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We consider the following inverse extreme eigenvalue problem: given the real numbers $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and the real vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, to construct a nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix and a nonsymmetric arrow matrix such that $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ are the minimal and the maximal eigenvalues of each one of their leading principal submatrices, and $\left(x^{(n)}, \lambda_{n}^{(n)}\right)$ is an eigenpair of the matrix. We give sufficient conditions for the existence of such matrices. Moreover our results generate an algorithmic procedure to compute a unique solution matrix.

## 1. Introduction

We consider a particular inverse eigenvalue problem for real nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrices of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & & &  \tag{1}\\
c_{1} & a_{2} & b_{2} & & \\
& c_{2} & a_{3} & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1} \\
& & & c_{n-1} & a_{n}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

$$
b_{i} c_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1,
$$

and for real nonsymmetric arrow matrices of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{n-1} \\
c_{1} & a_{2} & & & \\
c_{2} & & a_{3} & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
c_{n-1} & & & a_{n}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
\\
\end{gathered}
$$

This kind of matrices appears in several areas of science and engineering, as in the Lanczos method for tridiagonalizing a nonsymmetric matrix or for computing the Gaussian quadrature [1-3]. The nonsymmetric arrow matrices used to be an important tool for computing eigenvalues via dividing and conquering approximations, in the study of nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem [4]. The symmetric inverse eigenvalue problem has attracted the attention of many authors. In contrast, the nonsymmetric case has been less studied $[5,6]$. In this paper we discuss the inverse eigenvalues problem for matrices (1) and (2) considering the following spectral information: the set of minimal and maximal eigenvalues of all leading principal submatrices $A_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, of a matrix $A$ of form (1) or (2), together with an eigenvector of $A$. This type of spectral information has been recently considered in the literature [7-10]. More precisely, we consider the following problem.

Problem 1. Given the list of real numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(n)}, \lambda_{1}^{(n-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{(2)}, \lambda_{1}^{(1)}, \lambda_{2}^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}, \lambda_{n}^{(n)}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

construct a matrix $A$ of form (1) or (2), such that $\lambda_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{(j)}$ are, respectively, the minimal and the maximal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix $A_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ of $A$, and $\left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \lambda_{n}^{(n)}\right)$ is an eigenpair of $A$.

It is known that a matrix of form (1) is diagonally similar to the symmetric irreducible tridiagonal matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& D A D^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & \sqrt{b_{1} c_{1}} & & & \\
\sqrt{b_{1} c_{1}} & a_{2} & \sqrt{b_{2} c_{2}} & & \\
& \sqrt{b_{2} c_{2}} & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & a_{n-1} & \sqrt{b_{n-1} c_{n-1}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$, with $\gamma_{i}=$ $\sqrt{\left(c_{i} c_{i+1} \cdots c_{n-1}\right) /\left(b_{i} b_{i+1} \cdots b_{n-1}\right)}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\gamma_{n}=1$ (see [2]).

In the same way, it can be determined that a matrix of form (2) is diagonally similar to the symmetric irreducible arrow matrix:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D B D^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & \sqrt{b_{1} c_{1}} & \sqrt{b_{2} c_{2}} & \cdots & \sqrt{b_{n-1} c_{n-1}} \\
\sqrt{b_{1} c_{1}} & a_{2} & & & \\
\sqrt{b_{2} c_{2}} & & a_{3} & & \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \\
\sqrt{b_{n-1} c_{n-1}} & & & & a_{n}
\end{array}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$, with $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{i+1}=\sqrt{b_{i} / c_{i}}$, $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

An important fact related to the above similarities is that they leave invariant the eigenvalues of the leading principal submatrices $A_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then the following results in [ $7,11,12$ ] hold for matrices of forms (1) and (2) as well.

Lemma 2 (see [11]). A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an $n \times n$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix of form (1) $\left(b_{i}=c_{i}\right)$, such that $\lambda_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{(j)}$ are, respectively, the minimal and the maximal eigenvalues of the leading principal submatrix $A_{j}$ of $A, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, is

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{(n)} & <\lambda_{1}^{(n-1)}<\cdots<\lambda_{1}^{(2)}<\lambda_{1}^{(1)}<\lambda_{2}^{(2)}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \\
& <\lambda_{n}^{(n)} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3 (see [12]). Let A be a matrix of form (2) with $b_{i}=$ $c_{i} \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let $\lambda_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{(j)}$, respectively, be the minimal and the maximal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix $A_{j}$ of $A, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{(j)} & <\cdots<\lambda_{1}^{(3)}<\lambda_{1}^{(2)}<\lambda_{1}^{(1)}<\lambda_{2}^{(2)}<\lambda_{3}^{(3)}<\cdots  \tag{8}\\
& <\lambda_{j}^{(j)}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}^{(j)}<a_{i}<\lambda_{j}^{(j)}  \tag{9}\\
& \qquad i=2,3, \ldots, j, \text { for each } j=2,3, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4 (see [7]). Let $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}$ be a set of orthonormal eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ of an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ of form (2) with $b_{i}=c_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and with all its diagonal entries $a_{j}$ distinct, $j=2,3, \ldots, n$. Then $x_{\mu j} \neq 0$ for $\mu, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $x_{\mu j}$ denotes the $\mu^{\text {th }}$ entry of the vector $\mathbf{x}_{j}$.

In [11, 12], the authors show how to construct symmetric tridiagonal and symmetric arrow matrices from the spectral information (3). Then, if the given spectral information is only (3), we may construct matrices of form (1) and (2), respectively, from the symmetric matrices (5) and (6), by similarity. However, these constructions are not unique. In order to obtain a unique solution, we consider the spectral information (3) and (4). We shall need the following known results.

Lemma 5 (see [12]). Let $P(\lambda)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n$ with all zeroes being real. If $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ are, respectively, the minimal and maximal zero of $P(\lambda)$, then
(1) if $\mu<\lambda_{1}$, we have $(-1)^{n} P(\mu)>0$,
(2) if $\mu>\lambda_{n}$, we have $P(\mu)>0$.

Lemma 6 (see [13]). Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix of form (1), and let $A_{j}$ be the $j \times j$ leading principal submatrix of $A$, with characteristic polynomial $P_{j}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{j}-A_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then the sequence $\left\{P_{j}(\lambda)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}(\lambda)=1, \\
& P_{1}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-a_{1}\right), \\
& P_{j}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}(\lambda)-b_{j-1} c_{j-1} P_{j-2}(\lambda),  \tag{10}\\
&
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 7. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ nonsymmetric arrow matrix of form (2), and let $A_{j}$ be the $j \times j$ leading principal submatrix of $A$, with characteristic polynomial $P_{j}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{j}-A_{j}\right)$, $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, the sequence $\left\{P_{j}(\lambda)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-a_{1}\right) \\
& P_{2}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-a_{2}\right) P_{1}(\lambda)-b_{1} c_{1} \\
& P_{j}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}(\lambda)-b_{j-1} c_{j-1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda-a_{i}\right),  \tag{11}\\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The result follows by expanding the determinants $\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{j}-A_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

## 2. Main Results

In this section we give a unique solution to Problem 1 for the matrices of forms (1) and (2). Conditions (12) and (13), as well as conditions (31) and (32) below, arise from Lemmas 2, 3, and 4 by the similarity of matrices (1) and (5), as well as matrices (2) and (6).

Theorem 8. Let the real numbers $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and the vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{(n)} & <\lambda_{1}^{(n-1)}<\cdots<\lambda_{1}^{(2)}<\lambda_{1}^{(1)}<\lambda_{2}^{(2)}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}  \tag{12}\\
& <\lambda_{n}^{(n)}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \neq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a unique nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix $A$ ofform (1), such that $\lambda_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{(j)}$ are, respectively, the minimal and the maximal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix $A_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, of $A$, and $\left(x^{(n)}, \lambda_{n}^{(n)}\right)$ is an eigenpair of $A$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ satisfy (12), and the vector $x^{(n)}$ satisfies (13). To show the existence of a nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix $A$ with the required properties is equivalent to show that the system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{(j)}\right) & =0 ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n, i=1, j  \tag{14}\\
A \mathbf{x}^{(n)} & =\lambda_{n}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}^{(n)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{j}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{j}-A_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$ satisfies Lemma 6, has real solutions $a_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $b_{i}, c_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, with $c_{i} b_{i}>0$.

From Lemma 6 for $j=1$, it follows that $P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(1)}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{(1)}-$ $a_{1}=0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{(1)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from Lemma 6 for $j=2,3, \ldots, n$, system (14) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{j}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)-b_{j-1} c_{j-1} P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \quad=0, \\
& P_{j}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)-b_{j-1} c_{j-1} P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \quad=0, \\
& j=2,3, \ldots, n .  \tag{18}\\
& a_{1} x_{1}+b_{1} x_{2}=\lambda_{n}^{(n)} x_{1}  \tag{19}\\
& c_{j-1} x_{j-1}+a_{j} x_{j}+b_{j} x_{j+1}=\lambda_{n}^{(n)} x_{j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, n-1  \tag{20}\\
& c_{n-1} x_{n-1}+a_{n} x_{n}=\lambda_{n}^{(n)} x_{n} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (19) and condition (13), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-a_{1}\right) \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) and (17) for $j=2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}-a_{2}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-b_{1} c_{1} P_{0}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)=0 \\
& P_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-a_{2}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)-b_{1} c_{1} P_{0}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)=0, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{b_{1}} \frac{\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(2)} P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{(2)} P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 5 and condition (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} c_{1}=\frac{(-1)\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{(-1)\left[P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)\right]}>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (20) and condition (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-a_{j}\right) \frac{x_{j}}{x_{j+1}}-c_{j-1} \frac{x_{j-1}}{x_{j+1}} ; \quad j=2,3, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) and (17) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j-1}=\frac{1}{b_{j-1}} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)}{P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)}, \\
\\
\quad j=3, \ldots, n .
\end{array} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$a_{j}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(j)} P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)-\lambda_{j}^{(j)} P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)}{P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)},  \tag{29}\\
j=3, \ldots, n .
\end{array}
$$

Finally, from Lemma 5 and condition (12)

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{j-1} c_{j-1} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)}{(-1)^{j-1}\left[P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)\right]}  \tag{30}\\
& >0
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=3,4, \ldots, n$. Thus, we obtain a unique nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix of form (1).

Theorem 9. Let the real numbers $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and the vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{(n)} & <\lambda_{1}^{(n-1)}<\cdots<\lambda_{1}^{(2)}<\lambda_{1}^{(1)}<\lambda_{2}^{(2)}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \\
& <\lambda_{n}^{(n)} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \neq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a unique nonsymmetric arrow matrix $A$ of form (2), such that $\lambda_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{(j)}$ are, respectively, the minimal and the maximal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix $A_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, of $A$, and $\left(x^{(n)}, \lambda_{n}^{(n)}\right)$ is an eigenpair of $A$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{(j)}, \lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and $x^{(n)}$ satisfy conditions (31) and (32), respectively. To show the existence of a nonsymmetric arrow matrix $A$ with the required properties is equivalent to show that the system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{(j)}\right) & =0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n, i=1, j  \tag{33}\\
A \mathbf{x}^{(n)} & =\lambda_{n}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{j}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{j}-A_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$ satisfies Lemma 7 , has real solutions $a_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $b_{i}, c_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, with $b_{i} c_{i}>0$.

From Lemma 7 for $j=1$ it follows that $P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(1)}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{(1)}-$ $a_{1}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{(1)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (41) and (42) for $j=2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}-a_{2}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-b_{1} c_{1}=0  \tag{44}\\
& P_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)=\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-a_{2}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)-b_{1} c_{1}=0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(j)} P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)-\lambda_{j}^{(j)} P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)}{P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

And from (44) and condition (32),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j-1}=\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-a_{j}\right)\left(\frac{x_{j}}{x_{1}}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{(2)} P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-\lambda_{2}^{(2)} P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from conditions (32) and (44), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-a_{2}\right)\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{1}} \frac{\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 5 and condition (31),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} c_{1}=\frac{(-1)\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{(-1)\left[P_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(2)}\right)-P_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{(2)}\right)\right]}>0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 7 , for $j=3,4, \ldots, n$, system (33) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right)= & \left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) \\
& -b_{j-1} c_{j-1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)=0,  \tag{41}\\
P_{j}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)= & \left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{j}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) \\
& -b_{j-1} c_{j-1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)=0,  \tag{42}\\
a_{1} x_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{k} x_{k+1}= & \lambda_{n}^{(n)} x_{1}  \tag{43}\\
c_{j-1} x_{1}+a_{j} x_{j}= & \lambda_{n}^{(n)} x_{j} \quad j=2,3, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (41) and (42), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j-1} & =\frac{1}{c_{j-1}} \\
& \cdot \frac{\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)}{P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from Lemmas 3 and 5 and condition (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j-1} c_{j-1}=\frac{(-1)^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right)}{(-1)^{j-1}\left[P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)-P_{j-1}\left(\lambda_{j}^{(j)}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{j-1}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}-a_{i}\right)\right]}>0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain a unique nonsymmetric arrow matrix of form (2).

We observe that the construction given by Theorems 8 and 9 generalizes the procedures given in [11, 12], in which the authors only consider the extremal eigenvalues as initial spectral information.

## 3. Numerical Examples

Example 1. The real numbers

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\lambda_{1}^{(6)} & \lambda_{1}^{(5)} & \lambda_{1}^{(4)} & \lambda_{1}^{(3)} & \lambda_{1}^{(2)} & \lambda_{1}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2}^{(2)} & \lambda_{3}^{(3)} & \lambda_{4}^{(4)} & \lambda_{5}^{(5)} & \lambda_{6}^{(6)}  \tag{49}\\
-8 & -5 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 9 & 12 & 15
\end{array}
$$

and the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=(0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5,0.6) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy conditions (12) and (13). Our procedure from Theorem 8 gives the matrix

A

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
2.0000 & 6.5000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{51}\\
1.8462 & 3.0000 & 7.3846 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.0833 & 2.0000 & 9.2083 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2.3489 & 4.7865 & 6.7615 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6.7122 & 2.5515 & 5.8989 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12.8876 & 4.2603
\end{array}\right),
$$

with the required spectral properties.
Example 2. The eigenvalues of matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha & \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{52}\\
\gamma & \alpha & \beta & \cdots & \vdots \\
0 & \gamma & \alpha & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \beta \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma & \alpha
\end{array}\right) ; \quad \beta \gamma>0
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1}^{(j)}=\alpha+2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{j+1}\right), \quad j=2,3, \ldots, n \\
\lambda_{j}^{(j)}=\alpha+2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{j+1}\right), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{54}
\end{array}
$$

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-1.6036 & 0.7312 & -7.0534 & 0.2249 & -3.1132 & 3.8302 & -1.2197  \tag{58}\\
5.2347 & -2.0554 & & & & & \\
-1.1019 & & 3.1855 & & & & \\
0.5884 & & & -2.6848 & & & \\
-1.5557 & & & & 4.7466 & & \\
2.1299 & & & & & 3.1628 & \\
-3.5368 & & & & & & 1.1509
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the required spectral properties.

And the components of an eigenvector associated with $\lambda_{n}^{(n)}$ are given by the recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=1 \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-\alpha\right)  \tag{55}\\
& x_{i}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\left(\lambda_{n}^{(n)}-\alpha\right) x_{i-1}-\gamma x_{i-2}\right], \quad i=3, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

In Table 1 we consider $A$ (52) with $\alpha=4, \beta=1$, and $\gamma=2$. $\lambda$ is the vector with components and the eigenvalues given in (53) and (54) and $x$ is the vector defined by (55). We denote by $\widetilde{A}$ the constructed matrix by the procedure from Theorem 8 and $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is the vector with the extreme eigenvalues of $\widetilde{A}$. We consider the following expressions: $e_{A}=\log (\|A-\widetilde{A}\| /\|A\|)$, $e_{\lambda}=\log (\|\lambda-\widetilde{\lambda}\| /\|\lambda\|)$, and $e_{x}=\log \left(\left\|\widetilde{A} x-\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}^{(n)} x\right\| /\left\|\lambda_{n}^{(n)} x\right\|\right)$.

Example 3. Consider the $(2 n-1)$-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, whose entries are real numbers chosen randomly in nondecreasing order and a $n$-dimensional vector $x$, whose components are all nonzero. Let $\widetilde{A}$ be $n \times n$ nonsymmetric tridiagonal matrix constructed from the entries of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{(j)}$ are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of the $j \times j$ leading principal matrix $\widetilde{A}_{j}$ of $\widetilde{A}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the vector whose entries are the numbers $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{(j)}$, $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, in nondecreasing order. Figure 1 shows the plot of $e_{\lambda}$ and $e_{x}$ defined in Example 2, with $n=50$ and 100 reconstructions of the matrix $\widetilde{A}$.

Example 4. In this example we consider random real numbers generated from the random Matlab function:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\lambda_{1}^{(7)} & \lambda_{1}^{(6)} & \lambda_{1}^{(5)} & \lambda_{1}^{(4)} & \lambda_{1}^{(3)} & \lambda_{1}^{(2)} \\
-5.6310 & -5.2374 & -4.6520 & -4.3671 & -4.3250 & -3.7990  \tag{56}\\
\lambda_{1}^{(1)} & \lambda_{2}^{(2)} & \lambda_{3}^{(3)} & \lambda_{4}^{(4)} & \lambda_{5}^{(5)} & \lambda_{6}^{(6)} & \lambda_{7}^{(7)} \\
-1.6036 & 0.1400 & 4.5734 & 4.5770 & 5.9097 & 6.4780 & 6.6793
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & (0.5886,0.3527,-0.1856,0.0370  \tag{57}\\
& -0.4738,0.3565,-0.3765)
\end{align*}
$$

satisfying conditions (31) and (32), respectively. From Theorem 9 procedure we obtain the matrix

Table 1

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | -14.8638 | -15.7126 | -15.5670 |
| 10 | -13.8739 | -14.9518 | -15.0035 |
| 15 | -13.1475 | -14.6297 | -14.3310 |
| 20 | -12.7062 | -14.7679 | -14.8618 |
| 25 | -12.1855 | -14.3766 | -14.1447 |
| 30 | -12.2131 | -13.8168 | -13.4682 |
| 40 | -12.0392 | -12.9129 | -12.2304 |
| 50 | -11.4974 | -11.8000 | -11.2788 |



Figure 1

Example 5. In Table 2, we construct nonsymmetric arrow matrices of different orders, from data obtained arbitrarily by the random function in Matlab, which satisfy conditions (31) and (32) of Theorem 9 . We denote by $\widetilde{A}$ the constructed matrix and $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is the vector with the extreme eigenvalues of $\widetilde{A}$. We consider the expressions, $e_{\lambda}=\log (\|\lambda-\widetilde{\lambda}\| /\|\lambda\|)$ and $e_{x}=\log \left(\left\|\widetilde{A} x-\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}^{(n)} x\right\| /\left\|\lambda_{n}^{(n)} x\right\|\right)$.

Example 6. Consider ( $2 n-1$ )-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, whose entries are real numbers chosen randomly in nondecreasing order and a $n$-dimensional vector $x$, whose components are all nonzero. Let $\widetilde{A}$ be $n \times n$ nonsymmetric arrow matrix constructed from the entries of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{(j)}$ are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of the $j \times j$ leading principal matrix $\widetilde{A}_{j}$ of $\widetilde{A}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $\widetilde{\lambda}$ be the vector whose entries are numbers $\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}^{(j)}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_{j}^{(j)}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, in nondecreasing order. Figure 2 shows the plot of $e_{\lambda}$ and $e_{x}$ defined in Example 5, with $n=50$ and 100 reconstructions of the matrix $\widetilde{A}$.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.


Figure 2

Table 2

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ | $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | -14.9841 | -15.0703 |
| 10 | -14.9891 | -15.9881 |
| 15 | -14.9286 | -15.7874 |
| 20 | -14.8021 | -15.9188 |
| 25 | -14.7349 | -15.4561 |
| 30 | -14.8234 | -14.5340 |
| 40 | -14.6183 | -14.2118 |
| 50 | -14.6217 | -14.3512 |
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