

## Appendix

**Table 1**

Fitness value of potential suppliers by TOPSIS

0.389,	0.443,	0.106,	0.921,	0.553,	0.757,	0.085,	0.564,	0.950,	0.178,	0.673,	0.179,	0.034,	0.684,	0.187
0.067,	0.478,	0.141,	0.448,	0.884,	0.543,	0.755,	0.548,	0.888,	0.244,	0.679,	0.573,	0.203,	0.209,	0.035
0.623,	0.421,	0.105,	0.662,	0.891,	0.600,	0.624,	0.217,	0.382,	0.739,	0.482,	0.894,	0.869,	0.098,	0.995
0.735,	0.087,	0.112,	0.854,	0.712,	0.862,	0.417,	0.771,	0.493,	0.088,	0.622,	0.168,	0.768,	0.976,	0.838
0.555,	0.486,	0.154,	0.792,	0.604,	0.842,	0.467,	0.183,	0.565,	0.991,	0.308,	0.723,	0.248,	0.378,	0.090
0.173,	0.946,	0.821,	0.896,	0.925,	0.986,	0.035,	0.995,	0.129,	0.507,	0.915,	0.236,	0.803,	0.657,	0.483
0.605,	0.485,	0.004,	0.974,	0.220,	0.955,	0.969,	0.988,	0.070,	0.218,	0.109,	0.940,	0.433,	0.704,	0.264
0.206,	0.049,	0.290,	0.473,	0.306,	0.078,	0.561,	0.751,	0.813,	0.231,	0.705,	0.071,	0.654,	0.329,	0.401
0.214,	0.418,	0.324,	0.109,	0.649,	0.771,	0.004,	0.585,	0.239,	0.831,	0.199,	0.689,	0.104,	0.024,	0.974
0.876,	0.079,	0.248,	0.395,	0.382,	0.456,	0.201,	0.479,	0.364,	0.814,	0.681,	0.858,	0.217,	0.047,	0.806
0.320,	0.686,	0.977,	0.085,	0.380,	0.993,	0.511,	0.455,	0.211,	0.334,	0.726,	0.992,	0.553,	0.615,	0.510
0.192,	0.883,	0.513,	0.403,	0.257,	0.451,	0.451,	0.406,	0.595,	0.717,	0.868,	0.232,	0.236,	0.354,	0.708
0.662,	0.720,	0.630,	0.531,	0.823,	0.997,	0.999,	0.881,	0.675,	0.433,	0.424,	0.829,	0.996,	0.933,	0.225
0.391,	0.457,	0.259,	0.505,	0.384,	0.290,	0.651,	0.569,	0.007,	0.479,	0.767,	0.932,	0.602,	0.773,	0.783
0.731,	0.146,	0.030,	0.405,	0.438,	0.348,	0.241,	0.626,	0.484,	0.864,	0.030,	0.105,	0.330,	0.040,	0.628

**Table 2**

Partial data of simulation case

Material	Supplier	Q	C	T	D
<b>P<sub>1</sub></b>	S <sub>1</sub>	0.45	93	7.1	0.28
	S <sub>2</sub>	0.41	90	7.5	0.23
	S <sub>3</sub>	0.38	96	6.9	0.25
<b>P<sub>2</sub></b>	S <sub>4</sub>	0.41	80	4.8	0.36
	S <sub>5</sub>	0.46	83	4.3	0.41
	S <sub>6</sub>	0.39	87	3.7	0.34
<b>P<sub>3</sub></b>	S <sub>7</sub>	0.37	59	5.3	0.47
	S <sub>8</sub>	0.41	67	5.5	0.52
	S <sub>9</sub>	0.35	63	4.5	0.45
	S <sub>10</sub>	0.33	54	5.1	0.49
	S <sub>11</sub>	0.39	60	4.9	0.43
<b>P<sub>14</sub></b>	S <sub>12</sub>	0.44	80	3.9	0.64
	S <sub>13</sub>	0.35	84	3.5	0.57
	S <sub>14</sub>	0.38	88	3.7	0.61
	S <sub>15</sub>	0.39	79	4.3	0.53
<b>P<sub>15</sub></b>	S <sub>1</sub>	0.25	74	3.1	0.43
	S <sub>2</sub>	0.28	77	3.9	0.49
	S <sub>3</sub>	0.35	82	3.3	0.45
	S <sub>4</sub>	0.31	79	3.7	0.41
	S <sub>5</sub>	0.3	83	3.5	0.47

**Table 3**

Settings of initial parameters in the algorithm

Fusing Algorithm			
GA part		ACO part	
Population size	110	Numbers of ants	110
Poor crossover probability	$P_{c_0} = 0.7$	Initial pheromone	0.1
Better crossover probability	$P_{c_1} = 0.3$	Pheromone coefficient	$\alpha = 0.4$
Poor mutation probability	$P_{m_0} = 0.3$	Heuristic coefficient	$b = 8$
Better mutation probability	$P_{m_1} = 0.1$	Pheromone volatilization coefficient	$r = 0.3$
Maximum iteration	$Ge_{\max} = 50$	Randomness coefficient	$s = 0.3$
Minimum iteration	$Ge_{\min} = 5$		
$Ge_{die}$	3		

  

Genetic Algorithm		Ant Colony Optimization	
Population size	110	Numbers of ants	110
crossover probability	$P_c = 0.7$	Initial pheromone	0.1
mutation probability	$P_m = 0.2$	Pheromone coefficient	$\alpha = 0.4$
Maximum iteration	$Ge_{\max} = 100$	Heuristic coefficient	$b = 8$
		Pheromone volatilization coefficient	$r = 0.3$
		Maximum iteration	$Ac_{\max} = 100$