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Altered Effective Connectivity of the Primary Motor Cortex in Transient Ischemic Attack
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Objective. This study is aimed at exploring alteration in motor-related effective connectivity in individuals with transient ischemic attack (TIA).

Methods. A total of 48 individuals with TIA and 41 age-matched and sex-matched healthy controls (HCs) were recruited for this study. The participants were scanned using MRI, and their clinical characteristics were collected. To investigate motor-related effective connectivity differences between individuals with TIA and HCs, the bilateral primary motor cortex (M1) was used as the regions of interest (ROIs) to perform a whole-brain Granger causality analysis (GCA). Furthermore, partial correlation was used to evaluate the relationship between GCA values and the clinical characteristics of individuals with TIA.

Results. Compared with HCs, individuals with TIA demonstrated alterations in the effective connectivity between M1 and widely distributed brain regions involved in motor, visual, auditory, and sensory integration. In addition, GCA values were significantly correlated with high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols in individuals with TIA.

Conclusion. This study provides important evidence for the alteration of motor-related effective connectivity in TIA, which reflects the abnormal information flow between different brain regions. This could help further elucidate the pathological mechanisms of motor impairment in individuals with TIA and provide a new perspective for future early diagnosis and intervention for TIA.

1. Introduction

Transient ischemic attack (TIA) is defined as a brief episode of neurological dysfunction caused by focal cerebral ischemia that does not result in acute cerebral infarction [1, 2]. Individuals with TIA have been clinically observed to be at high risk of suffering a stroke, and 7.5%–17.4% of individuals with TIA have a stroke within 3 months [3–5]. Nevertheless, timely treatment of individuals with TIA could reduce the risk of stroke by 80% in 3 months [6–8], which has received increasing attention in recent years [9, 10]. To improve the diagnosis and treatment, it is essential to better understand the underlying neural mechanisms of TIA [11–14].

Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) is a promising tool for investigating neurophysiological mechanisms [15–17] and has been extensively used to examine alterations in spontaneous neural activity in individuals with TIA [18–21]. In rs-fMRI, functional connectivity (FC) is an extensively adopted method for examining the brain network characteristics of TIA and disturbances in connectivity have been revealed in previous studies of TIA [22–24]. However, FC only reflects the correlation of time series between brain regions and ignores the causal effect of the interactions [25–27]. Previous studies have demonstrated that effective connectivity could reveal the causal effect of the interactions between brain areas [28–30], which
is how damaged brain areas influence other brain areas in a particular direction [31, 32]. Granger causality analysis (GCA) is one of the commonly used effective connectivity methods that can measure causal effects and information flow of fMRI time series, thus reflecting the directionality of interactions between brain regions [33–38]. In contrast to other effective connectivity methods, such as dynamic causal modeling and structural equation modeling, GCA is relatively data driven and does not require a priori anatomical models for data analysis and statistical inference [39, 40]. The method is therefore particularly suitable for exploratory studies on brain interaction in the context of a limited understanding of the pathological mechanisms of TIA, which could provide further evidence of how the disease affects the brains of individuals.

Motor impairment is a typical transient symptom associated with TIA [6, 41, 42], which mainly includes impaired limb dexterity, limb weakness, limb numbness, gait disturbance, and loss of coordination [43–45]. Previous studies have demonstrated that motor impairment is also a key high-risk clinical characteristic of subsequent stroke [46, 47]. TIA patients with motor impairment are twice as likely to have a stroke as those without motor impairment [48]. The primary motor cortex (M1) plays a critical role in the network responsible for voluntary motor functions [49, 50]. The execution of motor commands is accomplished through neuronal signals generated and sent by M1 [51–53] and is closely associated with motor impairment in TIA [54–56]. Therefore, using bilateral M1 as the regions of interest (ROIs) to explore the alteration of motor-related effective connectivity in TIA could advance our understanding of the pathological mechanisms of TIA.

In the current study, we used the GCA with bilateral M1 as ROIs to examine whether individuals with TIA had altered effective connectivity compared to healthy controls (HCs). Subsequently, we investigated the relationship between alterations of effective connectivity and clinical characteristics. According to our hypothesis, there were alterations in effective connectivity in individuals with TIA, which were related to clinical characteristics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants. A total of 51 participants with suspected TIA were enrolled at Anshan Changda Hospital between April 2015 and June 2016. Experienced clinical neurologists evaluated all participants for neurological symptoms associated with a possible vascular etiology. Individuals with a current or past history of hemorrhage, leukodystrophy, migraine, epilepsy, or psychiatric or neurological disorders were excluded. In addition, we recruited 41 HCs with no history of psychiatric or neurological disorders via community advertising, matching their age and sex to participants with TIA.

The MRI data of three individuals with TIA were not performed in the current study because of the low model order of GCA [38, 67–69].
2.5. Blind Deconvolution Procedure. Resting-state hemodynamic response function retrieval and deconvolution (RS-HRF, https://www.nitrc.org/projects/rs-hrf) was used to deconvolve the HRF from rs-fMRI signals, which could reduce the interindividual and interregional HRF variability and further improve the accuracy of effective connectivity estimation [69, 70].

2.6. Granger Causality Analysis. Bivariate voxel-wise GCA with the bilateral M1 as the ROIs was implemented using RESTplus. The ROIs were centered at $x = -12, y = -30, z = 54$ and $x = 12, y = -30, z = 54$ in the MNI space, with a radius of 6 mm [71]. GCA can estimate the causal effects from ROIs ($x$) to every other voxel in the whole brain ($y$) and the causal effects from every other voxel in the whole brain to ROIs [72, 73]. If the prediction of the future values of $y$ can be improved by combining past values of $x$ and $y$ instead of using only past values of $y$, then $x$ is said to Granger-cause $y$. Similarly, $y$ is said to Granger-cause $x$ when the future values of $x$ are better predicted by combining the past values of $y$ and $x$ compared to using only the past values of $x$ [36, 38, 74]. We used the signed-path coefficient GCA to reflect the alterations in effective connectivity among brain regions in the current study, and the increased or decreased effectivity activity between two brain regions was indicated by positive or negative GCA coefficients [75, 76]. Finally, the coefficient-based GCA values were converted into normally distributed $z$ scores [76].

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The differences in age and clinical characteristics between individuals with TIA and HCs were analyzed using a two-sample $t$-test, and the differences in gender were analyzed using a chi-squared test. Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS 20.0) was used in all of the analyses. Statistically significant between-group differences were set at $p < 0.05$.

Two-sample $t$-tests were conducted to determine the differences in effective connectivity between individuals with TIA and HCs using RESTplus. Subsequently, the Gaussian random field theory was adopted to carry out multiple comparison correction in the statistical analysis results (voxel $p < 0.05$, cluster $p < 0.05$, and two-tailed).

To detect the relationship between alterations of effective connectivity and clinical characteristics in TIA, we conducted partial correlation analysis between GCA values extracted from each region showing group differences and clinical characteristics with age and gender as covariates, including HDL-C, LDL-C, ABCD2 scores, and the time interval from the latest TIA to subsequent MRI scanning. Subsequently, Bonferroni correction was applied to correction for multiple comparison [77–79] and the threshold for statistical significance was set to $p < 0.0125 (0.05/4)$.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics. In the present study, there were no significant differences in age ($p = 0.182$), gender ($p = 0.670$), triglyceride level ($p = 0.213$), and HDL-C level ($p = 0.306$). However, the systolic blood pressure ($p < 0.001$), diastolic blood pressure ($p = 0.007$), blood sugar level ($p = 0.001$), total cholesterol level ($p = 0.045$), and LDL-C level ($p = 0.004$) were significantly higher in the TIA group than in the HC group. The detailed demographic and clinical characteristics of the individuals with TIA and HCs are shown in Table 1.

3.2. GCA Results

3.2.1. GCA Results from the Left M1 to the Whole Brain. The GCA values in individuals with TIA from the left M1 to the right precentral gyrus, bilateral inferior parietal gyrus (IPG), left postcentral gyrus, left superior cerebellum, bilateral middle frontal gyrus (MFG), left superior frontal gyrus (SFG), right angular gyrus (AG), and left inferior cerebellum were higher, but the values in the left fusiform and right insula were lower than those in the HCs (Table 2, Figure 1(a)). Furthermore, brain maps of the alteration of effective connectivity from the left M1 to the whole brain are shown in the Supplementary Materials (Figure S1A).

3.2.2. GCA Results from the Whole Brain to the Left M1. The GCA values in individuals with TIA from the left Rolandic operculum (RO), temporal pole of the right middle temporal gyrus (TP), right inferior cerebellum, left precuneus, orbital part of the right middle frontal gyrus, right supplementary motor area (SMA), right superior occipital gyrus (SOG), and opercular part of the right inferior frontal gyrus to the left M1 were lower than those in the HCs (Table 2, Figure 1(a)). Brain maps of the alteration of effective connectivity from the whole brain to the left M1 are shown in the Supplementary Materials (Figure S1B).

3.2.3. GCA Results from the Right M1 to the Whole Brain. The GCA values in individuals with TIA from the right M1 to the right superior temporal gyrus (STG), right precentral gyrus, right SFG, and opercular part of the right inferior frontal gyrus were higher, but those in the left MFG, left RO, left thalamus, and right lingual gyrus (LG) were lower than those in the HCs (Table 3, Figure 2(a)). Brain maps of the alteration of effective connectivity from the right M1 to the whole brain are shown in Figure S2A of the Supplementary Materials.

3.2.4. GCA Results from the Whole Brain to the Right M1. Compared with the HCs, the GCA values from the right STG and right fusiform to the right M1 were higher in individuals with TIA but those from the left insula, left medial SFG, left middle occipital gyrus (MOG), left IPG, and right calcarine were lower (Table 3, Figure 2(b)). Brain maps of the alteration of effective connectivity from the whole brain to the right M1 are shown in Figure S2B in the Supplementary Materials.

3.3. Partial Correlation between GCA and Clinical Characteristics. Partial correlation analysis (covariates: age and gender) was conducted to investigate the relationship between the alterations of effective connectivity and clinical characteristics. After Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, we found that the GCA values from the right SMA to the left M1 were negatively associated with HDL-C.
Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics for individuals with TIA and HCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TIA group (n = 48)</th>
<th>HC group (n = 41)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>57.604 ± 9.778</td>
<td>55.024 ± 8.033</td>
<td>0.182a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>37/11</td>
<td>30/11</td>
<td>0.670b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>145.542 ± 20.753</td>
<td>127.546 ± 19.527</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>86.667 ± 10.383</td>
<td>80.030 ± 10.896</td>
<td>0.007a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sugar level (mmol/L, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>6.299 ± 2.113</td>
<td>5.120 ± 0.740</td>
<td>0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>5.242 ± 1.135</td>
<td>4.753 ± 1.011</td>
<td>0.045a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>1.603 ± 0.940</td>
<td>1.917 ± 1.345</td>
<td>0.213a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>1.111 ± 0.238</td>
<td>1.051 ± 0.290</td>
<td>0.306a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>3.314 ± 0.974</td>
<td>2.691 ± 0.904</td>
<td>0.004a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor impairment, no. (%)</td>
<td>40 (83.3%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval (days, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>2.610 ± 2.981</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCD2 scores (median)</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; time interval: time interval from the latest TIA to subsequent MRI scanning. *Calculated by two-sample t-test. †Calculated by chi-squared t-test; there were 6 missing data of blood sugar level, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, and LDL-C and 8 missing data of blood systolic pressure and blood diastolic pressure in the HC group.

Table 2: The differences of GCA with left M1 as ROI between the TIA and HC groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical label</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Number of voxels</th>
<th>Peak MNI coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F_x→y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral_R</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57, −12, 45</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal_Inf_R</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>48, −48, 48</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral_L</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>−57, −9, 30</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum_6_L</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>−15, −75, −18</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Mid_L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>−24, 51, 9</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Sup_L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−9, 54, 33</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal_Inf_L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>−33, −69, 45</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Mid_R</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39, 21, 36</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal_Inf_L</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−51, −48, 51</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular_R</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42, −60, 45</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum_8_L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>−18, −45, −39</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform_L</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−21, −42, −18</td>
<td>−3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula_R</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>33, 21, 12</td>
<td>−3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_y→x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolandic_Oper_L</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>−39, −12, 15</td>
<td>−3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal_Pole_Mid_R</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>48, 12, −42</td>
<td>−3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum_8_R</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12, −66, −33</td>
<td>−3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus_L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>−9, −45, 78</td>
<td>−3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Mid_Orb_R</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>27, 42, −18</td>
<td>−3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supp_Motor_Area_R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>6, 12, 54</td>
<td>−3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum_8_R</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15, −66, −54</td>
<td>−3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital_Sup_R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>21, −87, 36</td>
<td>−4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Mid_Oper_R</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63, 12, 0</td>
<td>−4.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precentral_R: right precentral gyrus; Parietal_Inf_R: right inferior parietal gyrus; Postcentral_L: left postcentral gyrus; Cerebellum_6_L: left superior cerebellum; Frontal_Mid_L: left middle frontal gyrus; Frontal_Sup_L: left superior frontal gyrus; Parietal_Inf_L: left inferior parietal gyrus; Frontal_Mid_R: right middle frontal gyrus; Angular_R: right angular gyrus; Cerebellum_8_L: left inferior cerebellum; Insula_R: right insula; Rolandic_Oper_L: left Rolandic operculum; Temporal_Pole_Mid_R: temporal pole of the right middle temporal gyrus; Cerebellum_8_R: right inferior cerebellum; Precuneus_L: left precuneus; Frontal_Mid_Orb_R: orbital part of the right middle frontal gyrus; Supp_Motor_Area_R: right supplementary motor area; Occipital_Sup_R: right superior occipital gyrus; Frontal_Mid_Oper_R: opercular part of the right inferior frontal gyrus; BA: Brodmann area; MNI: Montreal Neurological Institute; NA: not available.
Figure 1: (a) Between-group differences in effective connectivity from the left M1 to the rest of the brain. The arrow indicated the causal inflow to the left M1 or the causal outflow from the left M1, and the red meant increased and blue meant decreased effective connectivity. L.M1: left primary motor cortex; R. Precentral: right precentral gyrus; R.IPG: right inferior parietal gyrus; L. Postcentral: left postcentral gyrus; L.Sup.Cerebellum: left superior cerebellum; L.MFG: left middle frontal gyrus; L.SFG: left superior frontal gyrus; L.IPG: left inferior parietal gyrus; R.MFG: right middle frontal gyrus; R.AG: right angular gyrus; L.Inf.Cerebellum: left inferior cerebellum; L. Fusiform: left fusiform gyrus; R. Insula: right insula; L.RO: left Rolandic operculum; R.MTG.Pole: temporal pole of the right middle temporal gyrus; R.Inf.Cerebellum: right inferior cerebellum; L. Precuneus: left precuneus; R.SMA: right supplementary motor area; R.SOG: right superior occipital gyrus; R.IFG.Oper: opercular part of the right inferior frontal gyrus.

Table 3: The differences of GCA with the right M1 as ROI between the TIA and HC groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical label</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Number of voxels</th>
<th>Peak MNI coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal_Sup_R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>60, −51, 18</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral_R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>48, 0, 45</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Sup_R</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24, 39, 36</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Inf_Oper_R</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>−39, 48, 27</td>
<td>−3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal_Mid_L</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−30, −18, −3</td>
<td>−3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolandic_Oper_L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>−6, −6, 3</td>
<td>−3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal_Sup_L</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>−60, −36, 12</td>
<td>−3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform_R</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>51, −21, −3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula_L</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>−36, 9, −12</td>
<td>−3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal_Sup_L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>−3, 63, 3</td>
<td>−4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital_Mid_L</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>−48, −81, −6</td>
<td>−4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal_Inf_L</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>−36, −48, 51</td>
<td>−4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcarine_R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>6, −66, 12</td>
<td>−4.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temporal_Sup_R: right superior temporal gyrus; Precentral_R: right precentral gyrus; Frontal_Sup_R: right superior frontal gyrus; Frontal_Inf_Oper_R: opercular part of the right inferior frontal gyrus; Frontal_Mid_L: left middle frontal gyrus; Rolandic_Oper_L: left Rolandic operculum; Thalamus_L: left thalamus; Lingual_R: right lingual gyrus; Fusiform_R: right fusiform gyrus; Insula_L: left insula; Temporal_Sup_L: left superior temporal gyrus; Frontal_Sup_Medial_L: left medial superior frontal gyrus; Occipital_Mid_L: left middle occipital gyrus; Parietal_Inf_L: left inferior parietal gyrus; Calcarine_R: right calcarine; BA: Brodmann area; MNI: Montreal Neurological Institute; NA: not available.
4. Discussion

In the present study, we used the GCA with the bilateral M1 as the ROIs to investigate the alteration of motor-related effective connectivity in individuals with TIA. Subsequently, we examined the correlation between altered effective connectivity and the clinical characteristics of individuals with TIA. Our results indicated that individuals with TIA had alterations in effective connectivity between the bilateral M1 and widely distributed brain regions, including motor control brain regions such as the SMA and cerebellum, as well as brain regions indirectly associated with motor function, such as the MOG, STG, and thalamus. These results have an important impact on understanding the pathologic mechanisms of TIA from a new perspective and on reducing the risk of subsequent stroke.

The motor network mainly includes areas such as the M1, SMA, and cerebellum [80, 81], and the SMA is crucial for the initiation and control of the motor [82, 83]. Previous studies have demonstrated that stroke patients had decreased FC between the M1 and SMA [84], but it could be restored after treatment with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) using M1 as the target of stimulation [85]. Our results found decreased information inflow from the right SMA to the left M1 in TIA, which is consistent with previous studies and provides further directional information. This suggests that the poor motor performance of individuals with TIA may be related to impaired information transmission from the SMA to M1. Furthermore, we also found that decreased information inflow from the SMA to M1 was negatively correlated with HDL-C in individuals with TIA. Previous studies found that increased HDL-C was associated with a decreased risk of ischemic stroke [86, 87], which might suggest that lower effective connectivity from the right SMA to the left M1 is related to a higher risk of subsequent stroke. The cerebellum is involved in motor control as a balance center that coordinates the work of muscles [88–90]. Previous studies have shown that effective connectivity from M1 to the cerebellum was decreased whereas that from the cerebellum to M1 increased in stroke patients [34]. This is inconsistent with our results; we found increased information outflow from the left M1 to the left inferior cerebellum and left superior cerebellum, but the GCA values from the right inferior cerebellum to the left M1 demonstrated the opposite result. This might indicate that the motor impairment caused by TIA recovered and exhibited a bidirectional M1 and cerebellar neural circuit.

The precentral and postcentral gyri are also considered brain regions highly associated with motor function. The postcentral gyrus is one of the core nodes in the primary somatosensory cortex that can receive fiber information from spinal and bulbar motor neurons [91, 92]. The precentral gyrus, as part of the primary motor cortex, is primarily involved in motor execution [93, 94]. The current study showed that effective connectivity from the bilateral M1 to the right precentral gyrus and from the left M1 to the left postcentral gyrus was increased in individuals with TIA. This is consistent with previous FC studies, which found increased FC between the M1 and precentral gyrus.
patients and suggested that increased FC might be a compensatory strategy in the early poststroke period and gradually returned to normal levels after treatment [95]. Another study also found that rTMS treatment reduced FC between M1 and the ipsilateral postcentral gyrus [85]. Our results further provide directionality of the interactions between brain regions; that is, the increased FC between M1 and the precentral gyrus and postcentral gyrus might be related to the abnormal excess information transferred from M1 to these brain regions.

Vision and auditory can indirectly affect motor [96–98]. The temporal lobe is primarily responsible for auditory-motor processing skills [99]. Previous studies have demonstrated an increased information inflow from the ipsilateral M1 to the ipsilateral temporal lobe in stroke and have suggested that it might be associated with functional compensation [34]. Our results similarly found increased information outflow from the right M1 to the right STG in individuals with TIA and decreased information inflow from the right TP to the left M1. The increased information transfer between the ipsilateral M1 and temporal lobe may compensate for the information flow between the contralateral M1 and temporal lobe. The occipital lobe, LG, calcarine, fusiform, RO, and precuneus are all involved in the processing of visuospatial information, spatial attention, and visiomotion coordination [100–102]. Previous studies have demonstrated that stroke patients had decreased FC between the M1 and LG, calcarine, MOG, and precuneus [95, 103, 104]. This is consistent with our study, in which we found decreased information inflow from the left precuneus, right occipital lobe, and left RO to the left M1 and increased information inflow from the left occipital lobe and right calcarine to the right M1. This suggests that motor impairment in individuals with TIA might be related to reduced information transfer from these brain regions to the M1. It is noteworthy that the fusiform exhibited information flow in the opposite direction. Specifically, the effective connectivity from the left M1 to the left fusiform decreased but the effective connectivity from the right fusiform to the right M1 increased in the TIA. This suggests a possible functional compensation between the fusiform and M1. Our results also showed that elevated LDL-C was correlated with decreased information transfer from the visuomotor area to the right M1 and elevated LDL-C increased the risk of ischemic stroke [105, 106]. This indicates that impaired effective connectivity between M1 and vision-motion brain regions might not only indirectly affect motor performance in TIA but also increase the subsequent stroke risk in individuals with TIA.

Brain regions including the AG, thalamus, and insula are responsible for the integration of sensory information [85, 107, 108]. Previous studies have shown that the AG can deal with differences between the intended action and the motor result [109]. The thalamus, as a relay station for sensorimotor activity, is associated with motor observation and coordination [110]. We found that information outflow from the right M1 to the left thalamus was reduced in TIA, suggesting that motor impairment in TIA may be related to impaired transmission pathways between the M1 and thalamus. In addition, our study also found increased information outflow from the left M1 to the right AG but decreased information outflow to the right insula. This suggests that although the thalamus, insula, and AG are all associated with sensory integration and neural circuits from M1 to the thalamus and insula are impaired, those from M1 to the AG may be functionally compensated [111].

There are some potential limitations to the present study. First, it was conducted at only one hospital and had a relatively small sample size, which affects the generalizability of our results. In the future, the effective connectivity of TIA should be further explored through large-sample and multisite studies to better reveal the pathological mechanisms of TIA. Second, MRI scans were not conducted in individuals with TIA during the follow-up period, which prevented us from tracking how the motor-related effective connectivity network changed in individuals with TIA. Future longitudinal studies could track the alteration of information transfer between motor-related brain regions in TIA. Finally, the current study found that motor-related effective connectivity was associated with HDL-C and LDL-C levels in individuals with TIA but there was a lack of motor-related clinical data in individuals with TIA.

Figure 3: Partial correlation scatterplot between GCA values and the clinical characteristics. (a) Partial correlation scatterplot between GCA values from right SMA to left M1 and HDL-C. (b) Partial correlation scatterplot between GCA values from left MOG to right M1 and LDL-C.
Future studies should incorporate motor-related clinical measures to further elucidate the clinical significance of altered effective connectivity in TIA.

5. Conclusion

The voxel-wise GCA was used to explore the alteration of the motor-related effective connectivity network in TIA and suggested that the altered information flow between the M1 and right SMA and left MOG might be correlated with the risk of subsequent stroke attack in TIA. This contributes to our comprehension of the underlying pathological mechanism of motor impairment in TIA and suggested that effective connectivity could be beneficial for early screening and urgent intervention for TIA in the future.
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