

Research Article

Fixed Points and Exponential Stability for Impulsive Time-Delays BAM Neural Networks via LMI Approach and Contraction Mapping Principle

Ruofeng Rao,¹ Zhilin Pu,^{1,2} Shouming Zhong,^{1,3} and Xinggui Li^{1,3}

¹Department of Mathematics, Chengdu Normal University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China

²Institute of Mathematics, Chengdu Normal University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China

³Institute of Mathematical Education, Chengdu Normal University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ruofeng Rao; ruofengrao@163.com

Received 12 August 2016; Revised 24 September 2016; Accepted 29 September 2016

Academic Editor: Quanmin Zhu

Copyright © 2016 Ruofeng Rao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The fixed point technique has been employed in the stability analysis of time-delays bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural networks with impulse. By formulating a contraction mapping in a product space, a new LMI-based exponential stability criterion was derived. Lately, fixed point methods have achieved various good results inspiring this work, but those criteria cannot be programmed by a computer. In this paper, LMI conditions of the obtained result can be applicable to computer Matlab LMI toolbox which meets the need of the large-scale calculation in real engineering. Moreover, a numerical example and a comparable table are presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods.

1. Introduction

Bidirectional associative memory (BAM) neural networks model was originally introduced by Kosko [1, 2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i &= -a_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^p w_{ji} g_j(y_j(t)) + I_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \dot{y}_j &= -b_j y_j(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{ij} g_i(x_i(t)) + J_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Thanks to its generalization of the single-layer autoassociative Hebbian correlation to two-layer pattern-matched heteroassociative circuits, widespread applications have been found in various areas, such as automatic control, signal and image processing, pattern recognition, artificial intelligence, parallel computation, and optimization problems. Often, a stable equilibrium of BAM neural networks is the important precondition of the successful applications. There are many factors influencing the stability of neural networks, in which pulse and time delay are usually the main reasons [3–8].

So, the stability analysis of impulse or delays system has become a hot topic. All the time, the Lyapunov functional method is employed to deduce stability criteria [9–20]. But every method may have its limitations. During the recent decades, other techniques have been developed to investigate the stability, in which the fixed point method is always one of those alternatives [21–27]. For example, in 2015, Zhou utilized Brouwer's fixed point theorem to prove the existence and uniqueness of equilibrium of the hybrid BAM neural networks with proportional delays and finally constructed appropriate delay differential inequalities to derive the stability of equilibrium [28]. In [29], Banach fixed point theorem was applied to show the existence of the unique equilibrium of BAM neural networks with time-varying delays in the leakage terms, and then the Lyapunov functional method was for demonstrating the global exponential stability. Different from [28, 29], we shall use Banach fixed point theorem deriving straightway the stability criterion of impulsive time-delay BAM neural networks, in which LMI conditions facilitate computer programming. Finally, a numerical example is presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods.

For the sake of convenience, we introduce the following standard notations [18]:

- (i) $L = (l_{ij})_{n \times n} > 0 (< 0)$: a positive (negative) definite matrix; that is, $y^T L y > 0 (< 0)$ for any $0 \neq y \in R^n$.
- (ii) $L = (l_{ij})_{n \times n} \geq 0 (\leq 0)$: a semipositive (seminegative) definite matrix; that is, $y^T L y \geq 0 (\leq 0)$ for any $y \in R^n$.
- (iii) $L_1 \geq L_2 (L_1 \leq L_2)$: this means matrix $(L_1 - L_2)$ is a semipositive (seminegative) definite matrix.
- (iv) $L_1 > L_2 (L_1 < L_2)$: this means matrix $(L_1 - L_2)$ is a positive (negative) definite matrix.
- (v) $\lambda_{\max}(\Phi)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(\Phi)$ denote the largest and the smallest eigenvalue of matrix Φ , respectively.
- (vi) Denote $|L| = (|l_{ij}|)_{n \times n}$ for any matrix $L = (l_{ij})_{n \times n}$.
- (vii) $|u| = (|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots, |u_n|)^T$ for any vector $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)^T \in R^n$.
- (viii) $u \leq (\geq) v$ implies that $u_i \leq (\geq) v_i, \forall i$, and $u < (>) v$ implies that $u_i < (>) v_i, \forall i$, for any vectors $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)^T \in R^n$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T \in R^n$.
- (ix) I is identity matrix with compatible dimension.

Remark 1. Different from the methods of [28, 29], it will be the first time to utilize contraction mapping principle to infer directly the LMI-based stability criterion of BAM neural networks, convenient for computer programming. Recently, there have been a lot of good results and methods [21–29] enlightening our current work. In this paper, we shall propose the LMI-based criterion, novel against the existing results, published from 2013 to 2016 (see Remark 10 and Table 1).

2. Preliminaries

Consider the following delayed differential equations:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -Ax(t) + Cf(y(t - \tau(t))),$$

$$t \in [0, +\infty), t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = -By(t) + Dg(x(t - h(t))),$$

$$t \in [0, +\infty), t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = \rho(x(t_k)), \quad (2)$$

$$y(t_k^+) - y(t_k) = \rho(y(t_k)),$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$x(s) = \xi(s),$$

$$y(s) = \eta(s),$$

$$s \in [-\tau, 0],$$

where $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$, $y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t))^T \in R^n$, and $\xi(s), \eta(s) \in \mathcal{C}([-\tau, 0], R^n)$. Here, $\mathcal{C}([-\tau, 0], R^n)$ represents the space of continuous functions from $[-\tau, 0]$ to R^n . Active functions $f(x(t - \tau(t))) = (f_1(x_1(t - \tau(t))), f_2(x_2(t - \tau(t))), \dots, f_n(x_n(t - \tau(t))))^T$, $g(x(t - h(t))) = (g_1(x_1(t - h(t))), g_2(x_2(t - h(t))), \dots, g_n(x_n(t - h(t))))^T \in R^n$, impulsive function $\rho(x(t)) = (\rho_1(x_1(t)), \rho_2(x_2(t)), \dots, \rho_n(x_n(t)))^T \in R^n$, and time delays $0 \leq \tau(t), h(t) \leq \tau$. The fixed impulsive moments t_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfy $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$. $x(t_k^+)$ and $x(t_k^-)$ stand for the right-hand and left-hand limit of $x(t)$ at time t_k , respectively. We always assume $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Similar to [23], we assume in this paper that $f(0) = g(0) = \rho(0) = 0 \in R^n$. Constant matrices $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ are positive definite diagonal matrices, and both $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$ are matrices with $n \times n$ dimension.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $F = \text{diag}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$, $G = \text{diag}(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)$, and $H = \text{diag}(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n)$ are diagonal matrices, satisfying

$$(A1) |f(x) - f(y)| \leq F|x - y|, x, y \in R^n.$$

$$(A2) |g(x) - g(y)| \leq G|x - y|, x, y \in R^n.$$

$$(A3) |\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq H|x - y|, x, y \in R^n.$$

Definition 2. Dynamic equations (2) are said to be globally exponentially stable if, for any initial condition $\xi(s), \eta(s) \in \mathcal{C}([-\tau, 0], R^n)$, there exist a pair of positive constants a and b such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} x(t; s, \xi, \eta) \\ y(t; s, \xi, \eta) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq be^{-at}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (3)$$

where the norm $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} \right\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(t)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i(t)|^2)^{1/2}$, and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$.

Definition 3. For a diagonal constants matrix $B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, one denotes the matrix exponential function $e^{Bt} = \text{diag}(e^{b_1 t}, e^{b_2 t}, \dots, e^{b_n t})$ for all $t \in R$.

Lemma 4 (see [31] contraction mapping theorem). *Let P be a contraction operator on a complete metric space Θ ; then, there exists a unique point $\theta \in \Theta$ for which $P(\theta) = \theta$.*

Lemma 5. *Let B be a diagonal constants matrix and e^{Bt} be the matrix exponential function of B . Then, one has*

$$(1) (d/dt)e^{Bt} = Be^{Bt}, t \in R;$$

$$(2) (d/dt)(e^{Bt}\alpha) = Be^{Bt}\alpha, t \in R,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)^T \in R^n$ and each $\alpha_i \in R$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is a constant.

3. Main Result

Theorem 6. Assume that there exists a positive constant δ such that $\inf_{k=1,2,\dots} (t_{k+1} - t_k) \geq \delta$. In addition, there exists a constant $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |C|F + \frac{1}{\delta}H + AH - \lambda A &< 0, \\ |D|G + \frac{1}{\delta}H + BH - \lambda B &< 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and then the impulsive fuzzy dynamic equations (2) are globally exponentially stable, where $\delta = \inf_{k=1,2,\dots} (t_{k+1} - t_k) > 0$.

Proof. To apply the fixed point theory, we firstly define a complete metric space $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ as follows.

Let Ω_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the space consisting of functions $q_i(t) : [-\tau, \infty) \rightarrow R^n$, satisfying the following:

- (a) $q_i(t)$ is continuous on $t \in [0, +\infty) \setminus \{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.
- (b) $q_1(t) = \xi(t)$, $q_2(t) = \eta(t)$, for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$.
- (c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} q_i(t) = q_i(t_k)$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^+} q_i(t)$ exists, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$
- (d) $e^{\gamma t} q_i(t) \rightarrow 0 \in R^n$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma > 0$ is a positive constant, satisfying $\gamma < \min\{\lambda_{\min} A, \lambda_{\min} B\}$.

It is not difficult to verify that the product space Ω is a complete metric space if it is equipped with the following metric:

$$\text{dist}(\bar{q}, \tilde{q}) = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,2n-1,2n} \left(\sup_{t \geq -\tau} |\bar{q}^{(i)}(t) - \tilde{q}^{(i)}(t)| \right), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q} = \bar{q}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}_1(t) \\ \bar{q}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = (\bar{q}^{(1)}(t), \bar{q}^{(2)}(t), \dots, \bar{q}^{(2n)}(t))^T \\ &\in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{q}_1(t) \\ \tilde{q}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = (\tilde{q}^{(1)}(t), \dots, \tilde{q}^{(2n)}(t))^T \in \Omega,$$

and $\bar{q}_i \in \Omega_i$, $\tilde{q}_i \in \Omega_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Next, we are to construct a contraction mapping $P : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, which may be divided into three steps.

Step 1 (formulating the mapping). Let $(x^T(t), y^T(t))^T = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t), y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))^T$ be a solution of system (2).

Then, for $t \geq 0$, $t \neq t_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} (e^{At} x(t)) &= Ae^{At} x(t) + e^{At} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} \\ &= e^{At} Cf(y(t - \tau(t))). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Further, we get by the integral property

$$x(t) = e^{-At} \left[\int_0^t e^{As} Cf(y(s - \tau(s))) ds + \chi \right], \quad t \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\chi \in R^n$ is the vector to be determined.

Next, we claim that $\chi = \xi(0) + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{-At} \xi(0) + e^{-At} \left[\int_0^t e^{As} Cf(y(s - \tau(s))) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \right], \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Indeed, on the one hand, we can conclude from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} x(t) &= \xi(0) + \int_0^t e^{As} Cf(y(s - \tau(s))) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For $t \geq 0$, $t \neq t_k$, taking the time derivative of both sides leads to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + Ae^{At} x(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} (e^{At} x(t)) \\ &= e^{At} Cf(y(t - \tau(t))), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

or

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} + Ax(t) = Cf(y(t - \tau(t))), \quad (12)$$

which is the first equation of system (2).

Moreover, as $t \rightarrow t_j^-$, we can gain by (9)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_j^-) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_j - \varepsilon) = x(t_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x(t_j^+) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_j + \varepsilon) = x(t_j) + \rho(x(t_j)), \\ & \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of the first equation of system (2) by e^{At} yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + Ae^{At} x(t) &= e^{At} Cf(y(t - \tau(t))), \\ & \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_k. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Moreover, integrating from $t_{k-1} + \varepsilon$ to $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} x(t) &= e^{A(t_{k-1} + \varepsilon)} x(t_{k-1} + \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{k-1} + \varepsilon}^t e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which yields, after letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} x(t) &= e^{A(t_{k-1})} x(t_{k-1}^+) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that ε is a sufficient small positive number. Now, taking $t = t_k - \varepsilon$ in the above equation yields

$$e^{At_k - \varepsilon} x(t_k - \varepsilon) = e^{At_{k-1}} x(t_{k-1}^+) + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k - \varepsilon} e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds, \quad (17)$$

which yields by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

$$e^{At_k} x(t_k) = e^{At_{k-1}} x(t_{k-1}^+) + \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds. \quad (18)$$

So, we have actually got

$$e^{At} x(t) = e^{At_{k-1}} x(t_{k-1}^+) + \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds = e^{At_{k-1}} x(t_{k-1}) + \int_{t_{k-1}}^t e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds + e^{At_{k-1}} \rho(x(t_{k-1})), \quad (19)$$

for all $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, (19) generates

$$e^{At_{k-1}} x(t_{k-1}) = e^{At_{k-2}} x(t_{k-2}) + \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds + e^{At_{k-2}} \rho(x(t_{k-2})), \quad \vdots \quad (20)$$

$$e^{At_2} x(t_2) = e^{At_1} x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds + e^{At_1} \rho(x(t_1)),$$

$$e^{At_1} x(t_1) = \xi(0) + \int_0^{t_1} e^{As} [Cf(y(s - \tau(s)))] ds.$$

Making a synthesis of the above equations results in (9).

Similarly, we can obtain

$$y(t) = e^{-Bt} \eta(0) + e^{-Bt} \left[\int_0^t e^{Bs} Dg(x(s - h(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \right], \quad t \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

So, we may define the mapping P on the space Ω as follows:

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \xi(0) + e^{-At} \left[\int_0^t e^{As} Cf(y(s - \tau(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \right] \\ e^{-Bt} \eta(0) + e^{-Bt} \left[\int_0^t e^{Bs} Dg(x(s - h(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \right] \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, +\infty), \quad (22)$$

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi(t) \\ \eta(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } t \in [-\tau, 0]. \quad (23)$$

Step 2. We claim that $P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega$ for any $\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega$.

In other words, we need to prove that $P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ must satisfy conditions (a)–(d) of Ω .

Indeed, $P(\cdot)$ satisfies condition (b) due to (23).

Besides, let ε be a real number; we have

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x(t + \varepsilon) \\ y(t + \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-A(t+\varepsilon)} \xi(0) + e^{-A(t+\varepsilon)} \left[\int_0^{t+\varepsilon} e^{As} Cf(y(s - \tau(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t+\varepsilon} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \right] \\ e^{-B(t+\varepsilon)} \eta(0) + e^{-B(t+\varepsilon)} \left[\int_0^{t+\varepsilon} e^{Bs} Dg(x(s - h(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t+\varepsilon} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \right] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

In (24), letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ brings about

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P \begin{pmatrix} x(t + \varepsilon) \\ y(t + \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \neq t_k, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

which implies that $P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is continuous on $t \geq 0, t \neq t_k$. Here, the convergences are under the metric defined on the space Ω . Below, all the convergences of vector functions are in this sense. Thus, condition (a) is satisfied.

Furthermore, letting $t = t_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$, in (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-} P \begin{pmatrix} x(t_j + \varepsilon) \\ y(t_j + \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} &= P \begin{pmatrix} x(t_j) \\ y(t_j) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} P \begin{pmatrix} x(t_j + \varepsilon) \\ y(t_j + \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} &= P \begin{pmatrix} x(t_j) \\ y(t_j) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x(t_j)) \\ \rho(y(t_j)) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

which implies that condition (c) is also satisfied. Next, condition (d) is satisfied if only

$$e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \xi(0) + e^{-At} \left[\int_0^t e^{As} C f(y(s - \tau(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \right] \\ e^{-Bt} \eta(0) + e^{-Bt} \left[\int_0^t e^{Bs} D g(x(s - h(s))) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \right] \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2n}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (27)$$

Indeed, obviously, $e^{\gamma t} e^{-At} \xi(0) \rightarrow 0 \in R^n$ and $e^{\gamma t} e^{-Bt} \eta(0) \rightarrow 0 \in R^n$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Below, we may firstly prove

$$e^{\gamma t} e^{-At} \int_0^t e^{As} C f(y(s - \tau(s))) ds \rightarrow 0 \in R^n, \quad (28)$$

$$t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, it follows from $e^{\gamma t} x(t) \rightarrow 0$ that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a corresponding constant $t^* > \tau$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{\gamma t} x(t)| + |e^{\gamma t} y(t)| &< \varepsilon \mu, \\ \forall t \geq t^*, \text{ where } \mu &= (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in R^n. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Next, we get by (A1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| e^{\gamma t} e^{-At} \int_0^t e^{As} C f(y(s - \tau(s))) ds \right| \\ &\leq e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \int_0^{t^*} e^{As} |C| F |y(s - \tau(s))| ds \\ &\quad + e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \int_{t^*}^t e^{As} |C| F |y(s - \tau(s))| ds. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \int_0^{t^*} e^{As} |C| F |y(s - \tau(s))| ds \\ &\leq t^* e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} e^{At^*} |C| F \left[\max_i \left(\sup_{s \in [-\tau, t^*]} |y_i(s)| \right) \right] \mu \\ &\rightarrow 0 \in R^n, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, obviously, there exists a positive number a_0 such that $|C|F\mu \leq a_0\mu$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \int_{t^*}^t e^{As} |C| F |y(s - \tau(s))| ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon e^{\gamma t} e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \int_{t^*}^t e^{(A-\gamma I)s} |C| F \mu ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \varepsilon a_0 e^{\gamma t} e^{-(A-\gamma I)t} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{(a_1-\gamma)t}}{a_1-\gamma} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^{(a_2-\gamma)t}}{a_2-\gamma} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{e^{(a_n-\gamma)t}}{a_n-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \mu \\ &= \varepsilon a_0 e^{\gamma t} \left(\frac{1}{a_1-\gamma}, \frac{1}{a_2-\gamma}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n-\gamma} \right)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Combining (30)–(32) yields (28). Similarly, we can prove

$$e^{\gamma t} e^{-Bt} \int_0^t e^{Bs} D g(x(s - h(s))) ds \rightarrow 0 \in R^n, \quad (33)$$

$$t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we need to prove that if $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \in R^{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Indeed, it is obvious that

$$e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(\gamma I - A)t} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{(\gamma I - B)t} \sum_{0 < t_k < t^*} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (35)$$

Below, we shall prove

$$e^{\gamma t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (36)$$

Firstly, we may assume that $t_{m-1} < t^* \leq t_m$ and $t_j < t \leq t_{j+1}$ for any given $t > t^*$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{\gamma t} e^{-At} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \right| \\ & \leq e^{\gamma t} e^{-At} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{At_k - \gamma t_k} H e^{\gamma t_k} |x_{t_k}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(\gamma - a_1)t} \left(\delta e^{(a_1 - \gamma)t_{j+1}} + \int_{t^*}^t e^{(a_1 - \gamma)s} ds \right) H_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{-(\gamma - a_n)t} \left(\delta e^{(a_n - \gamma)t_{j+1}} + \int_{t^*}^t e^{(a_n - \gamma)s} ds \right) H_n \end{pmatrix} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(\gamma - a_1)t} \left(\delta e^{(a_1 - \gamma)t_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{a_1 - \gamma} e^{(a_1 - \gamma)t} \right) H_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{-(\gamma - a_n)t} \left(\delta e^{(a_n - \gamma)t_{j+1}} + \frac{1}{a_n - \gamma} e^{(a_1 - \gamma)t} \right) H_n \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

which together with the arbitrariness of the positive number ε implies that

$$e^{-At} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{At_k} \rho(x_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (38)$$

Similarly, we can also get

$$e^{-Bt} \sum_{t^* < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} \rho(y_{t_k}) \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (39)$$

Hence, we have proved (36) and (34). And so we can conclude (27) from (28), (33), and (34). This means that condition (d) is satisfied, too.

Therefore, $P \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \Omega$ for any $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \Omega$.

Step 3. Below, we only need to prove that P is a contraction mapping.

Indeed, for any $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} - P \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \int_0^t e^{As} |C| |f(y(s - \tau(s))) - f(\bar{y}(s - \tau(s)))| ds \\ e^{-Bt} \int_0^t e^{Bs} |D| |g(x(s - h(s))) - g(\bar{x}(s - h(s)))| ds \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{At_k} |\rho(x_{t_k}) - \rho(\bar{x}_{t_k})| \\ e^{-Bt} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} e^{Bt_k} |\rho(y_{t_k}) - \rho(\bar{y}_{t_k})| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} (A^{-1} |C| F \mu) \\ (B^{-1} |D| G \mu) \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta} \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{-At} \left(\int_0^t e^{As} ds + \delta e^{At} \right) H \mu \\ e^{-Bt} \left(\int_0^t e^{Bs} ds + \delta e^{Bt} \right) H \mu \end{pmatrix} \text{dist} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} (A^{-1} |C| F + \frac{1}{\delta} A^{-1} H + H) \mu \\ (B^{-1} |D| G + \frac{1}{\delta} B^{-1} H + H) \mu \end{pmatrix} \text{dist} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right) < \lambda \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \right) \text{dist} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dist} \left(P \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, P \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ & \leq \lambda \text{dist} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}(t) \\ \bar{y}(t) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where A^{-1} and B^{-1} are the inverse matrices of A and B , respectively.

Therefore, $P : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a contraction mapping such that there exists the fixed point $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ of P in Ω , which implies that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ is a solution of the impulsive fuzzy dynamic equations (2), satisfying $e^{\gamma t} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. And the proof is completed. \square

Remark 7. Impulsive BAM neural networks model brings some mathematical difficulties to contraction mapping technique. However, in this paper, we set up the contraction mapping on the complete product space to overcome obstacles.

4. Numerical Example

Example 8. We equip the impulsive system with the following parameters:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.8 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.9 \end{pmatrix},$$

TABLE 1: Comparing Theorem 6 with other existing results.

	Types of equations	Fixed point methods	LMI-based?
Theorem 6	Impulsive BAM neural networks	Contraction mapping principle	Yes
[28, Th. 2–4]	BAM neural networks	Brouwer’s fixed theorem	No
[29, Th. 2.1, Th. 3.1]	BAM neural networks	Contraction mapping principle	No
[22, Th. 1.2]	Neural networks	Contraction mapping principle	No
[23, Th. 3.1]	Cellular neural networks	Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem	No
[21, Th. 2.1]	Neutral differential equations	Contraction mapping principle	No
[30, Th. 1.1-1.2]	Neural networks	Schauder fixed point theorem	No

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.2 & 0.01 \\ 0 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.02 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 F &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 G &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 H &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{42}$$

Let $\delta = 1.5$. Then, we can use Matlab LMI toolbox to solve LMI conditions (4), obtaining the datum feasible as follows:

$$\lambda = 0.9913. \tag{43}$$

Obviously, $0 < \lambda < 1$. Thereby, we can conclude from Theorem 6 that the impulsive equations (2) are globally exponentially stable.

Remark 9. Example 8 illustrates the effectiveness of LMI-based criterion (Theorem 6). Table 1 presents a comparable result among the related literature, mainly published from 2013 to 2016.

Remark 10. From Table 1, we know that there are many existing literatures involving fixed point technique and stability analysis, and a lot of interesting conclusions are derived [21–23, 28–30]. Motivated by some methods of those literatures, we utilized Banach contraction mapping theorem to obtain the LMI-based stability criterion applicable to computer Matlab LMI toolbox. It is well known that computer software can solve large-scale computations in actual engineering, which demonstrates the superiority of the proposed method in this paper to a certain extent.

5. Conclusion

Recently, fixed point technique and methods are employed to the stability analysis to BAM neural networks, and some stability criteria are derived (see, e.g., [28, 29]). Some good

methods and results of related literature [21–23, 28–30] inspire our current work. Different from existing papers, we utilized Banach fixed point theorem deriving immediately the exponential stability criterion applicable to computer Matlab LMI toolbox. Computer programming is suitable for large-scale computation in practical engineering.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ Contributions

Ruofeng Rao wrote the original manuscript, Zhilin Pu and Shouming Zhong checked it, and Xinggui Li and Ruofeng Rao were in charge of correspondence. All authors typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Science Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2010JY0057, 2012JYZ010) and the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan Provincial Education Department (12ZB349).

References

- [1] B. Kosko, “Adaptive bidirectional associative memories,” *Applied Optics*, vol. 26, no. 23, pp. 4947–4960, 1987.
- [2] B. Kosko, “Bidirectional associative memories,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 49–60, 1988.
- [3] R. Rao, X. Wang, and S. Zhong, “LMI-based stability criterion for impulsive delays Markovian jumping time-delays reaction-diffusion BAM neural networks via Gronwall-Bellman-type impulsive integral inequality,” *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2015, Article ID 185854, 11 pages, 2015.
- [4] X. Li and S. Song, “Research on synchronization of chaotic delayed neural networks with stochastic perturbation using impulsive control method,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 3892–3900, 2014.
- [5] X. Li and X. Fu, “Effect of leakage time-varying delay on stability of nonlinear differential systems,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 350, no. 6, pp. 1335–1344, 2013.
- [6] H. Chen, S. Zhong, M. Li, X. Liu, and F. Adu-Gyamfi, “Stability criteria for T–S fuzzy systems with interval time-varying delays

- and nonlinear perturbations based on geometric progression delay partitioning method,” *ISA Transactions*, vol. 63, pp. 69–77, 2016.
- [7] X. Liu and S. Zhong, “T-S fuzzy model-based impulsive control of chaotic systems with exponential decay rate,” *Physics Letters, Section A: General, Atomic and Solid State Physics*, vol. 370, no. 3-4, pp. 260–264, 2007.
- [8] Q. Zhu, X. Li, and X. Yang, “Exponential stability for stochastic reaction-diffusion BAM neural networks with time-varying and distributed delays,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 13, pp. 6078–6091, 2011.
- [9] M. De la Sen, “About robust stability of Caputo linear fractional dynamic systems with time delays through fixed point theory,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 867932, 2011.
- [10] D. Li, X. Wang, and D. Xu, “Existence and global p-exponential stability of periodic solution for impulsive stochastic neural networks with delays,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 847–858, 2012.
- [11] Q. Song, Z. Zhao, and Y. Li, “Global exponential stability of BAM neural networks with distributed delays and reaction-diffusion terms,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 335, no. 2-3, pp. 213–225, 2005.
- [12] J. Hu, S. Zhong, and L. Liang, “Exponential stability analysis of stochastic delayed cellular neural network,” *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1006–1010, 2006.
- [13] M. De la Sen, “Total stability properties based on fixed point theory for a class of hybrid dynamic systems,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 826438, pp. 1–19, 2009.
- [14] M. De la Sen, “About robust stability of dynamic systems with time delays through fixed point theory,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, article 480187, 2008.
- [15] Q. Song and Z. Wang, “An analysis on existence and global exponential stability of periodic solutions for BAM neural networks with time-varying delays,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1224–1234, 2007.
- [16] W. Peng, Q. Wu, and Z. Zhang, “LMI-based global exponential stability of equilibrium point for neutral delayed BAM neural networks with delays in leakage terms via new inequality technique,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 199, pp. 103–113, 2016.
- [17] X. Li and X. Fu, “Global asymptotic stability of stochastic Cohen-Grossberg-type BAM neural networks with mixed delays: an LMI approach,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 12, pp. 3385–3394, 2011.
- [18] R. Rao, S. Zhong, and X. Wang, “Stochastic stability criteria with LMI conditions for Markovian jumping impulsive BAM neural networks with mode-dependent time-varying delays and nonlinear reaction-diffusion,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 258–273, 2014.
- [19] R. Sakthivel, R. Anbuviya, K. Mathiyalagan, A. Arunkumar, and P. Prakash, “New LMI-based passivity criteria for neutral-type BAM neural networks with randomly occurring uncertainties,” *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 263–286, 2013.
- [20] J. Tian, W. Xiong, and F. Xu, “Improved delay-partitioning method to stability analysis for neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 233, pp. 152–164, 2014.
- [21] J. Luo, “Fixed points and stability of neutral stochastic delay differential equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 334, no. 1, pp. 431–440, 2007.
- [22] G. Chen, O. van Gaans, and S. Verduyn Lunel, “Fixed points and p th moment exponential stability of stochastic delayed recurrent neural networks with impulses,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 27, pp. 36–42, 2014.
- [23] C. Guo, D. O’Regan, F. Deng, and R. P. Agarwal, “Fixed points and exponential stability for a stochastic neutral cellular neural network,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 849–853, 2013.
- [24] X. Lai and Y. Zhang, “Fixed point and asymptotic analysis of cellular neural networks,” *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 689845, 12 pages, 2012.
- [25] R. Rao and Z. Pu, “LMI-based stability criterion of impulsive T-S fuzzy dynamic equations via fixed point theory,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 261353, 9 pages, 2013.
- [26] G.-Q. Wang and S. S. Cheng, “Fixed point theorems arising from seeking steady states of neural networks,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 499–506, 2009.
- [27] P. Wawrzyński and B. Papis, “Fixed point method for autonomous on-line neural network training,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 74, no. 17, pp. 2893–2905, 2011.
- [28] L. Zhou, “Novel global exponential stability criteria for hybrid BAM neural networks with proportional delays,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 161, pp. 99–106, 2015.
- [29] B. Liu, “Global exponential stability for BAM neural networks with time-varying delays in the leakage terms,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 559–566, 2013.
- [30] J. Deng and Z. Deng, “Existence and exponential stability of solutions of NNs with continuously distributed delays,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 171, pp. 492–496, 2016.
- [31] D. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1980.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

