

Research Article Existence of α_L -Fuzzy Fixed Points of *L*-Fuzzy Mappings

Shazia Kanwal¹, ¹ Umair Hanif, ¹ Maha Eshaq Noorwali, ² and Md. Ashraful Alam³

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan ²King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia ³Department of Mathematics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Correspondence should be addressed to Shazia Kanwal; shaziakanwal@gcuf.edu.pk and Md. Ashraful Alam; ashraf_math20@juniv.edu

Received 10 January 2022; Accepted 16 February 2022; Published 30 March 2022

Academic Editor: Ghous Ali

Copyright © 2022 Shazia Kanwal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this research article, fixed point theory is beautifully combined with fuzzy set theory. Two fuzzy fixed point theorems of L-fuzzy mappings are established and proved for two different contractive type conditions in the scenario of complete b-metric space. In order to give the strength of these results, nontrivial supportive examples for both results are also provided. The notion of L-fuzzy mappings is a generalized form of fuzzy mappings as well as multivalued mappings. In this approach, our results provide uniqueness, extension, and successive generalizations of many valuable recent and conventional results existing in the literature.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Responding to physical problems becomes naiver with the beginning of FS theory which was introduced in 1965 by Zadeh [1], as it benefits in manufacturing the version of fuzziness and flaws stronger and more definite. Now, it is a well-accepted system to grasp confusions originating in different materialistic situations. In 1967, Goguen [2] expanded this idea into the L-FS theory by replacing the interval with a complete distributive lattice L. The concept of a FS is a special case of an L-FS when L = [0, 1]. Then, many results were accomplished by various authors for L-FM. Because the notion of distance function plays an energetic part in approximation theory; therefore, FSs and L-FSs have further been practiced in the classical idea of MSs. The Hausdorff distance for α -cut sets of *L*-FMs was made known by Rashid et al. to study FP theorems for L-FMs. In 1989, Bakhtin [3] introduced the concept of b-MS. In 1993, Czerwik [4] obtained the results of b-MS. By accepting this idea, many researchers gave generalizations of the Banach contractive principle in b-MS. Boriceanu [5], Bota et al. [6] Czerwik [4], Kir and Kiziltunc [7], Kumam et al. [8], and Pacurar [9] obtained the FP theorems in b-MSs. Afterward, many authors derived and calculated the existence of FP of mappings, satisfying a contractive type condition, for

example, Abbas et at. [10] obtained fuzzy common FPs for generalized mappings, Ahmad et al. [11] achieved FPs for locally contractive mappings, Azam et al. [12, 13] established FPs and common FPs for FPs, Estruch and Vidal [14]and Frigon and O'Regan [15] constructed FFPs for FPs, Kanwal and Azam [16] obtained common coincidence points for L-FMs and obtained many useful results for FMs and setvalued mappings as the direct consequences of the main result, Lee and Jin Cho [17] did work in proving the FP theorem for fuzzy contractive-type mappings, Phiangsungnoen and Kumam [18, 19] proved FFP theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in b-MSs, and Rashid et al. [20, 21] established L-fuzzy fixed points via beta-L admissible pair and coincidence theorem via α -cuts of *L*-FMs with applications. Gulzar et al. [22, 23] did work on fuzzy algebra and obtained results in this area.

Definition 1 (see [5]). Let Ω be any non-empty-set and $w \ge 1$ be a real-number. A function $d: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow R^+$ is called b-metric, if axioms given below are fulfilled for all $\mu, \nu, \xi \in \Omega$:

(1)
$$d(\mu, \nu) \ge 0$$
 and $d(\nu, \mu) = 0$ iff $\mu = \nu$
(2) $d(\mu, \nu) = d(\nu, \mu)$
(3) $d(\mu, \xi) \le w [d(\mu, \nu) + d(\nu, \xi)]$

If we take w = 1, then b-MS becomes ordinary MS. Hence, set of all MSs is a subset of set of all b-MSs.

Example 1 (see [5]). The set l_p with $0 , where <math>l_p = \{\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu_i|^p < \infty\}$, together with the function $d: l_p \times l_p \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$d(\mu,\nu) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu_i - \nu_i|^p\right)^{1/p},\tag{1}$$

where $\mu = {\mu_i}$, $\nu = {\nu_i} \in l_p$ is *b* metric space with $w = 2^{1/p} > 1$.) Notice that the abovementioned result holds with 0 .

Definition 2 (see [5]). Let (Ω, d) be b-MS and $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in Ω . Then,

- {z_n} is called a convergent sequence if there exist z ∈ Ω, such that for all ε > 0 ∃ n₀ (ε) ∈ N such that for all n≥n₀(ε), we have d(z_n, z) < ε. Then, we write lim_{n→∞}z_n = z.
- (2) {z_n} is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for all ε > 0 ∃
 n₀(ε) ∈ N such that for each n≥n₀(ε), we have
 d(z_n, z) < ε.
- (3) Ω is called **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in Ω is convergent in Ω.

Definition 3 (see [21]). Suppose (Ω, d) be a b-MS, CB (Ω) be the set of non-empty closed and bounded subsets of Ω , and CL (Ω) be the set of all non-empty closed subsets of Ω . For $z \in \Omega$ and $A, B \in CL (\Omega)$, we define,

$$d(z, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(z, a),$$

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, B): a \in A\}.$$
(2)

Let (Ω, d) be a b-MS. Hausdorff b-metric can be defined on CB (Ω) induced by *d* as

$$H(A,B) = \max\left\{\sup_{u \in A} d(u,B), \sup_{v \in B} d(A,v)\right\},$$
(3)

for all $A, B \in CB(\Omega)$.

Lemma 1 (see [16]). Let (Ω, d) be a b-MS and $A, B \in CB(\Omega)$,

(i) If $a \in A$, then $d(a, B) \leq H(A, B)$

(ii) For $A, B \in CB(\Omega)$ and $0 < \delta \in R$. Then, for $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that

$$d(a,b) \le H(A,B) + \delta \tag{4}$$

Definition 4 (see [16]). A **partially ordered set** (**poset**) is a set \mathcal{X} with binary relation \prec such that for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{X}$;

- (1) $a \prec a$ (reflexive)
- (2) $a \prec b$ and $b \prec a$ implies a = b (antisymmetric)
- (3) $a \prec b$ and $b \prec c$ implies $a \prec c$ (transitivity)

Definition 5 (see [16]). A poset (L, \prec_L) is said to be a

- (1) Lattice; if $r \land s \in L$, $r \lor s \in L$ for any $r, s \in L$.
- (2) **Complete lattice;** if $\forall B \in L, \land B \in L$ for any $B \subseteq L$.
- (3) **Distributive lattice;** if $r \lor (s \land t) = (r \lor s) \land (r \lor t)$, $r \land (s \lor t) = (r \land s) \lor (r \land t)$ for any $r, s, t \in L$.
- (4) **Complete distributive lattice;** if $r \lor (\land_i s_i) = \land_i (r \lor s_i) r \land (\lor_i s_i) = \lor_i (r \land s_i)$ for any $r_i, s_i \in L$.
- (5) **Bounded lattice**; if it is a lattice along with a maximal element 1_L and a minimal element 0_L , which satisfy $0_L \prec_L x \prec_L 1_L$ for every $x \in L$.

Definition 6 (see [1]). A function $W: \Omega \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is known as FS on a nonempty set Ω

Definition 7 (see [2]). An *L*-FS *W* on a nonempty set Ω is a function *W*: $\Omega \longrightarrow L$, where *L* is a bounded complete distributive lattice along with 1_L and 0_L .

Remark 1. The class of L-FSs is larger than the class of FSs.

Definition 8 (see [16]). The γ_L -level set of an L-FS W is defined as

$$[W]_{\gamma_L} = \left\{ m \in \Omega: \ \gamma_L \prec_L W(m), \text{ for } \gamma_L \in \frac{L}{\{0_L\}} \right\},$$

$$[W]_{0_L} = \overline{\{m \in \Omega: \ 0_L \prec_L W(m)\}},$$
(5)

where \overline{D} is the closure of the set D (crisp set). Let $F_L(\Omega)$ be the collection of all L-FSs in Ω .

Definition 9 (see [21]). Let Ω_1 be any set, Ω_2 be a MS. A mapping T is called L-FM, if

 $T: \Omega_1 \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega_2)$. An *L*-FM *T* is an *L*-FS on $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ with membership function T(x)(y) The image T(x)(y) is the grade of membership of *y* in T(x).

Definition 10 (see [16]). Let (Ω, d) be b-MS and $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ be an *L*-FM. A point $z \in \Omega$ is the α_L -FFP of *T* if $z \in [Tz]_{\alpha_L}$ for some $\alpha_L \in L \setminus \{0_L\}$.

Now, for $x \in \Omega, A, B \in F_L(\Omega), \alpha_L \in L \setminus \{0_L\}$ and $[A]_{\alpha_L}, [B]_{\alpha_L} \in CB(\Omega)$ we define $d(x, S) = \inf \{d(x, a); a \in S\}$; here, S is a subset of Ω :

$$p_{\alpha_{L}}(x, A) = \inf \{ d(x, a) :; a \in [A]_{\alpha_{L}} \},\$$

$$p_{\alpha_{L}}(A, B) = \inf \{ d(a, b) :; a \in [A]_{\alpha_{L}}, b \in [B]_{\alpha_{L}} \},\$$

$$p(A, B) = \sup_{\alpha_{L}} p_{\alpha_{L}}(A, B),\$$

$$H([A]_{\alpha_{L}}, [B]_{\alpha_{L}}) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in [A]_{\alpha_{L}}} d(a, [B]_{\alpha_{L}}), \sup_{b \in [B]_{\alpha_{L}}} d(b, [A]_{\alpha_{L}}) \right\}.$$
(6)

Remark 2. The function $H: CB(\Omega) \times CB(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Hausdorff b-metric, where Ω is a b-MS and $CB(\Omega)$ is the set of all closed and bounded subsets of Ω .

Definition 11 (see [16]). Let Ψ_b be the class of strictly increasing functions, $\psi: [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \psi^n(t) < +\infty \text{ for each } t > 0, \text{ where } \psi^n \text{ is the nth iterate of } \psi. \text{ It is known that for each } \psi \in \Psi_b, \text{ we have } \psi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } \psi(0) = 0 \text{ for } t = 0.$

2. α_L -Fuzzy Fixed Points

In this section, we have obtained two different results to find α_L -fuzzy fixed points (FFP) in complete b-metric spaces (MS) and established significant examples to validate our results.

Theorem 1. Let (Ω, d) be a complete b-MS with constant b ≥ 1 . Let $T:\Omega \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ be an L-FM and for $x, y \in \Omega, \exists \alpha_{L(x)}, \alpha_{L(y)}, \in L \setminus \{0_L\}$ such that $[Tx]_{\alpha_{L(x)}}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha_{L(y)}}$ non – empty and belong to $CB(\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) \leq a_{1}d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}) + a_{2}d(y, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) + a_{3}d(x, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) + a_{4}d(y, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}) + a_{5}d(x, y) + a_{6}\frac{d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)})(1 + d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}))}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(7)

Also, $a_i \ge 0$, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 6 with $a_1 + a_2 + 2ba_3 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i < 1$. Then, *T* has an α_L -FFP.

Proof. Let x_o be an arbitrary point in Ω , since $[Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}}$ is nonempty, so there exists $x_1 \in [Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}}$ and $x_2 \in [Tx_1]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}}$ and so on.

 $\begin{array}{l} x_{2} \in [Tx_{1}]_{\alpha_{L(x_{1})}} \text{ and so on.} \\ \text{Because } [Tx_{o}]_{\alpha_{L(x_{0})}} \text{ and } [Tx_{1}]_{\alpha_{L(x_{1})}} \text{ are closed and} \\ \text{bounded subsets of } \Omega. \end{array}$

By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{split} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq H \Big([Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}}, [Tx_1]_{\alpha_{L(x_1)}} \Big) + (a_1 + ba_3 + a_5 + a_6), \\ d(x_1, x_2) &\leq a_1 d \Big(x_o, [Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}} \Big) + a_2 d \Big(x_1, [Tx_1]_{\alpha_{L(x_1)}} \Big) + a_3 d \Big(x_o, [Tx_1]_{\alpha_{L(x_1)}} \Big) + a_4 d \Big(x_1, [Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}} \Big) \\ &+ a_5 d (x_o, x_1) + a_6 \frac{d \Big(x_o, [Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}} \Big) \Big(1 + d \Big(x_o, [Tx_o]_{\alpha_{L(x_0)}} \Big) \Big)}{1 + d(x_o, x_1)} + (a_1 + ba_3 + a_5 + a_6), \\ d(x_1, x_2) &\leq a_1 d (x_o, x_1) + a_2 d (x_1, x_2) + a_3 d (x_o, x_2) + a_4 d (x_1, x_1) + a_5 d (x_o, x_1) \end{split}$$

$$+a_{6}\frac{d(x_{o},x_{1})(1+d(x_{o},x_{1}))}{1+d(x_{o},x_{1})}+(a_{1}+ba_{3}+a_{5}+a_{6}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq a_1 d(x_o, x_1) + a_2 d(x_1, x_2) + a_3 d(x_o, x_2) + a_5 d(x_o, x_1) + a_6 d(x_o, x_1) + (a_1 + ba_3 + a_5 + a_6) \\ d(x_1, x_2) &\leq a_1 d(x_o, x_1) + a_2 d(x_1, x_2) + ba_3 d(x_o, x_1) + ba_3 d(x_1, x_2) \\ &+ a_5 d(x_o, x_1) + a_6 d(x_o, x_1) + (a_1 + ba_3 + a_5 + a_6), \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \leq (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + (a_{2} + ba_{3})d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6}),$$

$$(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \leq (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6}),$$

$$d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \leq \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}.$$
(8)

(9)

Let
$$(a_1 + ba_3 + a_5 + a_6)/(1 - (a_2 + ba_3)) = \gamma$$
 So,
 $d(x_1, x_2) \le \gamma d(x_o, x_1) + \gamma.$

Again, since $x_2 \in [Tx_1]_{\alpha_{L(x_1)}}$ and $x_3 \in [Tx_2]_{\alpha_{L(x_2)}}$ are bounded and closed subsets of Ω . So, By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq H\Big([Tx_{1}]_{a_{L}(x_{1})}, [Tx_{2}]_{a_{L}(x_{2})}\Big) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq a_{1}d\Big(x_{1}, [Tx_{1}]_{a_{L}(x_{1})}\Big) + a_{2}d\Big(x_{2}, [Tx_{2}]_{a_{L}(x_{2})}\Big) + a_{3}d\Big(x_{1}, [Tx_{2}]_{a_{L}(x_{3})}\Big) + a_{4}d\Big(x_{2}, [Tx_{1}]_{a_{L}(x_{1})}\Big) \\ &+ a_{5}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + a_{6}\frac{d\Big(x_{1}, [Tx_{1}]_{a_{L}(x_{1})}\Big)\Big(1 + d\Big(x_{1}, [Tx_{1}]_{a_{L}(x_{1})}\Big)\Big)}{1 + d(x_{1}, x_{2})} + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq a_{1}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + a_{2}d(x_{2}, x_{3}) + a_{3}d(x_{1}, x_{3}) + a_{4}d(x_{2}, x_{2}) + a_{5}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ &+ a_{6}\frac{d(x_{1}, x_{2})(1 + d(x_{1}, x_{2}))}{1 + d(x_{1}, x_{2})} + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq a_{1}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + a_{2}d(x_{2}, x_{3}) + a_{3}d(x_{1}, x_{3}) + a_{5}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + a_{6}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq a_{1}d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + a_{2}d(x_{2}, x_{3}) + (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + (a_{2} + ba_{3})d(x_{2}, x_{3}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq (a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))^{2}}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{(a_{1} + ba_{3} + a_{5} + a_{6})^{2}}{(1 - (a_{2} + ba_{3}))^{2}}, \\ d(x_{2}, x_{3}) &\leq \gamma d(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \gamma^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using inequality (9), we get

$$d(x_{2}, x_{3}) \leq \gamma [\gamma d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + \gamma] + \gamma^{2},$$

$$d(x_{2}, x_{3}) \leq \gamma^{2} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + \gamma^{2} + \gamma^{2},$$
 (11)

$$d(x_{2}, x_{3}) \leq \gamma^{2} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + 2\gamma^{2}.$$

Continuing in this way by induction, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$, such that $x_{n-1} \in [Tx_n]_{\alpha_L}$ and $x_n \in [Tx_{n+1}]_{\alpha_L}$, we have

$$id(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \gamma^n d(x_o, x_1) + n\gamma^n.$$
(12)

Now, for positive integers m, n, and (n > m), we have

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq b[d(x_{m}, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n})],$$

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq b[\gamma^{m} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + m\gamma^{m} + \gamma^{m+1} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + (m+1)\gamma + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + (n-1)\gamma^{n-1}],$$

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq b[(\gamma^{m} + \gamma^{m+1} + \dots + \gamma^{n-1})d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} i\gamma^{i}].$$
(13)

Because $\gamma^m + \gamma^{m+1} + \ldots + \gamma^{n-1}$ is a geometric series with r (*common ratio*) = $\gamma < 1$, it is hence convergent. So, we can write above inequality as follows:

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq b \left[\frac{\gamma^{m}}{1 - \gamma} d(x_{o}, x_{1}) + \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} i \gamma^{i} \right].$$
(14)

As $\gamma < 1$, and for $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$, the series $\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} i \gamma^i$ converges by the Cauchy root test so,

 $d(x_m, x_n) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{15}$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is the Cauchy sequence in Ω . As Ω is complete. So, $\exists z \in \Omega$ such that $x_n \longrightarrow z$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Now, we show that z is a L-fuzzy fixed point. For this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, [Tz]_{a_{l}}) &\leq b \left[d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, [Tz]_{a_{l}}) \right], \\ d(z, [Tz]_{a_{l}}) &\leq b \left[d(z, x_{n+1}) + H([Tx_{n}]_{a_{l}}, [Tz]_{a_{l}}) \right], \\ d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) &\leq b \left[d(z, x_{n+1}) + a_{1}d(x_{n}, [Tx_{n}]_{a_{L(x_{n})}}) + a_{2}d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) + a_{3}d(x_{n}, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) + a_{4}d(z, [Tx_{n}]_{a_{L(x_{n})}}) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{5}d(x_{n}, z) + a_{6}\frac{d(x_{n}, [Tx_{n}]_{a_{L(x_{n})}})(1 + d(x_{n}, [Tx_{n}]_{a_{L(x_{n})}})))}{1 + d(x_{n}, z)} \right], \\ d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) &\leq b \left[d(z, x_{n+1}) + a_{1}d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + a_{2}d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) + a_{3}d(x_{n}, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) + a_{4}d(z, x_{n+1}) \right. \\ &\quad + a_{5}d(x_{n}, z) + a_{6}\frac{d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})(1 + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}))}{1 + d(x_{n}, z)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(16)$$

$$d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) &\leq b \left[d(z, x_{n+1}) + a_{1}d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) + a_{2}d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) + ba_{3}d(x_{n}, z) + ba_{3}d(z, [Tz]_{a_{L(z)}}) \right. \\ &\quad + a_{4}d(z, x_{n+1}) + a_{5}d(x_{n}, z) + a_{6}\frac{d(x_{n}, x_{n+1})(1 + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}))}{1 + d(x_{n}, z)} \right].$$

Also
$$n \longrightarrow \infty$$
,

$$d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right) \leq b\left[a_2 d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right) + ba_3 d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right)\right],$$

$$d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right) \leq b\left(a_2 + ba_3\right) d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right), \left(1 - b\left(a_2 + ba_3\right)\right)$$

$$d\left(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}\right) \leq 0.$$
(17)

As $1 - b(a_2 + ba_3) \neq 0$. So, only possibility is $d(z, [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}) = 0$. Hence, $z \in [Tz]_{\alpha_{L(z)}}$. So, z is α_L -FFP of T. *Example 2.* Let $\Omega = [a, a + 1]$ where $a \in R$. Define $d: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow R^+$ by $d(\omega, \mu) = |\omega - \mu|$. Define *L*-fuzzy mapping $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ as

$$T(\omega)(t) = \begin{cases} a+1, & a < t \le \frac{\omega}{4}, \\ \frac{a+1}{2}, & \frac{\omega}{4} < t \le \frac{\omega}{3}, \\ \frac{a+1}{4}, & \frac{\omega}{3} < t \le \frac{\omega}{2}, \\ a, & \frac{\omega}{2} < t \le a+1. \end{cases}$$
(18)

For all $\omega, \mu \in \Omega$. Here, L = [a, a + 1] where $a \in R$. Moreover, for all $\omega \in \Omega, \exists \alpha_L(\omega) = \alpha_L(\mu) = a + 1$ such that $[T\omega]_{\alpha_L} = [a, \omega/4]$. Hence, for $\mu \in \Omega$, $[T\mu]_{\alpha_L} = [a, \mu/4]$. As

$$H\left(\left[T\omega\right]_{\alpha_{L}},\left[T\mu\right]_{\alpha_{L}}\right) = \left|\frac{\mu}{4} - \frac{\omega}{4}\right|,\tag{19}$$

$$d\left(\omega, \left[T\omega\right]_{\alpha_{L}}\right) = \left|\omega - \frac{\omega}{4}\right|,\tag{20}$$

$$d(\mu, [T\mu]_{\alpha_L}) = \left|\mu - \frac{\mu}{4}\right|,\tag{21}$$

$$d(\omega,\mu) = |\omega - \mu|, \qquad (22)$$

$$d(\omega, [T\mu]_{\alpha_L}) = \left|\omega - \frac{\mu}{4}\right|, \tag{23}$$

$$d(\mu, [T\omega]_{\alpha_L}) = \left|\mu - \frac{\omega}{4}\right|. \tag{24}$$

From (19)–(24), we have

$$H([T\omega]_{\alpha_{L}}, [T\mu]_{\alpha_{L}}) \leq \frac{1}{5} \left| \omega - \frac{\omega}{4} \right| + \frac{1}{10} \left| \mu - \frac{\mu}{4} \right| + \frac{1}{15} \left| \omega - \frac{\mu}{4} \right| + \frac{1}{20} \left| \mu - \frac{\omega}{4} \right| + \frac{1}{25} \left| \omega - \mu \right| + \frac{1}{30} \quad (25)$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{|\omega - \omega/4| (1 + |\omega - \omega/4|)}{1 + |\omega - \mu|} \right).$$

Hence, all the conditions of the above theorem are satisfied. There exists ab *L*-fuzzy fixed point in complete b-metric. So, $a \in \Omega$ is an α_L -FFP of *T*.

Corollary 1. Let (Ω, d) be a complete b-MS with constant b ≥ 1 . Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F(\Omega)$ be a FM and for $x, y \in \Omega, \exists \alpha(x), \alpha(y)) \varepsilon(0, 1]$ such that $[Tx]_{\alpha(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha(y)}$ benon – empty and belongs to $CB(\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \leq a_1 d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_2 d(y, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_3 d(x, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_4 d(y, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_5 d(x, y) + a_6 \frac{d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)})(1 + d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}))}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(26)

Also $a_i \ge 0$, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 6 with $a_1 + a_2 + 2ba_3 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i < 1$. Then, *T* has a FFP.

Corollary 2. Let (Ω, d) be a complete MS. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ be an L-FM and for $x, y \in \Omega, \exists \alpha_{L(x)}, \alpha_{L(y)}, \epsilon L/\{0_L\}$ such that $[Tx]_{\alpha_L}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha_L}$ benon – empty and belong to $CB(\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) \leq a_{1}d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}) + a_{2}d(y, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) + a_{3}d(x, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}) + a_{4}d(y, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}) + a_{5}d(x, y) + a_{6}\frac{d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)})(1 + d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}))}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(27)

Also $a_i \ge 0$, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 6 with $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i < 1$. Then, *T* has an α_L -FFP.

Corollary 3. Let (Ω, d) be a complete MS. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F(\Omega)$ be a FM and for $x, y \in \Omega, \exists \alpha(x)\alpha(y) \in (0, 1]$ such that $[Tx]_{\alpha(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha(y)}$ benon – empty and belongs to $CB(\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \leq a_1 d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_2 d(y, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_3 d(x, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_4 d(y, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_5 d(x, y) + a_6 \frac{d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)})(1 + d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}))}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(28)

Also $a_i \ge 0$, where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 6 with $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i < 1$. Then, *T* has a FFP.

Theorem 2. Let (Ω, d) be a complete b-MS with coefficient $s \ge 1$. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ be L-fuzzy mapping and for all

 $x, y \in \Omega$, $\alpha_{L(x)}, \alpha_{L(y)} \in L/\{0_L\}$, $[Tx]_{\alpha_L(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha(y)}$ be nonempty closed and bounded subsets of Ω . Suppose T satisfies the following multivalued contraction

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha_L(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha_L(y)}) \le \psi(d(x, y))$$
(29)

where $\psi \in \Psi_b$. Then, T has an α_L -FFP.

Proof. Let ω_0 be an arbitrary point in Ω . Suppose that there exists $\omega_1 \in [T\omega_0]_{\alpha_L(\omega_0)}$. Because $[T\omega_1]_{\alpha_L(\omega_1)}$ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of Ω .

Case 1. If $\omega_0 = \omega_1$, then $\omega_1 = \omega_0 \in [T\omega_0]_{\alpha_L(\omega_0)}$. Hence, ω_0 is the required α_L -FFP of T.

Case 2. If $\omega_1 \in [T\omega_1]_{\alpha_1(\omega_1)}$. Then, $\omega_1 \alpha_L$ -FFP of *T*.

Case 3. Now, we assume that $\omega_0 \neq \omega_1$ and $\omega_1 \in [T\omega_0]_{\alpha_L(\omega_0)}$. so,

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{1}, [T\omega_{1}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{1})\right) \le H\left([T\omega_{0}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{0}), [T\omega_{1}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{1})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})),$$

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{1}, [T\omega_{1}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{1})\right) < \psi(r \ d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})), \forall r > 1.$$
(30)

Because, $[T\omega_1]_{\alpha_L(\omega_1)}$ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of Ω . Suppose there exists

$$\omega_{2} \in [T\omega_{1}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega_{1})} \text{ and } \omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2} \text{ such that}$$
$$0 < d(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \le \psi(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})) \le \psi(r \ d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})). \tag{31}$$

Because $[T\omega_2]_{\alpha_L(\omega_2)}$ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of Ω . We assume that ω_2 does not belong $[T\omega_2]_{\alpha_L(\omega_2)}$. Then,

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{2}, [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) \le H\left([T\omega_{1}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{1}), [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})),$$

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{2}, [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})).$$
(32)

From (31),

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{2}, [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})) \le \psi^{2}(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})),$$

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{2}, [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})) \le \psi^{2}(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})) < \psi^{2}(r \ d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})),$$

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{2}, [T\omega_{2}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{2})\right) < \psi^{2}(r \ d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})).$$
(33)

Suppose that there exists $\omega_3 \in [T\omega_2]_{\alpha_L(\omega_2)}$ and $\omega_2 \neq \omega_3$ such that

$$0 < d(\omega_2, \omega_3) \le \psi(d(\omega_1, \omega_2)) < \psi^2(r \ d(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$
(34)

By induction, we can construct a sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ in Ω , such that ω_n does not belongs to $[T\omega_n]_{\alpha_L(\omega_n)}$ and $\omega_{n+1} \in [T\omega_n]_{\alpha_L(\omega_n)}$ and

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{n}, [T\omega_{n}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{n})\right) \le H\left([T\omega_{n-1}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{n-1}), [T\omega_{n}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{n})\right) \le \psi(d(\omega_{n-1}, \omega_{n})) \le \psi^{n}(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})),$$

$$0 < d\left(\omega_{n}, [T\omega_{n}]_{\alpha_{L}}(\omega_{n})\right) \le \psi^{n}(d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})) < \psi^{n}(r \ d(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1})), \forall n \in N.$$

$$(35)$$

Now, for $m, n \in N$ with m > n, we have

$$d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{m}) \leq s[d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{n+1}) + d(\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{m})],$$

$$d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{m}) \leq s \ d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{n+1}) + s \ d(\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{m}),$$

$$d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{m}) \leq s \ d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{n+1}) + s[s[d(\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{n+2}) + d(\omega_{n+2}, \omega_{m})]],$$

$$d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{m}) \leq s \ d(\omega_{n}, \omega_{n+1}) + s^{2} \ d(\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{n+2}) + s^{2} \ d(\omega_{n+2}, \omega_{m}).$$
(36)

Similarly, in this way

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega_{n},\omega_{m}) &\leq s \ d(\omega_{n},\omega_{n+1}) + s^{2} \ d(\omega_{n+1},\omega_{n+2}) + s^{3} \ d(\omega_{n+2},\omega_{n+3}) + \ldots + s^{m-n} \ d(\omega_{m-1},\omega_{m}), \\ d(\omega_{n},\omega_{m}) &\leq s \psi^{n} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + s^{2} \psi^{n+1} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + s^{3} \psi^{n+2} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + \ldots + s^{m-n} \psi^{m-1} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})), \\ d(\omega_{n},\omega_{m}) &\leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \left[s^{n} \psi^{n} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + s^{n+1} \psi^{n+1} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + s^{n+2} \psi^{n+2} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) + \ldots + s^{m-1} \psi^{m-1} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})) \right], \\ d(\omega_{n},\omega_{m}) &\leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} s^{i} \psi^{i} (r \ d(\omega_{0},\omega_{1})). \end{aligned}$$

Because $i \ \psi \in \Psi$, we know that the series $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} s^i \psi^i (r \ d(\omega_0, \omega_1))$ converges.

For $n \longrightarrow \infty$, $d(\omega_n, \omega_m) \longrightarrow 0$.

Hence, $\{\omega_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Ω . By the completeness of Ω , there exists $\omega^* \in \Omega$ such that $\lim_{l\longrightarrow\infty}\omega_n = \omega^*$. We claim that $\omega^* \in [T\omega^*]_{\alpha_l}(\omega^*)$.

Because

$$d(\omega^{*}, [T\omega^{*}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega^{*})}) \leq s \left[d(\omega^{*}, \omega_{n+1}) + d(\omega_{n+1}, [T\omega^{*}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega^{*})}) \right],$$

$$d(\omega^{*}, [T\omega^{*}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega^{*})}) \leq s \left[d(\omega^{*}, \omega_{n+1}) + H\left([T\omega_{n}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega_{n})}, [T\omega^{*}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega^{*})} \right) \right],$$

$$d(\omega^{*}, [T\omega^{*}]_{\alpha_{L}(\omega^{*})}) \leq s \left[d(\omega^{*}, \omega_{n+1}) + \psi(d(\omega_{n}, \omega^{*})) \right].$$

(38)

As
$$n \longrightarrow \infty$$
, and $\psi(0) = 0$, which implies that

$$d(\omega^*, [T\omega^*]_{\alpha_L(\omega^*)}) = 0.$$
(39)

As $[T\omega^*]_{\alpha_L(\omega^*)}$ is a closed and bounded subset of Ω . So, $\omega^* \in [T\omega^*]_{\alpha_L(\omega^*)}^{\alpha_L(\omega^*)}.$ Hence, ω^* is α_L -FFP of *T*.

Example 3. Let $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define metric d on Ω as follows:

$$d(\omega, \mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \omega = \mu, \\ \frac{1}{6}, & \text{if } \omega \neq \mu \text{ and } \omega, \mu \in \{0, 1\}, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \omega \neq \mu \text{ and } \omega, \mu \in \{0, 2\}, \\ \\ 1, & \text{if } \omega \neq \mu \text{ and } \omega, \mu \in \{1, 2\}. \end{cases}$$
(40)

It is a complete b-MS with co-efficient 3/2. Define an L-FM as

 $T: X \longrightarrow F_L(\Omega)$ where L = [a, b]. (T0)(t) =

$$(T1)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{2}, & t = 0, \\ a, & t = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$
(41)
$$(T2)(t) = \begin{cases} a, & t = 0, \\ \frac{b}{2}, & t = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

Define $\alpha_L: \Omega \longrightarrow L/\{a\}$ by $\alpha_L(\omega) = b/2, \forall \omega \in \Omega$. Now, we obtain

$$[T\omega]_{b/2} = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \omega = 0, 1, \\ \{1\}, & \omega = 2. \end{cases}$$
(42)

For
$$\omega, y \in \Omega$$
, we get

 $H([T0]_{b/2}, [T1]_{b/2}) = \max\left\{\sup_{\omega \in [T\omega]_{b/2}} d(\omega, [T\mu]_{b/2}), \sup_{\mu \in [T\mu]_{b/2}} d(\mu, [T\omega]_{b/2})\right\}.$

Define ψ : $[0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\psi(t) = 1/3t \forall t > 0$. Thus, we have

$$H([T0]_{b/2}, [T1]_{b/2}) = 0 < \frac{1}{18}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} d(0, 1),$$

$$H([T0]_{b/2}, [T2]_{b/2}) = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} d(0, 2),$$

$$H([T1]_{b/2}, [T2]_{b/2}) = \frac{1}{6} < \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} d(1, 2).$$
(44)

Therefore, all the conditions of theorem 2 are satisfied. Hence, \exists a point $0 \in \Omega$ such that

 $0 \in [T0]_{b/2}$ is an α_L -FFP of T.

Corollary 4. Let (Ω, d) be a complete b-MS with coefficient $s \ge 1$. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F(\Omega)$ be fuzzy mapping and for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and for each $\alpha(x), \alpha(y)\varepsilon(0, 1], [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha(y)}$ be nonempty closed and bounded subsets of Ω . Suppose T satisfies the following multivalued contraction:

$$H\left(\left[Tx\right]_{\alpha(x)},\left[Ty\right]_{\alpha(y)}\right) \le \psi(d(x,y)),\tag{45}$$

where $\psi \in \Psi_b$. Then, T has an α -FFP.

Corollary 5. Let (Ω, d) be a complete MS. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F_L$, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and for each $\alpha_{L(x)}, \alpha_{L(y)} \varepsilon L/\{0_L\}, [Tx]_{\alpha_L(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha_L(y)}$ be a nonempty closed and bounded subset of Ω . Suppose T satisfies the following multivalued contraction:

$$H\left([Tx]_{\alpha_{L}(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha_{L}(y)}\right) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \tag{46}$$

where $\psi \in \Psi$. Then, T has an α_L -FFP.

Corollary 6. Let (Ω, d) be a complete MS. Let $T: \Omega \longrightarrow F(\Omega)$ be fuzzy mapping for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and for each $\alpha(x), \alpha(y)\varepsilon(0, 1], [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}$ and $[Ty]_{\alpha(y)}$ be a nonempty closed and bounded subset of Ω . Suppose T satisfies the following multivalued contraction:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \le \psi(d(x, y)), \tag{47}$$

where $\psi \in \Psi$. Then, T has an α -FFP.

Remark 3. We have extended fixed point theorems having different contractive conditions to *L*-fuzzy mappings in complete b-metric spaces and obtained some corollaries as direct consequences of our main results. Fixed point theorems are widely used to obtain solutions of some initial value problems (Fredholm integral equations of 1^{st} and 2^{nd} kinds, Volterra integral equations) to find explicit form of implicit functions, etc. Our work will help to solve problems involving situations mentioned above.

3. Conclusion

In the case of the complete b-metric space, two fuzzy fixed point theorems for *L*-fuzzy mappings are established and proved for two diverse contractive type conditions. Nontrivial supporting examples for both results are also supplied to demonstrate the strength of these findings. Our results give uniqueness, extension, and sequential generalizations of many valuable current and conventional results in the literature using this approach. Some directions for more examinations and work are given in the form of open questions.

- (1) Whether these results can be extended to more than one mapping?
- (2) In case of complex-valued metric spaces, what type of contractive conditions will be feasible to find fixed points?

Abbreviations

- MS: Metric space
- FP: Fixed point
- FS: Fuzzy set
- FM: Fuzzy mapping
- FFP: Fuzzy fixed point.

Data Availability

No real data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publications.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The authors therefore acknowledge with thanks for technical and financial support.

References

- L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," in *Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Logic, and Fuzzy Systems: Selected Papers by Lotfi A Zadeh*, pp. 394–432, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc, New Jersey, NJ, USA, 1996.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, "L-fuzzy sets," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 145–174, 1967.
- [3] I. Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces," *Func. An., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.
- [4] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces," Acta mathematica et informatica universitatis ostraviensis, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, Fixed point Theory for Multivalued Generalized Contraction on a Set with Two B-Metrics, Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica, no. 3, Romania, Balkans, 2009.
- [6] M. Bota, A. Molnar, and C. S. A. B. A. Varga, "On Ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 21–28, 2011.
- [7] M. Kir and H. Kiziltunc, "On some well-known fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces," *Turkish journal of analysis and number theory*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–16, 2013.
- [8] W. Kumam, P. Sukprasert, P. Kumam, A. Shoaib, A. Shahzad, and Q. Mahmood, "Some fuzzy fixed point results for fuzzy mappings in complete b-metric spaces," *Cogent Mathematics* & Statistics, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID 1458933, 2018.
- [9] M. Pacurar, "Sequences of almost contractions and fixed points in b-metric spaces," An. Univ. Vest. Timis., Ser. Mat.-Inform, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 125–137, 2010.
- [10] M. Abbas, B. Damjanović, and R. Lazović, "Fuzzy common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 1326–1330, 2010.
- [11] J. Ahmad, A. S. Al-Rawashdeh, and A. Azam, "Fixed point results for $\{\alpha, \xi\}$ -expansive locally contractive mappings," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, 10 pages, Article ID 364, 2014.
- [12] A. Azam, M. Arshad, and I. Beg, "Fixed points of fuzzy contractive and fuzzy locally contractive maps," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 2836–2841, 2009.
- [13] A. Azam and I. Beg, "Common fixed points of fuzzy maps," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 7-8, pp. 1331–1336, 2009.
- [14] V. D. Estruch and A. Vidal, "A note on fixed fuzzy points for fuzzy mappings," in *Proceedings of the II Italian-Spanish Congress on General Topology and Its Applications*, Triest, Italy, June 2001.

- [15] M. Frigon and D. O'Regan, "Fuzzy contractive maps and fuzzy fixed points," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 129, no. 1, pp. 39–45, 2002.
- [16] S. Kanwal and A. Azam, "Bounded lattice fuzzy coincidence theorems with applications," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 1–15, 2019.
- [17] B. Soo Lee and S. Jin Cho, "A fixed point theorem for contractive-type fuzzy mappings," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 309–312, 1994.
- [18] S. Phiangsungnoen and P. Kumam, "Fuzzy fixed point theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in b-metric spaces," *The Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 55–63, 2015.
- [19] S. Phiangsungnoen, W. Sintunavarat, and P. Kumam, "Common α-fuzzy fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings via \$\beta_\mathcal{F}-admissible pair," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2463–2472, 2014.
- [20] M. Rashid, A. Azam, and N. Mehmood, "L-Fuzzy fixed points theorems for-fuzzy mappings via-admissible pair," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, pp. 1–8, 2014.
- [21] M. Rashid, M. A. Kutbi, and A. Azam, "Coincidence theorems via alpha cuts of L-fuzzy sets with applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, 16 pages, Article ID 212, 2014.
- [22] M. Gulzar, G. Abbas, and F. Dilawar, "Algebraic properties of ω-Q-fuzzy subgroups," *International Journal of Mathematics* and Computer Science, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 265–274, 2020.
- [23] M. Gulzar, F. Dilawar, D. Alghazzawi, and M. H. Mateen, "A note on complex fuzzy subfield," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1048–1056, 2021.