

Research Article

Online Open Circuit Fault Diagnosis for Rail Transit Traction Converter Based on Object-Oriented Colored Petri Net Topology Reasoning

Lei Wang, Chunmei Xu, Lijun Diao, Jie Chen, Ruichang Qiu, and Peizhen Wang

School of Electrical Engineering, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Lei Wang; leiwang@bjtu.edu.cn

Received 13 May 2016; Accepted 31 August 2016

Academic Editor: Qingling Zhang

Copyright © 2016 Lei Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

For online open circuit fault diagnosis of the traction converter in rail transit vehicles, conventional approaches depend heavily on component parameters and circuit layouts. For better universality and less parameter sensitivity during the diagnosis, this paper proposes a novel topology analysis approach to diagnose switching device open circuit failures. During the diagnosis, the topology is analyzed with fault reasoning mechanism, which is based on object-oriented Petri net (OOCPN). The OOCPN model takes in digitalized current inputs as fault signatures, and dynamical transitions between discrete switching states of a circuit with broken device are symbolized with the dynamical transitions of colored tokens in OOCPN. Such transitions simulate natural reasoning process of an expert's brain during diagnosis. The dependence on component parameters and on circuit layouts is finally eliminated by such circuit topology reasoning process. In the last part, the proposed online reasoning and diagnosis process is exemplified with the case of a certain switching device failure in the power circuit of traction converter.

1. Introduction

Switching device failures account for a large part of all the malfunctions in a converter-motor system [1]. In some cases of rail transit system, switching device failures may even be up to 25%. In rail transit converters, the switching devices, which are adopted in power circuit, fall into two categories: the controllable ones and the uncontrollable ones. The controllable devices could be thyristors, GTOs, IGBTs, and so forth. Among all of them, IGBT has been commonly chosen in most applications. The uncontrollable device refers to diodes in all the cases. Among the failures of all possible switching devices, IGBT failures are much more than diode failures. IGBT failures mainly appear as shoot-throughs (short circuit after failure) or break-downs (open circuit after failure) between the collector and emitter terminals. Since it is much easier to detect shoot-through with IGBT trigger unit [2], in this paper we deal mainly with IGBT break-downs, that is, open circuit faults.

The possible cause of IGBT break-downs could be

(i) because of bond wire lift-offs inside an IGBT module;

- (ii) because IGBT die is burnt out by over-temperature that originates from lowered heat transfer property owing to degraded solder;
- (iii) because of poor IGBT selection in changing seriously or over-range outputs.

When IGBT break-down happens in a power circuit, the fault diagnosis for malfunctioning device location should be carried out immediately to prevent further failures. Among all the diagnosis approaches that have been proposed, the diagnosis based on topology analysis has made itself important and convenient [3–5]. However, in topology-based approach, much more should be done. In [6, 7], Shi and Shang et al. focus their work on the deduction of hybrid switching topology; in [8], Ma and Zhang come up with an identification approach by measuring a set of topology linearity irrelevant circuit state variables. They come up with only probable theoretical approaches, and there is some distance between their models and field applications. In [9], Zhang et al. identify topology with residual analysis, but such approach depends seriously on circuit parameters, so

the effectiveness in application is somehow limited. To avoid such dilemma, this paper aims at proposing a novel topology analysis approach, which is less sensitive to circuit parameters and easier for field applications and shows more universality.

For a power circuit which consists of several switching devices, its operation between certain switching states could be seen as dynamical transition process between certain discrete events. Being an ideal tool to describe the concurrency, the conflict, and the causality among the internal components of a discrete system, Petri net shows more advantages in dealing with the dynamical process of such discrete events [10-12]. Moreover, the object-oriented colored Petri net (OOCPN), which is modified with colored token and more flexible transitions by us, has been made more efficient in power circuit topology identification. The dynamical transitions of colored token among places inside an OOCPN simulate human brain activities vividly, just like those activities that happen in the process of an expert's failure reasoning and malfunctioning device locating. Such universality makes OOCPN a useful tool in topology analysis and in fault diagnosis.

The elimination of the dependence on circuit layouts and especially on component parameters is the key problem in our work. By the application of OOCPN, such dependence is eliminated naturally with the movement of colored tokens, so that the reasoning process is completely not affected by such factors above.

2. The Topology Reasoning Machine Based on OOCPN for Power Electronic Circuits

For a power electronic circuit, the on or off state combinations of switching devices change in a discrete way. However, the currents and voltages in the circuit change continuously between two different on/off state combinations. Such case meets the characteristics of a so-called Dynamic System of Discrete Events (DSDE) perfectly. Different on/off state combinations result in different changing patterns of the analogue voltages and currents. Such patterns offer perfect signatures of corresponding on/off state combinations, which is also the case of power circuits with switching device failures. By analyzing the changing patterns of voltages and currents, a malfunctioning switching device could be recognized at the same time.

For a power electronic circuit which is equivalized to a network with *N* inputs and *M* outputs, its topology is shown in Figure 1.

In Figure 1, the input ports are defined to be Bc1~Bc*M*, with *M* being the number of input ports. The output ports are Br1~Br*N*, with *N* being the number of output ports. The component E_{ji} corresponds to an IGBT or a diode or an IGBT + diode (in parallel or in series) branch that brigdes between the *i*th input and the *j*th output.

Based on graph theory, the switching circuit topology reasoning procedure carried out by an automatic reasoning machine is as follows:

(1) To derive possible ideal current and voltage signature set, on the basis of external characteristics of the



FIGURE 1: The equivalent network of a power electronic circuit with multi-input/output ports.

circuit (i.e., input and output waveforms or values of the circuit).

- (2) To derive ideal current flow capability of each branch that is placed in the intersection between certain ports, by analyzing every single ideal current and voltage signature. Such capability could be given as unidirectional, bidirectional, and so forth.
- (3) To derive actual current flow capability of each branch that is placed in the intersection between certain ports, by analyzing all the current and voltage signatures that have been actually detected and recorded.
- (4) To carry out the reasoning process for switching branches that have failed to turn on, by analyzing the difference between the ideal and the actual current flow capabilities of each branch.

In order to meet the needs of the topology identification and reasoning, we propose a novel object-oriented colored Petri net (OOCPN) by introducing colored attribute and function attribute into conventional object-oriented Petri net (OOPN) [13–15]. The additionally introduced colored attribute and function attribute enable OOCPN to be better and more comprehensive in describing the inner structure of a switching branch. Based on the definition of colored Petri net [16], here the mathematical definition of OOCPN could be given as follows:

A multivariable model of $\{S, Y, P, T, A, N, C, G, E, I\}$ becomes an OOCPN, when

- (1) *S* is the color attribute space of OOCPN, where $S = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, ..., \varsigma_m\}$ (ς_i corresponds to the *i*th color attribute set of a token in OOCPN, and *m* is the number of member variables);
- (2) *Y* is member method of a token, where $Y : S \to \varsigma_i$, $\varsigma_i \in S$;
- (3) *P* is the place set of OOCPN, where $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ (p_i is the *i*th place; *n* is the number of places);
- (4) *T* is the transition set of OOCPN, where $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_o\}$ (t_i is the *i*th transition; *o* is the number of transitions);



FIGURE 2: The OOCPN reasoning model for topology analysis.

- (5) A is the directed arc set of OOCPN, where A = {a₁, a₂, ..., a_k} (a_i is the *i*th directed arc; k is the number of directed arcs);
- (6) $P \cap T = P \cap A = T \cap A = \Phi$;
- (7) *N* is the node function of OOCPN, where $N : A \rightarrow P \times T \cup T \times P$;
- (8) *C* is the color function of OOCPN, where $C : P \to S$;

(9) *G* is the escorting function of OOCPN, where *G* : $T \rightarrow f(T)$. When $G(t_i)$ is the escort function of t_i , it gives

 $\forall t_i \in T$:

$$\left[\text{Type}\left(G\left(t_{i}\right)\right) = \text{BOOL} \land \text{Type}\left(\text{Var}\left(G\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right) \subseteq \Theta, \ \Theta = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \varsigma_{j} \right];$$
(1)

(10) *E* is the arc-expression function of OOCPN, where *E* : $A \rightarrow h(A)$, and if $p(a_i)$ is $N(a_i)$'s place,

$$\forall a_i \in A: \left[\text{Type}\left(E\left(a_i\right)\right) = C\left(p\left(a_i\right)\right) \land \text{Type}\left(\text{Var}\left(E\left(a_i\right)\right)\right) \subseteq \Theta, \ \Theta = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \varsigma_j \right];$$
(2)

(11) I is the initialization function of OOCPN, where

$$\forall p_i \in P: \quad [\text{Type}\left(I\left(p_i\right)\right) = C\left(p_i\right)_{\text{MS}}]. \tag{3}$$

In [17], a CPN model is adopted for the location of acting breakers in a power grid with short circuit faults. Here we improve and revise it into an OOCPN model and adopt the revised OOCPN model into topology analysis field. Such improved model forms a human-like reasoning machine and is shown in Figure 2.

In the OOCPN reasoning machine, we define its specific colored token as {Cy, Cc, Cp, Ca, Cn, Dr, Fun}, where Cy is the intersection color (or attribute) of a certain switching

branch; Cc is the current flow color of the branch; Cp is the reference current signature color; Ca is the actual current flow capability of the branch; Cn is the actual current signature of the branch; Dr is the diagnosis outcome; Fun is the switch device attribute processing function.

The color set of Cy consists of all the switching branches; the color set of Cc is $\{0, 1, 2, x\}$, where $\{0\}$ means that this branch should be capable of conducting current backwords, $\{1\}$ means that this branch should be capable of conducting current forwards, $\{2\}$ means that this branch should be capable of conducting current in both directions, and $\{x\}$ means that the capability is still uncertain; the color set of Cp consists of all the ideal current signatures when the power



FIGURE 3: The power circuit layout of a traction converter in a rail transit vehicle.

circuit is fault-free; the color set of Cn consists of all the current signatures that can be detected and stored when the power circuit is with a broken switching device; the color set of Ca is $\{0, 1, 2, x\}$, while $\{0\}$ means that this branch has been detected to be capable of conducting current backwords, {1} means that this branch has been detected to be capable of conducting current forwards, {2} means that this branch has been detected to be capable of conducting current in both directions, and $\{x\}$ means that the actual capability is still uncertain; the color set of Dr is $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where $\{0\}$ means that this branch is operating normally, {1} means that this branch has failed to conduct forward current while it is supposed to do so, {2} means that this branch has failed to conduct backword current while it is supposed to do so, and {3} means both {1} and {2} happen at the same time; the function attribute of Fun takes out the color in Cp, projects the color into Cc, and then deletes the color, while it does the same to Cn and Ca.

The definitions of all the transitions and places in the OOCPN reasoning machine are listed in Table 1.

3. The Realization of Switching Circuit Topology Reasoning and Fault Diagnosis

Generally, a power electronic switching topology with two inputs and three outputs is taken as an example here. Such topology is the well-known "full-bridge converter and rectifier," that is, the power circuit layout of a motor inverter with braking capability. In a motive car of rail transit vehicle, a motor inverter which is also known as traction converter (TC, shown in Figure 3) drives 4 parallel-connected traction motors to supply driving or braking force to the vehicle.

The realized process could be divided into the following 4 steps, as shown in Sections 3.1–3.4.



FIGURE 4: The simplified equivalent switching network of a traction converter in a rail transit vehicle.

3.1. To Simplify the Power Circuit into an Equivalent Switching Network. During the vehicle's traction stage, TC absorbs energy from the DC grid input (positive/negative port), converts the energy into three-phase AC power, and then supplies the AC power through three-phase AC outputs (U, V, W ports) to the motors; during the vehicle's braking stag, TC absorbs AC energy from the motors through AC outputs and feeds such energy back into DC grid inputs. The topology of a TC can be simplified into a 2 by 3 equivalent switching network, with 6 switching branches in all.

According to the layout in Figure 3, the power circuit of a TC is simplified into the equivalent network shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4, the Bc₁ and Bc₂ ports correspond to TC's positive and negative input ports, respectively; the Br₁~ Br₃ ports correspond to TC's U, V, and W output ports, respectively; E_{11} , E_{12} , E_{21} , E_{22} , E_{31} , and E_{32} are the switching branches wiring input ports to output ports. As a matter of fact, E_{ij} ($i = 1 \sim 3$, $j = 1 \sim 2$) consists of an IGBT of Q_{ij} and an antiparalleled diode of D_{ij} .

3.2. To Derive Coded Port Currents, for the Representation of Ideal and Actual Current/Voltage Signatures

3.2.1. The Amplitude Coding of Currents through Input/Output Ports. Currents through positive (i_+) and negative (i_-) meet Kirchhoff's current law, that is,

$$i_{+} - i_{-} = 0.$$
 (4)

According to (4), i_+ and i_- are co-related; therefore the coded i_- may be ignored, considering such high coupling relationship. The ignorance of i_- will reduce the requirement for storage capacity of the reasoning machine by 50%. However, although the relationship among $i_{1\sim3}$ could be derived by Kirchhoff's current law (as is shown in (5)), too, the ignoration of any one of them will increase the fault diagnosis time consumption greatly, because the AC current outputs effect more directly on actual currents that flow through the branches, and such ignorance implies more reasoning steps that must be taken by the OOCPN network.

Ine name of the transition or place P_0 The P_0 place contains tokens which contain actual detected current signatures; we call such tokens the port of B_1 , $\ln E_{ij}$, we apply conflict arbitration mechanism. According to the mechanism, a latter to form T_0 is $D_1 = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim M$) E_j E_j D_j	
P_0 The P_0 place contains tokens which contain actual detected current signatures; we call such tokens the P_1 in E_{ij} pice contains tokens which contain information of the branch bridging between the input port of B_1 . In E_{ij} pice contains tokens which contain information of the branch bridging between the input port of B_1 . In E_1 pice contains tokens whose Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is $\{0\}$, and Ca of the two tokens are merged in the P_2 port of B_1 . The P_1 place contains tokens whose Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is $\{0\}$, and Ca of the two tokens are merged in P_2 point of B_1 . The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected Ca . P_2 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected Ca . P_3 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected Ca . P_3 The P_2 place stores the output token from P_2 and any token from E_{ij} , if the Cn color of the token from P_2 . P_3 The P_2 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The P_2 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The P_2 place stores the output token from P_3 and any token from P_1 pice P_1 place. T_1 P_2 The P_2 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 T_1 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. The guardian function of T_1 is always TRUE. T_2 nowes the token from	
$ \begin{array}{c} E_{ij} \ (i=1 \sim M, \ j=1 \sim N) \\ E_{ij} \ (i=1 \sim M, \ j=1 \sim N) \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} The E_{ij} \ place contains tokens which contain information of the branch bridging between the input P port of BF1 in E_{ij}, we apply conflict arbitration mechanism, a latter to for the token in E_{ij} is (0), and G of the two tokens are merged i beginning of the reasoning. Cno color of the token in E_{ij} is (0), and G of it is [x]. The P1 place contains tokens whose Cn color thas been processed. The P2 place contains tokens whose Cn color of the token in E_{ij} (0), and G of it is [x]. The P2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected or P3 put stogether the Cn colors of the token from E_{ij}. The P2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected or P3 put stogether the Cn colors of the token from E_{ij}. The P2 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P2, and any token from E_{ij} if the Cn color of t T_{i} place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P2, we also apply the same conflict arbitration The P2 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P2, we also apply the same conflict arbitration T_{i} (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) and the P2 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P2, we also apply the same conflict arbitration T_{E_{ij}} (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) according to the Cy of the token T_{E_{ij}} s always TRUE. T2 moves the token from E1, the guardian function of TE1 is always TRUE. T1 outputs the token from P2 and text of T1 also deletes from P2 alls the Fm2 and any token from P2, put state token from P2, and text of the guardian function of TE1 is always TRUE. T1 outputs the token from P2, put state token from P2, put state token from P2, put state token from P2, places. TE1 (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) according to the Cy of the token. TE1 states the token from P2, put states token results by comparing the Cand C colors of the t$	s; we call such tokens the sampled tokens.
$\begin{split} E_{ij} \ (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) & \text{former one with the same Cy during the overwriting process, the Ca of the two tokens are merged i beginning of the reasoning. Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is (0), and Ca of the two tokens are merged i beginning of the reasoning. Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is (0), and Ca of the two tokens are merged i beginning of the reasoning. Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is (0), and Ca of the two tokens are merged i perform E_{ij} is place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected crowing the overwriting process. If P_{ij} puts together the Cn colors of the input token from E_{ij} is flow and any token from E_{ij} if the Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is flow and any token from E_{ij} if the Cn color of the token in E_{ij} is flow and any token from E_{ij} if the Cn color of the token E_{ij} is the value and contains a piece of actual detected crowing to the token E_{ij} is the value P_{ij} place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P_{i} we also apply the same conflict arbitration T_{i} and T_{i} also deletes from P_{i} all the tokens that share the same Cy and C_{ij} as the token in P_{ij} and reture P_{ij} place stores T_{E_{ij}} is always TRUE. T_{2i} moves the token from P_{2i} into P_{3} and reture P_{2i} is always TRUE. T_{2i} moves the token from P_{2i} into P_{3i} and reture T_{E_{ij}} (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) according to the Cy of the token. T_{E_{ij}} is always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token the P_{2i} place stores T_{E_{ij}} is always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token from P_{2i} into P_{3i}. The guardian function of T_{E_{ij}} is always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token from P_{2i} into P_{3i}. T_{2i} of the token T_{E_{ij}} called to the Cy of the token. T_{E_{ij}} is always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token from P_{2i} into P_{3i}. T_{2i} always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token from P_{2i} places. The guardian func$	ing between the input port of Bci and the output mechanism a latter token can overwrite a
P_1 beginning of the reasoning, Cn color of the token in \tilde{E}_{ij} is [0], and Ca of it is {x}). P_2 P_1 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected Ci P_3 P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected Ci P_3 P_3 puts together the Cn colors of the input token from P_2 and any token from E_{ij} . If the Cn color of P_3 puest together the Cn color of the input token from P_2 and any token from E_{ij} . P_4 P_3 P_3 puts together the Cn color of the token from P_{ij} . P_4 P_4 P_4 P_4 P_4 P_4 P_2 P_3 puts together the Cn color of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty. T_1 takes one random sampled to T_2 T_1 T_1 P_2 T_2 T_1 P_2 T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_1 T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 is always TRUE. T_{E_i} always TRUE. T_2 nowes the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 P_2 always TRUE. T_{E_i} always TRUE. T_2 nowes the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 P_2 always TRUE. T_{E_i} always TRUE. T_2 nowes the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 alpha for token. T_{E_i} is always TRUE. T_2 nouputs the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) T_{E_i} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) P_2 T_2 T_{E_i} ($i =$	wo tokens are merged into the latter one. At the
P_1 The P_1 place contains tokens whose Cn color has been processed. P_2 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected c P_3 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected c P_3 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected c P_3 The P_2 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P_4 , we also apply the same conflict arbitration P_4 The P_4 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The P_2 place stores the output token of the reasoning proces. T_1 P_2. T_1 also deletes from P_0 all the tokens that share the same Cy and can shapled tt T_2 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_1 , puts it into P_3 , and retur T_2 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} not the tokens in P_1 . T_2 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} not the token from P_1 puts it into P_3 , and retur T_2 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} outputs the tokens from P_2 , puts it into P_3 . T_{E_j} (i = 1 ~ M) $j = 1 ~ N$)according to the color of T_{T_0} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} outputs the tokens from P_2 places. T_3 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} outputs the tokens from P_2 places. T_3 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0} outputs the tokens from P_2 places. T_3 The guardian function of T_{T_1} is always TRUE. T_{T_0}	$\{x\}$.
P_2 The P_2 place contains a token that is waiting to be analyzed and contains a piece of actual detected or P_3 puts together the Cn colors of the input token from P_j and any token from E_{ij} , if the Cn color of t P_3 puts together the Cp color of the token from P_j and any token from E_{ij} , if the Cn color of t P_4 P_4 P_2 P_1 P_1 P_1 P_2 P_1 P_2 P_1 P_2 P_1 P_2 $P_$	
P_3 puts together the Cn colors of the input token from P_2 and any token from E_{ij} , if the Cn color of the included in the Cp color of the token from E_{j} . P_4 The P_4 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P_4 , we also apply the same conflict arbitration P_3 The P_4 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The P_3 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The P_3 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The guardian function of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty. T_1 takes one random sampled to P_2 . T_1 also deletes from P_0 all the tokens that share the same Cy and Ca as the tokens in P_1 . T_2 The guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 , and retur according to the Cy of the token. $T_{E_{ij}}$ calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from P_3 into P_4 . $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)according to the Cy of the token. $T_{E_{ij}}$ calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from P_2 , and retur 	ece of actual detected current signature.
T_3 included in the Cp color of the token from E_{j} . P_4 The P_4 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P_4 , we also apply the same conflict arbitration P_5 The P_7 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The guardian function of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty. T_1 takes one random sampled to T_1 P_2 . T_1 also deletes from P_0 all the tokens that share the same Cy and Ca as the tokens in P_1 . T_2 The guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 . $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from the E_{ij} places. $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_3 , and return according to the Cy of the token. $T_{E_{ij}}$ calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from P_{ij} places. $T_{x_{ij}}$ The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_{ij} places. $T_{x_{ij}}$ The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_{ij} places. $T_{x_{ij}}$ The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_{ij} places. $T_{x_{ij}}$ The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_i , T_{ij} and return $P_{x_{ij}}$ calls the function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_{ij} , P_{ij} places. $T_{x_{ij}}$ The guardian function of $T_{x_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{x_{ij}}$ outputs the tokens from P_{ij}	1 E_{ij} , if the Cn color of the token from P_2 is
P_4 The P_4 place stores all the intermediate results. Here in P_4 , we also apply the same conflict arbitration P_5 The P_5 place stores the output token of the reasoning process. T_1 The guardian function of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty. T_1 takes one random sampled to T_2 The guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{E_j} ($i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N$) T_{E_j} ($i = 1 $	
P_5 The P_5 place stores the output token of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty, T_1 takes one random sampled tr T_1 T_2 The guardian function of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty, T_1 takes one random sampled tr T_2 The guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 , and retur $T_{E_{IJ}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N$) T_{IJ} ($i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N$) T_{IJ} ($i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N$) T_{IJ} ($i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N$) T_{IJ} ($i $	same conflict arbitration mechanism.
TThe guardian function of T_1 transition is true when P_0 is not empty. T_1 takes one random sampled to T_2 T_2 all the tokens that share the same Cy and Ca as the tokens in P_1 . T_2 T_2 The guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 . T_{Eij} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)The guardian function of T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{Eij} takes the token from E_{ij} puts it into P_3 , and returned the sum of the token. T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{Eij} takes the token from E_{ij} puts it into P_3 , and returned to the token. T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{Eij} takes the token from E_{ij} puts the token from P_{ij} places. T_{Eij} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)according to the Cy of the token. T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{Eij} and the token from E_{ij} places. T_{Eij} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)according to the Cy of the token. T_{Eij} is always TRUE. T_{2i} outputs the token from P_i , puts the token from P_i , places. T_{Eij} ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) T_4 guardian function of T_{31} is always TRUE. T_{31} outputs the token from P_i , T_4 analyzes the token from P_i has the different T_{21} and C colors of the token from P_i , T_4 analyzes the token from P_i , T_4 analyzes the token from P_i state the different T_{21} and C colors of the token from P_i , T_4 analyzes the token from P_2 back to P_1 token from P_1 has the different T_2 and C_2 colors of the token from P_2 , T_4 analyzes T_4 to token from P_1 has the different T_2 and C_2 colors of	
I_1 P_2 , T_1 also deletes from P_0 all the tokens that share the same Cy and Ca as the tokens in P_1 . T_2 T_2 T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 , and retur $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ puts it into P_3 , and retur $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ puts the token from E_{ij} puts it into P_3 , and retur $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$) $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ also processed into P_4 . T_{23} T_{23} T_{12} outputs the tokens from the E_{ij} places. T_{31} T_{32} T_{23} outputs the tokens from P_3 , back to P_4 . T_4 T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , back to P_4 . T_4 T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , T_4 analyzes the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , T_4 analyzes the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , T_4 analyzes the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , T_4 analyzes the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 .	one random sampled token and then puts it into
TableThe guardian function of T_2 is always TRUE. T_2 moves the token from P_2 into P_3 . $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)The guardian function of $T_{E_{ij}}$ is always TRUE. $T_{E_{ij}}$ takes the token from E_{ij} , puts it into P_3 , and returned to the color of the token. $T_{E_{ij}}$ calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from the E_{ij} places. $T_{E_{ij}}$ ($i = 1 \sim M$, $j = 1 \sim N$)according to the Cy of the token. $T_{E_{ij}}$ calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from the E_{ij} places. T_{31} The guardian function of T_{31} is always TRUE. T_{31} outputs the tokens that P_3 has processed into P_4 . T_{32} The guardian function of T_{31} is always TRUE. T_{32} outputs the token, which P_3 get from P_2 , back to P . T_4 The T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_2 , T_{41} analyzes the C differentiate the possible performance stage and calls Fun if necessary to revise Ca. The guardian function P has the different C_3 and C_2 colors.	e tokens in P_1 .
$\begin{split} T_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{split} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{split} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{E_{ij}} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{23} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M, j = 1 \sim N) \end{aligned} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M) \end{array} \qquad \mbox{T}_{4} & (i = 1 \sim M) \end{array} \qquad \mbox$	o <i>P</i> ₃ .
$ I_{Ej} \ (l = 1 \sim M, J = 1 \sim N) $ according to the Cy of the token. T_{Ej} calls the Fun attribute of its input tokens from the E_{ij} places. $ \overline{T_{3_1}} $ The guardian function of T_{3_1} is always TRUE. T_{3_1} outputs the tokens that P_3 has processed into P_4 . $ \overline{T_{3_2}} $ The guardian function of T_{3_2} is always TRUE. T_{3_2} outputs the token, which P_3 get from P_2 , back to P_4 . $ \overline{T_3} $ The T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C different for and Cc colors of the cossary to revise Ca. The guardian furched from P has the different C_{a} and C_{c} colors of the cossary to revise Ca. The guardian furched from P has the different C_{a} and C_{c} colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C different C_{a} and C_{c} colors of the token from P_4 .	outs it into P_3 , and returns the token result to E_{ij}
T_{31} The guardian function of T_{31} is always TRUE. T_{31} outputs the tokens that P_3 has processed into P_4 . T_{32} The guardian function of T_{32} is always TRUE. T_{32} outputs the token, which P_3 get from P_2 , back to P T_{4} The T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C T_4 The possible performance stage and calls Fun if necessary to revise Ca. The guardian further from P has the different C_3 and C_C colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C	is from the E_{ij} places.
T_{32} The guardian function of T_{32} is always TRUE. T_{32} outputs the token, which P_3 get from P_2 , back to P The T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C T_4 T T_4 fiferentiate the possible performance stage and calls Fun if necessary to revise Ca. The guardian furtoken from P has the different Ca and Cc colors	has processed into P_4 .
The T_4 gets token results by comparing the Ca and Cc colors of the tokens from P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the C differentiate the possible performance stage and calls Fun if necessary to revise Ca. The guardian fur token from P has the different C_a and C_c colors	$_{3}^{3}$ get from P_{2} , back to P_{1} .
differentiate the possible performance stage and calls Fun if necessary to revise Ca. The guardian fur to T_4 but the different C_3 and C_5 colores	om P_4 ; T_4 analyzes the Cn of every token in P_4 to
token from <i>P</i> has the different <i>C</i> and <i>Cc</i> colore	se Ca. The guardian function of T_4 is true when a
CONVENTION I A THE ALL CHILD ON MILE OF ALL OF	

TABLE 1: The definitions of the transitions and places in the OOCPN reasoning machine.

Through experiments, the time consumed by reasoning may be increased by an average of 30% in such case.

$$i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = 0. (5)$$

The digital coding of the currents i_+ , i_1 , i_2 , i_3 is carried out by

$$\operatorname{sig}_{1}\left(\overline{i_{x}^{*}}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \overline{i_{x}^{*}} < -\operatorname{thres}\left(\overline{i_{x}^{*}}\right) \\ 1, & \overline{i_{x}^{*}} > \operatorname{thres}\left(\overline{i_{x}^{*}}\right), \end{cases} \quad x = +, 1, 2, 3. \quad (6)$$

In (6), i_x , x = +, 1, 2, 3, are normalized with their nominal values, and the normalized values are then filtered by moving window approach. The filtering will eliminate all the high-frequency disturbance exerted by load surge/dive and by electromagnetic interference sources. $\overline{i_x^*}$ is the normalized mean value in (6). $\overline{i_x^*}$ is then compared with corresponding threshold thres($\overline{i_x^*}$), generating the current signature sig₁($\overline{i_x^*}$). It should be noted that with a moving window average calculater the mean values are derived in such a way that helps to detect the variations of mean values much more quickly [18].

For TC, i_+ is actually difficult to be detected owing to the existence of supporting capacitor. So i_+ must be calculated or observed with the detectable inductor current i_L and capacitor voltage u_c :

$$i_{+}^{*}(k) = \frac{i_{L}(k) - C\left[\left(u_{c}(k) - u_{c}(k-1)\right)/2T_{s}\right]}{i_{\text{nom}}}.$$
 (7)

In (4), the reconfigured i_+ on time spot kT_s $(i^*_+(k))$ is calculated with $i_L(k)$, $u_c(k)$, and $u_c(k - 1)$. T_s is the sampling interval, C is the capacitance of the supporting capacitor, and i_{nom} is the nominal current adopted during the normalization. For a TC with the switching frequency of 1 kHz, T_s should be no more than 0.2 milliseconds to ensure sufficient response bandwidth. Here we choose T_s to be 100 μ s.

There are 16 possible combinations of $sig_1(\overline{i_1^*}) \sim sig_1(\overline{i_3^*})$. However, by (2), the combinations of $\{0, 0, 0, 0\}$, $\{1, 0, 0, 0\}$, $\{0, 1, 1, 1\}$, and $\{1, 1, 1, 1\}$ will never exist in reality, so they are eliminated.

The determination of hysteresis band threshold thres (i_x^*) has serious effects on the accuracy of coding process when the current is around its zero value or relatively smaller. Here the thres $(\overline{i_x^*})$ for TC is given as

thres
$$(i_x^*) = \begin{cases} 0.10, & x = +\\ 0.15, & x = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$
 (8)

The coded current amplitudes are given as $\{sig_1(\overline{i_1^*}), sig_1(\overline{i_2^*}), sig_1(\overline{i_2^*}), sig_1(\overline{i_3^*})\}$. However, it is difficult to reason the circuit topology accurately merely with coded current amplitudes. For example, under the coded current amplitudes of $\{0, 1, 0, 1\}$, there are two possible circuit layouts, as shown in Figure 5. In Figure 5, the device in the dashed box is represented to be "turned on." This is exactly the reason why the coding of current changing rates is necessary.



FIGURE 5: Possible circuit layouts under the coded current amplitudes of {0, 1, 0, 1}.

3.2.2. The Coding of Current Changing Rates through Output Ports. The coding of current changing rates could be carried out as shown in

$$\overline{i_x^*}(k) = \frac{\overline{i_x(k)}}{i_{\text{nom}}},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*} = \frac{\overline{i_x^*(k)} - \overline{i_x^*(k-1)}}{T_s},$$

$$x = 1, 2, 3, \quad (9)$$

$$g_2\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*} < -\text{thres}_2\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*}\right) \\ 1, & \frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*} > \text{thres}_2\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_x^*}\right), \\ x = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Likewise, thres $(d/dt(\overline{i_x^*}))$ here is selected to be 0.02 to ensure the accuracy.

Finally, the coded current signature is expressed as

si

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{sig}_{1}\left(\overline{i_{+}^{*}}\right), \operatorname{sig}_{1}\left(\overline{i_{1}^{*}}\right), \operatorname{sig}_{1}\left(\overline{i_{2}^{*}}\right), \operatorname{sig}_{1}\left(\overline{i_{3}^{*}}\right), \operatorname{sig}_{2}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_{1}^{*}}\right), \\ \operatorname{sig}_{2}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_{2}^{*}}\right), \operatorname{sig}_{2}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{i_{3}^{*}}\right) \end{cases}. \tag{10}$$

3.3. To Derive the Color Set of Cp and Cn. The color set of Cp and Cn could be derived by combining the coded amplitude with the coded changing rate of currents.

Take the Cp and Cn of Cy = E_{11} as an example; the color set is {0101100, 0110100, 1100111, 1100101, 1100110, 1101111, 1101110, 11101111, 1110101}.

Figure 6 shows the corresponding topology to each element of the color set. The equivalent switching network corresponding to every layout in Figure 6 is shown in Figure 7.

3.4. To Diagnose for Malfunctioning Switching Device by Analyzing the Topology with OOCPN Reasoning Machine. Now we put the IGBT of Q_{11} into malfuncion state. The breakdown of Q_{11} means that E_{11} is deprived of its forward current conducting capability. With OOCPN reasoning machine, the actual current conducting capability of E_{11} could be analyzed, Mathematical Problems in Engineering



FIGURE 6: Possible circuit layouts corresponding to the color set of Cp and Cn with $Cy = E_{11}$.

and it clearly means that Q_{11} is being confronted with open circuit fault.

Before the reasoning process, the sampled current signatures are stored in the tokens in P_0 . With a malfunctioning Q_{11} , all the colors of Cn in P_0 are as follows:

(1) During the traction stage:

{1001111, 1001101, 0110011, 1010111, 1010110, 1010011, 1011111, 1011101, 1011110, 1101011, 1110011].

(2) During the braking stage:

{0001000, 0001010, 0001100, 0010000, 0010001, 0010100, 0011000, 0011001, 0011010, 0100000, 0100001, 0100000, 0100001, 0100000, 0110010}.

After deriving the initial tokens, OOCPN runs freely according to its intrinsic rules. The major steps during reasoning are given in Table 2, under the circumstance of traction stage and malfunctioning Q_{11} . It should be noted

that, in this example, T_4 differentiates the traction stage from the braking stage by the highest bit of Cn (i.e., $sig_1(\overline{i^*})$). After 137 steps, the token in place P_5 results in Cy = E_{11} and Dr = 1, which means that E_{11} is not capable of conducting forward current; that is, Q_{11} is unable to be turned on.

In a prototype TC equipment, we realize the diagnosis example as is stated before. The equipment capacity is 230 kVA with a traction motor of 190 kW. The DC grid voltage is 1500 VDC, and the switching frequency is 1 kHz. The prototype TC is equipped with a diagnosis board which is based on TI's DSP2812 structure. In the DSP2812, the tokens of OOCPN are expressed and stored as several structures, and the transitions of OOCPN are realized with C language. The feasibility of OOCPN is that the programming of OOCPN could be carried out strictly and easily according to the network layout, and the reasoning steps could be fully and totally predictable, making it easier for one to check the program operation effect. Figure 8 gives the diagnosis results.



FIGURE 7: Possible equivalent switching networks corresponding to the color set of Cp and Cn with $Cy = E_{11}$.



FIGURE 8: The waveform of i_1 and end mark of the fault diagnosis process with malfunctioning Q_{11} in traction and braking stages. (a) In traction stage. (b) In braking stage.

9

TABLE 2: During the traction stage	of TC, the reasoning proces	s of OOCPN model with	malfunctioning Oll
mobil 2. During the traction stage	of 1 G, the reasoning process		manufationing QII.

Step num. Active transition Active place			Active token
1	T_1	P_2	$\{x, x, \{0\}, x, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
2	$T_{E_{12}}$	P_3, E_{12}	$\{E_{12}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{0\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
3	$T_{E_{22}}$	P_3, E_{22}	$\{E_{22}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
4	$T_{E_{31}}$	P_3, E_{31}	$\{E_{31}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
			$\{E_{12}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{0\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
5	T_{31}	P_4	$\{E_{22}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
			$\{E_{31}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
6	T_{32}	P_1	$\{x, x, \{0\}, x, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
7	T_1	P_2	$\{x, x, \{0\}, x, \{0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
8	$T_{E_{11}}$	P_3, E_{11}	$\{E_{11}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{0\}, \{0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
9	$T_{E_{22}}$	P ₃ , E ₂₂	$\{E_{22}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011, 0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
10	$T_{E_{31}}$	P_3, E_{31}	$\{E_{31}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011, 0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
11			$\{E_{11}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{0\}, \{0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
	T_{21}	P,	$\{E_{12}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{0\}, \{1101011\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
	- 31	- 4	$\{E_{22}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011, 0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
			$\{E_{31}, \{2\}, \{\ldots\}, \{1\}, \{1101011, 0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
	T_{32}	P_1	$\{x, x, \{0\}, x, \{0011000\}, \{0\}, Fun\}$
÷	÷	÷	÷
137	T_4	P_5	$ \begin{split} \{E_{11}, \{2\}, \{0101100, 0110100, 1100111, 1100101, 1100110, \\ 1101111, 1101110, 1110111, 1110101, 0001000, 0001010, 0010000, 0010001, 0011000, 0011010, \\ 0011001, 1001011, 1010011\}, \{0\}, \{1001011, 1010011\}, \{1\}, Fun\} \end{split}$

Figure 8 shows the waveform of i_1 and the waveform of end mark of the fault diagnosis process. Of the end mark, a rising edge means the fault happens during traction stage of TC, and a falling edge means braking stage. In both cases, the OOCPN model is run on a DSP 28335 platform from TI. Accurate malfunctioning device location is realized, with a maximum diagnosis delay of around 4.5 ms. The diagnosis delay is defined as the time interval from the beginning of malfunctions to a rising or falling edge of diagnosis result. Such diagnosis delay is shown between time spots A~B and C~D in Figure 8. Such delay meets the real-time requirement in field application, which is usually less than one period time (typically 10~50 ms).

4. Conclusions

In the field of switching device open circuit fault diagnosis, an automatic reasoning machine based on object-oriented colored Petri net (OOCPN) is proposed in this paper. The proposed approach is related less to circuit parameters and simulates natural reasoning process carried out by an expert's brain. What is more, digitalized fault signatures accelerate the diagnosis process and offer higher disturbance-rejecting capability. Movement of the colored tokens, which are moved by transitions in an OOCPN, corresponds to the stream of consciousness and is easier to be realized in field applications. In our work, the application of OOCPN is key difficulty, and proper token definition finally makes it possible.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China, no. E16JB00160/ 2016JBM062.

References

- R. L. De Araujo Ribeiro, C. B. Jacobina, E. R. C. Da Silva, and A. M. N. Lima, "Fault detection of open-switch damage in voltagefed PWM motor drive systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 587–593, 2003.
- [2] R. Peuget, S. Courtine, and J.-P. Rognon, "Fault detection and isolation on a pwm inverter by knowledge-based model," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1318– 1326, 1998.
- [3] Y. Hassaïne, B. Delourme, M. Sidorkiewicz, and E. Walter, "Identification of the topology of power system networks via mixed integer inequalities," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting*, pp. 199–204, June 2004.
- [4] Y. Hassaine, E. Walter, M. Dancre, B. Delourme, and P. Panciatici, "Estimation of the topological state of power system networks via interval analysis," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Power Tech Conference*, pp. 6–8, Bologna, Italy, June 2003.

- [5] L. Mili, G. Steeno, F. Dobraca, and D. French, "A robust estimation method for topology error identification," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1469–1476, 1999.
- [6] Y. Shi, "Identification of isomorphic hybrid switching topology," Proceedings of the CSEE, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 116–121, 2003.
- [7] H.-L. Shang, Y. Liu, Z.-D. Liu, W.-J. Dong, and F. Li, "Application of optimized circuit simulation-identification of isomorphic hybrid switching," *Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 199–208, 2014.
- [8] H. Ma and Z.-X. Zhang, "Topology identification for power electronic circuits," *Proceedings of the Chinese Society of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 55–60, 2006.
- [9] Z.-X. Zhang, H. Ma, and X.-N. He, "Topology identification for power electronic circuits based on residual analysis," *Proceedings of the Chinese Society of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 18, pp. 47–53, 2006.
- [10] W. Zhang and Q. Y. Guo, "Fault diagnosis of the traction substation on the basis of petrinets technology," *Urban Mass Transportation*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 32–34, 2004.
- [11] J. Sun, S. Y. Qin, and Y. H. Song, "Fuzzy petri nets and its application in the fault diagnosis of electric power systems," *Proceedings of the CSEE*, vol. 9, no. 24, pp. 74–79, 2004.
- [12] J. Li, S. T. Wang, and L. P. Chen, "Simulation modeling based on the object-oriented Petri net for discrete event systems," *Nature Science*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 12–16, 2001.
- [13] L. Xueshan, "The modeling and simulation environment based on object petri net for discrete event systems," *Computer Simulation*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 42–57, 2000.
- [14] A. Jiaoyan, W. Zhenbiao, C. Dongzhen, and Y. Min, "Based on combination of object-oriented technology and colored-petri nets: FMS modeling," *Journal of Hubei Polytechnic University*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 14–17, 2000.
- [15] T. Yewen, B. Yingcai, and D. Chenglin, "An object-oriented extension of colored petri nets," *Mini-Micro Systems*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 300–305, 1999.
- [16] L. Qiongbo and Y. Jinyuan, "Application of coloured petri net in network communication protocol," *Computer Engineering and Applications*, vol. 9, pp. 27–46, 2001.
- [17] X. P. Lai, H. X. Zhou, and L. Wang, "A coloured petri-net based algorithm for the topology analysis of power network," *Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 726–731, 2004.
- [18] L. Wang, Study on the Fault Diagnosis and Protection of Energy-Fed Supply System in Urban Mass Transit, Jiaotong University, Beijing, China, 2010.





World Journal







Journal of Applied Mathematics

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Journal of Complex Analysis



International Journal of Mathematics and **Mathematical** Sciences







Mathematical Problems

Journal of **Function Spaces**



Abstract and **Applied Analysis**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society

