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Relationship between Fear-Avoidance Beliefs and Reaction Time Changes Prior to and following Exercise-Induced Muscle Fatigue in Chronic Low Back Pain
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Background. Reaction time is a reliable indicator of the velocity and efficiency of neuromuscular control and may be associated with fear-avoidance beliefs. However, the effect of exercise-induced muscle fatigue on reaction time in chronic low back pain (cLBP) and its relationship with fear-avoidance beliefs remains poorly understood. Objectives. This study aimed to reveal the relationship between fear-avoidance beliefs and reaction time changes before and after exercise-induced muscle fatigue in cLBP. Methods. Twenty-five patients with cLBP were tested by the Biering-Sorensen test (BST) to induce exhaustive muscle fatigue. Total reaction time (TRT), premotor time (PMT), and electromechanical delay (EMD) of dominated deltoid muscle were recorded by surface electromyography during the arm-raising task with visual cues before and after muscle fatigue. The mean difference (MD) of TRT (MDTRT), PMT (MDPMT), and EMD (MDEMD) was calculated from the changes before and after muscle fatigue. Fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire (FABQ) was applied to evaluate fear-avoidance beliefs before muscle fatigue. In addition, the duration time of BST was recorded for each subject. Results. TRT and PMT of dominated deltoid muscle were prolonged after exercise-induced muscle fatigue (Z = 3.511, p < 0.001; t = 3.431, p = 0.001), while there was no statistical difference in EMD (Z = 1.029, p = 0.304). Correlation analysis showed that both the MDTRT and MDPMT were positively correlated with FABQ (r = 0.418, p = 0.042; r = 0.422, p = 0.040). Conclusions. These findings suggested that we should pay attention to both muscle fatigue-induced reaction time delay in cLBP management and the possible psychological mechanism involved in it. Furthermore, this study implied that FABQ-based psychotherapy might serve as a potential approach for cLBP treatment by improving reaction time delay. This trial is registered with ChiCTR2300074348.

1. Introduction

Chronic low back pain (cLBP) is a major global challenge to the world and it contributes to people’s years lived with disability (YLDs) [1], yet up to 85% of patients with cLBP are nonspecific [2, 3]. Since the pathogenesis of cLBP is still unclear and there is a lack of targeted treatment, the therapeutic benefit is often temporary and the long-term efficacy is unsatisfactory. Therefore, it is imperative to pay more attention to cLBP and delve deeper into its potential risk factors and abnormal changes.

Reaction time refers to the time window that the body responds to postural perturbation [4, 5], which is consisted of premotor time (PMT) and electromechanical delay (EMD) [6], and can be affected by physical function [7], aging [8], motor and cognitive [9], gender [10], metabolic [11], psychology [12], and fatigue [13]. PMT represents the efficiency of neuromuscular response to disturbance,
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juries [17, 18], chronic pain [18, 19], and fatigue [20–22]. Environmental alteration conditions, which could be applied to response processes under various internal and external factors, might further elucidate the onset changes in neuromuscular peripheral tissues. Investigating these phases separatedly could help to understand the possible mechanism of reaction time change in postural control of cLBP induced by muscle fatigue and its relationship with fear-avoidance beliefs. Besides, it also provides potential insights into the psychological interventions for cLBP.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Population and Study Design. This was a cross-sectional study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (No. 2023386-1) and registered in the China Clinical Trial Registry Center (No. ChiCTR2300074348). Twenty-five cLBP patients were recruited in this study, and the Helsinki Declaration was considered. All subjects were fully informed of the experimental process and potential risks such as electrode pad allergy and falls and signed informed consent. The s-EMG was applied to measure the reaction time of all subjects during ART before and after exercise-induced muscle fatigue. Basic information about the subjects such as name, age, gender, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), visual analogue scale (VAS) [37], and FABQ [35] was collected before the test.

2.2. Sample Size Calculation. The sample size in this study was computed by G*Power software (version 3.1.9.4, Kiel, Germany). We applied the TRT parameter changes (before vs after muscle fatigue, 0.472 ± 0.186 vs 0.539 ± 0.152) of eight subjects in the preliminary study to conduct the sample calculation by G-power, setting α = 0.05, power (1-β) = 0.8, and effect size = 0.67. Meanwhile, the effect size of 0.67 was determined by the mean difference changes of TRT before and after muscle fatigue. The total sample size was 20 for this research. Considering the dropout rate of approximately 20%, we planned to recruit 25 participants.

2.3. Enrollment Procedure. The diagnosis of cLBP was made by a professional physician according to the guidelines and management of cLBP [38, 39]. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were modified slightly according to the previous studies [40, 41]. The inclusion criteria for cLBP were as follows: (1) aged between 18–59 years; (2) persistent or recurrent low back pain symptoms for more than 3 months, with at least one recurrent low back pain in the past year; (3) the right dominant hand [42] with normal upper extremity function; (4) pain location concentrated between the 12th
rib and the gluteus sulcus and VAS score greater than 3 points according to the VAS; (5) no clear cause of low back pain. The exclusion criteria referred to the following tips: (1) participants who had a history of pelvic or spinal surgery; (2) any specific lumbar pathological changes, such as lumbar strain, scoliosis, spinal tumors, vertebral fractures, lumbar spinal stenosis or disc herniation, osteoporosis, obligatory spondylitis, osteoarthritis, and lumbar tuberculosis.; (3) patients with the symptoms of extremity nerve root or nerve radiation; (4) participants with BMI over 31 kg/m² or pregnant; (5) subjects who received systematic physical rehabilitation therapy in the past 3 months; (6) subjects with severe audiovisual dysfunction; (7) participants with severe cognitive impairment, depression, or anxiety who are unable to cooperate with the test.

2.4. Internal Perturbation Task. The ART, a reliable method inducing internal postural perturbation, was adopted in our study according to a previous study [43]. The task procedure was as follows: the subjects stood on a force platform. A visual arm-raising signal (depicted as a solid black circle) was displayed on the monitor placed 2 meters in front of subjects at the eye level. The signal was presented three times in 30 s randomly. Once it arose, the subject was instructed to raise their right arm to the shoulder plane as quickly and explosively as possible and return to the neutral position when the signal disappeared after approximately 5 s. In addition, subjects were allowed to practice three times to ensure they were familiar with the test procedure.

2.5. Muscle Fatigue Test. The Biering–Sorensen test (BST) is a valuable protocol to induce muscle fatigue for low back pain patients [44, 45]. The workflow was as follows: subjects were positioned in a prone lying position on a therapy bed with the upper edge of the iliac crest aligned with the boundary of the bed, and their arms were crossed over their chest. Belts were used to secure the lower part of the body at the hip, popliteal, and ankle levels. Once the test commenced, subjects were instructed to maintain their body’s longitudinal axis on a horizontal line as long as possible to induce exhaustion. The test would be terminated if the subjects were unable to overcome the force of gravity, maintain a horizontal position after several reminders, or experience pain [46, 47]. We measured the subjects’ endurance by recording the duration time of the Biering–Sorensen test (BST-DT). Following the test, we promptly conducted the ART within a 20-second time frame [29] and obtained s-EMG signals.

2.6. Reaction Time Detection. The s-EMG is a common method for measuring muscle reaction time [48], which can effectively detect PMT and EMD, respectively [6, 49]. In this study, we used wireless s-EMG equipment (Trigno™, Delsys Incorporated, USA) for reaction time detection during an internal postural perturbation task. The wireless s-EMG electrode was placed on the dominant anterior deltoid muscle of the subject. The time point when the screen displays black dots (visual cue for arm raising) was marked as $T_0$. The subject responded to the visual cue by raising the dominant arm as fast and explosively as possible. The moment when the initial mechanical contraction occurred was detected by the displacement sensor built in the electrode and was denoted as $T_{\text{torque}}$. The start time of the myoelectrical signal activation was recorded as $T_{\text{electrical}}$. $\text{TRT} = T_{\text{torque}} - T_0$, which is the time interval from the time point of the visual cue signal to the start time of mechanical movement of the dominant arm. $\text{PMT} = T_{\text{electrical}} - T_0$, which is the time interval from the time point of the visual cue signal to the activation time of the deltoid muscle electromyographic signal; $\text{EMD} = \text{TRT} - \text{PMT}$, which is the time interval between the myoelectrical signal activation to the start of mechanical contraction. In addition, the mean differences of TRT, PMT, and EMD were calculated from the changes before and after fatigue and counted as $\text{MD}_{\text{TRT}}$, $\text{MD}_{\text{PMT}}$, and $\text{MD}_{\text{EMD}}$, respectively. In order to reduce the error, we calculated the average value as the final statistical indicator during the 3 trials of the ART task.

2.7. FABQ Scale Assessment. The FABQ is a widely used tool for evaluating the influence of fear-avoidance beliefs on activity and work in patients with low back pain. It has been revealed a good reliability and validity when applied to cLBP [35]. The questionnaire comprises 16 items, with 5 items specifically focusing on the impact of fear-avoidance beliefs on low back pain during physical activity and 11 items assessing the impact on work-related activities. Each item is rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 0 to 6. The total score possible on the questionnaire is 96, with higher scores indicating higher levels of fear-avoidance beliefs. In this trial, we assessed FABQ by electronic questionnaire for all subjects.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. MATLAB R2022a software (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) was conducted to s-EMG signals analysis. SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Chicago, USA) was used for data entry and statistical analysis. A normality test is performed for all measurement data (age, height, weight, BMI, BST-DT, VAS, FABQ, TRT, PMT, and EMD). The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared by paired sample t-test when the data distributions fit the normal curve. Otherwise, the data were expressed as the median (25%–75% interquartile range) and compared with nonparametric tests. Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between the indexes of $\text{MD}_{\text{TRT}}$, $\text{MD}_{\text{PMT}}$, $\text{MD}_{\text{EMD}}$, and BST-DT with FABQ according to the results of the normality test. $p < 0.05$ indicates a statistical difference.

3. Results

3.1. Flowchart and Basic Demographic Data. A total of 25 cLBP patients met the criteria and were finally recruited for this study. The FABQ scores, BST-DT, and the basic demographic characteristics of the enrolled subjects are shown...
in Table 1. Additionally, Figure 1 shows the relevant experimental paradigm and process of this study.

3.2. Change of Reaction Time before and after Muscle Fatigue. As shown in Table 2, by performing internal postural perturbations of the ART with visual cues, the TRT and PMT of cLBP patients after muscle fatigue were prolonged, and the difference was statistically significant ($Z = 3.51$, Cohen’s $d = 1.973$, $\eta^2 = 0.493$, $p < 0.001$; $Z = 3.431$, Cohen’s $d = 1.887$, $\eta^2 = 0.471$, $p = 0.001$, respectively) compared to the condition before muscle fatigue, but no statistical difference was found in EMD ($Z = 1.029$, Cohen’s $d = 0.421$, $\eta^2 = 0.042$, $p = 0.304$).

3.3. Correlation Analysis between Reaction Time Change Degree, BST-DT with FABQ. By calculating the change degree of reaction time before and after muscle fatigue, the mean difference (MD) of TRT, PMT, and EMD could be obtained, respectively, and was noted as $MD_{TRT}$, $MD_{PMT}$, and $MD_{EMD}$. Correlation analysis showed that the $MD_{TRT}$ and $MD_{PMT}$ were positively correlated with FABQ ($r = 0.418$, $p = 0.042$; $r = 0.422$, $p = 0.040$, respectively), but there was no significant correlation between $MD_{EMD}$ and FABQ ($r = -0.152$, $p = 0.478$). In addition, the correlation analysis also showed no correlation between BST-DT and FABQ ($r = -0.019$, $p = 0.930$). The details are presented in Table 3.

4. Discussion

In this study, we used the BST to induce muscle fatigue in patients with cLBP, performed ART with visual stimulation before and after muscle fatigue, and used s-EMG to analyze the neuromuscular reaction time during this process. We found that (1) the TRT and PMT were prolonged after muscle fatigue in cLBP, compared with before muscle fatigue; (2) correlation analysis revealed that the change degree of $MD_{TRT}$ and $MD_{PMT}$ was positively correlated with fear-avoidance beliefs. This study suggested that the reaction time of neuromuscular control processes would be altered by muscle fatigue in patients with cLBP and that these changes were associated with fear-avoidance beliefs.

4.1. Muscle Fatigue and Reaction Time. Previous studies have shown that cLBP patients are more prone to muscle fatigue than the asymptomatic population [50–52]. Behaviors such as longtime sitting or standing are important factors for the occurrence or recurrence of cLBP [53, 54]. Studies have found that muscle fatigue can induce changes in postural control in patients with cLBP [46, 55]. For example, cLBP patients had significantly decreased sagittal spine stability compared with healthy subjects when performing repeated uplifting as a muscle fatigue-inducing protocol [56]. Besides, cLBP exhibited relatively more unpredictable lumbar spine motion after muscle fatigue compared to the matched healthy subjects [57]. The TRT, an important indicator of neuromuscular control ability [58, 59], is fractioned into two components, i.e., PMT and EMD. The PMT contains cognitive components, while EMD indicates pure electromechanical delay [60, 61]. However, the effect of muscle fatigue on the reaction time of limb movements in cLBP was reported seldomly [18]. To address this issue, this study explored the potential relationship between muscle fatigue and reaction time through the arm-raising task with visual cues prior to and following exercise-induced muscle fatigue in patients with cLBP and quantified the weight of PMT and EMD in TRT. Notably, the reaction time in this study could be accurately measured by a technical modification that allows the visual stimulus presentation to be fully synchronized with the s-EMG acquisition. To the best of our knowledge, this elimination of absolute errors in reaction time acquisition has not been explicitly reported in previous studies.

A study conducted by Abdollahi et al. demonstrated that basketball players with cLBP have prolonged EMD in gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior muscles and shortened EMD of semitendinosus, vastus lateralis, and vastus medialis oblique muscles after lower limb muscle fatigue than healthy basketball players [18]. Another study conducted by Le Manssec et al. found that EMD of the biceps brachii in healthy individuals would be increased after muscle fatigue induced by intermittent contractions of biceps brachii [20]. Echoing previous studies, our study found that trunk muscle fatigue induced TRT and PMT delay in deltoid muscle, but not EMD. Regarding the muscle fatigue methods and muscle observations in our study, the deltoid muscles of the subjects were undisturbed by fatigue load. EMD is defined as the time interval from the activation time of electromyographic signals to the contraction time of the target muscle, which is more closely related to local tissue metabolism [62]. Thus, these might help explaining why EMD in deltoid muscle was not affected in our results, which was the reason for these discrepant results between our study and previous studies. However, although the deltoid muscle has not suffered from fatigue, we still observed the delay of TRT and PMT. This suggested that the delay of TRT and PMT of the deltoid muscle might be due to the changes in cognitive and psychological activities. The delay of TRT is mainly dependent on the delay of PMT, which indicates that PMT weighs more than EMD in the whole reaction time. The result is consistent with the observation reported by Le Manssec et al. [20].
4.2 Fear-Avoidance Beliefs and Reaction Time. Reaction time and its main component, PMT, are reported to be strongly correlated with cognitive-mental activity [63, 64]. Patients with cLBP tend to have a certain degree of fear-avoidance beliefs due to long-term pain [65]. A previous study by performing auditory reaction time tasks while administering electrical stimulation on the arm or back demonstrated that cLBP patients with higher pain fear would be accompanied by a more obvious reaction time delay [66]. However, to our knowledge, whether the TRT and/or PMT delay induced by muscle fatigue is related to fear-avoidance beliefs in cLBP patients has not been clearly reported.

In this study, we performed a correlation analysis by assessing FABQ scores and the reaction time changes before and after exercise-induced muscle fatigue in cLBP patients, the results showed that TRT and PMT delays were positively correlated with FABQ scores. Additionally, although the deltoid muscle was not directly affected by fatigue load in the present study, a delay in its reaction time was still observed. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that this reaction time delay is related to psychological alterations induced by fear-avoidance beliefs. Furthermore, we explored the potential association between fear-avoidance beliefs and task duration time. A previous study by Vincent et al. [67] deemed that fear-avoidance beliefs did not impact walking endurance time in individuals with cLBP. Consistent with these findings, our study also revealed no correlation between FABQ and BST-DT. This might be attributed to the fact that the participants included in this study were relatively young and usually exhibited better self-efficacy. They tended to perceive difficult tasks as a challenge rather than as a danger to avoid [68].

4.3 Study Limitations. This study indicated that exercise-induced muscle fatigue contributes to delays of TRT and PMT in individuals with cLBP. These reaction time delays were closely associated with FABQ scores. However, this study did not include healthy subjects and was not able to compare the effect of exercise-induced muscle fatigue on reaction time in healthy populations. Future studies should include healthy individuals for comparison to better elucidate the potential relationship among exercise-induced muscle fatigue, reaction time, and low back pain. Furthermore, considering the close relationship between pain levels and fear-avoidance beliefs, it is necessary to point out that a subset of the subjects in this study with low VAS scores also
exhibited relatively weak fear-avoidance beliefs. This could potentially introduce bias into the results. Future research specifically focused on grading pain levels would further enhance the credibility of the findings. Additionally, the study did not investigate the effect of exercise-induced muscle fatigue on other types of reaction time, such as choice reaction time and discrimination reaction time. Future research should aim to explore and compare the effects of exercise-induced muscle fatigue on the aforementioned reaction time, which could shed light on the underlying mechanisms of reaction time alteration in individuals with cLBP.

5. Conclusions

The present study demonstrated that exercise-induced muscle fatigue could evoke reaction time alteration in the neuromuscular control processes of cLBP. It shed light on the potential relationship between muscle fatigue and low back pain. Additionally, we found a correlation between this reaction time delay and the FABQ scores, indicating psychological function may be involved in posture control of cLBP, and FABQ-based psychotherapy might be a promising therapeutic approach to cLBP management.
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