Multi-Source Data High-Performance Indoor Positioning considering Genetic Optimization Neural Network Algorithm
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1. Introduction

Due to the rapid advancement of wireless communication technology and multi-source data, multi-source data have been expensively applied in various fields as an emerging network technology. With regard to the flow of indoor multi-source data, in the high-performance scientific computing of daily multi-source data, the monitoring of real-time information of multi-source data has become more and more important in the multi-source data high-performance scientific computing industry [1–3]. Through setting up positioning and real-time monitoring on multi-source data high-performance scientific computing in real time, various data information in multi-source data high-performance scientific computing can be perceived [4–6]. Multiple sensor terminals can be used to implement monitoring and management. In this way, real-time monitoring and management can be implemented based on high-performance scientific computing. Due to the characteristics of high mobility and extensive network scale at the nodes corresponding to indoor flow distributed, higher reliability, stability, and security are required for real-time positioning and monitoring systems. The real-time positioning and monitoring system based on neural network computation with genetic optimization can analyze the multi-source data extracted and conduct high-performance scientific studies on this basis as compared to conventional methods. Hence, the equipment structure can be designed to be simpler, the cost is lower, and the maintenance is convenient, which has gradually become the trend of the...
continuous development of intelligent indoor real-time positioning and real-time monitoring systems.

In this paper, a multi-source data fusion indoor location model that effectively combines radio frequency and wireless WiFi technology is put forward based on the genetic optimization neural network algorithm. In addition, this technology is used to improve the positioning accuracy of unknown nodes by assigning different weights to various positioning nodes. Finally, the experimental results indicate that the algorithm proposed in this paper can fuse multi-source data and improve the performance of the positioning system effectively.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and Construction of a Positioning Model. The indoor location model designed in this paper can be roughly divided into data acquisition function module, data processing module, and location accurate positioning module. The structure of indoor location model is shown in Figure 1. The data acquisition module is mainly used to fuse the data collected by the system WiFi and use the multi-source data processing and analysis module to analyze the processed RF data. Effectively combine multi-source data fusion and neural network algorithm to complete the effective analysis of different types of data, collect different types of data, realize the high-performance scientific calculation of multi-source data, and improve the accuracy of indoor location.

From Figure 2, it can be observed that the indoor location based on high-performance scientific computing of multi-source data system is mainly composed of nodes, observation nodes, gateway, and host computer in the hardware module. The diagram of the corresponding system architecture is shown in Figure 3. In the system designed in this paper, the observation points can be roughly divided into RF reading function and wireless WiFi function module. The RF reading module can realize the accurate collection of RSSI data information. The wireless WiFi function module can process the obtained data information and send the processed data information to the gateway system as its own reference point. The selection of reference point is mainly based on the WiFi function module. Through the comparison and analysis with the observation point, from the perspective of organizational structure analysis, it can be seen that it can be widely used in many fields. The indoor location points can be integrated into RF tags, and the genetic neural network can be optimized and used in indoor location, which can effectively complete the accurate positioning of indoor points. Figure 3 shows the structure frame diagram of RF/wireless WiFi positioning system designed in this paper [7–9].

The accurate evaluation of indoor location shall be carried out according to the following seven calculation steps:

Step 1. According to the spatial structure layout of the indoor environment, the processed data are stored through the system coordinates corresponding to the observation point and reference point, the MAC address of the communication equipment, and the actual distance between adjacent nodes, and the assigned weight can be obtained from the perspective of node type \( w_{OP} \) and the weights of observation nodes \( w_{RF} \).

Step 2. In indoor location based on the acquisition of node location, the related data on reference nodes are acquired and transmitted to the communication device in the upper layer, including the coordinates for three key nodes for indoor location. For the purpose of making wireless WiFi signals stronger and multi-source data computing more accurate, reference nodes corresponding to RF signals are adopted. Through valid combination, the data acquired by the system can be filtered by the particle filter algorithm.

Step 3. Based on the intensity of RF and wireless WiFi signals corresponding to the above reference nodes for indoor location, the practical distance between unknown and known nodes is

\[
\text{RSSI} \left( d \right) = A - 10n\log d, \tag{1}
\]

where \( A \) represents the intensity of signals at 1 m and \( n \) represents the loss coefficient at the corresponding node.

Step 4. The reference node is taken as the center of the circle, and the corresponding recognition distance is taken as the radius. The reference node recognition is shown in Figure 4. According to equation (2), the intersection point \((x_{bc1}, y_{bc1}), (x_{bc2}, y_{bc2})\) between circle B and circle C, the intersection point \((x_{ac1}, y_{ac1}), (x_{ac2}, y_{ac2})\) between circle A and circle C,
and the intersection point \((x_{ab1}, y_{ab1}), (x_{ab2}, y_{ab2})\) between circle \(A\) and circle \(B\) can be obtained through calculation. The distance \(d_1 = \sqrt{(x-x_1)^2 + (y-y_1)^2}\) corresponding to the intersection of \(B\) and \(C\) can be used to calculate the intersection \(D(x_4, y_4)\) of the two intersections that are closer to \(A\). Thus, it can be known that the distance \(B\) between points \(A\) and \(C\) is closer to the intersection \(E(x_5, y_5)\), and the distance to \(C\) is closer to the intersection point \(F(x_6, y_6)\).

\[
d_i = \sqrt{(x-x_i)^2 + (y-y_i)^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2}
\]

**Step 5.** According to the connection of a triangular shape composed of point \(D\), point \(E\), and point \(F\) designed in the positioning algorithm, the obtained RSSI values corresponding to node \(a\), node \(b\), and node \(c\) can be divided into node \(D\), node \(E\), and node \(F\). The detailed division of the three nodes is shown in Table 1.

RSSI\(_{max}\) (\(d\)) is defined by subtracting the RSSI of the node with the highest signal intensity from that of nodes with other signal intensity:

\[
|\text{RSSI}_{\text{max}}(d)| - |\text{RSSI}_i(d)| = A - 10n\lg d_i - A + 10n\lg d_{\text{max}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
\]

\[
w_i = \frac{d_{\text{max}}}{d_i} = 10^{\frac{|\text{RSSI}_{\text{max}}(d)| - |\text{RSSI}_i(d)|}{10n}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

(3)

From the reference node, the coordinates \((x, y)\) of unknown nodes are inferred according to equation (5):

\[
(x, y) = \left( \frac{w_1 \cdot x_1 + w_2 \cdot x_2 + w_3 \cdot x_3}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3}, \frac{w_1 \cdot y_1 + w_2 \cdot y_2 + w_3 \cdot y_3}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3} \right)
\]

(4)
The above algorithm is used to estimate the coordinates \((x_{RP}, y_{RP})\) of a specific node at a location.

**Step 6.** The relevant data of the surrounding observation nodes are collected at the location node again, and Step 3 to Step 5 are repeated to calculate the coordinate estimation value \((x_{OP}, y_{OP})\) of the location node.

**Step 7.** The final coordinates of the unknown node are calculated based on equation (6) as the following:

\[
(x, y) = \left( \frac{x_{RP} \cdot w_{RP} + x_{OP} \cdot w_{OP}}{w_{RP} + w_{OP}}, \frac{y_{RP} \cdot w_{RP} + y_{OP} \cdot w_{OP}}{w_{RP} + w_{OP}} \right). 
\]

(5)

2.2. Principles of Indoor Location Technology. The advantages and disadvantages of the current single-source positioning technology are combined, as shown in Table 2. As single-source positioning technology has a certain number of restrictions, first of all, the wireless WiFi technology can meet the communication capabilities required by the radio frequency positioning technology. Secondly, radio frequency positioning technology can also be embedded into wireless WiFi positioning technology to improve and make up for the defect of low positioning accuracy.

The principle of indoor location based on high-performance scientific computing of multi-source data technology is described in Figure 5, in which \(E\) and \(N\) stand for the east and north of the position, respectively. It is assumed that the initial position is \((x_0, y_0)\), when the pedestrian takes a step, the inertial sensor calculates the current heading \(\theta\) and step length \(d\) in real time, and then solves the next position \((x_1, y_1)\) according to the (1), and so on. Finally, the current position \((x_i, y_i)\) of the pedestrian can be obtained.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_i \\
Y_i \\
\theta_i
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
X_{i-1} + d_i \cos \theta_i \\
Y_{i-1} + d_i \sin \theta_i \\
\theta_i
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(6)

In the above equation, \(\theta_i\) represents the heading angle of the \(i^{th}\) step, \(X_{i-1}\) and \(Y_{i-1}\) stand for the coordinates of the \(i^{th}\) step, and \(X_i\) and \(Y_i\) stand for the coordinates of the \(i^{th}\) step.

As shown in equation (2), the most common model for the estimation step has relatively high accuracy, which is also conducive to the empirical relationship between the accelerometer mode value and the step length.

\[
L = K \sqrt{A_{\text{max}} - A_{\text{min}}},
\]

(7)

In the above equation, \(K\) is used to represent the calibration coefficient, \(A_{\text{max}}\) and \(A_{\text{min}}\) stand for the maximum and minimum acceleration of any step, respectively, and both values are obtained based on the gait discrimination conditions.

2.2.1. Acquisition and Filtering. Based on the expression 1 and expression 3, the distance between different types of ranging methods and fixed nodes can be calculated. However, when the data information is collected, different external interferences will be generated at the same time. The data obtained after multiple measurements are not correlated. Hence, compared with the moving average algorithm, the algorithm adopted in this paper can make up for the defect of high storage space requirements based on the moving average algorithm and optimize the distance measurement value by combining the linear combination of historical data. The expression is shown as the following:

\[
\bar{d}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} d_i = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( d_{n+1} + k \bar{d}_n \right),
\]

(8)

The previous moment can be expressed as the following:

\[
\bar{d}_k = (1 - \beta) \bar{d}_n + \beta \cdot \bar{d}_{n-1}.
\]

(9)

If \(\beta = k/(k+1)\) is met and \(0 \leq \beta < 1\), the corresponding exponential weighting factor \(\beta\) is used as the weight of the latest data. It is assumed that the value of \(\beta\) gets larger, the impact on the data will be smaller and vice versa. Based on the above equation, \(\bar{d}_R\) and \(\bar{d}_T\) can be obtained as the following.

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{d}_R(n) &= \bar{d}_R(n-1) + v_{max} T, \\
\bar{d}_R(n) &= \bar{d}_R(n-1) - \bar{d}_R(n-1) \geq v_{max} T, \\
\bar{d}_R(n) &= \bar{d}_R(n), \\
\bar{d}_R(n) &= \bar{d}_R(n) - \bar{d}_R(n-1) \leq -v_{max} T, \\
\bar{d}_R(n) &= \bar{d}_R(n) \text{ others}, \\
\tilde{d}_T(n) &= \tilde{d}_T(n-1) + v_{max} T, \\
\tilde{d}_T(n) &= \tilde{d}_T(n-1) - \tilde{d}_T(n-1) \geq v_{max} T, \\
\tilde{d}_T(n) &= \tilde{d}_T(n), \\
\tilde{d}_T(n) &= \tilde{d}_T(n) - \tilde{d}_T(n-1) \leq -v_{max} T, \\
\tilde{d}_T(n) &= \tilde{d}_T(n) \text{ others}.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

2.2.2. Genetic Optimization. Based on the application of wireless communication, the two wireless nodes can be set to a visible environment [10–12]. In this case, a system state model based on the kinematics principle is established in this paper as the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{s}(t) &= v(t), \\
\dot{d}(t) &= z(t).
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

Thus, the above model can be discretized as the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node 1</th>
<th>Node 2</th>
<th>Intersection point</th>
<th>Distribution point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Positioning technology | Accuracy | Penetrability | Anti-interference | Cost |
---|---|---|---|---|
Ultrasound | Very high | Low | Moderate | Very high |
Infrared | Relatively high | Very low | Very low | Relatively low |
Radio frequency | Very high | Moderate | Relatively low | Relatively low |
Wireless WiFi | Relatively low | Relatively high | Moderate | Moderate |
Bluetooth | Moderate | Moderate | Relatively low | Moderate |
WiFi | Low | Moderate | Very high | Low |
Ultra-wideband | Very high | Very high | Relatively high | Relatively high |

In the above equation, $K(n+1)$ represents the genetic optimization gain and $\sigma^2_{\eta}(n+1)$ represents the amount of changes in the noise $\sigma^2_{\eta}(n+1)$ when the node receives the $(n+1)$th data packet. In practical applications, different distribution estimates can be made for $\eta(n)$ based on the different environments where $\sigma^2_{\eta}(n)$ is located.

### 2.3. WiFi/Geomagnetic Combination Positioning Method

#### 2.3.1. Establishment of a Multi-Source Database

(1) WiFi Data. Based on the collection method, the location determination scene is divided into $n$ grids with a size of $0.6 \text{ m} \times 0.6 \text{ m}$. For each grid, more than 110 sets of WiFi intensity data are collected for multiple APs (wireless access points) configured, and the mean values are calculated to form a multi-source data sequence group accordingly. Taking the instability of the WiFi signal into consideration, the difference between the selected WiFi signal intensities of the measured point signal intensity should be below a certain threshold value, as shown in equation (15).

$$|R_i - M_i| \leq S_{th} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n).$$

In the above equation, $M_i$ indicates that the WiFi signal intensity can be optimized for $i$ grid nodes; $R_i$ indicates that the WiFi signal intensity corresponding to the $i$th grid node can be obtained based on the measured intensity data of 15 nonabnormal WiFi signals; $S_{th}$ represents the intensity threshold; and 5 dBm is taken as its value in this paper in order to guarantee the accuracy of data collected.

Figure 6 shows a group of WiFi multi-source data, and the mean value of the actual WiFi signal intensity is $-46.33 \text{ dBm}$. Under the constraint conditions, the data point at the arrow is detected and deleted as a point far away from the cluster, which can also effectively improve the accuracy of WiFi multi-source data.

The WiFi multi-source data on the $i$th grid node are expressed in the equation as the following.

$$FW_i = \{pos_i, RSS_i\} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n).$$

In the above equation, $pos_i$ represents the real coordinates of the $i$th grid node and $RSS_i$ represents the WiFi multi-source data sequence preprocessed by the $i$th grid node.

(2) Geomagnetic Data. The magnetic multi-source data are collected by using a magnetometer. However, as the
magnetometer will inevitably have errors due to the production technology, it is necessary to carry out compensation and correct the errors present.

From Figure 7, it can be observed that the center of the sphere formed by the magnetometer data is not at the origin of the coordinates. The reason is due to the zero deviation error of the magnetometer used, and the least square method is used in this paper to correct the error of the magnetometer. The three-dimensional distribution diagram of the compensated magnetometer data is shown in Figure 8, in which the center of the sphere is located at the origin of the coordinates.

2.3.2. Multi-Source Data Matching Algorithm. The multi-source data matching algorithm can accurately measure two multi-source data sequences with different lengths and effectively maintain the primary characteristics of the multi-source data sequences with different lengths and effectively limit based on the WiFi positioning error, but also significantly reduces the error rate of magnetic field matching [13–15]. Among them, Figure 9 shows the positioning result obtained after genetic optimization distance is $D(i, j)$.

Hence, the matrix $D(i, j)$ for genetic optimization can be obtained as the following:

$$D(i, j) = \text{Dist}(i, j) + \min \{D(i - 1, j), D(i, j - 1), D(i - 1, j - 1)\}. \quad (19)$$

$D(i, j)$ represents the similarity between the first $i$ points of the $X$ sequence and the first $j$ points of the $Y$ sequence. The genetic optimization distance is $D(|X|, |Y|)$. If the value of $D(|X|, |Y|)$ gets smaller, the sequence similarity of the original multi-source data will be higher.

2.3.3. WiFi/Geomagnetic Combination Positioning Method. Combined with the WiFi and magnetic positioning characteristics, the geomagnetic positioning matching range is effectively limited based on the WiFi positioning error, but also significantly reduces the error rate of magnetic field matching [13–15]. Among them, Figure 9 shows the positioning process, using WiFi/geomagnetic coupling.

From Figure 9, it can be observed that the magnetic field matching range is limited to the center of the WiFi positioning result ($\text{pos}_{\text{WiFi}}$), and the WiFi positioning error is
within the radius \( \text{err}_{\text{WiFi}} \) of the circular area \( S \); that is, in the case of actual computation, it is consistent with the geomagnetic multi-source data within the circular area only, whereas the other multi-source data in the multi-source database are not included in the matching range. Finally, the result of combination positioning can be obtained.

\[
\text{COM}_{\text{pos}} = \text{DTW}\{FM_i \in S \} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n).
\]  

(20)

In the above equation, \( \text{COM}_{\text{pos}} \) represents the result of the combination positioning, and \( \text{DTW}\{FM_i \in S \} \) represents the matching of \( n \) magnetic field sequences \( FM \) in the area \( S \) based on the DTW algorithm.

In summary, the flowchart of the WiFi/geomagnetic combination positioning can be obtained, as shown in Figure 10.

2.4. Fusion Positioning Method of the Genetic Optimization Neural Network Algorithm. The results of indoor location based on high-performance scientific computing of multi-source data and WiFi/geomagnetic coupling positioning are trained in the direction of the coordinates obtained by using WiFi/geomagnetic combination positioning, respectively; TargetData represents the target output sample, and \((x_{ri}, y_{ri})\) represents the coordinates of the real position of the \( i \)-th point to be positioned.

\[
\text{Target Data} = \begin{cases} x_{ri} \\ y_{ri} \end{cases} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n),
\]

(23)

In the above equation, InputData represents the input sample, and \((x_{pi}, y_{pi})\) and \((x_{ci}, y_{ci})\) stand for the coordinates of the \( i \)-th point to be positioned by using the indoor location based on the high-performance scientific computing of multi-source data and the coordinates obtained by using WiFi/geomagnetic combination positioning, respectively.

(3) Determine the Neuron Parameters. The number of neurons is determined and expressed by using the empirical equation follows:

\[
m = \sqrt{n + l + a}.
\]

(24)

\( a \) represents a constant between 1 and 10.

2.4.2. Design of the Genetic Optimization Neural Network Algorithm. The main steps are described as the following.

(1) Determination of the coding method and initial population.

(2) Select the fitness function.

Based on the difference between the actual and expected network outputs, the adaptive function is generally determined, and the function for the matching degree is selected according to

\[
E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (T_i - Y_i)^2.
\]

(25)

(3) Select the selection operator.

The \( i \)-th individual will be retained as the following:

\[
P_{ui} = \frac{f_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j}.
\]

(26)
(4) Selection of crossover operator.

In this paper, the overall crossover scheme is selected, as shown in

\[
\alpha = \begin{cases} 
\frac{f_{max} - f_i}{f_{max} - f_{avg}}, & f_i \geq f_{avg}, \\
0.35, & f_i < f_{avg}.
\end{cases}
\]  

(27)

In the above equation, \(\alpha\) represents the gene encoding combination coefficient.

The process for the genetic optimization neural network finally obtained is shown in Figure 12.

3. Analysis of Experimental Results

3.1. Simulation Experiment of the Algorithm. In order to verify that the neural network performance is optimized after genetic algorithm optimization, this paper collects fusion simulation analysis based on WiFi/geomagnetic coupling positioning and indoor location X-axis coordinate data based on multi-source data high-performance scientific computing. The training sample collection scene is a 250-m-long straight-line area with a collection interval of 0.6 m. There are 900 samples in total, 700 samples are used for training, and 200 samples are used for testing. The input layer in the genetic optimization neural network structure has two nodes: the hidden layer has five nodes, and the output layer has one node. There are 15 weights and 6 thresholds. Hence, the personal password length of the genetic algorithm is 21.

3.1.1. Prediction Output and Error of the Genetic Optimization Neural Network without Optimization. The prediction output of the x-axis coordinates and the prediction error obtained based on the BP network fusion algorithm are shown in Figures 13 and 14, respectively.

3.1.2. Prediction Output and Error of the Genetic Optimization Neural Network after the Optimization of the Genetic Algorithm. Figure 15 shows the change in the optimal individual fitness value in the genetic algorithm optimization process.

Table 3 shows the optimal initial value and threshold value of the genetic optimization neural network obtained after the optimization of the genetic algorithm.

In the above table, \(W_1\) represents the weight between the input layer and the hidden layer, \(B_1\) represents the threshold value of the hidden layer node, \(W_2\) represents the weight between the hidden layer and the output layer, and \(B_2\) represents the threshold value of the output layer node.

The prediction output of the genetic optimization neural network optimized by the genetic algorithm is shown in
Figure 16, and the prediction error of the genetic optimization neural network optimized by the genetic algorithm is shown in Figure 17.

It can be observed from Figures 16 and 17 that the prediction result of the BP network is more accurate. The mean square error before the optimization is 0.447 meters, whereas the mean square error after the optimization is 1.7615 meters, and the mean square error is reduced by about 75%, which has verified the feasibility of the fusion algorithm.

3.2. Functional Experiment of Positioning. The corridor in the classroom building is selected as the experimental environment (14 m * 20 m). The experimental field is divided into grids at a size of 1 m * 1 m, and motion is carried out for each detection (about 0.5 m). As shown in Figure 18, positioning is triggered for each motion.

Six APs are set up in the environment. The WiFi intensity and magnetic field intensity are collected using...
mobile phones and self-developed APP in real time. The experimental path is A-B-C-D-A.

3.2.1. Acquisition of the Positioning Data. In order to improve the real-time performance of positioning data acquisition, by establishing WiFi based on socket communication on the server side, the magnetic multi-source database can be saved in the database server, and the multi-source data positioning algorithm can also be used. Download to the server. In addition, MATLAB simulation software is used to simulate the indoor location construction based on multi-source data high-performance scientific computing. When the indoor location of high-performance scientific computing based on multi-source data is started, the system will send the collected data information, geomagnetic data, and sensor data to the server together. Finally, after the server receives the data information, it will locate according to the genetic optimization algorithm and feed the result back to the locator.

3.2.2. Analysis of the Positioning Accuracy. Figure 19 shows the reproduction results of the motion trajectories obtained based on different positioning methods. It can be known that the results obtained based on WiFi and geomagnetic positioning are prone to deviating from the actual coordinates. Although the coupled positioning has effectively improved the defects of two single positioning to some extent, there is still a range of error fluctuations. In the indoor location based on high-performance scientific computing of multi-source data in the 5 steps before departure, the positioning result is close to the real coordinates. Due to the influence of the cumulative error, the error of the coordinates when returning to the origin is relatively huge, whereas the positioning accuracy of neural network fusion after genetic improvement can be significantly improved.

Based on the different values of the positioning average errors obtained in Table 4, the accuracy of effectively obtaining combination positioning can be improved by about 17% compared with the positioning accuracy of using WiFi alone. Hence, the accuracy of the algorithm proposed in this paper is about 47% higher than that of the high-performance indoor location, with relatively good positioning performance.
4. Conclusions

In view of the relatively huge error in the current indoor location based on a single method before the application of the genetic optimization neural network algorithm, the wireless WiFi, geomagnetism, and multi-source data are used in this paper to extract the coordinates based on indoor location, which are characterized by the fact that this function can be implemented with a mobile phone. In this way, the calculation can be simplified so that the algorithm can be implemented more effectively. The problem of slow convergence is solved effectively by using the genetic algorithm, and the method of network prediction average is adopted, which can reduce the workload by 76%. The accuracy of fusion positioning can be improved by 48% compared with that of the positioning method. Based on the method put forward in this paper, the sensors and WiFi hotspots built in the mobile terminal can be used; that is, in a continuous and stable indoor location situation, even if the wireless WiFi signal disappears, the continued positioning can still be carried out, which has demonstrated relatively good scalability and fault tolerance of the method proposed in this paper.
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Table 4: Comparison of the average positioning errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of positioning</th>
<th>WiFi positioning</th>
<th>Geomagnetic positioning</th>
<th>High-performance indoor location</th>
<th>WiFi/geomagnetic combination positioning</th>
<th>Positioning based on the algorithm scheme proposed in this paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average error (m)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>1.2156</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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