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For series, parallel, and $k$-out-of-$n$ voting system reliability calculation methods, the six σ principles have been proposed in this study to derive the interchange relationship between interval parameters and random parameters. The interval reliability index can be expressed in the function of the random reliability index. The interval reliability index can then be transformed into a random reliability index. The computational method of the reliability for series, parallel, and $k$-out-of-$n$ voting systems with interval parameters is established. Finally, it has been shown that the proposed method is rational, practical, and applicable with two engineering practical simulations.

1. Introduction

In real-world engineering practice, it is very difficult to collect enough and accurate whole service life data for engineering structures, machinery and systems, etc., and the random reliability methods often fail to meet the actual needs. The literature [1–3] points out that the random reliability methods are often used in reliability assessment, but in practice, there should be other more effective approaches to supplement the deficiencies of random reliability methods. When the random reliability methods are unable to fit the models, the reliability problems should be solved using the interval, fuzzy, or convex set models to describe the uncertainty parameters [4–7]. Structural nonprobabilistic reliability models based on the interval theory have been proposed, and the structural nonprobabilistic reliability indices have been analyzed under interval loads [8].

In most real-life engineering problems, structures and machinery are not isolated but exist in the form of a system. The main common systems are series, parallel, and $k/n$ system (SPKS). In the paper by Jiang et al. [9], the SPKS are introduced, and the reliability computational methods are presented, respectively. The random reliability method and interval reliability method are compared [10]. It is believed that the two reliability methods have their advantages and can complement each other in reliability calculation. The conversion of interval and random reliability methods has been studied [11, 12]. Different measure spaces are transformed into a unified random space. The structural reliability is computed by using the random reliability theory, and the accuracy of the calculation can be improved [13].

In the paper by Fang et al. [14, 15], the dynamic reliability of the repairable $k/n$ vote is studied, and its reliability computational model is proposed. The reliability and maintenance of SPKS are also studied, and the optimal maintenance plan with repair time is proposed [16]. Load and strength with interval parameters will normally be encountered during the service period of engineering systems. The random reliability calculation method has also not been applied to SPKS reasonably. At present, there are limited studies on this topic.

Based on the above literature review, the computational method for interval reliability of SPKS will be studied in this paper. The interval parameters will first be transformed into random parameters; then, the random reliability of each link within the SPKS will be obtained, and finally, the random
reliability of the whole SPKS will be computed. Two numerical examples are used to illustrate the proposed method for practicability and effectiveness.

2. Series, Parallel, and k/n Systems with Random Parameters

A system is denoted as $S$. There are $n$ links within the system, and the $i$th link (i from 1 to $n$) is subjected to the load of which the mean is denoted as $\mu_i$, and the standard deviation is $\sigma_i$. The mean of the strength for the $i$th link (i from 1 to $n$) is denoted as $\mu_{qi}$, and the standard deviation is $\sigma_{qi}$. The reliability index of the $i$th link is denoted as $\beta_i$, and the reliability (probability of failure) is denoted as $P_i$. Based on the configuration of the system, the reliability of the series system ($P_{ss}$), parallel system ($P_{ps}$), and $k/n$ system ($P_{ks}$) can be calculated as follows:

$$P_{ss} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i, \quad (1)$$

$$P_{ps} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - P_i), \quad (2)$$

$$P_{ks} = \sum_{m=k}^{n} C_{m}^{n} P_{Li}^{m} (1 - P_{Li})^{n-m}. \quad (3)$$

3. Reliability Computation of Series, Parallel, and k/n Systems with Interval Parameters

3.1. Basic Theory. A system with interval parameters is denoted as $S$. There are $m$ links within the system, and the $i$th link ($i$ is from 1 to $m$) is subjected to the load which contains interval parameters too. The interval number is given as $x_{Li} = [x_{Li}^- , x_{Li}^+ ]$, where $x_{Li}^+ , x_{Li}^- \in R$ and $x_{Li}^+ \leq x_{Li}^-$. $x_{Li}^-$ is the lower bound of the interval and $x_{Li}^+$ is the upper bound of the interval.

Its interval mean and deviation of the load can be calculated as follows:

$$x_{Li}^+ = \frac{x_{Li}^- + x_{Li}^+}{2}, \quad (4)$$

$$x_{Li}^- = \frac{x_{Li}^+ + x_{Li}^-}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, the strength of the $i$th link ($i$ is from 1 to $m$) with interval parameters is given as $x_{qi} = [x_{qi}^- , x_{qi}^+ ]$, where $x_{qi}^+ , x_{qi}^- \in R$, and $x_{qi}^+ \leq x_{qi}^-$. Its interval mean and deviation of the strength can be calculated as follows:

$$x_{qi}^+ = \frac{x_{qi}^- + x_{qi}^+}{2}, \quad (6)$$

$$x_{qi}^- = \frac{x_{qi}^+ + x_{qi}^-}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Based on the load and strength of the $i$th link with interval parameters, its reliability computational equation is shown as follows:

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_{qi}^+ - x_{Li}^-}{x_{qi}^- + x_{Li}^+}, & x_{qi}^+ > x_{Li}^+, \\
0, & \text{others}.
\end{cases} \quad (8)$$

If $\eta > 1$, the $i$th link in the system is reliable. However, if $\eta < 1$, the $i$th link in the system is not reliable. If $-1 \leq \eta \leq 1$, the higher the value of $\eta$, the more reliable the link will achieve.

3.2. Proposed Reliability Computational Approach. For SPKS with random and interval parameters, the following relationship for the $i$th link subjected to the load can be established using the 6 $\sigma$ rule:

$$x_{Li}^+ = \mu_{Li} - 6\sigma_{Li}, \quad (9)$$

$$x_{Li}^- = \mu_{Li} + 6\sigma_{Li}. \quad (10)$$

Based on equations (4) and (5), equations (9) and (10) can be rearranged as follows:

$$x_{Li}^+ = \mu_{Li} + \frac{x_{Li}^- + x_{Li}^+}{2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma_{Li} = \frac{x_{Li}^+ - x_{Li}^-}{12}. \quad (12)$$

The relationship between interval deviation of the load and standard deviation of the random load parameter can be obtained from equation (12):

$$x_{Li}^- = 6\sigma_{Li}. \quad (13)$$

Similarly, the same relationship can be established using the 6 $\sigma$ rule for the strength of the $i$th link with interval and random parameters:

$$x_{qi}^+ = \mu_{qi}, \quad (14)$$

$$x_{qi}^- = 6\sigma_{qi}. \quad (15)$$

Then, based on the derived equations (13) and (15), the relationship between the interval reliability index and random reliability index of the $i$th link within the SPKS can be established by using the first-order second moment (FOSM) method as follows:

$$\eta_i = \frac{x_{qi}^- - x_{Li}^-}{6(\sigma_{qi}^+ + \sigma_{Li}^+)} \geq \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \frac{x_{qi}^- - x_{Li}^-}{\sqrt{(\sigma_{qi}^+)^2 + (\sigma_{Li}^+)^2}} = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \beta_i, \quad (16)$$

$$6\sqrt{2} \eta_i \geq \beta_i, \quad (17)$$
Table 1: Parameters of the 4 links of the mechanical system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link</th>
<th>$x_{Li}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$x_{qi}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$x_{Li}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$x_{qi}$ (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Reliability of the 4 links of the mechanical system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link</th>
<th>Reliability $P_{bi}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Reliability of the series, parallel, and $k/n$ systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Series system</th>
<th>Parallel system</th>
<th>$3/4$ system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability $P_i$</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Hollow cylindrical cantilever beam.

Table 4: Parameters of the hollow cylindrical cantilever beam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Parameter 1</th>
<th>Parameter 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$ (mm)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$ (mm)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$ (mm)</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>59.75</td>
<td>60.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$ (N)</td>
<td>Gumbel</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$ (N)</td>
<td>Gumbel</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (N)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ (Nm)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$ (mm)</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>119.75</td>
<td>120.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_1$ (°)</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_2$ (°)</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ (MPa)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$ (MPa)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta$ (°)</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$ (MPa)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$ (mm)</td>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
can be reduced to system is safe when practice. In addition, equation (19) can explain why the IZ\_he index is conservative which is useful in engineering corresponds to the random reliability index of the $\eta\leq \beta_i$.

For the sake of conservatism, the interval reliability index can be reduced to

$$\eta_i = 0.1667\beta_i;$$

Equation (21) shows that the interval reliability index corresponds to the random reliability index of the $i$th link. This index is conservative which is useful in engineering practice. In addition, equation (19) can explain why the system is safe when $\eta_i > 1$, why the system is a failure when $\eta_i < -1$, why the system is more reliable when $\eta$ is larger, and why the system may be safe or fail when $-1 \leq \eta_i \leq 1$. It can also be used to determine the degree to which the system may be safe.

The reliability (probability of failure) $P_{fi}$ of the $i$th link is calculated using the reliability index as follows:

$$P_{fi} = \Phi(\beta_i),$$

where $\Phi$ is the standard normal distribution.

Based on equations (1)–(3), the reliability (probability of failure) of SPKS with interval parameters can be obtained once the reliability $P_{fi}$ of the $i$th link has been calculated from equation (22). Based on the configuration of the system, the reliability of the series system ($P_{s}$), parallel system ($P_{p}$), and $k/n$ system ($P_{k/n}$) with interval parameters can be calculated as follows:

$$P_{sl} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{fi},$$

$$P_{pl} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - P_{fi}),$$

$$P_{kl} = \sum_{m=k}^{n} C_{m}^{n} P_{fi}^{m} (1 - P_{fi})^{n-m}.$$
addition, the strength, stiffness, deformation, and other factors should be considered comprehensively during its service period. If all factors will not fail, then the cantilever beam can be considered to be reliable. It is reasonable that the hollow cylindrical cantilever beam should be considered as a parallel system, and its reliability is 0.99401.

5. Conclusion

The reliability calculation method for interval parameters within SPKS has been proposed. The interchange relationship of the interval parameters and random parameters has been derived by using the 6σ principle. The relationship between the interval reliability index and the random reliability index has been established. The interval reliability index of individual link within SPKS can be transformed into the random reliability index. Once the reliability of the individual link within the system has been obtained, the reliability of the whole SPKS can be calculated. It has been verified that the proposed method is reasonable, practical, and applicable with two numerical examples.
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