

## Research Article

# Sulfiredoxin as a Potential Therapeutic Target for Advanced and Metastatic Prostate Cancer

Caroline N. Barquilha,<sup>1,2</sup> Nilton J. Santos,<sup>1,2</sup> Caio C. D. Monção,<sup>1,2</sup> Isabela C. Barbosa,<sup>1,2</sup> Flávio O. Lima,<sup>3</sup> Luis A. Justulin,<sup>1</sup> Nelma Pértega-Gomes,<sup>4</sup> and Sérgio L. Felisbino,<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Morphology, Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University, Botucatu, 18618689 SP, Brazil
<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biology, State University of Campinas, Campinas, 13083862 SP, Brazil
<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University, Botucatu, 18618687 SP, Brazil
<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, 02215 MA, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Sérgio L. Felisbino; felisbin@ibb.unesp.br

Received 16 September 2019; Accepted 9 December 2019; Published 20 January 2020

Academic Editor: Giuseppe Cirillo

Copyright © 2020 Caroline N. Barquilha et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The incidence of prostate cancer (PCa) is increasing, and it is currently the second most frequent cause of death by cancer in men. Despite advancements in cancer therapies, new therapeutic approaches are still needed for treatment-refractory advanced metastatic PCa. Cross-species analysis presents a robust strategy for the discovery of new potential therapeutic targets. This strategy involves the integration of genomic data from genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) and human PCa datasets. Considering the role of antioxidant pathways in tumor initiation and progression, we searched oxidative stress-related genes for a potential therapeutic target for PCa. First, we analyzed RNA-sequencing data from *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>*fif*</sup> mice and discovered an increase in sulfiredoxin (*Srxn1*) mRNA expression in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (medium-stage tumors), and poor-differentiated adenocarcinoma (advanced-stage prostate tumors). The increase of SRXN1 protein expression was confirmed by immunohistochemistry in mouse prostate tumor paraffin samples. Analyses of human databases and prostate tissue microarrays demonstrated that SRXN1 is overexpressed in a subset of high-grade prostate tumors and correlates with aggressive PCa with worse prognosis and decreased survival. Analyses in vitro showed that *SRXN1* expression is also higher in most PCa cell lines compared to normal cell lines. Furthermore, siRNA-mediated downregulation of SRXN1 led to decreased viability of PCa cells LNCaP. In conclusion, we identified the antioxidant enzyme SRXN1 as a potential therapeutic target for PCa. Our results suggest that the use of specific SRXN1 inhibitors may be an effective strategy for the adjuvant treatment of castration-resistant PCa with SRXN1 overexpression.

### 1. Introduction

The incidence of prostate cancer (PCa) has progressively increased in the western world, representing the second most prevalent cancer with the second highest mortality rate in men [1–3]. Androgen receptor (AR) and circulating androgen are essential for normal prostate development [4], and AR is the main oncogenic driver of PCa initiation and progression. Therefore, therapeutic strategies against this type of tumor are usually aimed at inhibiting AR activity [5, 6]. If detected early, the chances of curing PCa are high, but

more advanced PCa develops resistance to androgen deprivation therapies [7, 8]. These tumors are referred to as "castration-resistant PCa," are highly heterogeneous in their molecular alterations [9–11], and are resistant to available therapies [12–14]. It is therefore crucial to identify new therapeutic targets and additional approaches to cure or at least increase the survival of patients with advanced PCa [15, 16].

Emerging technologies have allowed a deeper understanding of the cancer genome and the differential expression of genes involved in tumor development [17–19]. One recent example of the success of modern precision medicine for the

treatment of cancer is the development of PARP1 inhibitors (PARPi). This specific adjuvant treatment benefits patients with defective DNA-damage repair such as the BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations that frequently occur in breast and ovarian cancers [20, 21]. Studies involving PARPi for the treatment of PCa are already underway [22, 23]. In this context, one strategy for the discovery of new cancer biomarkers and/or potential therapeutic targets is to integrate genomic data from genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) and human cancer patients [24, 25]. Data generated by gene expression analysis of GEMM tumors help to search through genomewide expression datasets generated from human prostate tumors. GEMMs are also indispensable in preclinical studies to test new drugs in immunocompetent animals [26]. Thus, cross-species analyses provide a powerful tool to pinpoint genes conserved across both species that are master regulators of tumor development [27-29].

Cancer is a complex disease involving many molecular variables. For PCa, oxidative stress is one of the main ageassociated factors that influences the risk of developing this tumor [30, 31], such as alterations in GSTP1 expression by hypermethylation [32]. Several studies suggest that the prostate is exceptionally vulnerable to elevated reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress [33, 34]. In normal cells, elevated ROS causes cumulative damage in lipids, proteins, and DNA, which may result in mutations and cancer initiation [35-37]. However, oxidative stress is also directly involved in cancer progression and metastasis [38-40]. Antioxidant pathways play an important cytoprotective role in tumors by preventing treatment-induced apoptosis and conferring chemoresistance [41-45]. Since these tumor cells are highly dependent on antioxidant mechanisms [38, 40], we aimed to identify genes involved in oxidative stress homeostasis that can be therapeutically targeted for the treatment of PCa. Using cross-species analyses of GEMMs and human data, we have investigated antioxidant genes with altered expression in different stages of PCa progression. In this study, we identified the antioxidant enzyme sulfiredoxin (SRXN1) as a potential target, and we validated its relevance in advanced PCa.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Genetically Engineered Mouse Model (GEMM). We used RNAseq data and prostate samples from GEMM Pten<sup>f/f</sup>, wild type (WT), and from the Pb-Cre4; Pten<sup>flf</sup>, which contains a deletion in both alleles of Pten, a tumor suppressor gene, exclusively in the prostate epithelium (conditional knockout). This model shows stages of tumor progression similar to human PCa, such as prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), microinvasive and invasive well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (medium-stage tumors, MT), and fully invasive poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (advancedstage tumors, AT), with slow progression pace. Moreover, PTEN loss has been consistently associated with more aggressive disease features and worse prognosis, since PTEN loss range from less than 20% in clinically localized prostate tumors to more than 40% in metastatic castration-resistant PCa [46]. Although there are other interesting GEMMs for PCa, few studies have combined the stages of tumor progression with all prostatic lobes (anterior, AP; ventral, VP; lateral, LP; and dorsal prostate, DP) in a deep RNAsequencing experiment. Additional details about this conditional knockout mice, histopathological analysis, and transcriptome data have been previously described [29].

RNA-sequencing data from samples of all prostatic lobes were accessed through the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) platform, reference number GSE94574. Briefly, 72 samples were submitted to RNAseq analysis, including 20 WT prostatic lobes, 16 PIN, 20 MT, and 16 AT. At least four samples for each prostatic lobe and pathological condition were submitted to RNAseq analysis. First, we looked for the differentially expressed genes in each lobe and stages of tumor progression with adjusted p value < 0.05. We filtered all the deregulated genes using a known list of 84 genes involved in oxidative stress response [47, 48] and selected those which were altered in at least three prostatic lobes in PIN, MT, and/or AT. To select a potential therapeutic target, the consensus list of the upregulated genes involved in oxidative stress pathways was evaluated for clinical relevance in PCa using the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics [49, 50], Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (CamcAPP) [51], and SurvExpress [52] databases.

The animal experiments used in this study were approved by the CRUK Institute Ethics Committee of the Cambridge University, UK, under design license 80/2435 and by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation from the Institute of Biosciences of Botucatu, UNESP, Brazil, under protocol CEEA 613/2014.

2.2. Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Paraffin blocks of all prostatic lobes containing WT and tumor samples (PIN, MT, and AT) from GEMMs were obtained by donation from David Neal's Uro-Oncology Group at the CRUK Cambridge Institute (University of Cambridge, UK). Histological sections  $(5 \,\mu m)$  of WT and tumor-bearing prostate lobes from the GEMMs (n = 5 per group) were deparaffinized, rehydrated, boiled for 30 min in 10 mM sodium citrate solution (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval, and quenched in 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> methanol solution. Prostate sections were blocked in 5% nonfat milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated overnight at 4°C with a specific primary antibody against SRXN1 (Abcam, ab92298, 1:100), our chosen gene. Next, sections were incubated with a secondary peroxidaseconjugated antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:200), which was developed using diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich) as the chromogen. Slides were counterstained with Harris's hematoxylin. The negative control was obtained by excluding the primary antibody incubation step. The sections were visualized using a Leica DMLB 80 microscope.

2.3. Human Database Analyses. The antioxidant enzyme SRXN1 was chosen as a potential target by RNA-sequencing and IHC analysis of the prostate tumors from GEMMs. To validate the SRXN1 expression pattern in human PCa, we searched available databases from published studies. We used *GEO* (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geoprofiles/) to profile *SRXN1* gene expression from prostate tumors of different grades, and from different normal and

epithelial prostate tumor cell lines. We also investigated the *SRXN1* gene expression pattern using the *cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics* database (http://www.cbioportal.org/), using studies from *The Cancer Genome Atlas*, TCGA (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), the *CamcAPP* dataset (https://bioinformatics.cruk.cam.ac.uk/apps/camcAPP/), and the *SurvExpress* database (http://bioinformatica.mty.itesm .mx:8080/Biomatec/SurvivaX.jsp) to determine the association of *SRXN1* gene alterations with patient clinical data, such as risk/prognosis and survival rates.

2.4. Human Prostate Tumor Tissue Microarrays (TMAs). After validating the overexpression of SRXN1 in GEMM samples and human database analyses, we investigated the SRXN1 protein expression in human prostate samples. Human TMAs were constructed using the prostates of patients who underwent radical prostatectomy between 1980 and 2000. Of the patients, 104 samples originated from organ-confined tumors, and 16 samples originated from adjacent nonneoplastic tissue. One-tissue cores of 1 mm diameter were used for each sample. The TMA was donated to and analyzed by the consultant pathologist Flávio de Oliveira Lima at the Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Brazil. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Brazil (protocol number 3888/2011).

According to glandular histoarchitecture and histopathological staging, tumor samples from human TMAs were classified into five prognostic categories (1-5, from more differentiated to less differentiated), according to the Gleason score [53, 54] and the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) grade [55, 56]. The prostate tumor classifications from TMAs are presented in Table 1.

SRXN1 protein expression in human TMAs was detected by immunohistochemistry following the previously described protocol. The results were quantified and evaluated as negative (no staining, score 0) or positive (staining present, score 1), independent of the staining intensities. This analysis was performed by two independent observers without access to clinical data. SRXN1 staining scores were associated with the clinical and pathological characteristics (Gleason score, prognosis category, and survival time). Details regarding the clinical data and the SRXN1 IHC score are available in Supplementary Table S1, and the association between Gleason score/prognosis and survival rates in Supplementary Figure S1.

2.5. Cell Lines and Culture Conditions. The following three prostate cell lines were used for the analyses: RWPE-1 (normal), LNCaP (tumor, androgen sensitive), and PC-3 (tumor, castration-resistant). The cells were obtained from the American Type Cell Culture (Manassas, Virginia, USA). LNCaP and PC-3 cell lines were cultured using RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% fetal bovine serum, 50  $\mu$ g/mL penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin, and 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL amphotericin B (GIBCO/Invitrogen). RWPE-1 cells were cultured with a Keratinocyte Serum Free Medium Kit supplemented with bovine pituitary extract and recombinant human epidermal growth factor (GIBCO/Invitrogen).

TABLE 1: Number of cases and tumor classification (Gleason score								
and	prognosis	category)	from	human	prostate	samples	(tissue	
micr	oarrays).							

Number of cases	Gleason score	Prognosis category	
16	Adjacent nonneoplastic tissue	_	
31	6 (3 + 3)	1	
17	7 (3 + 4)	2	
20	7 (4 + 3)	3	
1	8 (3 + 5)		
19	8(4+4)	4	
1	8 (5 + 3)		
6	9 (4 + 5)		
5	9 (5 + 4)	5	
4	10 (5 + 5)		

The medium was changed twice per week, and the cells were monitored daily under an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert). Cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For passaging, cells were detached with 0.25% trypsin (GIBCO/Invitrogen) for 5 min at 37°C, resuspended in a growth medium, and reseeded. Cell lines were authenticated by Short Tandem Repeat (STR) DNA profiling by the Biorepository Facility of the Institute of Biosciences, UNESP, Brazil. Mycoplasma testing was carried out at regular intervals throughout the experiments, and the results were negative.

2.6. RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR. Total RNA from prostate cell lines RWPE-1, LNCaP, and PC-3 was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantification was determined by a Nanovue Spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare). cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). qRT-PCR reactions were performed using the QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Relative gene expression was calculated using the 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ CT</sup> method [57]. The gene target detected was SRXN1, and  $\beta$ -actin (ACTB) was used as a housekeeping gene. Details of primers used are given in Table 2.

2.7. Sulfiredoxin Knockdown In Vitro. To analyze the effects of SRNX1 suppression *in vitro*, we chose PCa cell line LNCaP as our model due to its elevated SRXN1 mRNA expression. LNCaP cells  $(1 \times 10^5)$  were seeded in 6-well plates using a complete RPMI medium. The transfection was performed using the Lipofectamine RNAi MAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Lipofectamine and siRNA targeting the mRNA of *SRXN1* (MISSION esiRNA, Sigma-Aldrich) were individually diluted in an Opti-MEM medium (GIBCO/Invitrogen), mixed, and incubated for 5 min. Next, siRNA-lipid complex was added to cells and incubated for 48 h. The final siRNA concentration was 25 and 50 nM. In addition, siRNA

T	ABLE	2:	Primers	used	in	the	RT	-qP	CR	reactions.
---	------	----	---------	------	----	-----	----	-----	----	------------

Genes	Primer forward	Primer reverse	Amplicon
SRXN1	CAAGGTGCAGAGCCTCGT	CAGCCCCCAAAGGAGTAGAA	105
ACTB	GATTCCTATGTGGGCGACGA	TGTAGAAGGTGTGGTGCCAG	124

targeting eGFP (MISSION esiRNA, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as negative control.

2.8. Cell Viability Assays. LNCaP cells  $(6 \times 10^4)$  were seeded in 24-well plates. Once cells became 60% confluent, siRNA against the mRNA of *SRXN1* was added as previously described. After 48, 72, and 96 h of transfection, cell viability was determined by the MTT (Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide, Sigma-Aldrich) reduction method according to the manufacturer's instructions [58, 59]. The reaction was transferred to a 96-well plate, and the absorbance (550 nm) was read by a spectrophotometer (ASYS HITECH GmbH, Eugendorf) to determine the percentage of cell viability relative to control cells.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. For parametric data, Student's *t*-test with Welch's Correction Factor or Factorial ANOVA was used. For nonparametric data, the Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For association analyses, the Chi Square Contingency test and Kaplan-Meier/Log-Rank test were used. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism program (version 5.0).

#### 3. Results

3.1. Oxidative Stress Response Genes Are Deregulated during Prostate Tumor Progression in Pb-Cre4; Pten<sup>f/f</sup> Mice. RNAseq data from GEMMs showed on average 2,000 genes differently expressed in each prostatic lobe (AP, VP, LP, and DP) at the tumor stages of PIN, MT, and AT. We filtered all these deregulated genes using a known list of 84 genes involved in oxidative stress response [47, 48]. We selected those genes which were changed in at least three prostatic lobes in PIN, MT, and/or AT, resulting in a final list with the top 19 deregulated oxidative stress-related genes, out of which 11 upregulated and 8 downregulated (Figure 1). We investigated the prognosis significance of the upregulated and druggable genes. Excluding those genes with no clinical relevance, and those which have already been associated with PCa, such as Ctsb, Gpx2, Idh1, and Nos2 [60-66], we selected the antioxidant enzyme Srxn1 that had a strong correlation with patient outcome and has no previous related functional studies in PCa.

3.2. SRXN1 Expression Is Increased in Prostate Tumors from Pb-Cre4; Pten<sup>f/f</sup> Mice. Prostate transcriptome data from Pb-Cre4; Pten<sup>f/f</sup> mice comparing WT to tumor samples (PIN, MT, and AT) showed that the relative mRNA expression of Srxn1 increases progressively and significantly during tumor progression in all prostatic lobes, with p < 0.001 (Figure 2(a)). To evaluate the pattern of SRXN1 protein expression in PCa, IHC analysis was performed, and we



FIGURE 1: Consensus deregulated oxidative stress genes in prostate tumors from *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>flf</sup> mice. Heat map of oxidative stress response genes in the four lobes (anterior, AP; ventral, VP; lateral, LP; and dorsal prostate, DP) of wild-type (WT) prostate and tumor samples (prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, PIN; medium-stage tumors, MT; and advanced-stage tumors, AT) from GEMM *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>flf</sup>. Relative gene expression level (median) is showed as log<sub>2</sub> fold change related to WT. Values between -5 and 0 represent downregulated genes (blue gradient) and between 0 and 5 upregulated genes (red gradient).

observed increased SRXN1 protein expression (higher intensity immunostaining) in prostate tumors compared to WT tissue (Figure 2(b)).

3.3. SRXN1 Is Overexpressed in High-Grade Human Prostate Tumors and Is Associated with Cancer Aggressiveness. Published and available data (database GEO profiles) on global gene expression in human PCa demonstrated increased expression of SRNX1 in patients with advanced tumors (Gleason scores 8 and 9) relative to control (Supplementary Figure S2a). Similarly, studies available in the SurvExpress database revealed that increased expression of SRXN1 correlates with a high-risk/worse prognosis of patients with PCa (Figure 3(a)). The same association was observed using



FIGURE 2: SRXN1 gene and protein expression is higher in prostate tumor tissue from *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>*fif*</sup> mice compared to normal tissue. (a) *Srxn1* expression levels in the four lobes (anterior, AP; ventral, VP; lateral, LP; and dorsal prostate, DP) of wild-type (WT) prostate and tumor samples (prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, PIN; medium-stage tumors, MT; and advanced-stage prostate tumors, AT) from *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>*fif*</sup> mice. Data are expressed as log<sub>2</sub> of reads per kilobase per million (RPKM). The relative curve of *Srxn1* mRNA expression increases significantly with p < 0.001. (b) Representative images of immunohistochemistry detecting SRXN1 protein in WT and prostate tumors in different stages of progression: PIN, MT, and AT from *Pb-Cre4*; *Pten*<sup>*fif*</sup> mice. Scale bars = 50  $\mu$ M. Arrows indicate positively stained cells.



FIGURE 3: The increased expression of *SRXN1* in patients with prostate cancer (PCa) is associated with high-risk/worse prognosis and lower disease-/progression-free survival. (a) The level of *SRXN1* gene expression (median) in PCa patients with low-risk/better prognosis (green) and patients with high-risk/worse prognosis (red). Data and analyses were cataloged using the SurvExpress database [52] from a MSKCC study [18]. The difference between boxplots is statistically significant with  $p = 3.03^{-32}$ . (b) Kaplan-Meier curve displaying disease-/progression-free survival of PCa patients with (red) or without (blue) *SRXN1* alterations, cataloged using the cBioPortal human database [49, 50] from a provisional study of TCGA (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/). Curves are significantly different with  $p = 2.104^{-4}$ .

several studies available in the CamcAPP dataset. This resource demonstrated an increase in *SRXN1* expression in clusters of patients with poor prognosis (Supplementary

Figure S2c, S2d, and S2e). Data from TCGA studies obtained from cBioPortal demonstrated that patients with alterations in *SRXN1* (especially overexpression) have a



FIGURE 4: SRNX1 protein expression is increased in human advanced prostate cancer (PCa) and is associated with worse prognosis and decreased survival. (a) Representative image of SRXN1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) in (i) adjacent nonneoplastic tissue, (ii) medium-stage/low-grade tumor, and (iii) advanced-stage/high-grade tumor from tissue microarrays (TMAs) of human prostate samples. Arrows indicate positively stained cells. Scale bars =  $200 \,\mu$ M. (b) Representative graph showing the association between SRXN1 protein expression (by IHC analysis in human prostate TMAs) and prognosis, which were divided into groups with good prognosis (categories 1 and 2) and worse prognosis (categories 3, 4, and 5), according to the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) grade. White bars represent patients with negative SRXN1 immunostaining, and red bars represent patients with positive immunostaining. (c) Global survival curve of patients with PCa obtained from SRXN1 IHC analyses in human prostate samples (TMAs) associated with patient survival data. The Kaplan-Meier curve from patients with negative SRXN1 immunostaining is represented by black (Srxn-) and positive immunostaining by red (Srxn+). Curves are significantly different with p = 0.0011.

lower disease-free/progression-free survival (Figure 3(b)). The same effect was observed using the CamcAPP dataset (Supplementary Figure S2f).

3.4. SRXN1 Protein Expression Is Associated with Poor Prognosis and Lower Survival of PCa Patients. To further validate the association of SRXN1 with PCa aggressiveness, we performed IHC analyses on SRXN1 in TMAs of human prostate samples (benign and cancerous tissue). Protein expression was increased (positive immunostaining) in more than 70% of high-grade tumors (Figure 4(a)), representing patients with high Gleason score and worse prognosis.

Additionally, the negative and positive immunostaining of SRNX1 in TMAs was associated with clinical data. It was observed that among the 48 patients with better outcome (prognosis categories 1 and 2), 39.5% expressed SRXN1. In comparison, among the 56 patients with worse prognosis (categories 3, 4, and 5), 71.4% expressed SRXN1, which differed significantly (p = 0.0015) (Figure 4(b)). Analysis of survival time (n = 69) revealed an association between SRXN1 protein expression and decreased survival (Figure 4(c)). Interestingly, the expression pattern of SRXN1 stratified patients as well as the prognosis score according to the ISUP classification, since patients with expression of SRXN1 had decreased survival similarly to patients with worse prognosis (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.5. PCa Cell Lines Express Higher Levels of SRXN1 Compared to Normal Cell Lines, and Inhibition of SRXN1 mRNA in the PCa Cell Line LNCaP Decreases Viability. Quantitative PCR showed that prostate tumor cell lines LNCaP and PC-3 express higher levels of SRXN1 than the normal prostate cell line RWPE-1 (Figure 5(a)). Among the prostate tumor cell lines, LNCaP has increased SRXN1 gene expression



FIGURE 5: Prostate tumor cell lines overexpress *SRXN1*, and attenuation of *SRXN1* mRNA levels in prostate cancer (PCa) cell line LNCaP decreases tumor cell viability. (a) *SRXN1* gene expression level in prostate cell line RWPE-1 (normal), LNCaP (PCa, androgen sensitive), and PC-3 (PCa, castration-resistant). \*Denotes statistical significance with p < 0.0001. Data are expressed as fold change normalized to *ACTB* expression. (b) qRT-PCR graph of *SRXN1* gene expression in LNCaP cells after transfection (48 h) with siRNA targeting eGFP (control) or *SRXN1* mRNA (25 and 50 nM). \*Denotes statistical significance with p < 0.0001. (c) Cell viability of PCa cell line LNCaP without (control, eGFP) and with inhibition of *SRXN1* mRNA by siRNA-mediated silencing (25 nM) after 48, 72, and 96 h. \*Denotes statistical significance with p = 0.0043; \*\*p = 0.0002; and \*\*\*p < 0.0001.

compared to PC-3 (Figure 5(a)). Database analysis of other studies from GEO profiles also showed increased expression of *SRXN1* in LNCaP and PC-3, and in most prostate tumor cells (Supplementary Figure S2b).

To analyze the effects of decreased SRXN1 expression *in vitro*, we performed siRNA-mediated knockdown of *SRXN1* mRNA followed by cell viability assays at three different time points after transfection (48, 72, and 96 h). PCa cell

line LNCaP was chosen because of its high expression of *SRXN1*. After transfection, we observed a >80% reduction of the *SRXN1* mRNA (Figure 5(b)). The silencing analyses demonstrated that the viability of LNCaP cells with decreased *SRXN1* mRNA expression was lower compared to cells that expressed *SRXN1* (eGFP siRNA, negative control). The viability of PCa cells significantly decreased in all analyzed time points after *SRXN1* knockdown (Figure 5(c)) (p < 0.05 in all cases).

#### 4. Discussion

Cross-species analyses from GEMMs and human data revealed that SRXN1 is overexpressed in PCa tissue and cell lines, with a remarked increase in advanced tumors. Cancer cells are more dependent on antioxidant mechanisms and more vulnerable to ROS-induced damage than normal cells [38, 40]. SRXN1 is an antioxidant enzyme induced by NRF2 and AP-1 and plays an important role in oxidative stress balance [67–69]. Specifically, SRXN1 acts in peroxiredoxin (I-IV) reactivation [70]. The peroxiredoxins are a group of peroxidase enzymes responsible for the reduction of ROS, such as hydrogen peroxide and organic peroxides [71], protecting the cell from high levels of ROS-induced oxidative stress. By reducing the hyperoxidized peroxiredoxins, SRXN1 protects them from degradation [72].

Several studies have demonstrated the protective role of SRXN1 against oxidative injury [73, 74]. The increased expression of SRXN1 has been observed especially in solid tumors, as we observed for PCa in this study. Wei *et al.* showed elevated SRXN1 protein expression in lung tumor samples by IHC analyses [75]. Another study from Wei *et al.* demonstrated high SRXN1 protein expression in human colon carcinoma and showed that *Srxn1* knockout animals were resistant to carcinogenic induction [76]. In addition, *SRXN1* expression is increased in different human skin malignancies [77, 78] and gastric cancer [79].

In addition to the overexpression of SRXN1 in PCa high-grade tumors, the current study also showed a close relationship between SRXN1 expression and both cancer aggressiveness and patient outcome. Researchers have already demonstrated that SRXN1 is essential for cancer cell proliferation [80], and its depletion decreases cell viability [74], suppresses cell migration, and inhibits tumor growth [75, 77], increasing the aggressiveness of cancers with *SRXN1* upregulation. Polymorphisms in the *SRXN1* gene have been shown to promote breast cancer development and influence patient survival [81]. *SRXN1* expression is also associated with poor survival of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma [82]. Raatikainen *et al.* observed an inverse correlation between *SRXN1* expression and worse PCa prognosis [83], in contrast to our results and the results of other studies.

Considering the pathogenic role of SRXN1 in human cancer, it has already been suggested as a new potential therapeutic target for different tumors, but not yet for PCa. In our study, we observed that decreasing *SRXN1* mRNA in PCa cell line LNCaP decreased cell viability, reinforcing the importance of this antioxidant enzyme in PCa cells and suggesting that SRXN1 activity supports tumor cell survival and growth. In malignant human skin tumors, Wei *et al.* showed that *SRXN1* inhibition (by the AP-1 pathway) may be a novel strategy for skin cancer prevention and treatment [77]. Kim *et al.* and Kim *et al.* demonstrated that *SRXN1* inhibition by synthetic inhibitors (J14 and K27) selectively promotes the death of A549 pulmonary tumor cells [84, 85]. All these studies suggest that when SRXN1 activity is inhibited, the high ROS levels exceed cell antioxidant capacity, resulting in accumulated damage that selectively leads to tumor cell death. Thus, our work proposes that SRXN1 can be an interesting therapeutic target for further preclinical *in vivo* tests using an immunocompetent and clinically relevant GEMM. Patients with advanced PCa presenting SRXN1 overexpression may benefit from SRXN1 inhibition therapy, providing cancer patients with more personalized treatment.

#### 5. Conclusions

SRXN1 is increased in a subset of PCa patients with highgrade tumors (advanced stage) and correlates with poor prognosis and worse survival. Thus, our cross-species analyses pinpoint SRXN1 as a potential therapeutic target for PCa, which plays an important role in protection of prostate tumor cells against oxidative stress. We hypothesize that the use of selective SRXN1 inhibitors can be an effective adjuvant treatment strategy for metastatic PCa with SRXN1 overexpression.

#### **Data Availability**

The RNAseq data from the GEMM Pb-Cre4; Pten<sup>f/f</sup> mouse used to support the findings of this study have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus repository (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), reference number GSE94574. Previously reported human databases were used to support this study and are available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geoprofiles/), the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org/), The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://cancergenome .nih.gov/), the Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (camcAPP dataset) (https://bioinformatics.cruk.cam.ac.uk/ apps/camcAPP/), and the SurvExpress database (http:// bioinformatica.mty.itesm.mx:8080/Biomatec/SurvivaX.jsp). These prior studies (and datasets) are cited at relevant places within the text as references [18, 19, 49-52] and Satake et al. (supplementary material [1]) and Zhao et al. (supplementary material [2]). The clinical data of the PCa patients from TMA samples used to support the findings of this study are included within the supplementary information files (Table S1).

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank David Neal's Uro-Oncology group (CRUK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, UK) for

providing formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded prostate samples of the GEEMs and the TCGA Research Network for the results published here that are in part based upon data from their database: https://www.cancer.gov/tcga. We also thank the financial support received from the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), Brazil (grants #310805/2018-0 and #132849/2017-8) and São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), Brazil (grants #2015/26097-6, #2016/09532-3, and #2016/25945-6). The present work was also carried out with the support of the "Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES)" - Finance Code 001. This article represents part of a master thesis developed by CNB at the Institute of Biology at Campinas State University (UNICAMP), Brazil.

#### **Supplementary Materials**

Table S1: description of the patient clinical data used in the preparation of the TMAs, such as Gleason score, prognostic category, survival time, and patient outcome. Figure S1: patients with PCa grouped by prognosis category (1-5) show expected survival curves. (A) Global survival curve of patients with PCa associated to prognosis category (1-5, from more differentiated to less differentiated), according to the ISUP grade. Clinical data are from those patients which prostate samples were used to construct TMAs. Kaplan-Meier curves are statistically different with p < 0.0001. (B) Additional analysis of the same patients of Figure S1a, dividing them into groups with good prognosis (categories 1 and 2, black curve) and worse prognosis (categories 3, 4, and 5, red curve), according to the ISUP grade. Kaplan-Meier curves are significantly different with p < 0.0001. Figure S2: SRXN1 expression is increased in advanced PCa and in most prostate tumor cell lines, and its overexpression is associated with poor prognosis and lower disease-/progression-free survival. (A) Levels of SRXN1 expression in wild-type prostate (control) and advanced PCa samples (Gleason scores 8 and 9) from a study available on the GEO profile human database (reference series GSE5016) [1]. (B) SRXN1 gene expression in different prostate cell lines (androgen sensitive and castration-resistant) obtained from a study available on the GEO profile human database (reference series GSE4016) [2]. (C) Expression of SRXN1 (median) in five PCa iClusters generated by the Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (camcAPP dataset) [3] from an integrative study [4]. iClusters 1 (red), 3 (green), and 5 (orange) represent groups of patients with worse prognosis, while iClusters 2 (blue) and 4 (purple) represent groups with better prognosis. Boxplots are significantly different, with  $p = 5.7833^{-9}$ . (D) Expression of SRXN1 (median) in five PCa iClusters generated by the Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (camcAPP dataset) [3] from an integrative study [4]. iClusters 1 (red), 3 (green), and 5 (orange) represent groups of patients with worse prognosis, while iClusters 2 (blue) and 4 (purple) represent groups with better prognosis. Boxplots are significantly different with p = 0.034473. (E) Expression of SRXN1 (median) in six PCa iClusters generated by the Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (camcAPP dataset) [3] from an integrative study [5]. iClusters 1 (salmon), 2 (dark yellow), 3 (green), and 4 (turquoise) are groups of patients with more favorable prognosis with minimal copy number alterations (CNA), while iClusters 5 (light blue) and 6 (lilac) include most of the metastatic tumors with substantial CNA. Boxplots are significantly different, with  $p = 3.42^{-6}$ . (F) Kaplan-Meier curve displaying the probability of freedom from biochemical recurrence of PCa with (red) or without (blue) SRNX1 over-expression, cataloged by the Cambridge Carcinoma of the Prostate App (camcAPP dataset) [3] from an integrative study [5]. Curves are statistically different with p = 0.0079. (*Supplementary Materials*)

#### References

- M. M. Center, A. Jemal, J. Lortet-Tieulent et al., "International Variation in Prostate Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates," *European Urology*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 1079–1092, 2012.
- [2] B. W. Stewart and C. Wild, International Agency for Research on Cancer, and World Health Organization, World cancer report, 2014.
- [3] R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, and A. Jemal, "Cancer statistics, 2018," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 7–30, 2018.
- [4] C. Huggins and C. V. Hodges, "Studies on prostatic Cancer: I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate," *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 232–240, 1972.
- [5] A. W. Hsing, J. K. Reichardt, and F. Z. Stanczyk, "Hormones and prostate cancer: current perspectives and future directions," *Prostate*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 213–235, 2002.
- [6] J. B. Aragon-Ching, "The evolution of prostate cancer therapy: targeting the androgen receptor," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 4, p. 295, 2014.
- [7] T.-C. Yuan, F.-F. Lin, S. Veeramani, S.-J. Chen, H. S. Earp, and M.-F. Lin, "ErbB-2 via PYK2 upregulates the adhesive ability of androgen receptor- positive human prostate cancer cells," *Oncogene*, vol. 26, no. 54, pp. 7552–7559, 2007.
- [8] A. Komiya, H. Suzuki, T. Imamoto et al., "Neuroendocrine differentiation in the progression of prostate cancer," *International Journal of Urology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 37–44, 2009.
- [9] L. K. Boyd, X. Mao, and Y.-J. Lu, "The complexity of prostate cancer: genomic alterations and heterogeneity," *Nature Reviews Urology*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 652–664, 2012.
- [10] C. S. Grasso, Y. M. Wu, D. R. Robinson et al., "The mutational landscape of lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer," *Nature*, vol. 487, no. 7406, pp. 239–243, 2012.
- [11] Y. Tolkach and G. Kristiansen, "The heterogeneity of prostate cancer: a practical approach," *Pathobiology*, vol. 85, no. 1–2, pp. 108–116, 2018.
- [12] D. V. Makarov, S. Loeb, R. H. Getzenberg, and A. W. Partin, "Biomarkers for prostate cancer," *Annual Review of Medicine*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 139–151, 2009.
- [13] X. Yuan, C. Cai, S. Chen, S. Chen, Z. Yu, and S. P. Balk, "Androgen receptor functions in castration-resistant prostate cancer and mechanisms of resistance to new agents targeting the androgen axis," *Oncogene*, vol. 33, no. 22, pp. 2815–2825, 2014.
- [14] M. Nakazawa, C. Paller, and N. Kyprianou, "Mechanisms of therapeutic resistance in prostate cancer," *Current Oncology Reports*, vol. 19, no. 2, p. 13, 2017.

- [15] A. D. Choudhury, R. Eeles, S. J. Freedland et al., "The role of genetic markers in the management of prostate cancer," *European Urology*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 577–587, 2012.
- [16] R. A. Madan and J. L. Gulley, "Prostate cancer immunotherapy: the path forward," *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 225–230, 2017.
- [17] G. V. Glinsky, A. B. Glinskii, A. J. Stephenson, R. M. Hoffman, and W. L. Gerald, "Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of prostate cancer," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 913–923, 2004.
- [18] B. S. Taylor, N. Schultz, H. Hieronymus et al., "Integrative genomic profiling of human prostate cancer," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 11–22, 2010.
- [19] H. Ross-Adams, A. D. Lamb, M. J. Dunning et al., "Integration of copy number and transcriptomics provides risk stratification in prostate cancer: a discovery and validation cohort study," *eBioMedicine*, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 1133–1144, 2015.
- [20] L. Livraghi and J. E. Garber, "PARP inhibitors in the management of breast cancer: current data and future prospects," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 188, 2015.
- [21] A. George, S. Kaye, and S. Banerjee, "Delivering widespread BRCA testing and PARP inhibition to patients with ovarian cancer," *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 284–296, 2017.
- [22] T. W. Stark, P. J. Hensley, A. Spear, H. Pu, S. S. Strup, and N. Kyprianou, "Predictive value of epithelial-mesenchymaltransition (EMT) signature and PARP-1 in prostate cancer radioresistance," *Prostate*, vol. 77, no. 16, pp. 1583–1591, 2017.
- [23] Y. Lai, Z. Kong, T. Zeng et al., "PARP1-siRNA suppresses human prostate cancer cell growth and progression," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 1901–1909, 2018.
- [24] K. Ellwood-Yen, T. G. Graeber, J. Wongvipat et al., "Myc-driven murine prostate cancer shares molecular features with human prostate tumors," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 223–238, 2003.
- [25] V. S. Tompkins, S. S. Han, A. Olivier et al., "Identification of candidate B-lymphoma genes by cross-species gene expression profiling," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 10, article e76889, 2013.
- [26] C. P. Day, G. Merlino, and T. Van Dyke, "Preclinical mouse cancer models: a maze of opportunities and challenges," *Cell*, vol. 163, no. 1, pp. 39–53, 2015.
- [27] C. D. Robles-Espinoza and D. J. Adams, "Cross-species analysis of mouse and human cancer genomes," *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, vol. 2014, no. 4, article pdb.top078824, pdb.top078358 pages, 2014.
- [28] A. Aytes, A. Mitrofanova, C. Lefebvre et al., "Cross-Species Regulatory Network Analysis Identifies a Synergistic Interaction between *FOXM1* and *CENPF* that Drives Prostate Cancer Malignancy," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 638–651, 2014.
- [29] S. Jurmeister, A. Ramos-Montoya, C. Sandi et al., "Identification of potential therapeutic targets in prostate cancer through a cross-species approach," *EMBO Molecular Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 3, article e8274, 2018.
- [30] T. L. DeWeese, A. M. Hruszkewycz, and L. J. Marnett, "Oxidative stress in chemoprevention trials," *Urology*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 137–140, 2001.
- [31] A. Minelli, I. Bellezza, C. Conte, and Z. Culig, "Oxidative stress-related aging: a role for prostate cancer?," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1795, no. 2, pp. 83–91, 2009.
- [32] I. Meiers, J. H. Shanks, and D. G. Bostwick, "Glutathione S-transferase pi (GSTP1) hypermethylation in prostate cancer: review 2007," *Pathology*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 299–304, 2007.

- [33] A. M. De Marzo, E. A. Platz, S. Sutcliffe et al., "Inflammation in prostate carcinogenesis," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 256–269, 2007.
- [34] L. Khandrika, B. Kumar, S. Koul, P. Maroni, and H. K. Koul, "Oxidative stress in prostate cancer," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 282, no. 2, pp. 125–136, 2009.
- [35] D. G. Bostwick, E. E. Alexander, R. Singh et al., "Antioxidant enzyme expression and reactive oxygen species damage in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and cancer," *Cancer*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 123–134, 2000.
- [36] K. Itoh, J. Mimura, and M. Yamamoto, "Discovery of the negative regulator of Nrf2, Keap1: a historical overview," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1665–1678, 2010.
- [37] R. Hu, C. L.-L. Saw, R. Yu, and A.-N. T. Kong, "Regulation of NF-E2-related factor 2 signaling for cancer chemoprevention: antioxidant coupled with antiinflammatory," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1679–1698, 2010.
- [38] P. Huang, L. Feng, E. A. Oldham, M. J. Keating, and W. Plunkett, "Superoxide dismutase as a target for the selective killing of cancer cells," *Nature*, vol. 407, no. 6802, pp. 390–395, 2000.
- [39] R. A. Cairns, I. S. Harris, and T. W. Mak, "Regulation of cancer cell metabolism," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 85–95, 2011.
- [40] C. Gorrini, I. S. Harris, and T. W. Mak, "Modulation of oxidative stress as an anticancer strategy," *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 931–947, 2013.
- [41] L. Gu, T. Cui, C. Fan et al., "Involvement of ERK1/2 signaling pathway in DJ-1-induced neuroprotection against oxidative stress," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 383, no. 4, pp. 469–474, 2009.
- [42] X. Ma, J. Zhang, S. Liu, Y. Huang, B. Chen, and D. Wang, "Nrf2 knockdown by shRNA inhibits tumor growth and increases efficacy of chemotherapy in cervical cancer," *Cancer Chemotherapy and Pharmacology*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 485–494, 2012.
- [43] V. Grossi, A. Peserico, T. Tezil, and C. Simone, "p38α MAPK pathway: a key factor in colorectal cancer therapy and chemoresistance," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 20, no. 29, article 9744, 9758 pages, 2014.
- [44] I. Bellezza, P. Scarpelli, S. V. Pizzo, S. Grottelli, E. Costanzi, and A. Minelli, "ROS-independent Nrf2 activation in prostate cancer," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, no. 40, pp. 67506–67518, 2017.
- [45] A. Leone, M. S. Roca, C. Ciardiello, S. Costantini, and A. Budillon, "Oxidative stress gene expression profile correlates with cancer patient poor prognosis: identification of crucial pathways might select novel therapeutic approaches," *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, vol. 2017, 18 pages, 2017.
- [46] T. Jamaspishvili, D. M. Berman, A. E. Ross et al., "Clinical implications of *PTEN* loss in prostate cancer," *Nature Reviews Urology*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 222–234, 2018.
- [47] F. Somaio Neto, A. T. Ikejiri, P. R. Bertoletto et al., "Gene expression related to oxidative stress in the heart of mice after intestinal ischemia," *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia*, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 165–174, 2013.
- [48] E. Tahmasbpour, M. Ghanei, A. Qazvini, E. Vahedi, and Y. Panahi, "Gene expression profile of oxidative stress and antioxidant defense in lung tissue of patients exposed to sulfur mustard," *Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis*, vol. 800-801, pp. 12–21, 2016.

- [49] E. Cerami, J. Gao, U. Dogrusoz et al., "The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data," *Cancer Discovery*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 401– 404, 2012.
- [50] J. Gao, B. A. Aksoy, U. Dogrusoz et al., "Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBio-Portal," *Science Signaling*, vol. 6, no. 269, p. pl1, 2013.
- [51] M. J. Dunning, S. L. Vowler, E. Lalonde et al., "Mining human prostate cancer datasets: the "camcAPP" shiny app," *eBioMedicine*, vol. 17, pp. 5-6, 2017.
- [52] R. Aguirre-Gamboa, H. Gomez-Rueda, E. Martínez-Ledesma et al., "SurvExpress: an online biomarker validation tool and database for cancer gene expression data using survival analysis," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 9, article e74250, 2013.
- [53] J. I. Epstein, "An update of the Gleason grading system," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 183, no. 2, pp. 433–440, 2010.
- [54] G. T. Mellinger, D. Gleason, and J. Bailar, "The histology and prognosis of prostatic cancer," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 331–337, 1967.
- [55] L. Egevad, B. Delahunt, J. R. Srigley, and H. Samaratunga, "International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) grading of prostate cancer - an ISUP consensus on contemporary grading," *APMIS*, vol. 124, no. 6, pp. 433–435, 2016.
- [56] J. I. Epstein, M. B. Amin, V. E. Reuter, and P. A. Humphrey, "Contemporary Gleason grading of prostatic carcinoma: an update with discussion on practical issues to implement the 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) consensus conference on Gleason grading of prostatic carcinoma," *The American Journal of Surgical Pathology*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. e1–e7, 2017.
- [57] K. J. Livak and T. D. Schmittgen, "Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-delta delta C (T)) method," *Methods*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 402–408, 2001.
- [58] T. Mosmann, "Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays," *Journal of Immunological Methods*, vol. 65, no. 1–2, pp. 55–63, 1983.
- [59] M. V. Berridge and A. S. Tan, "Characterization of the cellular reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT): subcellular localization, substrate dependence, and involvement of mitochondrial electron transport in MTT reduction," *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 303, no. 2, pp. 474–482, 1993.
- [60] X. Ouyang, T. L. DeWeese, W. G. Nelson, and C. Abate-Shen, "Loss-of-function ofNkx3.1Promotes increased oxidative damage in prostate carcinogenesis," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 15, pp. 6773–6779, 2005.
- [61] T. Naiki, A. Naiki-Ito, M. Asamoto et al., "GPX2 overexpression is involved in cell proliferation and prognosis of castration-resistant prostate cancer," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 1962–1967, 2014.
- [62] A. J. Burke, P. Garrido, C. Johnson, F. J. Sullivan, and S. A. Glynn, "Inflammation and nitrosative stress effects in ovarian and prostate pathology and carcinogenesis," *Antioxidants and Redox Signaling*, vol. 26, no. 18, pp. 1078–1090, 2017.
- [63] K. Arora and C. E. Barbieri, "Molecular subtypes of prostate cancer," *Current Oncology Reports*, vol. 20, no. 8, article 707, 2018.
- [64] A. Hinsch, M. Brolund, C. Hube-Magg et al., "Immunohistochemically detected IDH1<sup>R132H</sup> mutation is rare and mostly

heterogeneous in prostate cancer," World Journal of Urology, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 877–882, 2018.

- [65] K. D. Tang, J. Liu, L. Jovanovic et al., "Adipocytes promote prostate cancer stem cell self-renewal through amplification of the cholecystokinin autocrine loop," *Oncotarget*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 4939–4948, 2016.
- [66] A. Kumar, S. Dhar, G. Campanelli et al., "MTA1 drives malignant progression and bone metastasis in prostate cancer," *Molecular Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1596–1607, 2018.
- [67] F. X. Soriano, F. Léveillé, S. Papadia et al., "Induction of sulfiredoxin expression and reduction of peroxiredoxin hyperoxidation by the neuroprotective Nrf2 activator 3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 533–543, 2008.
- [68] F. X. Soriano, P. Baxter, L. M. Murray, M. B. Sporn, T. H. Gillingwater, and G. E. Hardingham, "Transcriptional regulation of the AP-1 and Nrf2 target gene sulfiredoxin," *Molecules and Cells*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 279–282, 2009.
- [69] M. Mishra, H. Jiang, L. Wu, H. A. Chawsheen, and Q. Wei, "The sulfiredoxin-peroxiredoxin (Srx-Prx) axis in cell signal transduction and cancer development," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 366, no. 2, pp. 150–159, 2015.
- [70] T. J. Jonsson and W. T. Lowther, "The peroxiredoxin repair proteins," Sub-Cellular Biochemistry, vol. 44, pp. 115–141, 2007.
- [71] A. G. Cox, C. C. Winterbourn, and M. B. Hampton, "Mitochondrial peroxiredoxin involvement in antioxidant defence and redox signalling," *The Biochemical Journal*, vol. 425, no. 2, pp. 313–325, 2009.
- [72] H. A. Woo, W. Jeong, T. S. Chang et al., "Reduction of cysteine sulfinic acid by sulfiredoxin is specific to 2-cys peroxiredoxins," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 5, pp. 3125–3128, 2005.
- [73] Q. Li, S. Yu, J. Wu, Y. Zou, and Y. Zhao, "Sulfiredoxin-1 protects PC12 cells against oxidative stress induced by hydrogen peroxide," *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 861–870, 2013.
- [74] Y. Zhou, S. Yu, J. Wu, Y. Chen, and Y. Zhao, "Sulfiredoxin-1 exerts anti-apoptotic and neuroprotective effects against oxidative stress-induced injury in rat cortical astrocytes following exposure to oxygen-glucose deprivation and hydrogen peroxide," *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2015.
- [75] Q. Wei, H. Jiang, Z. Xiao et al., "Sulfiredoxin-peroxiredoxin IV axis promotes human lung cancer progression through modulation of specific phosphokinase signaling," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 108, no. 17, pp. 7004– 7009, 2011.
- [76] Q. Wei, H. Jiang, A. Baker et al., "Loss of sulfiredoxin renders mice resistant to azoxymethane/dextran sulfate sodiuminduced colon carcinogenesis," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1403–1410, 2013.
- [77] Q. Wei, H. Jiang, C. P. Matthews, and N. H. Colburn, "Sulfiredoxin is an AP-1 target gene that is required for transformation and shows elevated expression in human skin malignancies," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 105, no. 50, pp. 19738–19743, 2008.
- [78] L. Wu, H. Jiang, H. A. Chawsheen et al., "Tumor promoterinduced sulfiredoxin is required for mouse skin tumorigenesis," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1177–1184, 2014.
- [79] J. Wang, L. Si, G. Wang, Z. Bai, and W. Li, "Increased sulfiredoxin expression in gastric cancer cells may be a molecular

target of the anticancer component diallyl trisulfide," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2019, Article ID 4636804, 8 pages, 2019.

- [80] K. Lei, D. M. Townsend, and K. D. Tew, "Protein cysteine sulfinic acid reductase (sulfiredoxin) as a regulator of cell proliferation and drug response," *Oncogene*, vol. 27, no. 36, pp. 4877–4887, 2008.
- [81] J. M. Hartikainen, M. Tengström, V. M. Kosma, V. L. Kinnula, A. Mannermaa, and Y. Soini, "Genetic polymorphisms and protein expression of NRF2 and sulfiredoxin predict survival outcomes in breast cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 72, no. 21, pp. 5537–5546, 2012.
- [82] Y. Soini, M. Eskelinen, P. Juvonen et al., "Nuclear Nrf2 expression is related to a poor survival in pancreatic adenocarcinoma," *Pathology, Research and Practice*, vol. 210, no. 1, pp. 35–39, 2014.
- [83] S. Raatikainen, S. Aaaltomaa, V. Kärjä, and Y. Soini, "Increased peroxiredoxin 6 expression predicts biochemical recurrence in prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy," *Anticancer research*, vol. 35, pp. 6465–6470, 2015.
- [84] H. Kim, G. R. Lee, J. Kim et al., "Sulfiredoxin inhibitor induces preferential death of cancer cells through reactive oxygen species-mediated mitochondrial damage," *Free Radical Biol*ogy & Medicine, vol. 91, pp. 264–274, 2016.
- [85] J. Kim, G. R. Lee, H. Kim et al., "Effective killing of cancer cells and regression of tumor growth by K27 targeting sulfiredoxin," *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, vol. 101, pp. 384– 392, 2016.



**The Scientific** World Journal

Journal of Immunology Research



Research and Practice











BioMed Research International



PPAR Research

Journal of Ophthalmology



Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine



International



Behavioural Neurology



Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine







Research and Treatment



Journal of Oncology



Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Submit your manuscripts at www.hindawi.com