

Research Article

miR-31-5p as a Potential Circulating Biomarker and Tracer of Clinical Improvement for Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy

Edyta Dziadkowiak^(D),¹ Dagmara Baczyńska^(D),² Małgorzata Wieczorek^(D),³ Mateusz Olbromski^(D),⁴ Helena Moreira^(D),⁵ Monika Mrozowska,⁴ Sławomir Budrewicz,¹ Piotr Dzięgiel^(D),⁴ Ewa Barg,⁵ and Magdalena Koszewicz^(D)

¹Department of Neurology, Wroclaw Medical University, Borowska 213, 50-556 Wroclaw, Poland

²Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Wroclaw Medical University, Borowska 211A, Wroclaw, Poland
³Faculty of Earth Sciences and Environmental Management, University of Wroclaw, Uniwersytecki 1, 50-137 Wroclaw, Poland
⁴Department of Histology and Embryology, Wroclaw Medical University, ul. Chałubinskiego 6a, 50-368 Wroclaw, Poland
⁵Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Wroclaw Medical University, Borowska 211, 50-556 Wroclaw, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Edyta Dziadkowiak; edbkowal@gmail.com

Received 19 June 2022; Revised 2 September 2022; Accepted 6 October 2022; Published 10 April 2023

Academic Editor: Abdur Rauf

Copyright © 2023 Edyta Dziadkowiak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. MicroRNAs are endogenous, small noncoding RNA molecules that play a pivotal role in the regulation of gene expression. MicroRNAs are involved in many biological processes such as proliferation, cell differentiation, neovascularization, and apoptosis. Studies on microRNA expression may contribute to a better understanding of the pathomechanism of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) and consequently enable the development of new therapeutic measures using antisense miRNAs (antagomirs). In this study, we evaluated the level of miR-31-5p in the serum of patients with CIDP and its correlation with the miR-31-5p level and clinical presentation and electrophysiological and biochemical parameters. Methods. The study group consisted of 48 patients, mean age 61.60 ± 11.76 , who fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of a typical variant of CIDP. The expression of miR-31-5p in patient serum probes was investigated by droplet digital PCR. The results were correlated with neurophysiological findings and the patient's clinical and biochemical parameters. Results. The mean copy number of miRNA-31 in 100 μ l serum was 1288.64 ± 2001.02 in the CIDP group of patients, while in the control group, it was 3743.09 ± 4026.90 . There was a significant positive correlation (0.426) between IgIV treatment duration and miR-31-5p expression. Patients without IgIV treatment showed significantly lower levels of miR-31 compared to the treated group $(259.44 \pm 304.02 \text{ vs. } 1559.48 \pm 2168.45; p = 0.002)$. The group of patients with body weight > 80 kg showed statistically significantly lower levels of miRNA-31-5p than the patients with lower body weight (934.37 \pm 1739.66 vs. 1784.62 \pm 2271.62, respectively; p = 0.014). Similarly, the patients with elevated cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) protein levels had significantly higher miRNA-31-5p expression than those with normal protein levels (1393.93 \pm 1932.27 vs. 987.38 \pm 2364.10, respectively; p = 0.044). Conclusion. The results may support the hypothesis that miR-31-5p is strongly involved in the autoimmune process in CIDP. The positive correlation between higher miR-31-5p levels and duration of IVIg treatment may be an additional factor explaining the efficacy of prolonged IVIg therapy in CIDP.

1. Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a family of single-stranded, noncoding gene expression, endogenous regulatory molecules formed from double-stranded precursors. miRNAs are a group of molecules about 21-23 nucleotides in length that posttranscriptionally regulate gene expression and thus contribute to the modulation of numerous complex and disease-

relevant cellular processes, including cell proliferation, cell motility, cell cycle control, neovascularization, apoptosis, and stress response. Their role depends mainly on their complementarity to the 3'UTR regions of targeted miRNAs. The importance of these molecules is demonstrated by the fact that more than one-third of protein-coding genes in human cells are regulated by miRNAs. It was estimated that genes encoding miRNAs account for 1-5% of all genes in humans and animals [1–3]. Previous studies had reported that miR-31-5p plays an essential role in tumor suppression in hepatocellular carcinoma by regulating the cell cycle and epithelia-mesenchymal transition. In addition, the altered expression of miR-31-5p was also confirmed in other tumors such as esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, breast cancer, and cervical cancer [4–6].

On the other hand, together with other miRNAs such as miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b, and miR-206, miR-31 belongs to dystromirs (Dystromirs as Serum Biomarkers for Monitoring the Disease Severity in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy) due to the specific expression in muscle cells and roles in skeletal muscle maintenance and regeneration [7]. It has been shown that miR-31 is involved in muscle proliferation and differentiation, as it increases myoblast transformation in myotubes [8]. Furthermore, miR-31 modulates dystrophin expression by targeting the 3'UTR of the dystrophin transcript and repressing its translation. Therefore, miR-31 has been suggested as a suitable target for improving dystrophin recovery in exon skipping therapy in Duchenne muscular dystrophy [7, 9].

The level and effect of miR-31 thus vary by the type of the autoimmune disease [10–12]. miR-31 expression increases in the mice T cells in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and keratinocytes of patients with psoriasis, and its activation is associated with exacerbation of both diseases [12–14]. In contrast, patients with systemic lupus erythematosus have decreased expression of miR-31 in T cells, where it plays protective roles [15]. Moreover, miR-31 may also modulate the immune response of neutrophils [12].

The immune system homeostasis is mediated by regulatory T (Treg) cells [10]. miR-31 regulates Treg cells through several mechanisms, including suppression of FOXP3 (forkhead box P3) protein involved in Treg cell differentiation. Furthermore, miR-31 can repress the generation of peripherally derived Treg cells [11], which differentiate into secondary lymphoid organs and tissues to control autoimmune responses under specific inflammatory conditions [12]. Zhang et al. [13] identified a potential FOXP3-binding site within the promoter region of the gene encoding murine miR-31, suggesting that FOXP3 may directly target miR-31. FOXP3+ Treg cells are critical in maintaining immune tolerance and homeostasis of the immune system. The molecular mechanisms underlying the stability, plasticity, and functional activity of Treg cells have been much studied in recent years. Therefore, identifying the molecular mechanisms by which FOXP3 and miR-31 regulate each other and identifying the other downstream target genes in this regulatory network can assist in the development of novel treatments for autoimmune diseases [16, 17].

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is an acquired autoimmune neuropathy in which nerve damage occurs by both cellular and humoral mechanisms. The incidence rates varied between 0.15 and 0.70 cases per 100,000 persons per year. This disease occurs in all age groups, but its prevalence increases with age and is more common in men than in women. The median disease duration to diagnosis was ten months (range, 2-64) [18–20].

While various antibodies have been identified, including IgG4 classes directed against proteins of the Ranvier node and the nodal area, the diagnosis of CIDP is still based on clinical and electrophysiological criteria [18, 21, 22]. There is no specific biomarker characteristic for CIDP, which seems to be much-needed. Research on miRNAs appears to be helpful in the search for diagnostic markers and may contribute to the development of potential treatment. The incorrect expression of particular miRNAs may result from genome changes or abnormalities in their biogenesis or may be related to epigenetic factors regulating gene expression. Not only are the structurally determined changes in miRNA expression levels significant, but also their epigenetic regulation. Modulation of miRNA expression by changes in the methylation level of their genes will probably be a future target for new therapies in CIDP.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the expression of miR-31-5p in serum in CIDP patients with the assessment of the correlation between miR-31-5p level and clinical presentation and electrophysiological and biochemical parameters. Electrodiagnostics (nerve conduction studies) are recommended to confirm the clinical diagnosis of typical CIDP, so special attention was paid to the analysis of the correlation of electrophysiological parameters with miR-31-5p levels. In addition, we examined whether diabetes mellitus could influence the level of the miRNA-31 level. CSF analysis should be considered to exclude diagnoses other than CIDP; in particular, elevated protein levels in CSF should be interpreted with caution in the case of diabetes mellitus comorbidity. We also analyzed the relationship between IVIg treatment duration and miRNA expression.

2. Materials and Methods

The study group consisted of 48 patients (female: 8, male: 40), who fulfilled the CIDP diagnostic criteria according to the European Academy of Neurology/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline [18]. The mean age was 61.60 ± 11.76 years. A plasma exchange (5 exchanges over 2 weeks) procedure was performed in 23 patients with CIDP. Chronic immunosuppressive treatment was used in 43 patients, including corticosteroids (prednisolone) in 20 patients, and immunosuppressive drugs in 13 patients (mycophenolate mofetil in 9 patients, azathioprinum in 3 patients, and ciclosporin in 1 patient). In addition, 17 patients had diabetes mellitus type 2, including a history of plasma exchange for the immediate treatment of CIDP, while 9 patients received chronic immunosuppressive therapy (azathioprinum in 4 patients and mycophenolate mofetil

in 5 patients). Hypertension was recognized in 23 patients. Also, 23 patients had degenerative changes of the lumbosacral vertebral column.

Patients with variants of CIDP (distal, multifocal, focal, motor, and sensory), chronic immune sensory polyradiculopathy (CISP), multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN), mononeuritis multiplex, hereditary demyelinating neuropathy, chronic ataxic neuropathy with disialosyl antibodies (CANDA) and chronic ataxic neuropathy, ophthalmoplegia, IgM paraproteinaemia, cold agglutinin and disialosyl antibodies (CANOMAD), cerebellar ataxia, neuropathy, vestibular areflexia syndrome (CANVAS), and POEMS syndrome were excluded from this study. Patients with IgG or IgA monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and IgM monoclonal gammopathy without antibodies to MAG were also excluded. HIV infection, other immunological conditions, and malignancy were also excluded. Amyloidosis, liver and kidney damage, multiple myeloma and osteosclerotic myeloma, venous insufficiency and chronic limb ischaemia, myopathy and neuromuscular junction disease, dorsal column lesions (such as syphilis, paraneoplastic diseases, and copper deficiency) and toxic neuropathies (e.g., due to chemotherapy and vitamin B6 poisoning), and peripheral nerve tumors were excluded.

Vitamin B12 levels in all patients were normal. All patients were negative for tumor markers, antibodies against neuronal antigens, and antibodies characteristic for autoimmune disorders, including connective tissue diseases. All patients showed a good response to the treatment, IVIg in particular.

The control group consisted of 13 subjects. The control group was matched for sex, age, comorbidities, IBM index, and smoking.

2.1. Ethical Standards. All subjects in the patient and control groups were informed in detail about the purpose and procedure of the study and gave written informed consent to participate in the study. The authors had a positive opinion of the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Wrocław No. KB-719/2021 on conducting this study.

2.2. Clinical and Biochemical Evaluation. Clinical improvement was defined according to the following scales: INCAT disability scale (the significance was established as \geq 1 point), Medical Research Council (MRC) sum score (as \geq 2 to 4 points), and grip strength measured by hand dynamometer (+ more than 10% of the output value). The Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause And Treatment (INCAT) disability scale was first used in a clinical trial comparing the efficacy and safety of intravenous immunoglobulin with oral prednisone in patients with CIDP [23]. The scale is a measure of activity limitation by assessing upper and lower limb dysfunction. The INCAT score is inversely related to function, where 0 indicates no functional impairment and 10 indicates an inability to perform any purposeful movement of the limbs [24].

Serum microRNA-31 copy number; immunoglobulin IgG, IgM, IgA and levels; and creatine kinase (CK) activity



FIGURE 1: Histogram of miR-31-5p copies in 100 μl of serum in the patient group.

were estimated. In addition, pleocytosis and protein levels were determined in cerebrospinal fluid.

Correlations between miRNA-31 expression and disease duration, clinical parameters (upper limb, lower limb, total INCAT), IgIV treatment time, body weight, and selected biochemical parameters (IgG, IgM, IgA, CK, CSF protein levels, and CSF) were calculated. The group of patients was divided into subgroups based on the disease duration (\leq 5 years/>5 years), body weight (\leq 80 kg/> 80 kg), INCAT total (0–4/5–10), the presence of diabetes mellitus type 2 (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), CK level (normal/above normal), CSF pleocytosis (\leq 5/>5 cells/µl), protein (<50 g/dl/ \geq 50 g/ dl), and IgIV treatment (yes/no).

2.3. Electroneurography. The electrophysiological tests were carried out using the Viking Quest version 10.0 device. Motor and sensory nerves were performed using standard methods [25], with the evaluation of latency, amplitude, and conduction velocity. All tests were done under the same conditions. The same distance from the stimulating and active electrodes was used. The room temperature fluctuated between 21 and 23°C, and the temperature of the extremities was not less than 32°C. Compound muscle action potential (CMAP) was determined in the median, ulnar, peroneal, and tibial nerves. F-wave latency was studied for all motor nerves. Sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) was determined in the median, ulnar, and sural nerves.

2.4. Serum Collection and RNA Isolation. Blood samples were collected from CIDP patients and controls. Serum fractions were isolated within 2 hours after blood collection using 10-minute centrifugation at $1900 \times g$ and then at $16,000 \times g$. For serum miRNA isolation, the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Advanced Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then, miRNA samples were frozen and stored at -80°C.

2.5. Reverse Transcription. Reverse transcription reactions (RT) were performed using $2,5 \,\mu$ l of extracted microRNAs,

		Group of patients without diabetes		Group of patients with diabetes		<i>p</i> value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	1
miR-31-5p/100 µl of ser	um	1366.33	2054.54	1146.97	1953.03	0.332
Duration of the CIDP (years)		5.35	3.07	5.24	3.42	0.688
Duration of IgIV treatment (months)		17.16	13.01	12.00	14.90	0.076
Body weight (kg)		87.32	20.27	90.24	20.12	0.726
INCAT upper limbs		1.94	1.00	1.12	0.70	0.005
INCAT lower limbs		1.97	1.17	1.41	0.80	0.086
Serum	CK (IU/l)	279.13	197.57	250.59	194.48	0.698
	IgG (g/l)	10.95	2.87	10.55	1.86	0846
	IgA (g/l)	2.29	0.86	2.65	1.28	0.532
Cerebrospinal fluid	IgM (g/l)	1.18	0.83	0.87	0.63	0.200
	Pleocytosis (cells/µl)	3.43	2.49	4.18	3.70	0.796
	Protein (mg/dl)	72.39	37.20	7741	30.66	0.499
Age (years)		59.23	11.93	65.94	10.40	0.070
ni			31		17	
Gender		F = 8	M = 23	$\mathbf{F} = 0$	M = 17	

TABLE 1: The clinical characteristics of patients without and with diabetes.

Abbreviation: ni: number of patients in the group.

TaqMan microRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Foster City, CA, USA), and specific RT primers for miR-31-5p in a final volume of 7.5 μ l according to manufacturer's recommendation. All RT products were diluted twice with water.

2.6. ddPCR. Droplet digital PCR was applied as a method of miR-31 expression analysis due to its high accuracy, reproducibility, and sensitivity [26]. The ddPCR reaction mixtures contained the following: 1.33 μ l of RT product, 1 μ l of Taq-Man miRNA-specific probe (ID 002279, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 7.67 μ l of molecular biology-grade water, and 10 μ l of 2x ddPCR[™] Master Mix for Probes (Bio-Rad). A total of 20 µl of the reaction mixtures was loaded into a plastic cartridge with 70 μ l of Droplet Generation Oil for Probes in the QX200 Droplet Generator (all from Bio-Rad). The droplets obtained from each sample were then transferred to a 96-well PCR plate (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). PCR amplifications were carried out in the C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 3 sec and 60°C for 1 min and 1 cycle at 98°C for 10 min ending at room temperature (RT). Finally, the plate was loaded on a Droplet Reader (BioRad) and read automatically. Absolute quantification (AQ) of each miRNA was calculated from the number of positive counts per panel using Poisson distribution. The quantification of the target miRNAs is presented as the number of copies/100 μ l of serum.

2.7. Data Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 13.0 software. Normality of distributions was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Due to the lack of normality of distribution for many variables, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to analyze relationships between variables. Due to the same reason, subgroup (based on the disease duration, body weight, INCAT total, etc.) comparisons were made using the Mann–Whitney U. The level of statistical significance for all variables was set at alpha = 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. The Clinical and Electrophysiological Data of the Study Group. The mean duration of CIDP was 5.31 years (SD \pm 3.16). A total INCAT score was equal to 3.10 \pm 2.14. The INCAT score for the upper limbs was 1.65 \pm 0.98, and for the lower limbs, 1.77 \pm 1.08. The mean body weight was 88.35 \pm 20.05 kg; 28 patients (female: 4, male: 24) had a body weight > 80 kg. Blood tests results were as described: CK 269.02 \pm 194.88 IU/l, IgG level 10.81 \pm 2.55 g/l, IgA 2.42 \pm 1.03 g/l, and IgM 1.07 \pm 0.77 g/l. CSF general examination showed a mean pleocytosis amounting to 3.70 \pm 2.9 cells/ μ l, and the protein level was 74.20 \pm 34.71 mg/dl. The mean copy number of miR-31-5p in 100 μ l serum was 1288.64 \pm 2001.02 (Figure 1) in the CIDP group of patients, while in the control group, 3743.09 \pm 4026.90.

Seventeen (17) patients had diabetes mellitus, and all of them were men. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of patients with and without diabetes. The mean electrophysiological parameters for subjects are shown in Table 2.

The electrophysiological parameters were also compared between patients with and without concomitant diabetes mellitus. A significantly longer latency of the sural nerve SNAP was found in the diabetic group (4.25 ± 0.6 vs. 3.71 ± 0.86 ; p = 0.037). There was no statistical significance between the other parameters in the above groups.

3.2. The Correlations between MicroRNA-31-5p Copy Number and the Data Studied. A significant correlation of

Nerve conduction		Electrophysiological parameters	Patients $n = 48$
		Latency (ms)	6.20 ± 3.94
	Median (wrist-elbow)	Amplitude (mV)	5.28 ± 3.75
		CV (m/s)	37.50 ± 18.26
		Latency (ms)	3.60 ± 1.40
	Ulnar (wrist-elbow)	Amplitude (mV)	7.04 ± 3.20
N /		CV (m/s)	46.48 ± 11.35
Motor	Peroneal (ankle head of fibula)	Latency (ms)	6.16 ± 1.88
		Amplitude (mV)	2.01 ± 1.67
		CV (m/s)	37.19 ± 6.81
		Latency (ms)	6.26 ± 1.38
	Tibial (ankle popliteal fossa)	Amplitude (mV)	3.19 ± 3.13
		CV (m/s)	35.97 ± 7.74
Sensory	Median (digit II)	Latency (ms)	3.64 ± 1.03
		Amplitude (μ V)	11.01 ± 7.25
		CV (m/s)	42.08 ± 7.69
	Ulnar (digit V)	Latency (ms)	3.13 ± 0.94
		Amplitude (μ V)	10.36 ± 6.69
		CV (m/s)	42.96 ± 8.89
		Latency (ms)	3.82 ± 0.67
	Sural	Amplitude (μ V)	5.10 ± 3.92
		CV (m/s)	43.47 ± 9.85
F-wave studies	Median		34.34 ± 6.95
	Ulnar		35.01 ± 7.74
	Peroneal	F-latency (ms)	67.17 ± 8.25
	Tibial		67.62 ± 8.05

TABLE 2: The mean electrophysiological parameters of CIDP patients.

TABLE 3: Correlations of miR-31-5p with clinical and biochemical data in CIDP patients.

Data			Correlations
	Disease du	ration	-0.024
	IgIV treatme	0.426	
Clinical	Weigh	-0.117	
Parameters		Upper limb	0.186
	INCAT	Lower limb	0.109
		Total	0.167
		CK	-0.019
Biochemical parameters	Somm	IgG	0.212
	Serum	IgA	-0.209
		IgM	0.067
	Corobrospinal fluid	Protein levels	0.123
	Cerebrospinar nuid	Pleocytosis	0.023

miR-31-5p was noted for the duration of IgIV treatment (0.426) (Table 3, Figure 2). Based on J. Guilford's classification, we showed a low correlation $(0.1 < |r| \le 0.3)$

between microRNA-31-5p levels and IgG (0.212) and IgA (-0.209) levels, peroneal CMAP latency (0.25), tibial CMAP latency (0.24), and SNAP conduction velocity of

IgIV treatment time





FIGURE 2: Scatter plot of the correlation between miR-31-5p expression and IgIV treatment time in CIDP patients.

the ulnar nerve (0.26). We did not find any significant correlation between microRNA-31-5p expression and other clinical, biochemical, and electrophysiological parameters (Tables 3 and 4).

The patients with body weight > 80 kg showed significantly lower levels of miRNA-31-5p than those with lower body weight (934.37 ± 1739.66 vs. 1784.62 ± 2271.62, respectively; p = 0.014). Conversely, patients with high protein levels in CSF showed significantly higher levels of miRNA-31-5p than those with normal protein levels (1393.93 ± 1932.27 vs. 987.38 ± 2364.10, respectively; p = 0.044).

3.3. The Group Characteristics in relation to IgIV Treatment. In the patient group, 38 subjects (female: 6, male: 32) were treated with IgIV. The patients who were not treated with IgIV demonstrated markedly decreased levels of miR-31-5p versus the patients who were treated (259.44 ± 304.02 vs. 1559.48 ± 2168.45, respectively; p = 0.002). The copy number of miR-31-5p in the group of patients treated with IgIV compared to the control group was lower but not statistically significant (1559.48 ± 2168.45 vs. 3743.09 ± 4026.90, respectively; p = 0.157), while in the group of patients not treated with IgIV compared to the control group, it was significantly lower (259.44 ± 304.02 vs. 3743.09 ± 4026.90, respectively; p = 0.014) (Figure 3).

In addition, the group of patients treated with IgIV showed significantly higher protein levels in the cerebrospinal fluid than the group of patients untreated with IgIV (79.59 ± 36.20 vs. 54.29 ± 18.90 , respectively; p = 0.017). There were no significant statistical differences between IgA, IgG,and IgM levels between the groups of patients treated and untreated with IgIV.

3.4. The Characteristics of Patients with Upregulation of MicroRNA-31-5p Expression. Upregulation of microRNA-31-5p expression, determined as value > mean value + 3SD, was found in 5 patients. The mean copy number of miR-31-5p in 100 μ l serum was 6503.76. In this group of patients, the mean electrophysiological parameters were better than

the mean values obtained in the entire CIDP group (Table 5). The biochemical parameters in this group of patients showed the following: CK 215.60 U/l, IgG level 9.3 g/l, IgA 2.7 g/l, and IgM 0.62 g/l. CSF general examination showed a mean pleocytosis amounting to 3.60 cell/ μ l, and the protein level was 60.14 mg/dl. The mean duration of CIDP disease was 5 years, mean IgIV treatment time 16.80 months, and mean weight 96 kg. A total INCAT score was equal to 2.2, and the INCAT score for the upper limbs was 1.00 and for the lower limbs 1.40.

4. Discussion

The most important result of our study is the finding of low miRNA-31 values in CIDP patients. The small copies of microRNA-31 can be attempted to explain the reciprocal dysregulation of the transcription factors in patients with CIDP. Several studies demonstrate that miR-31 can positively regulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and activity by regulating NF-kB, RAS/MAPK, Notch, and some cytokine signalling pathways [12, 14, 27, 28]. The increased miRNA-31 copies in our patients treated with IVIg may be due to the mechanism of action of IVIg. IVIg inactivates, silences, or leads to apoptosis of T cells, while restoring the balance of anti- and proinflammatory cytokines. Additionally, IVIg are thought to interfere with the passage of autoimmune cells across the blood-nerve barrier and to reduce antibody production by B cells, interfere with B cell proliferation through cell surface receptors, and block the activity of certain B cell subtypes. IVIg may also contain numerous anti-idiotypes that neutralise pathogenic antibodies. Finally, it has been shown that IVIg treatment interrupts several steps in the complement activation cascade and affects activity mediated by the Fc receptor [29-31].

The findings of Ripamonti et al. [32] highlighted miRNA-31 as a possible target for modulation of T celldependent antibody responses in humans with immune dysregulation. Antibody production by B lymphocytes requires support from follicular helper T (TFH) cells-a specific subgroup of CD4+ T lymphocytes. TFH cell role needs the presence of BCL6 (B cell lymphoma 6), a transcriptional repressor with unclear gene target responsible for helper activity. Combined miRNA analysis with gene expression profiling in human TFH cells, the authors found that the level of miR-31 is upregulated. The authors concluded that their findings highlight miR-31 as a possible target to modulate human T cell-dependent antibody responses in the settings of infection, vaccination, or immune dysregulation. In our study, we were able to confirm the upregulation process only in 5 patients. The patients with a high level of miRNA-31 had better clinical (in INCAT score) and electrophysiological parameters, although due to the small number of subjects, statistical analysis could not be performed. They also showed lower CK activity, lower IgG and IgM levels, as well as lower CSF protein levels.

We demonstrated a low positive correlation between miRNA-31 and serum IgG level. Previous studies have found that patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) have high variability in serum immunoglobulin G (IgG)

Nerve conduction		Electrophysiological parameters	Correlation miRNA
		Latency	0.05
	Median (wrist-elbow)	Amplitude	-0.12
		CV	-0.08
	Ulnar (wrist-elbow)	Latency	0.02
		Amplitude	-0.04
Matan		CV	-0.13
Motor	Peroneal (ankle head of fibula)	Latency	0.25
		Amplitude	0.12
		CV	-0.01
		Latency	0.24
	Tibial (ankle popliteal fossa)	Amplitude	-0.05
		CV	0.12
Sensory	Median (digit II)	Latency	0.09
		Amplitude	-0.11
		CV	-0.01
	Ulnar (digit V)	Latency	-0.14
		Amplitude	-0.15
		CV	0.26
	Sural	Latency	-0.13
		Amplitude	-0.16
		CV	0.08
F-wave studies	Median		-0.08
	Ulnar	E laton av	0.09
	Peroneal	r-latency	-0.09
	Tibial		0.01

TABLE 4: Correlation between the electrophysiological parameters and expression of miRNA31-5p in serum in CIDP patients.



FIGURE 3: Graph showing the mean copy number of miR-31-5p in the patient group—treated and untreated with IgIV—and in the control group.

levels after standard IVIg treatment and that large increases in serum IgG (Δ IgG) are associated with better treatment outcome. A recent prospective study in CIDP indicated that the increased ⊿IgG level after standard IVIg dosage in the continuous treatment was relatively constant within individual patients. This level could differ between patients who were treated with the same stable dosage and with interval of IVIg [33]. In our study, there were no statistically significant differences in IgG levels between treated and untreated IgIV groups, as well as in the patients with miRNA-31 upregulation. In the CIDP patients, we additionally revealed the low negative correlation between IgA and miRNA-31 levels, but no significant differences were found between IgA levels in the patient and healthy groups. In the course of IgA deficiency, the occurrence of autoimmune diseases has been found to be significantly more frequent than in patients with normal levels of this immunoglobulin. The relationships between IgA, IgG, and miRNA-31 in our study group were ambiguous and did not allow drawing clear conclusions.

We found a positive, average correlation between the duration of IVIg treatment and miRNA-31-5p expression. IVIg has been shown to inactivate or lead to T cell apoptosis, while restoring the balance of anti- and proinflammatory cytokines. Additionally, IVIg is thought to interfere

Nerve conduction		Electrophysiological parameters	Patients $n = 5$
	Median (wrist-elbow)	Latency (ms)	6.38
		Amplitude (mV)	7.08
		CV (m/s)	47.4
	Ulnar (wrist-elbow)	Latency (ms)	2.96
		Amplitude (mV)	8.78
Motor		CV (m/s)	47.2
	Peroneal (ankle head of fibula)	Latency (ms)	6.28
		Amplitude (mV)	2.12
		CV (m/s)	40.8
		Latency (ms)	6.68
	Tibial (ankle popliteal fossa)	Amplitude (mV)	3.30
		CV (m/s)	38.10
	Median (digit II)	Latency (ms)	3.52
		Amplitude (μ V)	11.30
		CV (m/s)	48.80
	Ulnar (digit V)	Latency (ms)	2.72
Sensory		Amplitude (μ V)	11.90
·		CV (m/s)	44.60
	Sural	Latency (ms)	3.61
		Amplitude (μ V)	4.20
		CV (m/s)	44.10
F-wave studies	Median		32.76
	Ulnar		32.48
	Peroneal	F-latency (ms)	68.89
	Tibial		65.76

TABLE 5: The mean electrophysiological parameters of CIDP patients with upregulation of microRNA-31 expression.

with the passage of autoimmune cells across the bloodnerve barrier and to reduce antibody production by B cells, interfere with B cell proliferation through cell surface receptors, block the activity of certain B cell subtypes, interrupt several steps in the complement activation cascade, and affect activity mediated by the Fc receptor [31, 34]. The correlations between IVIg treatment effectiveness and miRNA level were described in many studies in different clinical situations [35–38]. IVIg replacement therapy in different immunodeficiency patients is thought to be able to modulate miRNA level. miRNAs seem to be a valid target to develop new therapies and potential biomarkers in different inflammatory diseases. Based on the literature and their original results, the authors proposed an additional hypothesis for the mechanism of miR-31-5p regulation [39-42]. According to the miRDB database, the target of miR-31-5p is the miRNA for the sphingomyelin synthase 1 (SGMS1) gene. Sphingomyelin synthase converts ceramide to sphingomyelin, so the amount of CSF sphingomyelin directly depends on its amount and activity. If in CIDP the level of miR-31-5p decreases (as we observe in our studies, CIDP patients not treated with IgIV have very low levels of this miR compared to control and treated patients), this may directly affect the increase in the level of its target, SGMS1, and consequently sphingomyelin. The application of IgIV therapy improves the condition of patients by, among other things, increasing miR31-5p (in our observations, patients after IgIV therapy have statistically higher levels of miR31-5p), which decreases SGM1A and consequently affects the reduction of sphingomyelin. On this basis, we can conclude that the level of circulating serum miR-31-5p may not only be a helpful diagnostic biomarker in CIDP but also a tracer of clinical improvement in patients with CIDP undergoing IgIV therapy.

We tried to consider other factors influencing the miRNA level in our patients and the results of treatment. The lower levels of miRNA-31 were observed in the patients with high body weight. There are many controversies regarding the importance of obesity in the CIDP patients and its influence on the IVIg treatment. Pharmacokinetic difference in lean and obese patients at higher doses of IVIg were observed, but it seems to be small to influence the IVIg dosage. Sometimes, a very high IgG level is observed in obese patients treated with IVIg [43]. The CIDP patients with and without diabetes mellitus were similar in the clinical and electrophysiological tests; miRNA-31, IgG, Ig A, CK, and CSF protein levels did not differ either. Our results were

similar to other studies in which the differences between patients with and without DM were not seen [44].

Influencing miRNA function may contribute to a better understanding of the CIDP pathogenesis and the selection of an effective type of treatment. It is worth noting that abnormal expression of individual microRNAs may result from changes in the genome or abnormalities in their biogenesis or may be related to epigenetic factors regulating gene expression [45-49]. The work of Vinci et al. [47] highlighted that not only structurally determined changes in miRNA expression levels but also their epigenetic regulation seemed to be important in the CIDP pathogenesis and may become future targets for the development of new therapies modulating miRNA expression through changes in the level of methylation of their genes. Additionally, the interaction between miR-31 and the NF- κ B signalling pathway is the subject of many studies. The positive feedback loop formed by miR-31 and NF-kB signalling may bring new ideas for the treatment of some autoimmune conditions [14, 48-52]. In a previous study, the authors demonstrated significantly higher levels of IL-6, IL-2, IL-4, and TNF- α and an increased CD4+/CD8 + ratio in patients with CIDP compared to controls. Electrophysiological parameters in CIDP patients were also found to be closely related to the autoimmune process. All these studies may contribute to a better understanding of the pathomechanism of CIDP [53].

The authors are aware of the study's limitations. Due to the complexity of miRNA roles, the main limitation was the selection of only one miRNA type. The selection was based on the literature and the importance of miRNA-31 related to CIDP issues. Additionally, this study and the control group were rather small. The patient group was not homogeneous in terms of disease duration, type of treatment, and additional medical conditions.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we have shown the reduced level of miRNA-31-5p in the patients with typical CIDP. It was significantly reduced in the patients who were not treated with IVIg. In the treated group, miRNA was approaching the correct level, and in some patients, it was upregulated.

These patients had better clinical and electrophysiological results than other patients with CIDP. We observed a clearly negative impact of the obesity on the clinical and electrophysiological status with a lower miRNA-31 level. All these results could support the thesis that miRNA-31 is highly involved in the autoimmune process in CIDP. The positive relationship between the higher level of miRNA-31 and the duration of IVIg treatment may be an additional factor explaining the effectiveness of the prolonged IVIg therapy in CIDP. The presence of diabetes mellitus does not significantly influence the miRNA-31 level and clinical and electrophysiological status. Further studies are needed to assess whether such miRNAs could represent novel potential biomarkers in the management and therapy of CIDP patients.

Abbreviations

CSF:	Cerebrospinal fluid
CIDP:	Chronic inflammatory demyelinating
	polyneuropathy
CK:	Creatine kinase
EAE:	Experimental autoimmune
	encephalomyelitis
FOXP3:	Forkhead box P3
GBS1:	Guillain-Barré syndrome
IgIV treatment:	Immunoglobulin intravenous
	treatment
miRNAs:	MicroRNAs
miRNA-31-5p:	MicroRNA-31-5p
Nuclear factor kappa	Nuclear factor kappa B
B:	
SGMS1:	Sphingomyelin synthase 1.

Data Availability

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

The authors had a positive opinion of the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Wrocław No. KB-719/2021 to perform the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki with amendments of 2013.

Consent

The authors informed the patients and control subjects in detail about the planned study and obtained written consent to conduct it.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

E.D. was responsible for the study concept, design, and data analysis and interpretation; D.B was responsible for data analysis and interpretation; M.W. was responsible for the statistical analysis; M.O., H.M., M.M., P.D., and E.B. handled the data collection; S.B. reviewed the manuscript; and M.K. was responsible for the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by the Ministry of Health Subvention according to number SUBZ.220.22.102 from the IT Simple System of Wroclaw Medical University.

References

- B. Liu, J. Li, and M. J. Cairns, "Identifying miRNAs, targets and functions," *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2014.
- [2] B. Liu, L. Liu, A. Tsykin, G. J. Goodall, M. J. Cairns, and J. Li, "Discovering functional microRNA-mRNA regulatory modules in heterogeneous data," *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 774, pp. 267–290, 2013.
- [3] M. M. Akhtar, L. Micolucci, M. S. Islam, F. Olivieri, and A. D. Procopio, "Bioinformatic tools for microRNA dissection," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 24–44, 2016.
- [4] G. Zhao, C. Han, Z. Zhang, L. Wang, and J. Xu, "Increased expression of microRNA-31-5p inhibits cell proliferation, migration, and invasion via regulating Sp1 transcription factor in HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cell line," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications.*, vol. 490, no. 2, pp. 371–377, 2017.
- [5] H. S. Kim, K. S. Lee, H. J. Bae et al., "MicroRNA-31 functions as a tumor suppressor by regulating cell cycle and epithislial-mesenchymal transition regulatory proteins in liver cancer," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 8089–8102, 2015.
- [6] W. Zheng, Z. Liu, W. Zhang, and X. Hu, "miR-31 functions as an oncogene in cervical cancer," *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, vol. 292, no. 5, pp. 1083–1089, 2015.
- [7] I. T. Zaharieva, M. Calissano, M. Scoto et al., "Dystromirs as serum biomarkers for monitoring this disease severity in Duchenne muscular dystrophy," *PLoS One*, vol. 25, article e80263, 2013.
- [8] V. Pegoraro, A. Merico, and C. Angelini, "Micro-RNAs in ALS muscle: differences in gender, age at onset and disease duration," *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, vol. 15, pp. 58–63, 2017.
- [9] D. Cacchiarelli, T. Incitti, J. Martone et al., "miR-31 modulates dystrophin expression: new implications for Duchenne muscular dystrophy therapy," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 136–141, 2011.
- [10] X. Li and Y. Zheng, "Regulatory T cell identity: formation and maintenance," *Trends in Immunology*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 344– 353, 2015.
- [11] C. Dhamne, Y. Chung, A. M. Alousi, L. J. Cooper, and D. Q. Tran, "Peripheral and thymic foxp3(+) regulatory T cells in search of origin, distinction, and function," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 27, p. 253, 2013.
- [12] N. A. Stepicheva and J. L. Song, "Function and regulation of microRNA-31 in development and disease," *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, vol. 83, no. 8, pp. 654–674, 2016.
- [13] L. Zhang, F. Ke, Z. Liu et al., "MicroRNA-31 negatively regulates peripherally derived regulatory T-cell generation by repressing retinoic acid-inducible protein 3," *Nature Communications*, vol. 13, p. 7639, 2015.
- [14] S. Yan, Z. Xu, F. Lou et al., "NF-κB-induced microRNA-31 promotes epidermal hyperplasia by repressing protein phosphatase 6 in psoriasis," *Nature Communications*, vol. 3, p. 7652, 2015.
- [15] W. Fan, D. Liang, Y. Tang et al., "Identification of microRNA-31 as a novel regulator contributing to impaired interleukin-2 production in T cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 3715– 3725, 2012.

- [16] R. Rouas, H. Fayyad-Kazan, N. El Zein et al., "Human natural Treg microRNA signature: role of microRNA-31 and microRNA-21 in FOXP3 expression," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 36, pp. 1608–1618, 2009.
- [17] H. Zhou, F. Lou, J. Bai et al., "A peptide encoded by primiRNA-31 represses autoimmunity by promoting Treg differentiation," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 23, article e53475, 2022.
- [18] P. Y. Van den Bergh, P. A. van Doorn, R. D. Hadden et al., "European Academy of Neurology/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on diagnosis and treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: report of a joint task force—second revision," *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 242–268, 2021.
- [19] M. C. Broers, C. Bunschoten, D. Nieboer, H. F. Lingsma, and B. C. Jacobs, "Incidence and prevalence of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Neuroepidemiology*, vol. 52, no. 3-4, pp. 161–172, 2019.
- [20] M. Ryan and S. J. Ryan, "Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: considerations for diagnosis, management, and population health," *The American Journal of Managed Care*, vol. 24, 17 Suppl, pp. S371–S379, 2018.
- [21] J. I. Kira, "Anti-neurofascin 155 antibody-positive chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy/combined central and peripheral demyelination: strategies for diagnosis and treatment based on the disease mechanism," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 10, article 665136, 2021.
- [22] A. Cortese, R. Lombardi, C. Briani et al., "Antibodies to neurofascin, contactin-1, and contactin-associated protein 1 in CIDP: clinical relevance of IgG isotype," *Neurology-Neuroimmunology Neuroinflammation.*, vol. 21, article e639, 2019.
- [23] R. Press, F. Hiew, and Y. Rajabally, "Steroids for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: evidence base and clinical practice," *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 228–238, 2016.
- [24] A. Breiner, C. Barnett, and V. Bril, "INCAT disability score: a critical analysis of its measurement properties," *Muscle & Nerve*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 164–169, 2014.
- [25] A. Morokoff, J. Jones, H. Nguyen et al., "Serum microRNA is a biomarker for post-operative monitoring in glioma," *Journal* of Neuro-Oncology, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 391–400, 2020.
- [26] E. Stålberg, H. van Dijk, B. Falck et al., "Standards for quantification of EMG and neurography," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 130, no. 9, pp. 1688–1729, 2019.
- [27] F. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Yuan et al., "MicroRNA-31 can positively regulate the proliferation, differentiation and migration of keratinocytes," *Biomed Hub.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 93–104, 2020.
- [28] X. Zhou, J. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Cui, K. Wu, and H. Luo, "Astaxanthin inhibits microglia M1 activation against inflammatory injury triggered by lipopolysaccharide through downregulating miR-31-5p," *Life Sciences*, vol. 15, article 118943, 2021.
- [29] H. Orbach, U. Katz, Y. Sherer, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous immunoglobulin: adverse effects and safe administration," *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology*, vol. 29, article 173184, 2005.
- [30] J. A. Allen, D. F. Gelinas, M. Freimer, M. C. Runken, and G. I. Wolfe, "Immunoglobulin administration for the treatment of CIDP: IVIG or SCIG?," *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, vol. 15, article 116497, 2020.

- [31] J. D. Lünemann, F. Nimmerjahn, and M. C. Dalakas, "Intravenous immunoglobulin in neurology–mode of action and clinical efficacy," *Nature Reviews. Neurology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 80– 89, 2015.
- [32] A. Ripamonti, E. Provasi, M. Lorenzo et al., "Repression of miR-31 by BCL6 stabilizes the helper function of human follicular helper T cells," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 28, pp. 12797– 12802, 2017.
- [33] P. A. van Doorn, K. Kuitwaard, and B. C. Jacobs, "Serum IgG levels as biomarkers for optimizing IVIg therapy in CIDP," *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System*, vol. 16, suppl 1, pp. 38–40, 2011.
- [34] H. P. Hartung, "Advances in the understanding of the mechanism of action of IVIg," *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 255, suppl 3, pp. 3–6, 2008.
- [35] L. Padet, L. Loubaki, and R. Bazin, "Use of IVIg to identify potential miRNA targets for allograft rejection and GvHD therapy," *Clinical Transplantation*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 543– 546, 2015.
- [36] B. De Felice, E. Nigro, R. Polito et al., "Differently expressed microRNA in response to the first Ig replacement therapy in common variable immunodeficiency patients," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8, article 21482, 2020.
- [37] Y. Wang, C. Li, L. Niu, M. Fu, J. Tian, and X. An, "Difference in serum miRNA expression between immunoglobulin-sensitive and -insensitive incomplete Kawasaki disease patients," *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 21, p. 162, 2021.
- [38] Q. Gao, Y. Ma, D. Yuan, Q. C. Zhang, J. Zeng, and H. Li, "MicroRNA-125b in peripheral blood: a potential biomarker for severity and prognosis of children with viral encephalitis," *Neurological Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1437–1444, 2017.
- [39] G. Capodivento, C. De Michelis, M. Carpo et al., "CSF sphingomyelin: a new biomarker of demyelination in the diagnosis and management of CIDP and GBS," *Journal of Neurology*, *Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 303–310, 2021.
- [40] S. Kusunoki, "CSF sphingomyelin: possible biomarker of demyelination," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, vol. 92, no. 3, p. 232, 2021.
- [41] M. Péter, W. Török, A. Petrovics-Balog, L. Vígh, L. Vécsei, and G. Balogh, "Cerebrospinal fluid lipidomic biomarker signatures of demyelination for multiple sclerosis and Guillain-Barré syndrome," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 27, p. 18380, 2020.
- [42] W. Shi, F. Dong, Y. Jiang et al., "Construction of prognostic microRNA signature for human invasive breast cancer by integrated analysis," *Oncotargets and Therapy*, vol. 15, pp. 1979– 2010, 2019.
- [43] J. P. Hodkinson, "Considerations for dosing immunoglobulin in obese patients," *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, vol. 188, no. 3, pp. 353–362, 2017.
- [44] Y. A. Rajabally, M. Stettner, B. C. Kieseier, H. P. Hartung, and R. A. Malik, "CIDP and other inflammatory neuropathies in diabetes – diagnosis and management," *Nature Reviews. Neurology*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 599–611, 2017.
- [45] M. Gholipour, M. Taheri, J. Mehvari Habibabadi, N. Nazer, A. Sayad, and S. Ghafouri-Fard, "Dysregulation of lncRNAs in autoimmune neuropathies," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, p. 16061, 2021.
- [46] M. Albanese, Y. F. Chen, C. Hüls et al., "MicroRNAs are minor constituents of extracellular vesicles that are rarely delivered to target cells," *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 6, article e1009951, 2021.

- [47] S. Vinci, S. Gelmini, I. Mancini et al., "Genetic and epigenetic factors in regulation of microRNA in colorectal cancers," *Methods*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 138–146, 2013.
- [48] J. Mattes, M. Yang, and P. S. Foster, "Regulation of microRNA by antagomirs," *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 8–12, 2007.
- [49] J. Krützfeldt, N. Rajewsky, R. Braich et al., "Silencing of micro-RNAs in vivo with 'antagomirs'," *Nature*, vol. 438, no. 7068, pp. 685–689, 2005.
- [50] S. G. Tangye, E. K. Deenick, U. Palendira, and C. S. Ma, "T cell–B cell interactions in primary immunodeficiencies," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1250, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2012.
- [51] K. Louis, C. Macedo, and D. Metes, "Targeting T follicular helper cells to control humoral allogeneic immunity," *Transplantation*, vol. 105, no. 11, pp. e168–e180, 2021.
- [52] J. Hu, C. Havenar-Daughton, and S. Crotty, "Modulation of SAP dependent T:B cell interactions as a strategy to improve vaccination," *Current Opinion in Virology*, vol. 3, article 363370, 2013.
- [53] E. Dziadkowiak, H. Moreira, M. Wieczorek, S. Budrewicz, E. Barg, and M. Koszewicz, "Correlations between electrophysiological parameters, lymphocyte distribution and cytokine levels in patients with chronic demyelinating inflammatory polyneuropathy," *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 766, 2021.