

## Research Article

# Primitive Idempotents of Irreducible Cyclic Codes of Length $n$

Yuqian Lin , Qin Yue , and Yansheng Wu

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211100, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Qin Yue; [yueqin@nuaa.edu.cn](mailto:yueqin@nuaa.edu.cn)

Received 2 March 2018; Accepted 18 April 2018; Published 3 June 2018

Academic Editor: Jean Jacques Loiseau

Copyright © 2018 Yuqian Lin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements and  $n$  a positive integer. In this paper, we use matrix method to give all primitive idempotents of irreducible cyclic codes of length  $n$ , whose prime divisors divide  $q - 1$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements, where  $q = p^s$  and  $p$  is a prime. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $[n, k, d]$  linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e., it is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with minimum Hamming distance  $d$ . If for each codeword  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $(c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2})$  is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we call  $\mathcal{C}$  a cyclic code. In fact, each cyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  can be viewed as an ideal in the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$  and each irreducible cyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is an ideal of  $R$  generated by a primitive idempotent.

A lot of papers investigate primitive idempotents of  $R$ . We list some results about the length  $n$ .

- (1) In [1, 2],  $n = 2, 4, l^m$ , and  $2l^m$ , where  $l$  is an odd prime and  $p$  is a primitive root modulo  $n$ .
- (2) In [3, 4],  $n = 2^m, m \geq 3$ .
- (3) In [5],  $n = l_1^m l_2$ , where  $l_1, l_2, p$  are distinct odd primes with  $\gcd(\varphi(l_1^m)/2, \varphi(l_2)/2) = 1$  and  $p$  is a common primitive root modulo  $l_1^m$  and  $l_2$ .
- (4) In [6],  $n = l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2}$ , where  $l_1, l_2$ , and  $p$  are three distinct odd primes,  $\text{ord}_{l_1^{m_1}}(p) = \varphi(l_1^{m_1})/2$ ,  $\text{ord}_{l_2^{m_2}}(p) = \varphi(l_2^{m_2})/2$ , and  $\gcd(\varphi(l_1^{m_1}), \varphi(l_2^{m_2})) = 2$ .
- (5) In [7, 8],  $n = tl^m, t, m \geq 1$ , where  $l$  is an odd prime different from the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q, t \mid (q - 1), \gcd(t, l) = 1$  and  $\text{ord}_{tl^m}(q) = \varphi(l^m); n = l^m, m \geq 1$ , where  $l$  is an odd prime and  $l \mid (q - 1)$ .
- (6) In [9, 10],  $n = l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2}$ , where  $l_1, l_2$  are two distinct primes with  $l_1 l_2 \mid (q - 1); n = 4l^m$  and  $8l^m$ , where  $l$  is an odd prime with  $l \mid (q - 1)$ .

(7) In [11],  $n = 2^m l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2}$ , where  $l_1, l_2$  are two distinct primes with  $4l_1 l_2 \mid (q - 1)$ .

(8) In [12],  $n = l_1^{m_1} \dots l_r^{m_r}$ , where  $l_1, \dots, l_r$  are distinct odd primes with  $l_1 \dots l_r \mid (q - 1)$ .

In this paper, suppose that  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q - 1)$ . We shall use matrix method to give all primitive idempotents of the ring  $R$ . The rest of paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give some basic results, in Section 3, we obtain all primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$  under the condition:  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q - 1)$ , and in Section 4, we conclude this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

If a positive integer  $n$  has a prime factorization,  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_l^{\alpha_l}$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l$  are distinct primes and positive integers  $\alpha_i \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , we denote  $\text{rad}(n) = p_1 p_2 \dots p_l$  and  $v_{p_i}(n) = \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$ , and  $\text{ord}(\alpha)$  is the order of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Through this paper, we always assume that  $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ .

Every cyclic code of length  $n$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is identified with exactly one ideal of the quotient algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ . Some explicit factorizations of  $x^n - 1$  can be found in [7–11, 13–16]. We need the following results about the irreducible factorization of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Lemma 1** ([14, Corollary 1]). *Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and  $n$  a positive integer such that both  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q - 1)$  and either  $q \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  or  $8 \nmid n$ . Let  $m_1 = n/\gcd(n, q - 1), l_1 = (q - 1)/\gcd(n, q - 1)$ , and  $\theta$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Then one has the following:*

(1) The factorization of  $x^n - 1$  into irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is

$$\prod_{t|m_1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq \gcd(n, q-1) \\ \gcd(u, t)=1}} (x^t - \theta^{u_1}). \quad (1)$$

(2) For each  $t|m_1$ , the number of irreducible factors of degree  $t$  is  $\varphi(t)/t \cdot \gcd(n, q-1)$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler Totient function, and the number of irreducible factors is

$$N_1 = \gcd(n, q-1) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|m_1 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 + v_p(m_1) \cdot \frac{p-1}{p}\right). \quad (2)$$

**Lemma 2** ([14, Corollary 2]). Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and  $n$  a positive integer such that  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q-1)$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $8 \mid n$ . Let  $m_2 = n/\gcd(n, q^2-1)$ ,  $l_1 = (q-1)/\gcd(n, q-1)$ ,  $l_2 = (q^2-1)/\gcd(n, q^2-1)$ ,  $r = \min\{v_2(n/2), v_2(q+1)\}$ , and  $\alpha$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$  satisfying  $\theta = \alpha^{q+1}$ . Then one has the following:

(1) The factorization of  $x^n - 1$  into irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is

$$\prod_{\substack{t|m_2 \\ t \text{ odd}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq \gcd(n, q-1) \\ \gcd(w, t)=1}} (x^t - \theta^{w_1}) \cdot \prod_{t|m_2} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{R}_t} (x^{2t} - (\alpha^{u_2} + \alpha^{qu_2})x^t + \theta^{u_2}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_t$  is the set

$$\left\{ u \in \mathbb{N} \left| \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq u \leq \gcd(n, q^2-1), 2^r \nmid u, \\ \gcd(u, t) = 1, u < \{qu\}_{\gcd(n, q^2-1)} \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (4)$$

and  $\{a\}_b$  denotes the remainder of the division of  $a$  by  $b$ .

(2) For each  $t$  odd with  $t \mid m_2$ , the number of irreducible polynomials of degree  $t$  is  $\varphi(t)/t \cdot \gcd(n, q-1)$ , and the number irreducible polynomials of degree  $2t$  is

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \cdot 2^{r-1} \cdot \gcd(n, q-1) \quad \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\varphi(t)}{2t} \cdot (2^r - 1) \cdot \gcd(n, q-1) \quad \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \quad (5)$$

The total number of irreducible factors is

$$N_2 = \gcd(n, q-1) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2^{r-2} (2 + v_2(m))\right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|m_2 \\ p \text{ odd prime}}} \left(1 + v_p(m_2) \cdot \frac{p-1}{p}\right). \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 3** (see [17]). Let  $m_1, \dots, m_t$  be positive integers. For a set of integers  $a_1, \dots, a_t$ , the system of congruences  $y \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}, i = 1, \dots, t$ , has solutions if and only if

$$a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{\gcd(m_i, m_j)}, \quad i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq t. \quad (7)$$

If (7) is satisfied, the solution is unique modulo  $\text{lcm}(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ .

### 3. Primitive Idempotents in $R$

In this section, we shall give all primitive idempotents in  $R$  if  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q-1)$ .

First, we consider the case  $q \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  or  $8 \nmid n$ .

In Lemma 1, let  $t_1, \dots, t_d$  be all positive factors of  $m_1 = n/\gcd(n, q-1)$ . For each  $t_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , there are  $s_i = \varphi(t_i)/t_i \cdot \gcd(n, q-1)$  positive integers  $u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{is_i}$  satisfying  $1 \leq u_{ij} \leq \gcd(n, q-1)$  and  $\gcd(u_{ij}, t_i) = 1, j = 1, \dots, s_i$ . Since  $l_1 = (q-1)/\gcd(n, q-1)$  and  $\langle \theta \rangle = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\delta = \theta^{l_1}$  is of order  $\gcd(n, q-1)$ . Then the irreducible factorization of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  can be rewritten as

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ 1 \leq j \leq s_i}} (x^{t_i} - \delta^{u_{ij}}) \\ = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s_1} (x^{t_1} - \delta^{u_{1j}}) \cdots \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s_d} (x^{t_d} - \delta^{u_{dj}}). \quad (8)$$

Note that the number of primitive idempotents in  $R$  coincides with the number of irreducible factors of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q-1)$  and either  $q \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  or  $8 \nmid n$ . Then there are  $N_1$  primitive idempotents in  $R$  as follows:

$$\theta_{ij}(x) = \frac{t_i}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n/t_i-1} (\delta^{-u_{ij}})^k x^{kt_i}, \quad (9)$$

corresponding to the irreducible polynomials  $x^{t_i} - \delta^{u_{ij}}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, \dots, d, j = 1, \dots, s_i$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , let  $R_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^{t_i} - \delta^{u_{ij}} \rangle$  be a ring with  $s_i$  direct summands; for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1, k = t_i u + v, 0 \leq u \leq n/t_i - 1$ , and  $0 \leq v \leq t_i - 1$ . By (8) and Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra isomorphism:

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_d) : R \longrightarrow R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_d, \quad (10)$$

where each  $\psi_i : R \rightarrow R_i, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k \mapsto A_{i,0} + A_{i,1}x + \cdots + A_{i,t_i-1}x^{t_i-1}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic epimorphism and each

$$A_{i,v} = \left( \sum_{u=0}^{n/t_i-1} a_{t_i u+v} \delta^{u u_{i1}}, \sum_{u=0}^{n/t_i-1} a_{t_i u+v} \delta^{u u_{i2}}, \dots, \sum_{u=0}^{n/t_i-1} a_{t_i u+v} \delta^{u u_{is_i}} \right) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{s_i}, \quad 0 \leq v \leq t_i - 1. \quad (11)$$

Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^d s_i t_i = n$ . Hence there is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear space isomorphism:

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_d) : R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_d \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_q^{s_i t_i} \\ = \mathbb{F}_q^n, \quad (12)$$

where each  $\phi_i : R_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{s_i t_i}, A_{i,0} + A_{i,1}x + \cdots + A_{i,t_i-1}x^{t_i-1} \mapsto (A_{i,0}, A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,t_i-1})$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear space epimorphism. Hence there is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear space isomorphism:

$$\chi = \phi\psi : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k \longmapsto (A_{1,0}, \dots, A_{1,t_1-1}, \dots, A_{d,0}, \dots, A_{d,t_d-1}), \quad (13)$$

$$(A_{1,0}, \dots, A_{1,t_1-1}, \dots, A_{d,0}, \dots, A_{d,t_d-1})$$

$$= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) B, \quad (14)$$

where  $B$  is a  $n \times n$  invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Now we shall determine  $B$  and  $B^{-1}$ .

In (14), let  $B := (B_1(\delta), \dots, B_d(\delta))$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix, where each  $B_i(\delta) = (B_i^{(1)}(\delta), \dots, B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta))$  is a  $n \times s_i t_i$  matrix and each  $B_i^{(v)}(\delta)$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$ , is a  $n \times s_i$  matrix:

$$B_i^{(v)}(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\delta^{u_{i1}})^0 & (\delta^{u_{i2}})^0 & \dots & (\delta^{u_{is_i}})^0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\delta^{u_{i1}})^1 & (\delta^{u_{i2}})^1 & \dots & (\delta^{u_{is_i}})^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\delta^{u_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & (\delta^{u_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & \dots & (\delta^{u_{is_i}})^{n/t_i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} (v) \\ (t_i + v) \\ \dots \\ \left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + v\right) \end{matrix} \quad 1 \leq v \leq t_i. \quad (15)$$

In fact, each  $B^{(v)}(\delta)$  is determined by these  $k$  rows, where  $k = t_i u + v$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq n/t_i - 1$ .

We know that  $\text{ord}(\delta) = \text{gcd}(n, q-1)$ ,  $x^{t_i} - \delta^{u_{ij}}$ ,  $1 \leq u_{ij} \leq \text{gcd}(n, q-1)$ , and  $\text{gcd}(t_i, u_{ij}) = 1$  are an irreducible polynomial

of  $x^n - 1$ , so  $(\delta^{u_{ij}})^{n/t_i} = 1$ . Fix  $i$  and  $t_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . If  $1 \leq u_{ij} \neq u_{ij'} \leq \text{gcd}(n, q-1)$ ,  $\text{gcd}(u_{ij}, t_i) = 1$ ,  $\text{gcd}(u_{ij'}, t_i) = 1$ . Then  $\delta^{u_{ij} - u_{ij'}} \neq 1$  and  $(\delta^{u_{ij} - u_{ij'}})^{n/t_i} = 1$ . Let

$$(B_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & 0 & (\delta^{-u_{i1}})^0 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{i1}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & (\delta^{-u_{i2}})^0 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{i2}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & (\delta^{-u_{is_i}})^0 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{is_i}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\delta^{-u_{is_i}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} (v) \\ (t_i + v) \\ \dots \\ \left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + v\right) \end{matrix} \quad (16)$$

be a  $s_i \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then,

$$(B_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_i^{(v)}(\delta) = \frac{n}{t_i} E_{s_i}, \quad (17)$$

$$(B_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_i^{(v')}(\delta) = 0 \quad \text{if } 1 \leq v \neq v' \leq t_i,$$

i.e.,

$$(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T \cdot B_i(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix} (B_1^{(1)}(\delta), \dots, B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta)) \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{n}{t_i} E_{s_i t_i},$$

where  $E_{s_i}$  and  $E_{s_i t_i}$  are the identity matrices of order  $s_i \times s_i$  and  $s_i t_i \times s_i t_i$ , respectively.

Let

$$(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

be a  $s_i t_i \times n$  matrix. Next, we shall prove that  $(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T \cdot B_{i'}(\delta) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \neq i' \leq d$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T \cdot B_{i'}(\delta) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix} (B_{i'}^{(1)}(\delta), \dots, B_{i'}^{(t_{i'})}(\delta)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}^{(1)}(\delta) \cdots (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}^{(t_{i'})}(\delta) \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}^{(1)}(\delta) \cdots (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}^{(t_{i'})}(\delta) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Hence we only need to show that

$$(B_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}^{(v')}(\delta) = 0, \quad 1 \leq v \leq t_i, \quad 1 \leq v' \leq t_{i'}. \quad (21)$$

We consider the following congruence equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv v \pmod{t_i} \\ x &\equiv v' \pmod{t_{i'}}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Suppose that  $\gcd(t_i, t_{i'}) \nmid (v - v')$ . Then it has no solution in (22) by Lemma 3, so it holds in (21).

Suppose that  $\gcd(t_i, t_{i'}) \mid (v - v')$ . Then this is unique solution  $x = a_0$  in (22) with  $1 \leq x \leq \text{lcm}(t_i, t_{i'})$ . Let  $\text{lcm}(t_i, t_{i'}) = c = t_i \alpha = t_{i'} \beta$ . Then  $x = a_0 + cl$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n/c - 1$  are all solutions in (22) with  $1 \leq x \leq n$ . Let  $(M_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T M_{i'}^{(v')}(\delta) = (c_{jj'})$  be a  $s_i \times s_{i'}$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then for  $1 \leq j \leq s_i$ ,  $1 \leq j' \leq s_{i'}$ , the  $(j, j')$  entry is

$$c_{jj'} = \sum_{l=0}^{n/c-1} (\delta^{-u_{ij}})^{\alpha l} (\delta^{u_{i'j'}})^{\beta l} = \sum_{l=0}^{n/c-1} (\delta^{-u_{ij}\alpha + u_{i'j'}\beta})^l, \quad (23)$$

where  $1 \leq u_{ij}, u_{i'j'} \leq \gcd(n, q-1)$ ,  $\gcd(u_{ij}, t_i) = 1$ , and  $\gcd(u_{i'j'}, t_{i'}) = 1$ . Since  $x^{t_i} - \delta^{u_{ij}}$  is an irreducible divisor of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $(\delta^{u_{ij}})^{n/t_i} = 1$ ; similarly,  $(\delta^{u_{i'j'}})^{n/t_{i'}} = 1$ . Hence

$$(\delta^{-u_{ij}\alpha + u_{i'j'}\beta})^{n/c} = (\delta^{-u_{ij}})^{n/t_i} (\delta^{u_{i'j'}})^{n/t_{i'}} = 1. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, by  $t_i \neq t_{i'}$  we assume that there is a prime  $p$  such that  $v_p(t_i) > v_p(t_{i'})$ . Then  $p \mid \beta$  and  $p \nmid \alpha$  by  $\text{lcm}(t_i, t_{i'}) = c = t_i \alpha = t_{i'} \beta$ , so  $p \nmid (-u_{ij}\alpha + u_{i'j'}\beta)$

and  $p \mid \gcd(n, q-1)$ . Hence  $\delta^{-u_{ij}\alpha + u_{i'j'}\beta} \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $c_{jj'} = \sum_{l=0}^{n/c-1} (\delta^{-u_{ij}\alpha + u_{i'j'}\beta})^l = 0$ , and it holds in (21).

In conclusion,  $(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T B_i(\delta) = (n/t_i)E_{s_i t_i}$ ,  $(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T B_{i'}(\delta) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \neq i' \leq d$ , and

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 (B_1(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ t_2 (B_2(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ t_d (B_d(\delta^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

In the following, we present all primitive idempotents in  $R$  by lifting some primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  through the isomorphism  $\chi$ .

By Lemma 1, the number of irreducible factors of  $x^n - 1$ , which coincides with the number of primitive idempotents in  $R$ , is  $N_1$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Hence the vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{s_1}, e_{t_1 s_1 + 1}, e_{t_1 s_1 + 2}, \dots, e_{t_1 s_1 + s_2}, \dots, e_{\sum_{h=1}^{d-1} t_h s_h + 1}, e_{\sum_{h=1}^{d-1} t_h s_h + 2}, \dots, e_{\sum_{h=1}^{d-1} t_h s_h + s_d}$ , correspond to all primitive idempotents in  $R$ . Hence for  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s_i$ , let  $\theta_{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k$  be a primitive idempotent in  $R$ , which is corresponding to  $e_{\sum_{h=1}^{i-1} t_h s_h + j}$ . By (14),

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\theta_{ij}(x)) &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) B \\ &= \left( 0, \dots, 0, \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} t_h s_h + j, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \\ &= e_{\sum_{h=1}^{i-1} t_h s_h + j} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = e_{\sum_{h=1}^{i-1} t_h s_h + j} B^{-1}$ . So we have proved the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* In special cases in Theorem 4, we can give those results in [8–11].

*Second,* we consider the case  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $8 \mid n$ .

In Lemma 2, let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d$  be all odd factors of  $m_2 = n/\gcd(n, q^2 - 1)$  and let  $t_{d+1}, t_{d+2}, \dots, t_{d+d'}$  be all even factors of  $m_2$ . For each  $t_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , there are  $s_i = \varphi(t_i)/t_i \cdot \gcd(n, q-1)$  positive integers  $w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{is_i}$  satisfying  $1 \leq w_{ij} \leq \gcd(n, q-1)$  and  $\gcd(w_{ij}, t_i) = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s_i$ . For each  $t_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d + d'$ , there are  $g_i$  positive integers  $u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{ig_i}$  satisfying  $1 \leq u_{ij} \leq 2^f \gcd(n, q-1)$ ,  $\gcd(t_i, u_{ij}) = 1$ ,  $2^f \nmid u_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, g_i$ . In fact,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i t_i + \sum_{i=1}^{d+d'} 2t_i g_i$ .

Since  $l_1 = (q-1)/\gcd(n, q-1)$ ,  $l_2 = (q^2-1)/\gcd(n, q^2-1)$ ,  $\langle \theta \rangle = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , and  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , there exist  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  such

that  $\theta^{l_1} = \delta$  and  $\alpha^{l_2} = \sigma$ . Then the irreducible factorization of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} x^n - 1 &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ 1 \leq j \leq s_i}} (x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}}) \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d+d' \\ 1 \leq j \leq g_i}} (x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}}) x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q-1)$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $8 \mid n$ . Then there are  $N_2$  primitive idempotents in  $R$  as follows:  
(1)

$$\theta_{ij} = \frac{t_i}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n/t_i-1} (\delta^{-w_{ij}})^k x^{kt_i} \quad (28)$$

correspond to the irreducible polynomials  $x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s_i$ .  
(2)

$$\eta_{ij} = \frac{t_i}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n/t_i-1} \text{Tr} \left( (\sigma^{-u_{ij}})^k \right) x^{kt_i} \quad (29)$$

correspond to the irreducible polynomials  $x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}}) x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d+d'$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, g_i$ , where  $\text{Tr}$  is the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  into  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

*Proof.* The factorization of  $x^n - 1$  into irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} x^n - 1 &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ 1 \leq j \leq s_i}} (x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}}) \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d+d' \\ 1 \leq j \leq g_i}} (x^{t_i} - \sigma^{u_{ij}})(x^{t_i} - \sigma^{qu_{ij}}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Similarly to proving Theorem 4, there is a  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -linear space isomorphism:

$$\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d+d'}, \lambda_1^{(q)}, \dots, \lambda_{d+d'}^{(q)}) : \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^n - 1 \rangle} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k &\longmapsto (A_{1,0}, \dots, A_{d,t_d-1}, D_{1,0}, \dots, D_{d+d',t_{d+d'}-1}, \\ &D_{1,0}^{(q)}, \dots, D_{d+d',t_{d+d'}-1}^{(q)}), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where there are  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -epimorphisms: for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$\chi_i : \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{(x^n - 1)} \longrightarrow \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}} \rangle} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{s_i t_i} \quad (33)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k \longmapsto (A_{i,0}, \dots, A_{i,t_i-1}), \quad (34)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq d+d'$ ,

$$\lambda_i : \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{(x^n - 1)} \longrightarrow \prod_{1 \leq j \leq g_i} \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{t_i} - \sigma^{u_{ij}} \rangle} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{g_i t_i} \quad (35)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k \longmapsto (D_{i,0}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1}), \quad (36)$$

and for  $1 \leq i \leq d+d'$ ,

$$\lambda_i^{(q)} : \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{(x^n - 1)} \longrightarrow \prod_{1 \leq j \leq g_i} \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{t_i} - \sigma^{qu_{ij}} \rangle} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{g_i t_i} \quad (37)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k \longmapsto (D_{i,0}^{(q)}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1}^{(q)}). \quad (38)$$

Hence there is a  $n \times n$  invertible matrix  $B$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) B &= (A_{1,0}, \dots, A_{d,t_d-1}, D_{1,0}, \dots, \\ &D_{d+d',t_{d+d'}-1}, D_{1,0}^{(q)}, \dots, D_{d+d',t_{d+d'}-1}^{(q)}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Now we shall construct the matrix  $B$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (B_1(\delta), \dots, B_d(\delta), B_1(\sigma), \dots, B_{d+d'}(\sigma), B_1(\sigma^q), \dots, \\ &B_{d+d'}(\sigma^q)), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $B_i(\delta)$  are  $n \times s_i t_i$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $B_i(\sigma)$ ,  $B_i(\sigma^q)$  are  $n \times g_i t_i$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d+d'$ .

(a) For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , by (33) we have

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) B_i(\delta) = (A_{i,0}, A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,t_i-1}), \quad (41)$$

where  $A_{i,0}, A_{i,1}, \dots, A_{i,t_i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{s_i}$ . Let  $B_i(\delta) = (B_i^{(1)}(\delta), \dots, B_i^{(s_i)}(\delta))$  be a  $n \times s_i t_i$  matrix, and each  $B_i^{(v)}(\delta)$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$  be a  $n \times s_i$  matrix as shown in Theorem 4.

(b) For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d+d'$ , by (35) we have that  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) B_i(\sigma) = (D_{i,0}, D_{i,1}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1})$ , where  $D_{i,0}, D_{i,1}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{g_i}$ . Let  $B_i(\sigma) = (B_i^{(1)}(\sigma), \dots, B_i^{(g_i)}(\sigma))$  be a  $n \times g_i t_i$  matrix and each  $B_i^{(v)}(\sigma)$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$ , a  $n \times g_i$  matrix:

$$B_i^{(\nu)}(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{u_{i1}})^0 & (\sigma^{u_{i2}})^0 & \dots & (\sigma^{u_{is_i}})^0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{u_{i1}})^1 & (\sigma^{u_{i2}})^1 & \dots & (\sigma^{u_{is_i}})^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{u_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & (\sigma^{u_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & \dots & (\sigma^{u_{is_i}})^{n/t_i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} (\nu) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ (t_i + \nu) & 1 \leq \nu \leq t_i. \\ \\ \\ \\ \left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + \nu\right) \end{matrix} \quad (42)$$

(c) For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d + d'$ , by (37) we have that  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})B_i(\sigma^q) = (D_{i,0}^{(q)}, D_{i,1}^{(q)}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1}^{(q)})$ , where  $D_{i,0}^{(q)}$ ,

$D_{i,1}^{(q)}, \dots, D_{i,t_i-1}^{(q)} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{g_i}$ . Let  $B_i(\sigma^q) = (B_i^{(1)}(\sigma^q), \dots, B_i^{(t_i)}(\sigma^q))$  be a  $n \times g_i t_i$  matrix, and each  $B_i^{(\nu)}(\sigma^q)$ ,  $1 \leq \nu \leq t_i$ , a  $n \times g_i$  matrix:

$$B_i^{(\nu)}(\sigma^q) = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{qu_{i1}})^0 & (\sigma^{qu_{i2}})^0 & \dots & (\sigma^{qu_{is_i}})^0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{qu_{i1}})^1 & (\sigma^{qu_{i2}})^1 & \dots & (\sigma^{qu_{is_i}})^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ (\sigma^{qu_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & (\sigma^{qu_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & \dots & (\sigma^{qu_{is_i}})^{n/t_i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} (\nu) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ (t_i + \nu) & 1 \leq \nu \leq t_i. \\ \\ \\ \\ \left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + \nu\right) \end{matrix} \quad (43)$$

Similarly to proving Theorem 4, we obtain that

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 (B_1 (\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ t_d (B_d (\delta^{-1}))^T \\ t_1 (B_1 (\sigma^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ t_{d+d'} (B_{d+d'} (\sigma^{-1}))^T \\ t_1 (B_1 (\sigma^{-q}))^T \\ \vdots \\ t_{d+d'} (B_{d+d'} (\sigma^{-q}))^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where

$$(B_i^{(v)} (\sigma^{-1}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{i1}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{i1}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-u_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{i2}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{i2}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-u_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{isi}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-u_{isi}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-u_{isi}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}; \quad (45)$$

(v)  $(t_i + v)$   $\left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + v\right)$

(c) for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d + d'$ ,  $(B_i(\sigma^{-q}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\sigma^{-q}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\sigma^{-q}))^T \end{pmatrix}$ , and for each  $v$  with  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$ ,  $(B_i^{(v)}(\sigma^{-q}))^T$  is a  $g_i \times n$  matrix:

$$(B_i^{(v)} (\sigma^{-q}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{i1}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{i1}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-qu_{i1}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{i2}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{i2}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-qu_{i2}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{isi}})^0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (\sigma^{-qu_{isi}})^1 & 0 & \dots & (\sigma^{-qu_{isi}})^{n/t_i-1} & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

(v)  $(t_i + v)$   $\left(\left(\frac{n}{t_i} - 1\right)t_i + v\right)$

In the following, we give all primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ .

(1) For fixed  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s_i$ ,  $\delta^{w_{ij}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Hence the primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]/\langle x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}} \rangle$  are the same as  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^{t_i} - \delta^{w_{ij}} \rangle$ . We have the result.

(a) for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $(B_i(\delta^{-1}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\delta^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix}$ ,

and for each  $v$  with  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$ ,  $(B_i^{(v)}(\delta^{-1}))^T$  is a  $s_i \times n$  matrix as shown in Theorem 4.

(b) for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d + d'$ ,  $(B_i(\sigma^{-1}))^T = \begin{pmatrix} (B_i^{(1)}(\sigma^{-1}))^T \\ \vdots \\ (B_i^{(t_i)}(\sigma^{-1}))^T \end{pmatrix}$ , and for each  $v$  with  $1 \leq v \leq t_i$ ,  $(B_i^{(v)}(\sigma^{-1}))^T$  is a  $g_i \times n$  matrix:

(2) For fixed  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d + d'$  and  $1 \leq j \leq g_i$ , the polynomial  $x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}}$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In fact, the primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]/\langle x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}} \rangle$  are the same as  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}} \rangle$ .

Note that there are  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -algebra isomorphisms:

$$\tau_i : \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}} \rangle} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{t_i} - \sigma^{u_{ij}} \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]}{\langle x^{t_i} - \sigma^{qu_{ij}} \rangle}, \quad (47)$$

$$c(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2t_i-1} a_k x^k = y + zx^{t_i} \mapsto (y, z)N = (y', z'),$$

where  $y = \sum_{k=0}^{t_i-1} a_i x^k$ ,  $z = \sum_{k=0}^{t_i-1} a_{t_i+k} x^k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$ , and  $N$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ :

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \sigma^{u_{ij}} & \sigma^{qu_{ij}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

Note that the identity of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]/\langle x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}} \rangle$  is equal to the identity of  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}} \rangle$ . Now, take  $c(x) = 1$ , then

$$\tau_i(c(x)) = \tau_i(1) = (1, 0)N = (1, 1). \quad (49)$$

Let  $\eta_{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k$  be a primitive idempotent in  $R$  corresponding to the irreducible polynomials  $x^{2t_i} - (\sigma^{u_{ij}} + \sigma^{qu_{ij}})x^{t_i} + \sigma^{(q+1)u_{ij}}$ . By (31)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\eta_{ij}(x)) &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})B = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \\ &= (A_{1,0}, \dots, A_{d,t_{d-1}}, D_{1,0}, \dots, D_{d+d',t_{d+d'-1}}, D_{1,0}^{(q)}, \dots, \\ &\quad D_{d+d',t_{d+d'-1}}^{(q)}) \\ &= (0, \dots, 0, D_{i,0}, 0, \dots, 0, D_{i,0}^{(q)}, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where  $D_{i,0} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $D_{i,0}^{(q)} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &= (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})B^{-1} \\ &= \frac{t_i}{n} \left( \text{Tr}((\sigma^{-u_{ij}})^0), 0, \dots, 0, \text{Tr}((\sigma^{-u_{ij}})^1), 0, \dots, 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}((\sigma^{-u_{ij}})^{n/t_i-1}), 0, \dots, 0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Hence we complete the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, suppose that  $\text{rad}(n) \mid (q-1)$ , we use matrix method to give all primitive idempotents in the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ . Suppose that the order of  $q$  modulo  $\text{rad}(n)$  is  $w$ , where  $w$  is a positive integer. We can also obtain all primitive idempotents of irreducible cyclic codes by the similar method in Theorems 4 and 6. Hence, all primitive idempotents of simple root irreducible cyclic codes can be presented by the method in Theorems 4 and 6.

#### Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

The paper is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 61772015, 11601475, and 11661014), the Guangxi Science Research and Technology Development Project (1599005-2-13), and Foundation of Science and Technology on Information Assurance Laboratory (no. KJ-17-010).

#### References

- [1] S. K. Arora and M. Pruthi, "Minimal cyclic codes of length  $2p^n$ ," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 177-187, 1999.
- [2] M. Pruthi and S. K. Arora, "Minimal codes of prime-power length," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 99-113, 1997.
- [3] M. Pruthi, "Cyclic codes of length  $2^m$ ," *The Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences - Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 4, pp. 371-379, 2001.
- [4] A. Sharma, G. K. Bakshi, V. C. Dumir, and M. Raka, "Irreducible cyclic codes of length  $2^n$ ," *Ars Combinatoria*, vol. 86, pp. 133-146, 2008.
- [5] G. K. Bakshi and M. Raka, "Minimal cyclic codes of length  $p^n q$ ," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 432-448, 2003.
- [6] R. Singh and M. Pruthi, "Primitive idempotents of irreducible quadratic residue cyclic codes of length  $pnqm$ ," *International Journal of Algebra*, vol. 5, no. 5-8, pp. 285-294, 2011.
- [7] B. Chen, H. Liu, and G. Zhang, "Some minimal cyclic codes over finite fields," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 331, pp. 142-150, 2014.
- [8] B. Chen, H. Liu, and G. Zhang, "A class of minimal cyclic codes over finite fields," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography. An International Journal*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 285-300, 2015.
- [9] F. Li, Q. Yue, and C. Li, "The minimum Hamming distances of irreducible cyclic codes," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 29, pp. 225-242, 2014.
- [10] F. Li, Q. Yue, and C. Li, "Irreducible cyclic codes of length  $4p^n$  and  $8p^n$ ," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 34, pp. 208-234, 2015.
- [11] F. Li and X. Cao, "A class of minimal cyclic codes over finite fields," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 3197-3206, 2017.
- [12] M. Pruthi, "The minimum Hamming distances of the irreducible cyclic codes of length  $p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_r^{m_r}$ ," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, pp. 965-995, 2016.
- [13] B. Chen, L. Li, and R. Tuerhong, "Explicit factorization of  $x^{2mp^n} - 1$  over a finite field," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 24, pp. 95-104, 2013.
- [14] F. Martnez, C. Vergara, and L. Oliveira, "Explicit factorization of  $x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography. An International Journal*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 277-286, 2015.



- [15] S. Yang and Z.-A. Yao, "Complete weight enumerators of a class of linear codes," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 340, no. 4, pp. 729–739, 2017.
- [16] S. Yang, X. Kong, and C. Tang, "A construction of linear codes and their complete weight enumerators," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 48, pp. 196–226, 2017.
- [17] C. Ding, D. Pei, and A. Salomaa, *Chinses Remainder Theorem: Applications in Computing, Coding, Cryptography, Section 2.4*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Singapore, 1996.

