47)

with respect to t_1, t_2 , we proceed as follows: first of all by taking the Δ -single Laplace transform with respect to the variable t_1 and on using the fact that

$$\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1} \left(t_1 \right) f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} \left\{ e_{\Theta z_1} \left(t_1 \right) f \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right\} = 0,$$
(48)

we have

$$L_{t_1} \left[f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right] (z_1)$$

= $\left[f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \right]_0^{\infty} + z_1 \int_0^{\infty} e_{\Theta z_1}^{\sigma_1} f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \Delta t_1$ (49)
= $-f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(0, t_2 \right) + z_1 \int_0^{\infty} e_{\Theta z_1}^{\sigma_1} f^{\Delta_1^1} \left(t_1, t_2 \right) \Delta t_1.$

Thus on using (44), we obtain that

$$L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{2}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1})$$

$$=z_{1}^{2}\overline{F}(z_{1},t_{2})-z_{1}\overline{F}(0,t_{2})-f^{\Delta_{1}}(0,t_{2}).$$
(50)

In a similar way, the single Laplace transform with respect to $t_{\rm 2}$ is given by

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{2}^{1}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$=z_{1}^{2}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2})-z_{1}\overline{F}(0,z_{2})-\overline{F}^{\Delta_{1}^{1}}(0,z_{2}).$$
(51)

Further, the Δ -double Laplace transform for the function $f^{\Delta_2^2}(t_1, t_2) = \partial^2 f(t_1, t_2) / \Delta_2 t_2^2$ with respect to t_1, t_2 is given by

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[f^{\Delta_{2}^{2}}(t_{1},t_{2})\right](z_{1},z_{2})$$

$$=z_{2}^{2}\overline{\overline{F}}(z_{1},z_{2})-z_{2}\overline{F}(z_{1},0)-\overline{F}^{\Delta_{1}^{1}}(z_{1},0).$$
(52)

Finally, note that we can generalize the proof for n, m.

Next, we define the double convolution of two time scale functions as follows.

Definition 13. The double convolution of the function $f, g: T_1 \times T_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(f * *g)(t_1, t_2) = \iint_0^{t_1} f(\zeta, \eta) g(t_1, t_2, \sigma_1(\zeta), \sigma_2(\eta)) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta.$$
(53)

In the next theorem we prove the properties of the double convolution on a time scale as follows.

Theorem 14. Let $f,g,h : \mathbf{T}_1 \times \mathbf{T}_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions on a time scale. Then the following properties are satisfied:

$$(f * *g)(t_1, t_2) = (g * *f)(t_1, t_2),$$

(f * *g) * *h = f * *(g * *h). (54)

Proof. We can easily show that convolution is commutative by using the definition of the double convolution

$$(f * *g)(t_1, t_2)$$

$$= \iint_0^{t_1} f(\zeta, \eta) g(t_1, t_2, \sigma_1(\zeta), \sigma_2(\eta)) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta \qquad (55)$$

$$= \iint_0^{t_1} f(t_1, t_2, \sigma_1(\zeta), \sigma_2(\eta)) g(\zeta, \eta) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta.$$

For the proof of the associative law, we also use the definition as follows:

$$(f * *g) * *h$$

$$= \iint_{0}^{t_{1}} (f * *g) (t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1} (\zeta), \sigma_{2} (\eta)) h(\zeta, \eta) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta$$

$$= \iint_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{\sigma_{2}(\eta)}^{t_{1}} \int_{\sigma_{1}(\zeta)}^{t_{1}} f(t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1} (\alpha), \sigma_{2} (\beta))$$

$$\times g(\alpha, \beta, \sigma_{1} (\zeta), \sigma_{2} (\eta))$$

$$\times h(\zeta, \eta) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta \Delta \alpha \Delta \beta$$

$$= \iint_{0}^{t_{1}} f(t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1} (\alpha), \sigma_{2} (\beta)) (f * *g) (\alpha, \beta) \Delta \alpha \Delta \beta$$

$$\times f * * (g * *h) (t_{1}, t_{2}).$$
(56)

Theorem 15 (convolution theorem). Let $f, g: \mathbf{T}_1 \times \mathbf{T}_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be regulated functions. Then the double Laplace transform of the double convolution is given by

$$L_{t_2}L_{t_1}\left[\left(f * *g\right)(t_1, t_2); z_1, z_2\right] = F(z_1, z_2)G(z_1, z_2).$$
(57)

Proof. By using the definition of the double Laplace transform on a time scale, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[\left(f * *g\right)(t_{1}, t_{2}); z_{1}, z_{2}\right] \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty} e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0)\left[\left(f * *g\right)(t_{1}, t_{2})\right]\Delta_{1}t_{1}\Delta_{2}t_{2} \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty}\left[\iint_{0}^{t_{1}}f\left(\zeta,\eta\right)g\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1}\left(\zeta\right), \sigma_{2}\left(\eta\right)\right)\right. \\ &\quad \times \Delta\zeta \,\Delta\eta e_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0)\left]\Delta_{1}t_{1}\Delta_{2}t_{2} \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty}f\left(\zeta,\eta\right)\left[\int_{\sigma_{1}(\zeta)}^{\infty}\int_{\sigma_{2}(\eta)}^{\infty}g(t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1}\left(\zeta\right), \sigma_{2}\left(\eta\right)\right)_{\ominus z_{1}\ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(t_{1}, t_{2}, 0, 0\right)\Delta_{1}t_{1}\Delta_{2}t_{2}\right]\Delta\zeta \,\Delta\eta \\ &= \iint_{0}^{\infty}f\left(\zeta,\eta\right)\left[L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[H_{\sigma_{1}(\zeta),\sigma_{2}(\eta)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \times g\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \sigma_{1}\left(\zeta\right), \sigma_{2}\left(\eta\right)\right)\right]\right]\Delta\zeta \,\Delta\eta, \\ &\quad (58) \end{split}$$

where $H_{\sigma_1(\zeta),\sigma_2(\eta)}(t_1,t_2) = H_{\sigma_1(\zeta),}(t_1) \otimes H_{\sigma_2(\zeta),}(t_2)$ and the symbol \otimes denotes the tensor product. Thus we have

$$L_{t_{2}}L_{t_{1}}\left[\left(f * *g\right)(t_{1}, t_{2}); z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$$

$$= \iint_{0}^{\infty} f\left(\zeta, \eta\right) \left[G(z_{1}, z_{2})_{\ominus z_{1} \ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}\left(\zeta, \eta, 0, 0\right)\right] \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta$$

$$= G\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \iint_{0}^{\infty} f\left(\zeta, \eta\right)_{\ominus z_{1} \ominus z_{2}}^{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}\left(\zeta, \eta, 0, 0\right) \Delta \zeta \Delta \eta$$

$$= F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) G\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right).$$

$$\Box$$

Finally, we give the solution to the wave equation in one dimension as follows. Consider the wave equation in the form of

$$u^{\Delta_1 \Delta_1} - u^{\Delta_2 \Delta_2} = 0, (60)$$

under the conditions

$$u(x,0) = e_1(x), u(0,t) = e_1(t),$$

$$u^{\Delta_2}(0,t) = e_1(t), u^{\Delta_1}(x,0) = e_1(x).$$
(61)

Then by formally taking the double Laplace transform, we get

$$L_{t_2}L_{t_1}\left[u\left(t,x\right);z_1,z_2\right] = \frac{z_1+1}{\left(z_2-1\right)\left(z_1^2-z_2^2\right)} - \frac{z_2+1}{\left(z_1-1\right)\left(z_1^2-z_2^2\right)}.$$
(62)

By using partial fractions, we have

$$L_{t_2}L_{t_1}\left[u(t,x);z_1,z_2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(z_2-1)(z_1-z_2)} + \frac{1}{2(z_2-1)(z_1+z_2)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2z_2(z_2-1)(z_1-z_2)} - \frac{1}{2z_2(z_2-1)(z_1+z_2)}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2(z_1-1)(z_1-z_2)} + \frac{1}{2(z_1-1)(z_1+z_2)}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2z_1(z_1-1)(z_1-z_2)} - \frac{1}{2z_1(z_1-1)(z_1+z_2)}.$$
(63)

Thus the solution to the above equation is given by

$$u(t, x) = e_{1 \oplus 1}(t, x, 0, 0).$$
(64)

In particular, if we consider the case $\mathbf{T}_1 \times \mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $\sigma(x) = x$, for all $(t, x) \in \mathbf{T}_1 \times \mathbf{T}_2$, then $e_{a \oplus b}(t, x, 0, 0) = e^{ax+bt}$ for any constant $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and so the solution is given by

$$u(t,x) = e^{t+x}$$
. (65)

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests regarding the publication of paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referees for the useful comments regarding presentation in the early version of the paper. The authors also gratefully acknowledge that this project was supported by King Saud University, Deanship of Scientific Research College of Science Research Center.

References

- H. A. Agwa, F. M. Ali, and A. Kılıçman, "A new integral transform on time scales and its applications," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, article 60, 2012.
- [2] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, "Laplace transform and Ztransform: unification and extension," *Methods and Applications of Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 155–162, 2002.
- [3] J. M. Davis, I. A. Gravagne, B. J. Jackson, R. J. Marks, and A. A. Ramos, "The Laplace transform on time scales revisited," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1291–1306, 2007.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, C. Ahlbrandt, M. Bohner, and A. Peterson, "Discrete linear Hamiltonian systems: a survey," *Dynamic Systems* and Applications, vol. 8, pp. 307–333, 1999.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Quadratic functionals for second order matrix equations on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 675–692, 1998.

- [6] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 35, pp. 3– 22, 1999.
- [7] C. D. Ahlbrandt, M. Bohner, and J. Ridenhour, "Hamiltonian systems on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 250, no. 2, pp. 561–578, 2000.
- [8] L. Erbe and S. Hilger, "Sturmian theory on measure chains," *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 223– 246, 1993.
- [9] M. Bohner and G. S. Guseinov, "The convolution on time scales," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 58373, 24 pages, 2007.
- [10] B. Jackson, "Partial dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 391–415, 2006.



The Scientific World Journal





Decision Sciences







Journal of Probability and Statistics



Hindawi Submit your manuscripts at





International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society







Journal of Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization