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#### Abstract

The recently introduced triple exponentially weighted moving average (TEWMA) chart is the extended form of the classical EWMA and double EWMA (DEWMA) charts. On the other hand, the auxiliary information-based (AIB) homogeneously weighted moving average ( $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ ) chart is used for the monitoring of process location shifts efficiently as compared to the HWMA chart. Combining TEWMA with HWMA features is a new idea and is not seen in the literature until now. So, the objective of this study is to combine the idea of $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ and TEWMA charts and propose an AIB triple HWMA, symbolized as THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart to further improve the process location shift monitoring. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is developed by combining the AIB double HWMA plotting statistic into the other HWMA chart. The numerical results are computed using the Monte Carlo simulation method. Average run length (ARL), relative ARL, performance comparison index, and extra quadratic loss are used as comparison tools for the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart with the classical EWMA, TEWMA, mixed HWMACUSUM (MHC), AIB EWMA (EWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ ), HWMA, double HWMA (DHWMA), HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, AIB mixed EWMA-CUSUM $\left(\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}\right)$, and AIB mixed CUSUM-EWMA ( $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ ) charts. Finally, a practical application is also provided for users to demonstrate the proposed study's vitality.


## 1. Introduction

Variations are an integral part of all kinds of production and non-production processes. These variations are categorized as common and special variations. Generally, these special variations can result in process parameters (location and/or dispersion) shifts. These shifts are classified into three sizes as small, moderate, and large. Control charts are widely used in the statistical process control (SPC) toolkit to identify these special variations. For tracking large shifts, Shewhart charts [1] are widely used; however, for small to moderate shifts, cumulative sum (CUSUM) [2] and exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) [3] are used.

In the SPC literature, many enhancements and modifications on control charts continuously fulfil the practitioner's requirement of quickly detecting shifts. In this regard, Shamma and Shamma [4] extended the classical EWMA chart and suggested an improved double EWMA (DEWMA) chart. The DEWMA chart is more responsive than the classical EWMA chart. Alevizakos, et al. [5] proposed a triple EWMA (TEWMA) chart, the extended version of the EWMA and DEWMA charts for process location. The TEWMA chart is handy for detecting smaller process location shifts. After that, Alevizakos, et al. [6] recommended one-sided and two-sided TEWMA charts for the time between events, regarded as $\mathrm{TEWMA}_{\text {TBE }}$ charts, and
showed that the TEWMA TBE charts are more sensitive than the $\mathrm{DEWMA}_{\text {TBE }}$ and EWMA TBE charts. Similarly, Sukparungsee, et al. [7], Taboran, et al. [8], and Talordphop, et al. [9] suggested mixed EWMA-moving average (MA), Tukey MA-DEWMA, modified EWMA-MA control charts for process location, respectively. Also, Taboran, et al. [10] introduced non-parametric Tukey MA-EWMA control chart for detecting process location shifts. To monitor process dispersion, Chatterjee, et al. [11] developed a TEWMA chart using three parameters of logarithmic transformation to $S^{2}$ and named as $S^{2}$-TEWMA chart. Subsequently, Alevizakos, et al. [12] formulated a nonparametric TEWMA sign chart for process median. Recently, Alevizakos, et al. [13] suggested non-parametric TEWMA sign ranked charts. Similarly, Rasheed, et al. [14] introduced Nonparametric Triple EWMA Wilcoxon SignedRank Control Chart for process location.

Similarly, using auxiliary information with the original study variable enhances the control chart's detection ability [15]. Many researchers identified various features of the auxiliary information-based (AIB) memory charts. For illustration, Abbas, et al. [16] initiated an AIB EWMA ( $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ ) chart to monitor process location. Likewise, Adegoke, et al. [17] introduced an AIB EWMA chart for monitoring process location shifts using various sampling schemes. Similarly, Noor-ul-Amin, et al. [18] presented the AIB HEWMA (HEWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ ) chart for the phase-II process monitoring of the location parameter. Abbasi and Haq [15] recommended AIB optimal and adaptive CUSUM chart for process location. Similarly, Haq and Khoo [19] designed the AIB multivariate chart for the mean vector. Subsequently, Anwar, et al. [20] and Aslam and Anwar [21] provided AIB modified-EWMA and Bayesian modified-EWMA charts to improve process location monitoring. Also, to monitor process location, Anwar, et al. [22] formulated two new charts using auxiliary information named mixed EWMA-CUSUM ( $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ ) and mixed CUSUM-EWMA ( $\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ ) charts. Recently, a combined MEC $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is proposed for the simultaneous monitoring of process location and dispersion parameters [23]. Haq, et al. [24] suggested adaptive CUSUM and EWMA under variable sampling intervals using auxiliary information. More details of AIB memory charts are available in Lee, et al. [25], Haq [26], Haq [27], Adegoke, et al. [28] and the references therein.

Hunter [29] points out the drawback of classical EWMA statistic is that the freshest observations are given more weight than previous observations. To resolve this drawback, Abbas [30] proposed a homogeneous weighted moving average (HWMA) chart that assigns particular weights to recent process values and homogeneously allocates the remaining weights to the old process values. This approach enhances the effectiveness of the HWMA chart as compared to its competitor's charts. Later Adegoke, et al. [28] extended the Abbas [30] work to propose the AIB HWMA ( $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ ) chart is more effective for smaller shift monitoring. Subsequently, Adeoti and Koleoso [31] and Abid, et al. [32] enhanced the existing work by introducing a hybrid-HWMA (HHWMA) and double HWMA
(DHWMA) charts, respectively. Also, Thanwane, et al. [33] presented an HWMA chart for the autocorrelated process under the assumption of estimated parameters. Unlike process location monitoring, Riaz, et al. [34] utilized the HWMA chart concept for efficient process dispersion monitoring. Recently, Abid, et al. [35] introduced a mixed HWMA-CUCUM (MHC) chart for process location for efficient process monitoring. Also, Rasheed, et al. [36] introduced homogeneously mixed memory control chart for process location.

Motivated by the extraordinary performances of TEWMA and HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ charts, we are aimed to combine the features of TEWMA and HWMA AIB charts and proposed a more efficient AIB triple HWMA (symbolized as (THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ )) chart for process location. The merging of TEWMA with HWMA features in the presence of auxiliary information is a new idea and is not seen in the literature till now and it is expected that the combining of TEWMA and $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ charts features will further boost the performance of proposed THWMA AIB $^{\text {chart. Average run length }}$ (ARL), relative ARL (RARL), extra quadratic loss (EQL), and performance comparison index (PCI) are used for the performance comparison with existing counterparts. Besides, the Monte Carlo simulation method is used for obtaining numerical measures. The classical EWMA, TEWMA, MHC, EWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, HWMA, DHWMA, $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}, \mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$, and $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ charts are considered for the comparison. Additionally, the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is implemented in a real-world scenario to demonstrate its utility in practice.

The remainder of the research article is structured as follows: existing charts are described in Section 2. Additionally, Section 3 enlisted the design structure and special cases of proposed (THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ ) chart. Furthermore, the next section provides the performance evaluation and comparison against classical EWMA, TEWMA, MHC, EWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$, HWMA, HWMA AIB $^{\text {DHWMA,, }}$ MEC $_{\text {AIB }}$, and $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ charts. Also, the application of (THWMA $\mathrm{AIB}^{\text {}}$ ) chart is included in Section 5. The last section describes the overall summary, conclusions, and recommendations.

## 2. Existing Methods

This section describes the detailed methodology of the existing HWMA AIB and TEWMA charts for process location monitoring.
2.1. Variable of Interest and Auxiliary Information. Assume that the process variable $Y$ is normally distributed with a mean $\mu_{Y}+\delta \sigma_{Y}$ and variance $\sigma_{Y}^{2}$. Let $\bar{Y}_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i t} / n$ and $S_{Y t}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i t}-\bar{Y}_{t}\right)^{2} /(n-1)$ represents the sample mean and variance of $Y$ of size $n$. If $\delta=0$, the process is incontrol (IC); otherwise, it is out-of-control (OOC). So, for the IC situation, $\bar{Y}_{t}$ and $S_{Y t}^{2}$ are mutually independent identically distributed. Let $X$ be an auxiliary variable of $Y$. The $X$ and $Y$ follow a bivariate normal distribution (BND) (i.e., $(Y, X) \sim N\left(\mu_{Y}, \mu_{X}, \sigma_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \rho\right)$, where $\mu_{X}$ represents the mean and $\sigma_{X}$ represents the standard deviation of $X$. Also,
the $\rho$ is the correlation coefficient corresponding to $X$ and $Y$. The AIB regression estimator for process location is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}=\bar{Y}_{t}+b_{Y X}\left(\mu_{X}-\bar{X}_{t}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $b_{Y X}=\rho\left(\sigma_{Y} / \sigma_{X}\right), \quad E\left(R_{t}\right)=\mu_{Y} \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{Var}\left(R_{t}\right)=\sigma_{Y}^{2}$ $-b_{Y X}^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2} / n$.
2.2. HWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. Adegoke, et al. [28] introduced $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart to track changes in process location. The

HWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is the extended form of HWMA chart, used when interest variable is observed along with auxiliary information variable. The plotting statistic of the HWMA chart given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \bar{R}_{t-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{t}$ is the sample average of $t^{t h}$ sample and $\lambda_{1} \in(0,1]$ is the smoothing constant, and $\bar{R}_{t-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} R_{i} / t-1$ is the mean of preceding $t-1$ observations The control limits of the $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{LCL}_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}\right) t}=\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{0}-L_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\left(\lambda^{2} / n\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right),} \quad \text { if } t=1 \\
\\
\mu_{0}-L_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\left\{\lambda^{2}+\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{(t-1)}\right\}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right),} \quad \text { if } t>1 \\
\\
\\
\mu_{0}+L_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\left(\lambda^{2} / n\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { if } t=1
\end{array} \\
U C L_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}\right) t}= & \mu_{0}+L_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}\left\{\lambda^{2}+\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{(t-1)}\right\}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)},} \quad \text { if } t>1 \tag{3}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}}$ represents the coefficient of control limits. If $H_{t}>U C L_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}\right) t}$ or $H_{t}<L C L_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}\right) t}$, the process is OOC; otherwise, IC.
2.3. TEWMA Chart. Alevizakos et al. [5] designed a TEWMA chart for efficient process location monitoring. The plotting statistics of the TEWMA chart are defined as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{t}=\lambda Y_{t}+\lambda E_{t-1},  \tag{4}\\
D E_{t}=\lambda E_{t}+\lambda D E_{t-1} \\
T E_{t}=\lambda D E_{t}+\lambda T E_{t-1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda \in(0,1]$ is a TEWMA constant. The initial values of $E_{0}, D E_{0}, T E_{0}$ are all equal to $\mu_{0}$. The mean and variance of the $T E_{t}$ are $E\left(T E_{t}\right)=\mu_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{var}\left(T E_{t}\right) / \sigma^{2}= & \theta^{3} \lambda^{6} / 4\left[\left\{t\left(t^{2}-1\right)(t-2)^{\theta^{t}-3} / 1-\theta\right\}-4\left\{t\left(t^{2}-1\right) \theta^{t-2} /(1-\theta)^{2}\right\}-12\left\{t(t+1) \theta^{t-1} /(1-\theta)^{3}\right\}-24\left\{(t+1) \theta^{t} /(1-\theta)^{4}\right\}+24\left\{\left(1-\theta^{t+1}\right) /(1-\theta)^{5}\right\}\right] \\
& +2 \theta^{2} \lambda^{6}\left[\left\{t\left(t^{2}-1\right) \theta^{t-2} / 1-\theta\right\}-3\left\{t(t+1) \theta^{t-1} /(1-\theta)^{2}\right\}-6\left\{(t+1) \theta^{t} /(1-\theta)^{3}\right\}+6\left\{\left(1-\theta^{t+1}\right) /(1-\theta)^{4}\right\}\right] \\
& +7 \theta \lambda^{6} / 2\left[\left\{t(t+1) \theta^{t-1} / 1-\theta\right\}-2(t+1) \theta^{t} /(1-\theta)^{2}+\left\{2\left(1-\theta^{t+1}\right) /(1-\theta)^{3}\right\}\right] \\
& +\lambda^{6}\left[\left\{\left(1-\theta^{t+1} /(1-\theta)^{2}\right)-\left((t+1) \theta^{t} /(1-\theta)\right)\right\}\right], \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $\theta=(1-\lambda)^{2}$. The time-varying control limits of the TEWMA chart are defined as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L C L_{(\mathrm{TEWMA}) t}=\mu_{0}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{TEWMA}} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(T E_{t}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
U C L_{(\mathrm{TEWMA}) t}=\mu_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{TEWMA}} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(T E_{t}\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{L}_{\text {TEWMA }}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {TEWMA }}>0\right)$ is the control limits coefficient of the TEWMA chart. The process will be OOC if any $T E_{t}>U C L_{(\text {TEWMA })}$ or $T E_{t}<L C L_{\text {(TEWMA) }}$.

## 3. Proposed Method

The methodology for the proposed chart is described in this section. Subsection 3.1 covers the design structure of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. Besides, the special cases of the $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are given in Subsection 3.2.
3.1. Proposed THWMA AIB Chart. To construct the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart, the plotting statistic of the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{t}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \bar{R}_{t-1}  \tag{7}\\
D H_{t}=\lambda_{2} H_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) \bar{R}_{t-1}  \tag{8}\\
T H_{t}=\lambda_{3} D H_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{3}\right) \bar{R}_{t-1} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda$ by following Shamma and Shamma [4] and Abid, et al. [32], then after simplification, the $T H_{t}$ statistic can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}=\lambda^{3} R_{t}+\left(1-\lambda^{3}\right) \bar{R}_{t-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $T H_{t}$ statistic in Equation (9) can be expressed as: $T H_{t}=\lambda^{3} R_{t}+\left[\left(\frac{1-\lambda^{3}}{i-1}\right) R_{t-1}+\left(\frac{1-\lambda^{3}}{i-1}\right) R_{t-2}+\cdots+\left(\frac{1-\lambda^{3}}{i-1}\right) R_{1}\right]$.

Also, for $T H_{t}, E\left(T H_{t}\right)=\mu_{0}, \operatorname{var}\left(T H_{t}\right)=\lambda^{6} / n\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)$ $\sigma_{Y}^{2}$ for $t=1, \quad \operatorname{var}\left(T H_{t}\right)=\lambda^{6} / n\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \sigma_{Y}^{2}+\left(1-\lambda^{3}\right)^{2} / n$ $(t-1)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \sigma_{Y}^{2}$ for $t>1$. The proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart's control limits are written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{0}-L_{\mathrm{THWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{6}}{n}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { if } t=1 \\
& L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{A I B}\right) t}= \\
& \mu_{0}-L_{\mathrm{THWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\left\{\lambda^{6}+\frac{\left(1-\lambda^{3}\right)^{2}}{(t-1)}\right\}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { if } t>1 \\
& \mu_{0}+L_{\mathrm{THWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{6}}{n}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { if } t=1  \tag{12}\\
& U C L_{\left(\mathrm{THWMA}_{A I B}\right)}= \\
& \left.\mu_{0}+L_{\mathrm{THWMA}_{A I B}} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\left\{\lambda^{6}+\frac{\left(1-\lambda^{3}\right)^{2}}{(t-1)}\right\}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { if } t>1\right] \\
& D H_{t}^{(1)}=\lambda_{2} H_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }}$ is is the control limits co-efficient. The $T H_{t}$ statistic is plotted along with $L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ and $U C L_{\left.\text {(THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right)}$. The process is declared IC if $T H_{t}>$ $L C L_{\left(\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ or $T H_{t}<U C L_{\left(\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}\right)} ;$ otherwise, OOC.
3.2. Special Cases of $\mathbf{T H W M A}_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart reduced to some existing charts including HWMA, DHWMA, and HWMA AIB $^{\text {charts by considering }}$ special values of parameters. The special cases, along with their proves, are provided here.

Case 1. When $\rho=0$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$, the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart tends to the DHWMA chart.

Proof. When $\rho=0$, then the difference $R_{t}$ estimator reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{(1)}=\bar{Y}_{t} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substitute the resulted $R_{t}^{(1)}$ in Equation (6) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}^{(1)}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Equation (7) can be written as

Now substitute Equation (14) in Equation (8) which provides the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}^{(1)}=\lambda_{3} D H_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{3}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, put $\lambda_{3}=1$ in Equation (15) then the $T H_{t}^{(1)}$ reduced as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}^{(1)}=D H_{t}^{(1)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that statistic of proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart becomes the statistic of DHWMA by Abid, et al. [32], when $\rho=0$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$.

Case 2. The proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart converts to THWMA chart at $\rho=0$.

Proof. Consider estimator $R_{t}^{(1)}$ which is given in Equation (12) when $\rho=0$ (i.e., $R_{t}^{(1)}=\bar{Y}_{t}$ ). Based on $R_{t}^{(1)}$, the $H_{t}^{(1)}$ of Equation (13) will be as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}^{(1)}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the $H_{t}^{(1)}$ in the plotting statistic of the $D H_{t}$, (presented as $D H_{t}^{(2)}$ in this case) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
D H_{t}^{(2)} & =\lambda_{2} H_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)},  \tag{19}\\
T H_{t}^{(2)} & =\lambda_{3} D H_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{3}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The $T H_{t}^{(2)}$ in Equation (20) is the THWMA chart with no correlation. Hence, the proposed THWMA AIB chart reduced to the statistic of the THWMA chart for $\rho=0$.

Case 3. When $\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$, the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart tends to the $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ chart.

Proof. When $\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$, then the plotting statistic of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart, symbolized as $T H_{t}^{(3)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}^{(3)}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) R_{t-1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $T H_{t}^{(3)}$ in Equation (19) is similar to the $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ statistic proposed by Adegoke, et al. [28] except for their notations. Hence, the statistic of proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart becomes the statistic of HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ when $\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$.

Case 4. The proposed THWMA AIB chart converts to the HWMA chart at $\rho=0, \lambda_{2}=1$, and $\lambda_{3}=1$.

Proof. Consider estimator $R_{t}^{(1)}$ which is given in Equation (12) when $\rho=0$ (i.e., $R_{t}^{(1)}=\bar{Y}_{t}$ ). Based on $R_{t}^{(1)}$, the $H_{t}^{(1)}$ of Equation (13) will be as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}^{(4)}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the $H_{t}^{(1)}$ in the plotting statistic of Equation (7) and (8), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D H_{t}^{(4)} & =\lambda_{2} H_{t}^{(4)}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} \\
T H_{t}^{(4)} & =\lambda_{3} D H_{t}^{(4)}+\left(1-\lambda_{3}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Now put $\lambda_{2}=1$ and $\lambda_{3}=1$, then the plotting statistic of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart, symbolized as $T H_{t}^{(4)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}^{(4)}=\lambda_{1} R_{t}^{(1)}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) R_{t-1}^{(1)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $T H_{t}^{(4)}$ in Equation (22) is identical to the HWMA statistic. This shows that the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is identical to the HWMA chart by Abbas (2018) for $\rho=0, \lambda_{2}=1$, and. $\lambda_{3}=1$.

Case 5. When $\lambda_{3}=1$, the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart tends to the double $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}\left(\mathrm{DHWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}\right)$ chart.

Proof. When $\lambda_{3}=1$, then the plotting statistic of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart, symbolized as $T H_{t}^{(5)}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T H_{t}^{(5)}=\lambda_{2} D H_{t}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) R_{t-1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $T H_{t}^{(5)}$ in Equation (23) is a DHWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ statistic. Hence, the statistic of proposed THWMA AIB chart becomes the statistic of DHWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ for $\lambda_{3}=1$.

## 4. Performance Evaluation Measures

This section introduces the performance evaluation measures to analyze the charts' performance. The Monte Carlo simulation detail is given in Subsection 4.1. Likewise, the description of the ARL is enlisted in Subsection 4.2. Similarly, the overall performance evaluation measures are defined in Subsection 4.3. The choices of parameters of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is given in Subsection 4.4.
4.1. Monte Carlo Simulation. The Monte Carlo simulation procedure is regarded as a computational technique for obtaining numerical results for evaluating the performance of the proposed THWMA AIB chart. Monte Carlo simulation with $10^{5}$ iterations is conducted for each displacement of $\delta$ using $R$ software to obtain the ARL of the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. The shift is reflected in the process mean as: $\mu_{Y}$ to $\mu_{Y}+\delta \sigma_{Y}$, where $\delta=0.00,0.05,0.10,0.20,0.25,0.50$, $1.00,1.50,2.00,2.50,3.00$, and 5.00 . The proposed THWMA AIB chart is constructed by following given below guidelines:
(i) Generate random observations from $\left(Y_{\mathrm{it}}, X_{\mathrm{it}}\right) \sim N\left(\mu_{Y}, \mu_{X}, \sigma_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \rho\right)(t=1,2,3, \ldots)$.
(ii) Calculate the $R_{t}$ estimator from Equation (1).
(iii) Calculate the $H_{t}$ statistics of the THWMA AIB chart from Equation (6) using $R_{t}$ estimator.
(iv) Use the $D H_{t}$ statistic as an input in Equation (8) to obtain the $T H_{t}$ statistic.
(v) Chose $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}$ along with other desired parameters ( $\lambda, \rho$ ) for desired IC ARL denoted as $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$.
(vi) Compute $L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{A B B}\right) t}$ and $U C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ based on $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}$ and $\lambda$
(vii) Plot the $T H_{t}$ statistic against the $\left.L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right)}\right)$ and $U C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right)}$.
(viii) If $T H_{t}>U C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ or $T H_{t}<L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$, record sequence order which is called run length (RL)
(ix) Repeat steps (i)-(viii) $10^{5}$ times.
(x) Calculate the average of $10^{5} \mathrm{RL}$, which is $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$. If it is desired $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$; otherwise, adjust the $\mathrm{L}_{\text {Proposed }}$ accordingly and repeat from steps (i)-(ix) until will not get desired $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$.
(xi) For OOC ARL values, considered ( $Y_{\mathrm{it}}, X_{\mathrm{it}}$ ) ~ $\left.N\left(\mu_{Y+\delta \sigma_{Y}}, \mu_{X}\right),\left(\sigma_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \rho\right)\right)$ and repeat from steps (ii)-(x).
4.2. Individual Performance Measure. The average run length (ARL) is commonly used to evaluate a chart's performance at a single shift. The ARL is listed as IC $\operatorname{ARL}\left(\mathrm{ARL}_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{OOC} \operatorname{ARL}\left(\mathrm{ARL}_{1}\right)$. For IC state, the $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}$
is chosen to be sufficiently large to eliminate the effect of the false alarm rate. On the other hand, the ARL $L_{1}$ should be small enough to detect a shift quickly. A chart is preferred than the other competing charts if it should have a smaller $A R L_{1}$ value at predefined $A R L_{0}$.
4.3. Overall Performance Evaluation Measures. The EQL, RARL, and PCI performance evaluation measures are used to evaluate a chart's overall effectiveness. More information can be found here.

The EQL is the weighted average ARL over the domain of shifts with $\delta^{2}$ as a weight [37]. It is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{EQL}=\left(\delta_{\max }-\delta_{\min }\right)^{-1} \int_{\delta_{\min }}^{\delta_{\max }} \delta^{2} \operatorname{ARL}(\delta) \mathrm{d} \delta, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{ARL}(\delta)$ is the ARL at specific $\delta ; \delta_{\text {min }}$ and $\delta_{\text {max }}$ are the smallest and largest shift values of the domain, respectively. The lower the EQL value signifies, the better the chart's performance.

The RARL, like EQL is also used to assess the efficiency of a chart. It can be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{RARL}=\left(\delta_{\max }-\delta_{\min }\right)^{-1} \int_{\delta_{\min }}^{\delta_{\max }} \frac{\operatorname{ARL}(\delta)}{\operatorname{ARL}^{*}(\delta)} \mathrm{d} \delta . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\operatorname{ARL}(\delta)$ is the ARL of the competing chart. The ARL $^{*}(\delta)$ is the ARL of benchmark chart at a $\delta$. A chart is decided as a benchmark chart for a smaller ARL at specific $\delta$ [22]. The RARL value of the benchmark chart is assumed to be one. If the competing chart has RARL >1, the benchmark chart is more efficient than competing.

The PCI corresponds to the EQL ratio of the specific chart to the EQL of the benchmark chart. Here EQL* represents the EQL of the benchmark chart, whereas the $E Q L$ represents the EQL of the competing chart. According to Ou , et al. [38], the PCI is presented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PCI}=\frac{\mathrm{EQL}}{\mathrm{EQL}^{*}} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The PCI of the benchmark chart should be one. If the PCI $>1$, the benchmark chart is superior against the competing chart [20].
4.4. Effect of Parameters Choices. The design parameters $\left(\lambda, \mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}\right)$ of the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart has its effect on the detection ability. Various combinations of these parameters are chosen, and hence corresponding ARL, the standard deviation of RL (SDRL), and median of RL (MDRL) are computed. The parameter $\lambda$ is set as 0.10 , $0.25,0.50$, and 0.75 to find the values of $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}$, to obtain $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$. Various $\rho$ like $0.00,0.25,0.50,0.75$, and 0.95 are assumed for this study. Numerical results of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are presented in Tables 1-4.

## 5. Evaluation and Performance Comparison

This section presents comprehensive comparisons of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart with classical EWMA [3], EWMA $_{\text {aib }}$ [16], HWMA [30], HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ [28], DHWMA [32], TEWMA [5], $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {Aib }}$ and $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {Aib }}$ [22], and MHC [35] charts. More detail is provided here.
5.1. Proposed versus Classical EWMA Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart provides superior detection ability for various values of $\lambda$ against the classical EWMA chart. For instance, at $\rho=0.75, \lambda=0.25, \delta=0.50$, the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart has an ARL $_{1}$ value of 7.74 , while the classical EWMA chart has an ARL $_{1}$ value of 47.36 (see Tables 2 and 5). The supremacy of the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart compared to the classical EWMA chart can be seen in Figure 1. Evaluating the overall efficiency with $\lambda=0.10$, the $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart has smaller EQL, RARL, and PCI (i.e., $9.38,1.000,1.000$ ) values against the classical EWMA chart EQL, RARL, and PCI (i.e., 13.38, 1.43, 2.23) values (see Table 6).
5.2. Proposed versus TEWMA Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is superior to the TEWMA chart. For example, at $\delta=0.25$, the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart $(\lambda=0.10, \rho=0.50)$ yields $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}=13.34$, whereas the TEWMA chart gives ARL ${ }_{1}$ equal to 74.21 (see Table 1 and 5). The superiority of the THWMA AIB chart than the TEWMA chart can also be found in Figure 1. Additionally, for a specific range of shifts, the EQL, RARL, and PCI values for proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are smaller than the TEWMA chart. For example, at $\lambda=0.50$, for THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ and TEWMA charts, the EQL, RARL, and PCI values are ( $13.03,1.000,1.000$ ) and ( $15.29,1.26,1.17$ ), respectively (see Table 6).
5.3. Proposed versus MHC Chart. The proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is better for tracking changes in process location than the MHC chart. For example, with $\lambda=0.10$ and $\delta=0.25,0.50,0.75$, the $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ values of the MHC chart are (25.00, 13.00, 9.00), while the ARL ${ }_{1}$ values of proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart $(\rho=0.50)$ ) are ( $13.34,6.01,3.83$ ) (see Table 1 and 5). Figure 1 also highlighted the dominant position of the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart on the MHC chart. Also, the EQL, PCI, and RARL values are significantly higher for the MHC chart as compared to THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. For illustration, at $\lambda=0.25$, the $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart has EQL $=10.35, \mathrm{PCI}=1.00$, and RARL $=1.00$, whereas the MHC chart has $\mathrm{EQL}=22.05, \mathrm{PCI}=2.13$, and $\mathrm{RARL}=1.98$ measures (see Table 6).
5.4. Proposed versus EWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart offers good performance against the $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {IBB }}$ chart. As an illustration, at $\lambda=0.10, \rho=0.50$ and $\delta \in(0.25,0.50)$, the $\operatorname{ARL}_{1}(80.66,22.04)$ values of the EWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are larger than the $\operatorname{ARL}_{1}(13.34,6.01)$ values of the $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart (see Tables 1 and 5). Visual

Table 1: ARL values of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart for $\lambda=0.10$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }}^{\text {AIB }}$ $=1.2855$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | $\delta$ | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| 0.00 | ARL | 501.48 | 102.71 | 39.14 | 23.32 | 17.03 | 7.81 | 4.66 | 3.50 | 2.15 | 1.54 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2641.60 | 340.71 | 88.06 | 39.85 | 26.72 | 8.66 | 4.29 | 2.63 | 1.52 | 0.99 | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 9.000 | 9.000 | 7.000 | 8.000 | 7.000 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.25 | ARL | 503.18 | 94.35 | 43.72 | 23.50 | 17.40 | 7.35 | 4.75 | 3.36 | 2.17 | 1.49 | 1.23 | 1.06 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2862.44 | 320.47 | 90.37 | 39.81 | 26.07 | 7.74 | 4.08 | 2.54 | 1.52 | 0.95 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 8.000 | 8.500 | 9.00 | 8.00 | 7.000 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.50 | ARL | 501.16 | 80.26 | 37.62 | 17.25 | 13.34 | 6.01 | 3.83 | 2.80 | 1.80 | 1.32 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2702.05 | 252.82 | 84.76 | 27.86 | 19.37 | 6.07 | 3.21 | 2.09 | 1.23 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 8.000 | 8.000 | 7.000 | 6.00 | 6.000 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.75 | ARL | 503.05 | 65.89 | 28.36 | 12.04 | 9.670 | 4.39 | 2.93 | 2.11 | 1.35 | 1.08 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2603.27 | 188.89 | 61.46 | 16.12 | 11.84 | 3.84 | 2.29 | 1.49 | 0.81 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 8.000 | 8.000 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.90 | ARL | 503.10 | 37.59 | 17.97 | 8.21 | 6.09 | 2.87 | 1.83 | 1.37 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2658.15 | 75.79 | 30.21 | 8.81 | 6.82 | 2.14 | 1.28 | 0.83 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 7.000 | 8.000 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.95 | ARL | 501.31 | 26.85 | 11.17 | 5.68 | 4.11 | 2.00 | 1.27 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 2733.71 | 49.49 | 13.86 | 5.35 | 3.60 | 1.39 | 0.70 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 8.000 | 7.000 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 2: ARL values of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart for $\lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }}^{\text {AIB }}$ $=1.900$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | $\delta$ | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| 0.00 | ARL | 503.61 | 319.46 | 161.79 | 61.69 | 44.24 | 14.52 | 7.79 | 5.18 | 3.05 | 2.11 | 1.58 | 1.27 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 612.20 | 400.52 | 194.95 | 68.22 | 47.11 | 13.26 | 6.12 | 3.63 | 1.90 | 1.33 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.06 |
|  | MDRL | 226.00 | 153.00 | 89.00 | 38.00 | 28.00 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.25 | ARL | 502.50 | 313.03 | 155.76 | 58.77 | 42.01 | 13.84 | 7.42 | 4.93 | 2.93 | 2.03 | 1.51 | 1.23 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 610.66 | 391.94 | 186.75 | 64.52 | 44.50 | 12.50 | 5.77 | 3.42 | 1.83 | 1.27 | 0.93 | 0.64 | 0.04 |
|  | MDRL | 225.00 | 153.00 | 86.00 | 36.00 | 27.00 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.50 | ARL | 501.40 | 286.40 | 134.75 | 50.20 | 35.07 | 11.65 | 6.31 | 4.26 | 2.54 | 1.75 | 1.32 | 1.11 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 609.85 | 358.25 | 159.45 | 53.94 | 36.40 | 10.15 | 4.68 | 2.83 | 1.58 | 1.10 | 0.75 | 0.45 | 0.02 |
|  | MDRL | 223.00 | 142.00 | 76.00 | 32.00 | 23.00 | 9.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.75 | ARL | 504.97 | 221.98 | 93.37 | 32.66 | 22.83 | 7.74 | 4.38 | 3.02 | 1.79 | 1.26 | 1.06 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 610.64 | 274.09 | 106.55 | 33.71 | 22.43 | 6.07 | 2.93 | 1.88 | 1.12 | 0.68 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 230.00 | 115.00 | 56.00 | 21.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.90 | ARL | 502.67 | 135.40 | 50.06 | 16.71 | 11.75 | 4.32 | 2.56 | 1.77 | 1.12 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 611.51 | 159.99 | 53.92 | 15.58 | 10.28 | 2.87 | 1.59 | 1.11 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 230.00 | 76.00 | 31.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.95 | ARL | 503.71 | 86.19 | 29.81 | 9.87 | 7.10 | 2.81 | 1.66 | 1.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 612.43 | 97.80 | 30.48 | 8.25 | 5.45 | 1.75 | 1.04 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 229.00 | 51.00 | 20.00 | 8.00 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

representation confirms the supremacy of the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart over EWMA AIB $^{\text {chart (see Figure 2). The }}$ dominance of the THWMA AIB chart against the EWMA AIB chart is seen in the EQL, RARL, and PCI values for a certain range of shifts. As an illustration, at $\lambda=0.10$, the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart has $\mathrm{EQL}=9.38, \mathrm{PCI}=1.00$, and RARL $=1.00$, while the $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ chart has $\mathrm{EQL}=11.78, \mathrm{PCI}=$ 1.26, $\mathrm{RARL}=1.82$ (see Table 6). This reveals the superiority of the proposed THWMA AIB chart.
5.5. Proposed versus HWMA Chart. The proposed THWMA AIB chart has lower ARL $_{1}$ values than the HWMA chart. Suppose that, for $\lambda=0.50, \delta=0.25$, the $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ value of
the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart with $\rho=0.50$ is 68.01 , while the ARL ${ }_{1}$ value of the HWMA chart is 218.06 (see Tables 3 and 5). In other words, the proposed chart can track a quick shift in the process parameter than the HWMA chart (see Figure 3). The overall performance metrics suggest that the effectiveness of proposed THWMA AIB than the HWMA chart. For instance, at $\lambda=0.50$, the EQL values for HWMA and DHWMA AIB are 16.25 and 13.03 , respectively (see Table 6).
5.6. Proposed versus DHWMA Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is better as compared to the DHWMA chart. As an illustration, if $\lambda=0.10, \delta=0.25$, the values of


Figure 1: ARL comparison of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ versus classical EWMA, TEWMA, and MHC charts when $\lambda=0.1$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.


Figure 2: ARL comparison of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ versus EWMA, $\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$, and $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ charts when $\lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

ARL $_{1}$ for DHWMA and THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.25)$ are 34.76 and 17.40 , respectively (see Table 1 versus Table 5 and Figure 3). The overall performance measures highlight the superiority of the $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. For instance, at $\lambda=0.10$, the EQL, PCI, and RARL values of the DHWMA and THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ charts are presented as (10.76, 1.15, and 1.38), and (9.38, 1.000, and 1.000), respectively (see Table 6).
5.7. Proposed versus $\mathbf{H W M A}_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. The proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart detects earlier shift than the HWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. If $\lambda=0.10, \rho=0.50$, and $\delta=(0.25,0.50,0.75)$ the $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ values of the charts are $(65.89,22.63,11.79)$ and (13.34, $6.01,3.83$ ) respectively, for $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ and THWMA AIB $^{\text {I }}$


Figure 3: ARL comparison of the proposed THWMA Aib versus HWMA, DHWMA, and HWMA AIb charts when $\lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.
charts (see Tables 1 and 5). Figure 3 also reveals the better performance of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ against the $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart. Likewise, the $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart EQL, RARL, and PCI (i.e., 14.55, 1.12, and 1.23) values are higher than the EQL, RARL, and PCI (13.03, 1.000, and 1.000) values of the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart at $\lambda=0.50, \rho=0.50$ (see Table 6).
5.8. Proposed versus MEC $_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. By comparing the ARL measures, the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart with the $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart shows that the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is more sensitive for all $\delta$ and $\lambda$ values. For instance, with $\lambda=0.25, \rho=0.50, \quad \delta=0.25,0.50,0.75, \quad$ the ARL $_{1}=35.07,11.65,6.31$ for THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart, while the $\left(\mathrm{ARL}_{1}=65.26,22.14,12.61\right)$ for $\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}(k=0.50)$ chart (see Tables 2 and 5). The proposed THWMA AIB chart has smaller EQL, RARL, and PCI measures as compared to the MEC $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart (see Table 6). Figure 2 illustrates that the proposed THWMA AIB is functioning well and detects shifts earlier in the process. These findings demonstrate that the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart performs better than the $\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ chart.
5.9. Proposed versus $\mathbf{M C E}_{\text {AIB }}$ Chart. The ARL study indicates that the proposed THWMA AIB chart works much better than the $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart for each of the choices formed by $\lambda, \delta$ (see Tables $1-4$ versus 5). As an illustration, Table 3 and 5 shows that at $(\lambda=0.50, \delta=(0.25,0.50,0.75), \rho=0.50)$, the ARL $_{1}$ values of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are (68.01, 23.02, 11.93), and the corresponding values of $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ for $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart $(k=0.50)$ are $(111.47,28.62,13.55)$. Figure 2 indicates that the proposed THWMA AIB chart is better than the $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ chart. In the overall performance scenario, the proposed THWMA AIB $^{\text {chart also exhibits overperformance }}$ than $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart (see Table 6).

Table 3: ARL values of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart for $\lambda=0.50$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}=2.992$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | $\delta$ | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| 0.00 | ARL | 499.25 | 401.97 | 259.88 | 115.66 | 84.14 | 29.02 | 15.03 | 9.45 | 5.00 | 3.33 | 2.45 | 1.88 | 1.03 |
|  | SDRL | 428.13 | 344.00 | 216.62 | 87.43 | 61.14 | 18.37 | 8.78 | 5.20 | 2.42 | 1.52 | 1.17 | 0.98 | 0.18 |
|  | MDRL | 387.00 | 311.00 | 202.00 | 95.00 | 70.00 | 25.00 | 13.00 | 9.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.25 | ARL | 496.96 | 396.92 | 251.97 | 110.33 | 80.30 | 27.49 | 14.36 | 8.97 | 4.76 | 3.18 | 2.35 | 1.79 | 1.02 |
|  | SDRL | 427.47 | 339.79 | 210.10 | 83.17 | 57.95 | 17.21 | 8.31 | 4.89 | 2.29 | 1.45 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 0.15 |
|  | MDRL | 384.00 | 306.00 | 197.00 | 90.50 | 67.00 | 24.00 | 13.00 | 8.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.50 | ARL | 498.49 | 378.78 | 226.01 | 94.11 | 68.01 | 23.02 | 11.93 | 7.50 | 4.06 | 2.75 | 1.99 | 1.50 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 428.74 | 325.16 | 186.07 | 69.40 | 47.70 | 14.12 | 6.76 | 3.97 | 1.89 | 1.27 | 1.02 | 0.79 | 0.06 |
|  | MDRL | 384.00 | 291.00 | 177.00 | 78.00 | 58.00 | 20.00 | 11.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.75 | ARL | 498.97 | 324.43 | 166.97 | 63.23 | 45.01 | 14.81 | 7.71 | 4.96 | 2.81 | 1.86 | 1.32 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 427.80 | 276.15 | 133.22 | 44.19 | 29.98 | 8.64 | 4.13 | 2.41 | 1.30 | 0.97 | 0.65 | 0.32 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 385.00 | 251.00 | 133.00 | 53.00 | 39.00 | 13.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.90 | ARL | 498.09 | 227.88 | 95.21 | 33.33 | 23.29 | 7.57 | 4.11 | 2.76 | 1.52 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 428.96 | 188.01 | 70.38 | 21.35 | 14.30 | 4.03 | 1.91 | 1.28 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 387.00 | 179.00 | 79.00 | 29.00 | 21.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.95 | ARL | 498.09 | 155.14 | 58.13 | 19.54 | 13.54 | 4.55 | 2.60 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 428.96 | 155.14 | 58.13 | 19.54 | 13.54 | 4.55 | 2.60 | 1.70 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | MDRL | 387.00 | 123.01 | 40.07 | 11.73 | 7.83 | 2.17 | 1.22 | 0.90 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 4: ARL values of the proposed THWMA $_{\text {Aib }}$ chart for $\lambda=0.75$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }}=3.086$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ | $\delta$ | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| 0.00 | ARL | 499.00 | 472.53 | 394.17 | 240.47 | 181.18 | 54.11 | 22.27 | 11.89 | 5.22 | 3.11 | 2.19 | 1.68 | 1.03 |
|  | SDRL | 496.67 | 471.44 | 391.22 | 234.98 | 175.61 | 48.78 | 18.26 | 8.84 | 3.22 | 1.64 | 1.04 | 0.74 | 0.16 |
|  | MDRL | 347.00 | 328.00 | 275.00 | 169.00 | 128.00 | 40.00 | 17.00 | 10.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.25 | ARL | 499.12 | 467.64 | 390.36 | 231.45 | 173.26 | 49.91 | 20.81 | 11.15 | 4.90 | 2.97 | 2.09 | 1.61 | 1.02 |
|  | SDRL | 494.39 | 465.74 | 385.16 | 227.52 | 166.18 | 44.87 | 16.85 | 8.24 | 2.96 | 1.53 | 0.98 | 0.70 | 0.13 |
|  | MDRL | 347.00 | 323.00 | 273.00 | 163.00 | 123.00 | 37.00 | 16.00 | 9.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.50 | ARL | 498.26 | 459.87 | 370.16 | 202.16 | 146.83 | 39.62 | 16.31 | 8.77 | 4.00 | 2.47 | 1.77 | 1.39 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 495.53 | 458.28 | 367.02 | 195.49 | 140.86 | 34.93 | 12.77 | 6.10 | 2.27 | 1.21 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.06 |
|  | MDRL | 346.00 | 319.00 | 258.00 | 143.00 | 104.00 | 30.00 | 13.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.75 | ARL | 498.33 | 434.97 | 311.17 | 137.44 | 93.19 | 21.96 | 9.09 | 5.14 | 2.55 | 1.67 | 1.26 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 494.82 | 433.55 | 306.48 | 131.90 | 87.31 | 17.94 | 6.38 | 3.14 | 1.26 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 347.00 | 303.00 | 217.00 | 98.00 | 67.00 | 17.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.90 | ARL | 499.04 | 371.46 | 204.36 | 63.92 | 40.24 | 8.90 | 4.05 | 2.50 | 1.41 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 496.89 | 368.42 | 199.16 | 58.41 | 35.42 | 6.23 | 2.32 | 1.22 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 348.00 | 259.00 | 144.00 | 47.00 | 30.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.95 | ARL | 498.05 | 297.88 | 124.69 | 31.76 | 19.36 | 4.61 | 2.33 | 1.54 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | SDRL | 494.39 | 293.94 | 119.67 | 27.28 | 15.50 | 2.73 | 1.12 | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | MDRL | 347.50 | 208.00 | 89.00 | 24.00 | 15.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

5.10. Main Findings of the Study. Important findings of the proposed THWMA AIB chart are listed below:
(i) The THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ statistic improves the detection ability of the proposed chart.
(ii) The efficiency of the proposed THWMA AIB chart is strengthened with the appropriate inclusion of auxiliary information in the structure (see. Tables 1-5).
(iii) Unlike the classic CUSUM, MHC, EWMA, HWMA, DHWMA, $H_{W M A}^{A I B}$, EWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, $\mathrm{MEC}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ and $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}$ charts, the $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ values of the proposed THWMA AIB $^{\text {chart }}$ are smaller at various parameter values.
(iv) Overall performance evaluations demonstrate that the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is dominant over the other competing charts included in this research (see Subsections 5.1-5.9).

TAble 5: ARL values of some existing charts when $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.

|  | $\delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
|  | $\lambda=0.10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TEWMA | 499.31 | 74.21 | 24.05 | 12.63 | 7.85 | 3.85 | 2.29 | 1.77 | 1.25 | 1.00 |
| MHC ( $k=0.50$ ) | 501.00 | 25.00 | 13.00 | 9.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 |
| EWMA | 500.00 | 103.32 | 28.81 | 13.61 | 8.21 | 4.17 | 2.66 | 1.92 | 1.51 | 1.02 |
| HWMA | 500.00 | 81.48 | 28.61 | 14.85 | 9.35 | 4.98 | 3.32 | 2.45 | 1.87 | 1.03 |
| DHWMA | 499.10 | 34.76 | 11.97 | 6.63 | 4.56 | 2.72 | 1.93 | 1.46 | 1.19 | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 501.47 | 65.89 | 22.63 | 11.79 | 7.43 | 4.04 | 2.72 | 2.43 | 1.83 | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 499.81 | 80.66 | 22.04 | 10.54 | 6.43 | 3.32 | 2.15 | 1.58 | 1.28 | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 500.63 | 56.29 | 19.98 | 11.42 | 7.73 | 4.38 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 499.47 | 100.25 | 27.98 | 14.51 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.20 \\ & \lambda=0 . \end{aligned}$ | 6.77 | 5.29 | 4.43 | 3.87 | 3.12 |
| TEWMA | 500.31 | 110.74 | 30.04 | 13.96 | 8.49 | 4.35 | 2.69 | 2.02 | 1.43 | 1.05 |
| MHC ( $k=0.50$ ) | 499.00 | 31.00 | 12.00 | 8.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| EWMA | 500.00 | 169.34 | 47.36 | 19.31 | 10.41 | 4.78 | 2.94 | 2.09 | 1.62 | 1.02 |
| HWMA | 500.00 | 113.34 | 33.79 | 16.19 | 9.71 | 4.94 | 3.20 | 2.33 | 1.79 | 1.03 |
| DHWMA | 501.37 | 76.86 | 26.77 | 13.88 | 8.69 | 4.66 | 3.12 | 2.32 | 1.77 | 1.02 |
| $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 504.88 | 89.86 | 25.77 | 12.52 | 7.59 | 3.95 | 2.61 | 2.30 | 1.75 | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {ABB }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 499.69 | 135.77 | 34.58 | 14.11 | 7.80 | 3.72 | 2.35 | 1.70 | 1.35 | 1.00 |
| $\operatorname{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 498.72 | 65.26 | 22.14 | 12.61 | 8.65 | 5.32 | 3.80 | 2.99 | 2.24 | 1.99 |
| $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 500.69 | 107.49 | 28.22 | 13.83 | 9.24 $\lambda=0$. | 5.93 | 4.56 | 3.79 | 3.30 | 2.71 |
| TEWMA | 500.80 | 173.21 | 48.31 | 19.31 | 10.19 | 4.63 | 2.85 | 2.19 | 1.57 | 1.15 |
| MHC ( $k=0.50$ ) | 501.00 | 52.00 | 16.00 | 9.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| EWMA | 500.00 | 254.53 | 88.43 | 35.57 | 17.18 | 6.27 | 3.39 | 2.26 | 1.70 | 1.03 |
| HWMA | 500.00 | 218.06 | 69.04 | 27.87 | 14.08 | 5.67 | 3.20 | 2.20 | 1.68 | 1.03 |
| DHWMA | 502.39 | 113.02 | 34.29 | 16.38 | 9.78 | 4.95 | 3.19 | 2.32 | 1.78 | 1.03 |
| $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 498.55 | 180.91 | 50.43 | 19.87 | 10.06 | 4.21 | 2.50 | 2.17 | 1.64 | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {Aib }}(\rho=0.50)$ | 500.79 | 216.10 | 65.40 | 24.80 | 11.91 | 4.56 | 2.59 | 1.80 | 1.40 | 1.00 |
| $\operatorname{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 502.77 | 77.88 | 23.42 | 12.53 | 8.45 | 5.16 | 3.76 | 3.01 | 2.43 | 1.01 |
| $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}(\rho=0.50, k=0.50)$ | 500.11 | 111.47 | 28.62 | 13.55 | 8.65 | 5.26 | 3.93 | 3.24 | 2.80 | 2.16 |

Table 6: EQL, PCI, and RARL measures of the proposed versus existing charts.

| $\lambda=0.10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THWMA | MHC | EWMA | HWMA | DHWMA | $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ | THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ |
| EQL | 12.18 | 30.24 | 13.38 | 15.07 | 10.76 | 14.06 | 11.78 | 14.26 | 32.55 | 9.38 |
| PCI | 1.30 | 3.22 | 1.43 | 1.61 | 1.15 | 1.50 | 1.26 | 1.52 | 3.47 | 1.00 |
| RARL | 1.93 | 3.04 | 2.23 | 2.42 | 1.38 | 2.11 | 1.82 | 2.09 | 3.84 | 1.00 |
| $\lambda=0.25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | THWMA | MHC | EWMA | HWMA | DHWMA | $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIb }}$ | EWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {AIB }}$ | THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ |
| EQL | 13.54 | 22.05 | 14.75 | 14.99 | 14.45 | 13.88 | 12.72 | 21.06 | 28.27 | 10.35 |
| PCI | 1.31 | 2.13 | 1.43 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 1.34 | 1.23 | 2.04 | 2.73 | 1.00 |
| RARL | 1.58 | 1.98 | 1.89 | 1.79 | 1.65 | 1.57 | 1.53 | 2.02 | 2.64 | 1.00 |
| $\lambda=0.50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | THWMA | MHC | EWMA | HWMA | DHWMA | $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{EWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}$ | $\mathrm{MCE}_{\text {eib }}$ | THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ |
| EQL | 15.29 | 21.79 | 17.34 | 16.25 | 14.97 | 14.55 | 14.42 | 16.59 | 23.62 | 13.03 |
| PCI | 1.17 | 1.67 | 1.33 | 1.25 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 1.11 | 1.27 | 1.81 | 1.00 |
| RARL | 1.26 | 1.45 | 1.61 | 1.45 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.65 | 1.00 |



Figure 4: ARL comparison of the proposed $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {Aib }}$ chart for various $\rho$ when $\lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.


Figure 5: ARL comparison of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart for various $\lambda$ when $\rho=0.50$ and $\operatorname{ARL}_{0}=500$.
(v) The proposed THWMA AIB chart provides optimal results for larger values $\rho$.
(vi) The efficiency of the $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ measures is increased by using the proposed THWMA AIB $^{\text {chart. }}$
(vii) As $\lambda$ increases, the control limit coefficient $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}}\right)$ of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart also increases.
(viii) The proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is more effective for larger $\rho$ and smaller $\lambda$ in term of $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ performance (see Figures 4 and 5). For example, the minimal value of $\mathrm{ARL}_{1}$ is 4.11 at $\delta=0.25, \lambda=0.10$, and $\rho=0.95$.

## 6. Case Study

This section contains the case study of the THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ versus DHWMA, HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ and HWMA charts. In this regard, a data set is considered from the study of Constable, et al. [39]. The data represents the measurements for
adjacent parts of the braking system of vehicles, which contains the study variable $Y$ and auxiliary variable $X$. Fortyfive data values are taken from the IC process, and this data set is used to estimate unknown parameters. These estimates are given as; $\bar{X}=210.24, \bar{Y}=210.18, s_{X}=1.23, s_{Y}=1.17$, and $r=0.54$. In view of these estimates as the known parameters, two datasets were generated from a BND. Data setI consists of fifteen samples with $\mu_{X}=210.24, \mu_{Y}=201.18$, $\sigma_{X}=1.23, \sigma_{Y}=1.17$ and $\rho=0.54$ and data set-II consists of fifteen samples with $\mu_{X}=210.24, \mu_{Y}=201.88, \sigma_{X}=1.23$, $\sigma_{Y}=1.17$ and $\rho=0.54$ (see Table 7). This fashion of perturbing the parameters in such a way can be seen from the study of Anwar, et al. [40].

For the comparison, the proposed THWMA AIB chart is considered along with the existing DHWMA, HWMA ${ }_{\mathrm{AIB}}$, and HWMA charts. Furthermore, the parameters of the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart are $L_{\mathrm{THWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}}=1.90, \lambda=0.25$, $\rho=0.54$ with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$, and the parameters of the DHWMA chart are $\mathrm{L}_{\text {DHWMA }}=2.7424, \lambda=0.25$, with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$. Likewise, the parameters of $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ chart
TAble 7: Application of proposed THWMA AIB versus HWMA, DHWMA, and HWMA AIB control charts.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Y_{1}$ | 199.851 | 200.078 | 201.572 | 201.09 | 203.035 | 201.641 | 199.389 | 203.604 | 201.341 | 203.875 | 201.415 | 201.327 | 199.378 | 203.229 | 203.666 |
| $Y_{2}$ | 201.717 | 204.336 | 202.807 | 201.157 | 201.386 | 200.303 | 201.456 | 202.498 | 201.745 | 199.945 | 201.245 | 203.002 | 200.117 | 199.989 | 199.652 |
| $Y_{3}$ | 201.063 | 200.958 | 200.288 | 199.513 | 199.515 | 200.546 | 199.954 | 199.737 | 198.347 | 203.028 | 201.669 | 200.467 | 200.626 | 202.224 | 200.987 |
| $Y_{4}$ | 200.479 | 200.14 | 201.337 | 199.568 | 200.601 | 201.503 | 201.243 | 202.127 | 199.917 | 199.884 | 201.104 | 200.754 | 200.817 | 201.418 | 202.245 |
| $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $X_{1}$ | 208.872 | 209.19 | 210.33 | 209.683 | 211.605 | 211.848 | 210.226 | 212.204 | 208.388 | 212.249 | 210.695 | 208.663 | 210.937 | 210.735 | 212.075 |
| $X_{2}$ | 210.02 | 210.728 | 211.123 | 208.749 | 210.736 | 208.962 | 210.265 | 212.804 | 211.209 | 209.336 | 210.526 | 210.047 | 210.042 | 211.139 | 208.57 |
| $X_{3}$ | 211.784 | 211.257 | 210.103 | 210.499 | 208.057 | 209.695 | 210.796 | 208.956 | 209.715 | 211.107 | 210.2 | 208.407 | 209.959 | 211.377 | 209.29 |
| $X_{4}$ | 209.839 | 208.857 | 209.364 | 208.411 | 209.868 | 209.755 | 211.28 | 209.029 | 209.08 | 210.553 | 209.253 | 210.413 | 211.014 | 210.837 | 210.234 |
| $X_{5}$ | 204.431 | 215.078 | 201.396 | 217.27 | 205.493 | 205.348 | 200.342 | 212.286 | 217.71 | 205.579 | 218.152 | 212.33 | 211.71 | 217.177 | 202.595 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Y_{1}$ | 201.195 | 201.373 | 202.659 | 201.39 | 199.658 | 201.601 | 204.071 | 201.609 | 201.854 | 199.816 | 202.422 | 199.059 | 201.486 | 204.192 | 202.843 |
| $Y_{2}$ | 203.174 | 203.178 | 201.947 | 200.477 | 200.397 | 201.367 | 202.385 | 202.564 | 200.649 | 201.083 | 201.805 | 199.116 | 202.648 | 202.087 | 201.181 |
| $Y_{3}$ | 203.254 | 200.807 | 203.02 | 201.453 | 202.249 | 202.728 | 203.604 | 202.916 | 199.401 | 202.103 | 201.462 | 204.669 | 203.934 | 201.164 | 201.924 |
| $Y_{4}$ | 199.653 | 201.482 | 202.333 | 202.191 | 202.226 | 202.085 | 201.484 | 203.841 | 202.158 | 203.57 | 199.9 | 201.098 | 201.21 | 203.186 | 200.475 |
| $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $X_{1}$ | 210.228 | 211.909 | 210.683 | 209.256 | 210.279 | 210.797 | 211.296 | 210.406 | 209.824 | 210.091 | 210.5 | 206.871 | 207.802 | 210.201 | 210.436 |
| $X_{2}$ | 211.06 | 209.807 | 211.567 | 209.924 | 209.518 | 209.562 | 210.242 | 208.725 | 209.318 | 210.692 | 209.075 | 209.056 | 211.222 | 210.487 | 211.24 |
| $X_{3}$ | 211.268 | 210.188 | 209.629 | 211.333 | 210.889 | 209.11 | 211.793 | 209.951 | 209.517 | 210.299 | 210.303 | 210.042 | 211.854 | 208.353 | 210.735 |
| $X_{4}$ | 208.717 | 209.953 | 209.783 | 210.569 | 210.19 | 209.616 | 208.771 | 209.781 | 210.098 | 212.661 | 209.076 | 209.911 | 208.757 | 211.35 | 209.472 |
| $X_{5}$ | 216.49 | 216.396 | 209.758 | 202.26 | 214.384 | 215.914 | 216.095 | 195.214 | 211.286 | 217.99 | 206.575 | 214.198 | 212.917 | 208.099 | 214.285 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.25 | 201.43 | 201.24 | 201.5 | 201.19 | 201.24 | 201.23 | 201.77 | 201.87 | 201.3 | 201.64 | 201.06 | 201.35 | 201.05 | 201.38 |
| $L C L_{(\text {(HWMA)t }}$ | 200.71 | 199.69 | 200.08 | 200.24 | 200.33 | 200.39 | 200.44 | 200.47 | 200.49 | 200.51 | 200.53 | 200.55 | 200.56 | 200.57 | 200.58 |
| $U C L_{(\text {(HWMA)t }}$ | 201.65 | 202.67 | 202.28 | 202.12 | 202.03 | 201.97 | 201.92 | 201.89 | 201.87 | 201.85 | 201.83 | 201.81 | 201.8 | 201.79 | 201.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.4 | 201.79 | 201.55 | 201.69 | 201.46 | 201.57 | 201.66 | 201.96 | 201.96 | 201.5 | 201.6 | 201.17 | 201.38 | 200.87 | 201.6 |
| $L C L_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 200.71 | 199.69 | 200.08 | 200.24 | 200.33 | 200.39 | 200.44 | 200.47 | 200.49 | 200.51 | 200.53 | 200.55 | 200.56 | 200.57 | 200.58 |
| $U C L_{\left(\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 201.65 | 202.67 | 202.28 | 202.12 | 202.03 | 201.97 | 201.92 |  | 201.87 | 201.85 | 201.83 | 201.81 | 201.8 | 201.79 | 201.78 |
| ( DHWMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.2 | 201.45 | 201.36 | 201.27 | 201.42 | 201.25 | 201.24 | 201.37 | 201.6 | 201.58 | 201.55 | 201.44 | 201.38 | 201.3 | 201.31 |
| $L C L_{(\text {(DHWMA)t }}$ | 201.08 | 199.6 | 200.06 | 200.27 | 200.39 | 200.47 | 200.53 | 200.58 | 200.61 | 200.64 | 200.67 | 200.69 | 200.71 | 200.73 | 200.75 |
| $U C L_{\text {(DHWMA)t }}$ | 201.28 | 202.76 | 202.3 | 202.09 | 201.97 | 201.89 | 201.83 | 201.78 | 201.75 | 201.72 | 201.69 | 201.67 | 201.65 | 201.63 | 201.61 |
| (HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.19 | 202.06 | 201.52 | 201.56 | 201.68 | 201.51 | 201.56 | 201.64 | 201.8 | 201.84 | 201.71 | 201.65 | 201.5 | 201.43 | 201.32 |
| $L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 201.16 | 200.22 | 200.5 | 200.62 | 200.7 | 200.75 | 200.79 | 200.82 | 200.84 | 200.86 | 200.87 | 200.89 | 200.9 | 200.91 | 200.92 |
| $U C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 201.2 | 202.14 | 201.86 | 201.74 | 201.66 | 201.61 | 201.57 | 201.54 | 201.52 | 201.5 | 201.49 | 201.47 | 201.46 | 201.45 | 201.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Continued.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plotting statistic | 201.97 | 201.72 | 201.96 | 201.48 | 201.65 | 202.18 | 201.77 | 201.25 | 201.95 | 202.11 | 201.06 | 201.35 | 201.57 | 201.79 | 201.97 |
| $L C L_{(\text {(HWMA)t }}$ | 200.58 | 200.59 | 200.6 | 200.6 | 200.61 | 200.61 | 200.62 | 200.62 | 200.62 | 200.63 | 200.63 | 200.63 | 200.64 | 200.64 | 200.64 |
| $U C L_{(\text {HWMA }) t}$ | 201.78 | 201.77 | 201.76 | 201.76 | 201.75 | 201.75 | 201.74 | 201.74 | 201.74 | 201.73 | 201.73 | 201.73 | 201.72 | 201.72 | 201.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.86 | 201.56 | 201.94 | 201.66 | 201.57 | 202.09 | 201.58 | 201.64 | 202 | 201.88 | 201.19 | 201.38 | 201.55 | 201.87 | 201.86 |
| $L C L_{\left(\text {HWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 200.58 | 200.59 | 200.6 | 200.6 | 200.61 | 200.61 | 200.62 | 200.62 | 200.62 | 200.63 | 200.63 | 200.63 | 200.64 | 200.64 | 200.64 |
| DHWMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.48 | 201.54 | 201.64 | 201.59 | 201.61 | 201.75 | 201.73 | 201.61 | 201.72 | 201.8 | 201.59 | 201.58 | 201.6 | 201.65 | 201.71 |
| $L C L_{(\text {DHWMA)t }}$ | 200.76 | 200.77 | 200.78 | 200.79 | 200.8 | 200.81 | 200.82 | 200.83 | 200.84 | 200.84 | 200.85 | 200.85 | 200.86 | 200.86 | 200.87 |
| $U C L_{\text {(DHWMA)t }}$ | 201.6 | 201.59 | 201.58 | 201.57 | 201.56 | 201.55 | 201.54 | 201.53 | 201.52 | 201.52 | 201.51 | 201.51 | 201.5 | 201.5 | 201.49 |
| $\mathrm{THWMA}_{\text {AIB }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plotting statistic | 201.41 | 201.5 | 201.54 | 201.61 | 201.62 | 201.64 | 201.69 | 201.68 | 201.69 | 201.74 | 201.72 | 201.65 | 201.62 | 201.63 | 201.66 |
| $L C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AIB }}\right) t}$ | 200.93 | 200.94 | 200.95 | 200.95 | 200.96 | 200.96 | 200.97 | 200.97 | 200.98 | 200.98 | 200.99 | 200.99 | 200.99 | 201 | 201 |
| $U C L_{\left(\text {THWMA }_{\text {AB }}\right) t}$ | 201.43 | 201.42 | 201.41 | 201.41 | 201.4 | 201.4 | 201.39 | 201.39 | 201.38 | 201.38 | 201.37 | 201.37 | 201.37 | 201.36 | 201.36 |



Figure 6: Application for the existing HWMA chart when $\mathrm{L}_{\text {HWMA }}=3.075, \lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.


Figure 7: Application for the existing DHWMA chart when $\mathrm{L}_{\text {DHWMA }}=2.7424, \lambda=0.25$ and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.


Figure 8: Application for the existing $\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {aib }}$ chart when $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{\mathrm{AIB}}}=3.075, \lambda=0.25, \rho=0.54$, and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.


Figure 9: Application for the proposed THWMA Aib chart when $\mathrm{L}_{\text {THWMA }_{\text {IIB }}}=1.90, \lambda=0.25, \rho=0.54$, and $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$.
are $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{HWMA}_{\text {AIB }}}=3.075, \lambda=0.25, \rho=0.54$ with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$, and the parameters of the HWMA chart are $\mathrm{L}_{\text {HWMA }}=3.075$, $\lambda=0.25$, with $\mathrm{ARL}_{0}=500$. From Table 7 and Figures 6-9 the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart tracksthe first OOC signal at the $5^{\text {th }}$ sample, whereas the DHWMA, HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, and HWMA charts track the first OOC signal at the $18^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, and $9^{\text {th }}$ samples, respectively. Overall, the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart highlights 21 OOC points, while the DHWMA, HWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$, and HWMA charts highlight 13, 9, and 9 OOC points, respectively. This show that the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart is more sensitive than the existing DHWMA, HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, and HWMA charts.

## 7. Summary, Conclusions, And Recommendations

The objective of this study is to enhance homogeneously weighted moving average (HWMA) and double HWMA (DHWMA) charts and propose an auxiliary-informationbased triple HWMA, symbolized as THWMA AIB $^{\text {chart to }}$ further improve the process location shift monitoring. To evaluate the performance of the proposed THWMA AIB chart against other charts, an algorithm is developed in $R$ software using the Monte Carlo simulation technique to obtain numerical results. The analysis based on average run length, extra quadratic loss, performance comparison index, and relative average run length reveals the proposed THWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$ chart over-performed against EWMA, EWMA $_{\text {AIB }}$, HWMA, HWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$, DHWMA, TEWMA, $\mathrm{MCE}_{\mathrm{AIB}}, \mathrm{MEC}_{\text {AIB }}$ and MHC charts. Finally, to demonstrate the proposed THWMA ${ }_{\text {AIB }}$ chart's utility from a practical perspective, a real-world application is included. This study can be extended for multivariate and more than one auxiliary characteristic in the model.
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