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In this paper, zero prime factorizations for matrices over a unique factorization domain are studied. We prove that zero prime factorizations for a class of matrices exist. Also, we give an algorithm to directly compute zero left prime factorizations for this class of matrices.

## 1. Introduction

Multidimensional linear systems theory has a wide range of applications in circuits, systems, control of networked systems, signal processing, and other areas (see, e.g., [1, 2]). Multivariate polynomial matrix theory is a well-established tool for these systems, since many problems in the analysis and synthesis of control systems can be well solved using multivariate polynomial matrix techniques [1-3].

In recent years, $n$-D polynomial matrix factorizations have been widely studied [4-10]. In [11, 12], the zero left prime factorization problem was raised. This problem has been solved in [4-6]. The minor left prime factorization problem has been solved in [7, 10]. In the algorithms given in [7, 10], a fitting ideal of some module over the multivariate ( $n-\mathrm{D}$ ) polynomial ring needs to be computed. It is a little complicated.

It is well known that a multivariate polynomial ring over a field is a unique factorization domain. Then, the following problem is interesting.

Problem 1. How to decide if a matrix with full row rank over a unique factorization domain has a zero left prime factorization? In this paper, we will give a partial solution to this problem.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $R$ be a unique factorization domain. The set of all $l \times m$ matrices with entries from $R$ is denoted by $R^{l \times m}$. Let
$F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$. We denote the greatest common divisor of all $l \times l$ minors of $F$ by $d(F)$. Let $C \in R^{l \times l}$ be a submatrix of $F$. By deleting $C$ from $F$, we get a submatrix of $F$. This submatrix is denoted by $F \backslash C$.

Let $C \in R^{m \times m} \cdot \operatorname{adj}(C)$ denotes the adjoint matrix of $C$. $\operatorname{acof}_{i j}(C)$ denotes the $i, j$ th algebraic cofactor of $C$.

Definition 1. Let $F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$, and let $C \in R^{l \times l}$ be a submatrix of $F$. A minor of $F$ consisting of $l-1$ columns from $C$ and one column from $F \backslash C$ is said to be a related minor of $C$.

The following definition is from the multidimensional systems theory [13].

Definition 2. Let $F \in R^{l \times m}$ be of full row rank. Then, $F$ is said to be zero left prime (ZLP) if the $l \times l$ minors of $F$ generate the unit ideal $R$. Suppose $F$ has a factorization $F=C F_{1}$, where $C \in R^{l \times l}$ and $F_{1} \in R^{l \times m}$. If $F_{1}$ is ZLP, then this factorization is said to be a zero left prime factorization.

## 3. Main Results

First, we need a lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $F=(C, \bar{C}) \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$, where $C \in R^{l \times l}$ and $\bar{C} \in R^{l \times(m-l)}$. Then, the elements of adj $C \cdot \bar{C}$ are just all related minors of $C$ (up to a sign).

Proof. Let $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{l \times l}$ and $\bar{C}=\left(\bar{c}_{i j}\right)_{l \times(m-l)}$. Let $\operatorname{adj} C \cdot \bar{C}=$ $\left(b_{i j}\right)_{l \times(m-l)}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{i j} & =\operatorname{acof}_{1 i}(C) \bar{c}_{1 j}+\cdots+\operatorname{acof}_{l i}(C) \bar{c}_{l j} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1 i-1} & \bar{c}_{1 j} & c_{1 i+1} & \cdots & c_{1 l} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
c_{l 1} & \cdots & c_{l i-1} & \bar{c}_{l j} & c_{l i+1} & \cdots & c_{l l}
\end{array}\right), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

by Laplace Theorem. Thus, $b_{i j}$ is a related minor of $C$ (up to a sign). It is clear that they are just all related minors of $C$ (up to a sign).

Now, we prove the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$. If there exists an $l \times l$ submatrix $C$ of $F$ such that $\operatorname{det} C$ is a common factor of all related minors of $C$, then there exists $F_{1} \in R^{l \times m}$ such that $F=$ $C F_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ is ZLP; i.e., $F$ has a ZLP factorization.

Proof. We can change the order of the columns of $F$ such that the submatrix $C$ consists of the left $l$ columns of $F$. Thus, there exists an invertible matrix $Q \in R^{m \times m}$ such that $F Q=(C, \bar{C})$, where $C \in R^{l \times l}$ and $\bar{C} \in R^{l \times(m-l)}$. Since $\operatorname{det} C$ is a common factor of all related minors of $C$, by Lemma 1 , we have $C^{-1} \bar{C}=\operatorname{adj} C \cdot \bar{C} / \operatorname{det} C \in R^{l \times(m-l)}$. Let

$$
Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{l} & -C^{-1} \bar{C}  \tag{2}\\
0 & I_{m-l}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, $Q_{1} \in R^{m \times m}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
F Q Q_{1} & =(C, \bar{C}) Q_{1} \\
& =(C, \bar{C})\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{l} & -C^{-1} \bar{C} \\
0 & I_{m-l}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =(C, O) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =(C, O) Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1}(\operatorname{by}(3)) \\
& =C\left(I_{l}, O\right) Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $F_{1}=\left(I_{l}, O\right) Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1} \in R^{l \times m}$. Then, $F=C F_{1}$. Since $F_{1}$ consists of the upper $l$ rows of invertible matrix $Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1}$, we have $F_{1}$ is ZLP.

Corollary 1. Let $F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$. If there exists an $l \times l$ submatrix $C$ of $F$ such that $\operatorname{det} C$ is a common factor of all related minors of $C$, then $\operatorname{det} C=d(F)$.

Proof. Clearly, $d(F) \mid \operatorname{det} C$. By Theorem 1, there exists $F_{1} \in R^{l \times m}$ such that $F=C F_{1}$. By Cauchy-Binet formula, we have $\operatorname{det} C \mid d(F)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{det} C=d(F)$.

Corollary 2. Let $F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$. If there exists an $l \times l$ submatrix $C$ of $F$ such that $\operatorname{det} C$ is a common factor of all related minors of $C$, then $F$ is equivalent to $(C, O)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, there exists $F_{1} \in R^{l \times m}$ such that $F=$ $C F_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ is ZLP. By Quillen-Suslin theorem, there exists
$F_{2} \in R^{(m-l) \times m}$ such that $\left(F_{1}^{T}, F_{2}^{T}\right)^{T}$ is an invertible matrix. Since $F=C F_{1}=(C, O)\left(F_{1}^{T}, F_{2}^{T}\right)^{T}$, we have $F$ being equivalent to $(C, O)$.

Now, let $F \in R^{l \times m}(l<m)$. Suppose there exists an $l \times l$ submatrix $C$ of $F$ such that $\operatorname{det} C=d(F)$. We can give an algorithm to directly compute the ZLP factorization of $F$.

## Algorithm 1

(i) Compute all $l \times l$ minors of $F$ and $d(F)$.
(ii) Find an $l \times l$ submatrix $C$ of $F$ such that $\operatorname{det} C=d(F)$.
(iii) Compute invertible matrix $Q$ such that $F Q=(C, \bar{C})$.
(iv) Let $Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{l} & -C^{-1} \bar{C} \\ 0 & I_{m-l}\end{array}\right)$ and $F_{1}=\left(I_{l}, O\right) Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1}$. Then, $F=C F_{1}$.

Now, we give an example to illustrate this algorithm.
Example 1. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, and let
$F=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}6 x^{2} y+2 x y & 2 x & 2 x y \\ 6 x^{2} y^{2}+6 x^{2} y+2 x y^{2}+5 x y & 2 x y+2 x & 2 x y^{2}+2 x y+y\end{array}\right)$.

Then, $d(F)=2 x y$. Let

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 x & 2 x y  \tag{6}\\
2 x y+2 x & 2 x y^{2}+2 x y+y
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, $C$ is a $2 \times 2$ submatrix of $F$ and $\operatorname{det} C=d(F)$. Let

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1  \tag{7}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, $F Q=(C, \bar{C})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}=\binom{6 x^{2} y+2 x y}{6 x^{2} y^{2}+6 x^{2} y+2 x y^{2}+5 x y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $-C^{-1} \bar{C}=\binom{-y}{-3 x}$. Let

$$
Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -y  \tag{9}\\
0 & 1 & -3 x \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
Q_{1}^{-1} Q^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y & 1 & 0  \tag{10}\\
3 x & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let

$$
F_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y & 1 & 0  \tag{11}\\
3 x & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, $F=C F_{1}$.
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