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Unsteady MHD Bionanofluid Flow in a Porous Medium with Thermal Radiation near a Stretching/Shrinking Sheet
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This research aims at providing the theoretical effects of the unsteady MHD stagnation point flow of heat and mass transfer across a stretching and shrinking surface in a porous medium including internal heat generation/absorption, thermal radiation, and chemical reaction. The fundamental principles of the similarity transformations are applied to the governing partial differential equations (PDEs) that lead to ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The transformed ODEs are numerically solved by the shooting algorithm implemented in MATLAB, and verification is done from MATLAB built-in solver bvp4c. The numerical data produced for the skin friction coefficient, the local Nusselt number, and the local Sherwood number are compared with the available result and found to be in a close agreement. The impact of involved physical parameters on velocity, temperature, concentration, and density of motile microorganisms profiles is scrutinized through graphs. It is analyzed that the skin friction coefficient enhances with increasing values of an unsteady parameter \( A \), magnetic parameter \( M \), and porosity parameter \( K_p \). In addition, we observe that the density of a motile microorganisms profile enhances larger values of the bioconvection Lewis number \( L_b \) and Peclet number \( P_e \) and decreases with the increasing values of an unsteady parameter \( A \).

1. Introduction

Nanofluids have been in demand because of its use in energy efficient devices due to its high performance contribution in thermal conductivity compared to a traditional fluid [1–3]. Nanofluids have recently been used in detergent, vehicle coolant, sensing in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), and thermal energy storage [4]. Thus, it can be used in heating and electronic devices to make it more cost effective by minimization of energy lost in heat transfer process. There are a number of applications where nanofluids have been used such as in biomedical engineering, fluid power, mechanical and manufacturing industry, hydraulics, etc. The nanofluids are a composite solution containing nanoparticles and the base fluid [5].

The scope of nanofluid has been further enlarged by coalescing nanoparticles with blood to cultivate comprehension of biological sciences as well. Such a fluid is ordinarily known as bionanofluid. Recent applications of bionanofluid in medical sciences, such as medicine, cancer therapy, etc., have generated interest in investigating the bionanofluid flow. Moreover, the bionanofluid has instigated research in nanotechnology, biomedical engineering (applying biological in medical innovation), bioengineering (applying engineering principle to biology), and medical devices, etc.

Bioconvection is a process in which microorganisms convection occur in the fluid [6]. Khan and Makinde [7] investigated nanofluids in motile gyrotactic microorganisms. In [8], analytical solution of bioconvection of oxytactic bacteria was found. Mutuku and Makinde [9] discussed hydromagnetic bioconvection due to microorganisms and solution is obtained numerically. Recently, Naganthran et al. [10] applied extrapolation technique in time dependent

The thermal radiation plays an important role in industrial and engineering processes. Thermal radiation is a phenomenon in which energy is transported through indirect contact. Izadi et al. [23] discussed thermal radiation in a micropolar nanoliquid in a porous chamber. They applied the Galerkin finite element method to compute the numerical solution. Daniel et al. [24] presented a theory on entropy analysis for EMHD nanofluids considering thermal radiation and viscous dissipation. Muhammad et al. [25] obtained numerical solutions via the shooting method and bvp4c for the significant role nonlinear thermal radiation played in 3D Eyring-Powell nanofluid. Sohail et al. [26] described entropy analysis of Maxwell nanofluid in gyrotactic microorganisms with thermal radiation. Gireesha et al. [27] provide hybrid nanofluid flow across a permeable longitudinal moving fin with thermal radiation.

Eid [28] presents two-phase chemical reactions over a stretching sheet. Tripathy et al. [29] research chemical reactive flow over a moving vertical plate. In Pal and Talukdar [30], chemical reaction effects in a mixed convection flow have been covered. Katerina and Patel [31] reported results on radiation and chemical reaction in Casson fluid over an oscillating vertical plate. The works of Shah et al. [32], Rasool et al. [33], Khan et al. [34], and Khan et al. [35] contain chemical reactions as well as entropy generation over a nonlinear sheet. Khan et al. [36] present results on axisymmetric Carreau nanofluid along with chemical reaction. Gharami et al. [37] provide an unsteady flow of tangent nanofluid with a chemical reaction. Hamid et al. [38] simultaneously presented work on chemical reaction and activation energy in the unsteady flow of Williamson nanofluid. Reddy et al. [39] report results on nanofluid over a rotating disk with a chemical reaction. For other references on this topic, the reader is referred to [40–50].

In aforementioned literature studies, the chief emphasis has been made on various physical situations to find an in-depth understanding of physics but the route of bionanofluid along with other situations of unsteady effect in a free stream flow is mostly absent from the literature.

The paper is written in the following order. Introduction of the paper is given in Section 1. Problem formulation is presented in Section 2. Numerical method is presented in Section 3. The results and discussion of the work are discussed Section 4. Conclusion is drawn at the end in Section 5.

2. Problem Formulation

Assuming an unsteady two-dimensional MHD stagnation point flow of bionanofluid in the presence of thermal radiation, chemical reaction, and internal heat generation/absorption adjacent to a stretching sheet with thermal radiation, a water-based nanofluid containing nanoparticles and gyrotactic microorganisms is considered. It is assumed that the presence of nanoparticles has no effect on the swimming direction of microorganisms and on their swimming velocity. This assumption holds only for less than 1% concentration of nanoparticles. The magnetic Reynolds number of the flow is taken to be very small, so that the induced magnetic field is presumed to be negligible. The applied magnetic field \( B_0 \) is taken along the normal to the sheet. It is also assumed that the sheet is stretching/shrinking with a velocity \( u_s = c \alpha (1 - A_1 t)^{-1} \), \( c > 0 \) indicates the stretching sheet whereas \( c < 0 \) describes the shrinking sheet while \( c = 0 \) represents a stationary sheet. The configuration of the flow is given in Figure 1.

Under the above assumptions, the governing model of flow reads as follows [10, 51]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= u_e \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\nu}{k} (u - u_e) - \frac{\sigma \beta^2 v}{\rho} (u - u_e), \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} &= \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \tau_1 \left( D_p \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + D_f \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_t}{\partial y} + \frac{\mu}{\rho c_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{(T - T_{\infty})Q}{\rho c_p}, \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} &= D_p \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + D_f \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{C - C_{\infty}}{K_c} K_c, \\
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} + \frac{b w_c}{C_w - C_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) \right) = D_n \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

However, the boundary conditions corresponding to the considered model is taken as follows:
where $t$ is time, $u$, $v$ are the velocity components in the $x$– and $y$–axes, respectively. Furthermore, $T$ is a temperature of the fluid, $C$ is the concentration, $N$ is the density of the motile microorganisms, $k^*$ is the porosity of a porous medium, $\mu$ is the dynamic velocity of the fluid, $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity of the fluid, $\rho$ is the density of the fluid, $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity, $c_p$ is the specific heat capacity at constant temperature, $\tau_1$ is the ratio of the effective heat capacity of the nanoparticle and the base fluid, $D_B$ is the Brownian diffusion coefficient, $D_T$ is thermophoretic diffusion coefficient, $D_m$ is the diffusivity of the microorganisms, $q_r$ is the radiative heat flux, $Q$ is the volumetric heat source, $K_c$ is called a rate of chemical reaction between the base fluid and nanoparticles, $W_c$ is the maximum cell swimming speed, and $b$ is the chemotaxis constant. Moreover, $T_w$, $C_w$ and $N_w$ are the temperature, nanoparticle concentration, and the density of the motile microorganisms at the wall and $T_\infty$, $C_\infty$, and $N_\infty$ are the temperature, nanoparticle concentration, and motile microorganisms far away from the sheet, respectively.

By inserting equation (7) into equations (1)–(5), we obtain the following transformed nonlinear ordinary differential equations:
\[ f'' + f f'' - f'^2 + 1 + A - A\left(f' + \frac{\eta}{2} f''\right) - (M + Kp)(f' - 1) = 0, \]
\[ \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \text{Rd}\right) \phi'' + \text{Pr} f \phi' + \text{Nb} \phi' + \text{Nt} \theta' + \text{Pr}\left(\text{Ec} f'' + s \theta - \frac{\eta}{2} \theta' \right) = 0, \]
\[ \phi'' + \frac{\text{Nt} \theta'}{\text{Nb}} + \text{Le} \text{Pr} f \phi' - \frac{\eta}{2} \text{Le} \text{Pr} A \phi' - \text{Le} \text{Pr} K r \phi = 0, \]
\[ \chi'' + \text{Lb} \text{Pr} f \chi' - \text{Pe} (\phi' \chi' + (\chi + \sigma_1) \phi'') - \frac{\eta}{2} \text{Lb} \text{Pr} A \chi' = 0. \]

Similarly, equations (7) reduces boundary condition (6) into

\[ f(0) = 0, f'(0) = a, \theta(0) = 1, \phi(0) = 1, \chi(0) = 1, \]
\[ f'(\infty) = 1, \theta(\infty) = 0, \phi(\infty) = 0, \chi(\infty) = 0, \quad (9) \]

where \( A \) is an unsteadiness parameter, porous parameter \( Kp \), magnetic parameter \( M \), Prandtl number \( \text{Pr} \), thermal radiation parameter \( \text{Rd} \), Brownian motion parameter \( \text{Nb} \), thermophoretic parameter \( \text{Nt} \), Eckert number \( \text{Ec} \), heat source parameter \( s \), Lewis number \( \text{Le} \), chemical reaction parameter \( \text{Kr} \), bioconvection Lewis number \( \text{Lb} \), Peclet number \( \text{Pe} \), and bio-convection parameter \( \sigma_1 \) are defined as follows:

\[ A = \frac{A_1}{a}, \]
\[ Kp = \frac{\gamma(1 - A_1 t)}{\alpha k}, \]
\[ M = \frac{\sigma B^2(1 - A_1 t)}{\rho a}, \]
\[ \text{Pr} = \frac{\gamma}{a}, \]
\[ \text{Rd} = \frac{4\sigma T^3}{k_{B} k}, \]
\[ \text{Nb} = \frac{\tau_1 D_{B} (C_w - C_{\infty})}{a}, \]
\[ \text{Nt} = \frac{\tau_1 D_{t} (T_w - T_{\infty})}{T_{\infty} a}, \]
\[ \text{Ec} = \frac{u_e^2}{\gamma (T_w - T_{\infty})}, \]
\[ s = \frac{Q(1 - A_1 t)}{a \rho c_p}, \]
\[ \text{Le} = \frac{a}{D_{B}}, \]
\[ K_r = \frac{K_r (1 - A_1 t)}{a}, \]
\[ \text{Lb} = \frac{a}{D_{n}}, \]
\[ \text{Pe} = \frac{b w_{c}^2}{D_{n}}, \]
\[ \sigma_1 = \frac{N_{\infty}}{N_w - N_{\infty}}. \]

The physical quantities of interest in this study are the local skin friction coefficient \( C_{fx} \), the local Nusselt number \( \text{Nu}_x \), the local Sherwood number \( \text{Sh}_x \), and the local density number of motile microorganisms \( \text{Nn}_x \) are defined as follows:

\[ C_{fx} = \frac{\mu (\partial u/\partial y)_{y=0}}{\rho u_e^2}, \]
\[ \text{Nu}_x = \frac{-k_x (\partial T/\partial y)_{y=0}}{k(T_w - T_{\infty})}, \]
\[ \text{Sh}_x = \frac{-D_{B} x (\partial C/\partial y)_{y=0}}{D_{B} (C_w - C_{\infty})}, \]
\[ \text{Nn}_x = \frac{-D_{B} x (\partial N/\partial y)_{y=0}}{D_{n} (N_w - N_{\infty})}. \]

Inserting equation (7) into equation (11) yields the following expressions:

\[ \text{Re}_{x}^{(1/2)} C_{fx} = f''(0), \]
\[ \text{Re}_{x}^{(1/2)} \text{Nu}_x = -\left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \text{Rd}\right) \theta'(0), \]
\[ \text{Re}_{x}^{(1/2)} \text{Sh}_x = -\phi'(0), \]
\[ \text{Re}_{x}^{(1/2)} \text{Nn}_x = -\chi'(0), \]

where the local Reynolds number is defined as \( \text{Re}_x = (u_e x/\nu) \).

3. Numerical Procedure

3.1. Shooting Method. The physical model of ODEs alongside boundary conditions quantitatively evaluated by the shooting method implemented in MATLAB. The shooting approach involves two stages: Converting the boundary value problem (BVP) into an initial value problem (IVP) and the higher-order ODEs into a system of first-order ODEs. We employed the Newton–Raphson approach in locating roots. The Runge–Kutta method of order five is implemented in determining the solution of the IVP. The system of first-order ODEs reads as follows:
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\[ f = y_1, f' = y_2, f'' = y_3, f''' = y_4 = y_1y_5 + y_2^2 - 1 - A + A\left(\frac{y_2 + \eta y_3}{2}\right) + (M + Kp)(y_2 - 1), \]

\[ y_4 = \theta, y_5 = \theta', \theta'' = y_5' = \frac{-1}{(1 + (4/3)Rd)}\left(Pr y_1 y_5 + Nb y_5 y_2 + Nt y_5^2 + Pr\left(Ec y_5^2 + s y_4 - \frac{\eta}{2} A y_5\right)\right), \]

\[ y_5 = \phi, y_7 = \phi', \phi'' = y_7' = \frac{N_s}{N_b} y_5' - Le Pr y_1 y_5 + \frac{Le Pr \eta A}{2} y_7 + Le Pr Kr y_6, \]

\[ y_8 = \chi, y_9 = \chi', \chi'' = y_9' = -Lb Pr y_1 y_9 + Pe(y_7 y_9 + (y_8 + \sigma_1) y_9) + \frac{\eta}{2} Lb Pr A y_9. \]

The converted form of boundary conditions into an initial condition for the shooting method is rewritten as follows:

\[ y_1(0) = 0, \]
\[ y_2(0) = \epsilon, \]
\[ y_4(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_6(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_8(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_3(0) = \tilde{\lambda}_1, \]
\[ y_5(0) = \tilde{\lambda}_2, \]
\[ y_7(0) = \tilde{\lambda}_3, \]
\[ y_9(0) = \tilde{\lambda}_4. \]

3.2. bvp4c. Having found numerical results from the shooting method, we verify these results using MATLAB built-in solver bvp4c [52, 53]. The bvp4c is a collocation solver which uses Gauss–Lobatto points to compute accurate results. In bvp4c, the first-order system of ODEs remains the same as discussed in Section 3.1. However, the boundary conditions implemented in MATLAB are as follows:

\[ y_1(0) = 0, \]
\[ y_2(0) = \epsilon, \]
\[ y_4(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_6(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_8(0) = 1, \]
\[ y_3(x_0) = 1, \]
\[ y_4(x_0) = 0, \]
\[ y_6(x_0) = 0, \]
\[ y_8(x_0) = 0. \]

4. Results and Discussion

A summary of the current and the reported findings is seen with a minimal disparity in Table 1.

The data in Tables 2 and 3 show computational results for the skin friction coefficient, the local Nusselt number, the local Sherwood number, and the local density number of motile microorganisms obtained with the shooting method and the bvp4c. In Table 2, it is revealed that the skin friction coefficient \(C_f\) increases with increasing values of unsteady parameter \(A\), magnetic parameter \(M\), and porosity parameter \(Kp\). However, decreasing trend is seen in the local Nusselt number \(Nu_s\) against an unsteady parameter \(A\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\), Eckert number \(Ec\), and heat source parameter \(s\). The local Nusselt number enhances the increasing values of Prandtl number \(Pr\). The local Sherwood number \(Sh_s\) increases for higher values of Prandtl number \(Pr\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), Eckert number \(Ec\), heat source parameter \(s\), Lewis number \(Le\), and chemical reaction parameter \(Kr\). The local Sherwood number decreases for higher values of thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\). For the local density number of motile microorganisms, \(Nn_s\) shows decreasing trend for higher values of unsteady parameter \(A\) and thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\) is observed while it increases by enhancing the Prandtl number \(Pr\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), Eckert number \(Ec\), heat source parameter \(s\), Lewis number \(Le\), and chemical reaction parameter \(Kr\). The local Sherwood number decreases for higher values of the thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\). For the local density number of motile microorganisms, \(Nn_s\) shows decreasing trend for higher values of unsteady parameter \(A\) and thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\) is observed while it increases by enhancing the Prandtl number \(Pr\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), Eckert number \(Ec\), heat source parameter \(s\), Lewis number \(Le\), and chemical reaction parameter \(Kr\). The local density number of motile microorganisms, \(Nn_s\) shows decreasing trend for higher values of unsteady parameter \(A\) and thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\) is observed while it increases by enhancing the Prandtl number \(Pr\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), Eckert number \(Ec\), heat source parameter \(s\), Lewis number \(Le\), and chemical reaction parameter \(Kr\). The local density number of motile microorganisms, \(Nn_s\) shows decreasing trend for higher values of unsteady parameter \(A\) and thermophoretic parameter \(Nt\) is observed while it increases by enhancing the Prandtl number \(Pr\), radiation parameter \(Rd\), Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), Eckert number \(Ec\), heat source parameter \(s\), Lewis number \(Le\), and chemical reaction parameter \(Kr\).

In Figures 2 and 3, we present velocity profile results against parameters \(M\) and \(Kp\) with \(\epsilon = -0.5, 0.5\) corresponding to shrinking and stretching sheets. In both cases, the boundary layer thickness decreases.

Figures 4–6 illustrate the impact of the Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\) on the temperature, concentration, and the density of motile microorganisms profiles for the case of stretching sheet (\(\epsilon = -0.5\)) and shrinking sheet (\(\epsilon = -0.5\)), respectively. Figure 4 gives an incremental thermal boundary layer thickness results as \(Nb\) increases. The thermal boundary layer thickness for the Brownian motion parameter with the stretching sheet is lower than the shrinking sheet. From Figure 5, it is observed that by increasing the Brownian motion parameter \(Nb\), the
Table 1: Comparison of the values of $f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\phi'(0)$ when $\epsilon = 1$, $L = 2$, $M = K = A = R = E = s = K = L = P = 0$, $N = N = 0.5$, and $Pr = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Kp</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Rd</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Nt</th>
<th>Ec</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>Le</th>
<th>Kr</th>
<th>Lb</th>
<th>Pe</th>
<th>$f''(0)$</th>
<th>$-(1 + (4/3)Rd)'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\chi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4687</td>
<td>0.4109</td>
<td>0.5658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7749</td>
<td>0.5091</td>
<td>0.4082</td>
<td>0.5859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5098</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5098</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5107</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5111</td>
<td>0.4127</td>
<td>0.5915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5107</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5107</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5107</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Numerical values of $f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$, $-\phi'(0)$, and $-\chi'(0)$ for several values of the involved parameter $A$, $M$, $K$, $R$, $N$, $T$, $E$, $s$, $L$, $K$, $L$, $P$ with $\epsilon = 0.5$ and $\sigma = 0.1$ (shooting method (SM)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SM</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>SM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentration boundary layer thickness reduces in both stretching and shrinking sheet cases. Figure 6 exhibits that for higher values of the Brownian motion parameter Nb, the density of motile microorganisms decreases. This decrease in the density of motile microorganisms is higher in the shrinking sheet case as compared to the stretching sheet case.

The impact of the thermophoresis parameter Nt on temperature, concentration, and density of motile microorganisms can be seen in Figures 7–9. Figure 7 reveals that the thermal boundary layer thickness increases for larger values of the thermophoresis parameter Nt. Figures 8 and 9 indicate that the concentration and density of motile microorganisms increases by increasing thermophoresis parameter Nt, respectively.

Table 3: Numerical values of $f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$, $-\phi'(0)$, and $-\chi'(0)$ for several values of involved parameter $A$, $M$, Kp, Pr, Rd, Nb, Nt, Ec, s, Le, Kr, Lb, Pe with $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\sigma_1 = 0.1$ (bvp4c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>Kp</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Rd</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Nt</th>
<th>Ec</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>Le</th>
<th>Kr</th>
<th>Lb</th>
<th>Pe</th>
<th>$f''(0)$</th>
<th>$-\theta'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\chi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8576</td>
<td>0.4687</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8784</td>
<td>0.4238</td>
<td>0.4121</td>
<td>0.5414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7749</td>
<td>0.5091</td>
<td>0.4082</td>
<td>0.5859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5098</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8062</td>
<td>0.5098</td>
<td>0.4095</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8512</td>
<td>0.5107</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.5900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8798</td>
<td>0.5111</td>
<td>0.4127</td>
<td>0.5915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5861</td>
<td>0.4919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>1.1092</td>
<td>1.1971</td>
<td>1.5280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>1.4271</td>
<td>1.7646</td>
<td>2.1553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4343</td>
<td>0.3812</td>
<td>0.5781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5456</td>
<td>0.4232</td>
<td>0.5940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.6722</td>
<td>0.4611</td>
<td>0.6087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4848</td>
<td>0.5387</td>
<td>0.6405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4132</td>
<td>0.6138</td>
<td>0.6709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.3697</td>
<td>0.6272</td>
<td>0.6762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5282</td>
<td>0.5116</td>
<td>0.6269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4764</td>
<td>0.2685</td>
<td>0.5453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4822</td>
<td>0.4518</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4822</td>
<td>0.4518</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.4398</td>
<td>0.5132</td>
<td>0.6434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5701</td>
<td>0.3345</td>
<td>0.5508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5150</td>
<td>0.2662</td>
<td>0.5278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5073</td>
<td>0.5261</td>
<td>0.6042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5075</td>
<td>0.6112</td>
<td>0.6861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5047</td>
<td>0.8266</td>
<td>0.7918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.5893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>1.5189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10 depicts the behavior of a radiation parameter Rd on the temperature profile. We observe that by increasing radiation parameter, thermal boundary layer thickness increases in both stretching and shrinking sheet cases.

Figure 11 characterizes the influence of Eckert number Ec on temperature distribution. We conclude that increment in Eckert number Ec enhances the temperature profile.

Figure 12 scrutinizes the impact of the heat source parameter s on the temperature profile. It is seen that for higher values of the heat source parameter s, the temperature profile increases.

Figure 13 examines the effect of the Prandtl number Pr on the temperature profile. We analyzed that enhancement in Prandtl number Pr causes a reduction in thermal boundary layer thickness.
Figure 2: Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ for different $M$.

Figure 3: Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ for different $K_p$.

Figure 4: Temperature profile $\theta(\eta)$ for different $N_b$.

Figure 5: Concentration profile $\phi(\eta)$ for different $N_b$. 

Pr = 6.8, $M = K_p = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2$, $\sigma_1 = N_b = N_t = 0.1$, $A = Le = L_b = Pe = 0.5$, $Ec = 0$

Pr = 6.8, $M = K_p = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2$, $\sigma_1 = N_b = N_t = \epsilon = 0.1$, $A = Le = L_b = Pe = 0.5$, $Ec = 0$
\( \eta \) \( \chi (\eta) \)
\( \Pr = 6.8, M = Kp = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2, \sigma_1 = Nb = Nt = s = 0.1, \)
\( Le = Lb = Pe = 0.5, Ec = 0 \)

\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nb = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nb = 1)
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**Figure 6:** Microorganisms profile \( \chi (\eta) \) for different Nb.

\( \phi (\eta) \)
\( \Pr = 6.8, M = Kp = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2, \sigma_1 = Nb = Nt = s = 0.1, \)
\( Le = Lb = Pe = 0.5, Ec = 0 \)

\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)

**Figure 8:** Concentration profile \( \phi (\eta) \) for different Nt.

\( \theta (\eta) \)
\( \Pr = 6.8, M = Kp = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2, \sigma_1 = Nb = Nt = s = 0.1, \)
\( Le = Lb = Pe = 0.5, Ec = 0 \)

\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)

**Figure 7:** Temperature profile \( \theta (\eta) \) for different Nt.

\( \chi (\eta) \)
\( \Pr = 6.8, M = Kp = A = Rd = Kr = 0.2, \sigma_1 = Nb = Nt = s = 0.1, \)
\( Le = Lb = Pe = 0.5, Ec = 0 \)

\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = -0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.1)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.3)
\( \varepsilon = 0.5 \) (Nt = 0.5)

**Figure 9:** Microorganisms profile \( \chi (\eta) \) for different Nt.
Figure 14 is drawn to perceive the impact of bioconvection Lewis number $L_b$ on the density of motile microorganisms profile. It is observed that higher values of bioconvection Lewis number $L_b$ lower the boundary layer thickness of motile microorganisms profile.

Figure 15 represents the influence of the Peclet number $Pe$ on the density of motile microorganisms profile. It is validated the fact that increment in Peclet number $Pe$ causes
a reduction in motile microorganisms boundary layer thickness.

Figures 16 and 17 portray the impact of the Lewis number $Le$ and the chemical reaction $Kr$ on the concentration profile. It is analyzed that by increasing both the parameter Lewis number $Le$ and chemical reaction $Kr$, the concentration boundary layer thins.

Figure 18 depicts the skin friction coefficient against the porosity parameter $K_p$ with variations $A$ and $M$. The skin friction coefficient decreases as the porosity parameter $K_p$ increases.
friction seems to increase with the porosity parameter and with the increasing values of \( A \) and \( M \).

5. Conclusions

The current analysis focuses on the unsteady MHD stagnation point flow of bionanofluid with internal heat generation/absorption in a permeable medium with thermal radiation and chemical reaction into account over a stretching and shrinking sheet. The significant findings of the problem are summarized as follows:

1. The skin friction coefficient enhances for higher values of the unsteady parameter \( A \), magnetic parameter \( M \), and porosity parameter \( K_p \).

2. The increment in the Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \), thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \), thermal radiation parameter \( Rd \), Eckert number \( Ec \), heat source parameter \( s \) causes enhancement in thermal boundary layer thickness while an increase in Prandtl number \( Pr \) causes a reduction in thermal boundary layer thickness.

3. The concentration boundary layer thickness increases for the thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \), whereas it decreases for higher values of the Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \), Lewis number \( Le \), and chemical reaction parameter \( Kr \).

4. The increment of the Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \), bioconvection parameter \( Lb \), and Peclet number \( Pe \) reduces the density of motile microorganisms while it increases for larger values of the thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \).

5. Different trends have been seen for boundary layer thickness through graphs. Graphs describe that boundary layer thickness is different in the stretching sheet case when compared to the shrinking sheet case.

6. The skin friction coefficient increases with the increase in porosity parameter \( K_p \) as it can be seen through tables and graphical representation.

Nomenclature

- \( a \): Positive constant (s\(^{-1}\))
- \( (u, v) \): The velocity components (ms\(^{-1}\))
- \( (x, y) \): Cartesian coordinates (m)
- \( \rho \): The density of fluid (kgm\(^{-3}\))
- \( \mu \): The coefficient of viscosity (Pas)
- \( \sigma \): The electrical conductivity (Sm\(^{-1}\))
- \( \beta_e \): Applied magnetic field (Nm\(^{-1}\)A\(^{-1}\))
- \( T_w \): Fluid temperature (K)
- \( T_\infty \): Ambient fluid temperature (K)
- \( k \): The thermal conductivity (Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
- \( \epsilon \): Stretching/Shrinking parameter
- \( \Gamma \): Unsteadiness parameter
- \( \Gamma_1 \): Dimensionless parameter
- \( b \): Chemotaxis constant (m)
- \( Nn \): Local Nusselt parameter
- \( S \): Dimensionless parameter
- \( C_f \): Skin friction coefficient
- \( Pr \): Prandtl number
- \( Rd \): Thermal radiation parameter
- \( Pr \): Ambient Prandtl number
- \( C_{p,f} \): Specific heat capacity (Jkg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
- \( C_{w} \): The concentration at the wall
- \( C_{\infty} \): The ambient fluid concentration
- \( C_{w} \): The concentration of microorganisms
- \( N_{\infty} \): Microorganisms far from the wall
- \( M \): Magnetic parameter
- \( Kp \): Porosity parameter
- \( e \): Stretching/Shrinking parameter
- \( T_\infty \): Ambient fluid temperature (K)
- \( C_{p,f} \): Specific heat capacity (Jkg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
- \( C_{w} \): The concentration at the wall
- \( C_{\infty} \): The ambient fluid concentration
- \( C_{w} \): The concentration of microorganisms
- \( N_{\infty} \): Microorganisms far from the wall
- \( Le \): Lewis number
- \( Lb \): Bioconvection Lewis number
- \( b \): Chemotaxis constant (m)
- \( w_c \): Maximum cell swimming speed ms\(^{-1}\)
- \( Pe \): Peclet number
- \( Sh_{c} \): Local Sherwood parameter
- \( Nn_{c} \): Local density parameter of the motile microorganisms.
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