

**OBSERVATIONS ON WOOD-BORING INSECTS, THEIR  
PARASITES AND OTHER ASSOCIATED  
INSECTS.<sup>1</sup>**

BY CHARLES T. BRUES

During the course of many years' interest in the several groups of Parasitic Hymenoptera the writer has frequently been struck by the preponderance of primitive types which prey upon wood-boring insects. Not only this, but several of the most primitive families of phytophagous Hymenoptera develop mainly within the tissues of woody plants and an exceptionally large proportion of the leaf-feeding sawflies subsist upon the foliage of trees. These facts suggest that the early phylogenetic history of the Hymenoptera was in some way bound up in the development of the woody flora and that this early association has persisted to the present time without sufficient change to destroy the earmarks of past history in the modern hymenopterous fauna.

The immediate occasion for the present discussion is a small, but quite varied collection of insects made during the past summer at my summer home in Petersham, Mass. Early in the season the attention of my wife was attracted by a considerable number of flying insects that had congregated upon the panes of a window in a room where the stove wood for the household is stored. This room contains an assortment of wood of various sizes and varieties, principally oak, chestnut, white pine, red maple and birch. The wood is cut in the nearby woodlot one year, allowed to season and then sawed, split and stored away the next year. Before storage, it has therefore an opportunity to be attacked by various wood boring insects and fungi together with the insects that are attracted to the latter.

So many specimens appeared on the first day that collections were made daily upon the window from early July to late September and by the end of the season we had amassed a considerable collection. After sorting and identification, the fol-

<sup>1</sup>Contribution from the Entomological Laboratory of the Bussey Institution, Harvard University, No. 275.)

lowing list was compiled which includes practically all of the species taken.

#### COLEOPTERA

Nearly all of the members of this order have been identified by one of my students, Mr. P. J. Darlington, Jr.

##### *Family Rhipiphoridae*

*Pelecotoma flavipes* Melsh. Twenty-one specimens of both sexes of this rare species, July 13-28. This has been reported emerging from beech wood, but the present specimens came from that of some other tree, probably red maple. The habits of the larvæ appear to be unknown, although the genus is undoubtedly parasitic.

##### *Family Pyrochroidae*

*Dendroides bicolor* Newm. Three males July 13 and 18. This species breeds commonly in various deciduous trees such as oak, maple, beech and birch

*Dendroides concolor* Newm. One female July 13. This species is known to feed upon the same trees as the foregoing.

##### *Family Elateridae*

*Melanotus trapezoideus* Lec. One specimen, July 20.

*Melanotus pertinax* Say. One specimen, July 13. Members of this genus are commonly taken beneath the bark of various trees.

##### *Family Melasidae (Eucnemidae)*

*Isorhipis ruficornis* Say. 245 specimens representing both sexes in the proportion of 127 males to 118 females. This species was the most abundant insect in the present collection. It was taken first on July 13 and was still appearing when the last collections were made late in September although present in greatest numbers late in July. The species has been found in beech and soft maple and most if not all of the present series emerged from red maple.

*Family Buprestidæ*

*Dicerca lurida* Fabr. One specimen, July 13. This species breeds commonly in hickory, although the present one came probably from an oak log.

*Chrysobothris femorata* Oliv. One specimen, July 13. This is a common enemy of apple, but the larvæ occur in several other deciduous trees.

*Family Dascyllidæ*

*Eucinetus morio* Lec. One specimen, July 20. This has been taken beneath oak-bark and on fungi on oak.

*Family Dermestidæ*

*Attagenus piceus* Oliv. Two specimens, July 26-28. This is the well known "carpet beetle" which develops in woolen materials, feathers and other articles of animal origin. Weiss and West (Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, vol. 33, p. 6 1920) record it from a fungus *Lenzites betulinus* so that its occurrence in the present series is probably not accidental. In country houses the larvæ find food in the many flies and other insects that enter the walls, window casings, etc. for hibernation, many of them to die before the advent of spring.

*Family Ostomatidæ (Trogositidæ)*

*Thymalus marginicollis* Chev. Two specimens, July 20. This species breeds in the common shelf-fungus of birch, *Polyporus betulinus*.

*Family Nitidulidæ*

*Epuræa* sp. One specimen. The members of this genus feed on fungi.

*Family Mycetophagidæ*

*Mycetophagus flexuosus* Say. One specimen, August 23. A common fungus-beetle.

*Family Endomychidae*

*Endomychus biguttatus* Say. One specimen, August 23. This is another fungus-beetle.

*Family Tenebrionidae*

*Diaperis maculata* Oliv. One specimen of this abundant species which occurs in fungi, especially in the shelf-fungus of birch.

*Upis ceramboides* Linn. One specimen, August 8. This is a northern beetle with circumpolar distribution; it occurs under the bark of logs of various kinds.

*Family Melandryidae*

*Synchroa punctata* Newm. Six specimens, July 13 to 20. This species occurs under the bark of various trees, particularly pine and maple.

*Family Anobiidae*

*Hadrobregmus carinatus* Say. Eleven specimens, July 13-17. This species breeds commonly in maple logs and more rarely in those of other trees, such as beech.

*Ptilinus ruficornis* Say. 18 specimens, July 13-20. This small beetle has been bred from dead branches and logs of various deciduous trees.

*Family Cerambycidae.*

Thirteen species of this large family were collected. Practically without exception all members of the group are wood-borers.

*Hypermallus villosus* Fabr. One specimen, July 19. This is the common "twig-pruner" of oak which occurs occasionally in maple also.

*Brachyleptura rubrica* Say. One specimen, July 20. The host tree of this tree has apparently never been recorded. It probably occurs in oak.

*Brachyleptura canadensis* Fabr. One specimen, August 8. The larva develops in the wood of several conifers.

*Phymatodes testaceus* Linn. One specimen, July 13. The larvæ occur in oak and are commonly bred from cord-wood.

*Xylotrechus colonus* Fabr. Seven specimens, July 13 to September 26. This is a common species, usually bred from oak but found less abundantly in logs of other deciduous trees.

*Xylotrechus undulatus fuscus* Kirby. One specimen, August 18. This occurs in the wood of conifers.

*Neochytus acuminatus* Fabr. Eight specimens, July 17 to September 26. This is an abundant species which develops in a great variety of deciduous trees.

*Anthoboscus ruricola* Oliv. Five specimens, July 13-18. This is known to feed in hickory and elm; these specimens may have come from the latter.

*Monochamus scutellatus* Say. One specimen, July 19. This is an abundant species in New England feeding in white pine logs which are soon ruined if allowed to remain unsawed during the warmer part of the year.

*Microgoes oculatus* Lec. One specimen, July 19. The larva bores in oak and hickory.

*Lepturges querci* Fitch. One specimen, July 20. This small form develops in the wood of oak, hickory and other trees.

*Hyperplatys maculata* Hald. Three specimens, July 14 to 20. The larvæ develop in oak and the wood of other deciduous trees.

*Urugraphis fasciata*. Two specimens, July 19. The larvæ of this species feed commonly in the bark of oak, but occur also in that of other deciduous trees and even in pine.

#### Family Platystomatidæ

*Euparius marmoreus* Oliv. Two specimens, August 23 and September 26. This is a fungus-beetle.

*Choragus zimmermanni* Lec. One specimen, July 28. This is a wood-boring species that has been bred from sweet-gum, but which must have other hosts.

## CORRODENTIA

*Family Psocidæ*

The four species listed below were examined and named for me by Mr. Nathan Banks.

*Psocus leidyi* Aaron, two specimens.

*Psocus variabilis* Aaron, two specimens.

*Myopsocus sparsus* Hagen, seven specimens.

*Peripsocus permadidus* Walsh, one specimen.

The members of this interesting group are said to feed mainly upon lichens and fungi and many species are consequently associated with trees and old wood.

## THYSANOPTERA

Two species were taken which have been very kindly identified by Dr. J. D. Hood.

*Family Phlæothripidæ*

*Hoplothrips karnyi major* Hood, two females, July 20 and 26.

*Trichothrips* sp. One male, July 20. This is probably an undescribed species known to Dr. Hood from Illinois also.

## HYMENOPTERA

*Family Xiphydriidæ*

*Xiphydria maculata* Say. 147 specimens of both sexes, July 13 to September 26. The great majority of the specimens emerged during the third week in July. The females are extremely variable in size ranging from 8 to 20 mm. in length while the males range from 7-12 mm. This species is known to breed in maple and most if not all the individuals in the present series emerged from red maple logs.

Species of *Xiphydria* are commonly parasitized by members of the Aulacid genus *Pammegischia* of which two species were obtained as noted on another page, without question from this wood-wasp.

*Family Aulacidae*

*Pammegischia pallipes* Cresson. Four females and a male, July 13 and 17. The abdomen of two females is black, except the first and second segments; and the others agree with the description given by Bradley ('08) of *P. xiphydriæ* Ashm. The male taken with them is typical of *P. pallipes* and Bradley is undoubtedly right in regarding these two as sexes of the same species. *P. xiphydriæ* has been bred from *Xiphydria provancheri* living in birch twigs according to Viereck and Champlain reared *P. burquei* Prov. from another species of *Xiphydria*.

*Pristaulacus stigmaterus* Say. Two females and one male, July 13 and 16.

*Gasteruption tarsatorium* Say. Two females, July 13 and 17.

*Gasteruption incertum* Cresson. Two females and two males, July 13 and 17.

*Family Braconidae*

*Spathius simillimus* Ashmead. One female, July 17. This species has been bred from the small Buprestid beetle, *Agrilus bilineatus*, a well known enemy of chestnut and oak. The members of the genus are parasites of small wood-boring beetles, principally bark-beetles of the family *Ipidæ*.

*Helcon ligator* Say. Three females and one male, July 13 and 19. This has been bred from several *Cerambycidae*, including *Neoclytus acuminatus* Fabr. which is abundant in the present collection.

*Blacus longicaudis* Prov. Five specimens of both sexes, July 18—September 5.

*Ascogaster carpocapsæ* Viereck. One male, July 13.

This species, described by Viereck as a *Chelonus* was bred from the codling moth *Cydia (Carpocapsa) pomonella* and has since been reared by Wilcox (*Psyche*, vol. 25, p. 17, 1918) from the Oriental moth (*Cnidocampa flavescens*).

*Apanteles consimilis* Viereck. Seventeen females and two males, July 14-Aug. 23. According to Muesebeck this is not a common species, or at least has been only rarely taken.

*Family Ichneumonidæ*

*Rhyssa canadensis* Provancher. Three females, September 27. As members of this genus are known to parasitize Xiphydria, these probably came from the abundant *X. maculata*.

*Arotes amœnus* Cresson. Two females, July 13. Species of *Arotes* are parasites of cerambycid beetles.

*Lissonota insita* Cresson. Nine specimens of both sexes, July 13—August 22.

*Polysphincta texana* Cresson. This is a widely distributed parasite of spiders that has been bred by Cushman from the common *Steatoda borealis*.

*Family Vanhorniidæ*

*Vanhornia eucnemidarum* Crawford. Thirteen females and seven males, July 13—September 26, all but one taken during July.

This most remarkable insect was made the type of a new family by Crawford in 1909 when he first described it. The types were bred by Van Horn from larval cells of an unidentified Melasid (Eucnemid) beetle and the species has since been recorded by Champlain (*Psyche*, vol. 29, p. 100, 1923) as a parasite of *Isorhipis flavicornis*. The present series are undoubtedly from the same host, which is abundantly represented in the collection at hand.

Crawford makes no reference to the systematic relationships of *Vanhornia* except to note that the wing-venation resembles that of *Helorus*. On account of its exodont mandibles one would naturally compare it with the family Alysidae and the somewhat dubious insect, *Lysiognatha*, placed by Ashmead as an Alysiid. It is very evident, however, from the other characters that *Vanhornia* has no affinities with any Alysiid. The antennæ are not multiarticulate and the wing is provided with a costal cell, both of which are fundamental differences. Also the structure of the abdomen which is heavily chitinized below, and forms a carapace above is entirely dissimilar to that of any Alysiid although in one genus, *Symphya* of the latter family the tergites

are fused. This similarity is however purely superficial. Considering the wide divergence between the two groups we must conclude that the reversed mandibles are of independent origin and that this peculiarity has developed more than once in the order Hymenoptera.

The similarity in venation between *Vanhornia* and *Helorus* is very great and in fact there is no difference except that *Helorus* has lost the upper section of the basal vein which is still present though weak in *Vanhornia*. Ashmead speaks of the basal vein as bent down to form a discoidal cell in *Helorus* but this vein is a combination of the lower section of the basal and the first section of the cubitus which form together an arc as is readily seen by comparison with *Vanhornia* or *Ropronia*. *Ropronia* was placed by Ashmead as a part of the family Heloridae and I think correctly so although Bradley has disputed this. *Ropronia* again agrees closely with both *Helorus* and *Vanhornia* in venation. Aside from the peculiarities of the head associated with the greatly enlarged mandibles, the complete reduction of the abdominal petiole and the greatly developed ovipositor, *Vanhornia* is clearly similar to *Helorus* and the Jurassic genus *Mesohelorus* and the two families must be placed near together in the group Serphoidea. Handlirsch following Viereck has placed *Vanhornia* next to the Alysidae and the strange genus *Gnathobracon*. This position appears to be clearly untenable as noted above.

#### *Family Chrysididae*

*Chrysis verticalis* Patton. One female, September 26.

*Omalus iridescens* Norton. Four specimens, July 17-26. Species of *Omalus* are parasitic upon wasps that nest in wood. *O. corruscans* has been bred from *Stigmus americanus* which is probably the host of the present specimens.

#### *Family Eumenidae*

*Odynerus cristatus* Saussure. Nine specimens, July 18-28. This is a common species nesting in burrows in wood.

*Family Crabronidæ*

*Solenius (Crabro) interruptus* Lepeletier. One specimen, July 17. Nests in wood.

*Blepharipus nigricornis* Provancher. Five specimens, doubtfully of this species, July 17-September 26. This nests in wood also.

*Family Trypoxylonidæ*

*Trypoxylon frigidum* Smith. One specimen, July 18. Nests in wood.

*Family Pemphredonidæ*

*Pemphredon tenax* Fox. Two specimens, probably this species, July 26.

*Pemphredon angularis* Fox. One specimen, September 26.

*Stigmus americanus* Packard. Two specimens, September 26.

*Passalæcus annulatus* Say. Four specimens, July 20-26. This and the preceding three species all build their nests in wood.

*Family Hylæidæ*

*Hylæus (Prosopis) modestus* Say. Two specimens, July 18-August 8.

*Family Megachilidæ*

*Megachile relativa* Cresson. One specimen July 17. This and the preceding bee nest in wood.

## DIPTERA

Sixteen species were collected, distributed in a number of families. My friend, Professor A. L. Melander, has been so good as to identify all of these except the Phoridae.

*Family Itonididæ*

One specimen, July 16. Not in good enough condition for more accurate determination, but probably a xylophagous form.

*Family Mycetophilidæ*

*Sciara* sp. One specimen, July 17. Some species of this genus are mycetophagous, developing in fleshy fungi.

*Family Chironomidæ*

*Culicoides* sp. One specimen, July 16. Accidental; this is a phlebotomic type with aquatic larva.

*Family Scatopsidæ*

*Reichertella femoralis* Meigen. One specimen, July 17.

*Family Scenopinidæ*

*Scenopinus fenestralis* Linne. Three specimens, July 20-28, September 26. This is the common "window-fly" frequently seen in houses. The larva is carnivorous and has been reared from the preparatory stages of the clothes-mot.

*Family Phoridæ*

*Aphiochæta pulicaria* Fallen. Eight specimens of both sexes, July 14-August 23.

*Aphiochæta agarici* Lintner. One female, July 17. The larvæ live in various fleshy fungi.

*Aphiochæta* sp. One female, July 28. This is probably an undescribed species with swollen, heavily chitinized proboscis.

*Aphiochæta* sp. Eight specimens, July 18-August 23. Similar to *A. iroquoiana* Malloch, but probably an undescribed species.

*Family Muscidæ*

*Muscina stabulans* Linn. Two specimens, August 8. The larvæ of this fly are predatory upon other dipterous larvæ.

*Family Trypetidæ*

*Pseudotephritis vau* Say. Two specimens, July 23 and August 23. The larvæ occur beneath bark.

*Family Oscinidæ*

*Oscinis nitidissima* Meigen. One specimen, July 17. The larvæ of *Oscinis* develop in the stalks and roots of various plants, particularly grasses.

*Family Drosophilidæ*

*Drosophila quinaria* Loew. Two specimens, July 20 and August 22. The larvæ have been found in fruit, but the species may also develop in fungi.

*Drosophila affinis* Sturtevant. One specimen, July 26. Sturtevant has bred this species from fruit and has found the adults attracted to exuding sap.

*Drosophila* sp. One specimen, July 26.

## HEMIPTERA

*Family Miridæ*

The two species were kindly identified by Professor H. H. Knight.

*Plagiognathus politus* Uhler. One specimen, July 18. This leaf-bug feeds on various plants, including apple foliage.

*Pilophorus uhleri* Knight. One specimen, July 28.

*Family Anthocoridæ*

*Xylocoris cursitans* Fall. One specimen, kindly identified by Professor H. M. Parshley.

*Family Reduviidæ*

*Reduvius personatus* Linn. One specimen, July 14. This is a predatory species, often found beneath loose bark where it feeds upon other insects.

## HOMOPTERA

*Family Aphididæ*

*Melanoxantherium medium* Baker. A few specimens of aphids were seen and one taken on July 16th proves to belong to this species which occurs on poplar.

## CONCLUSIONS.

The size of the present collection is of course very small but it allows one to draw several conclusions relating to the composition of the insect fauna that is associated with the wood of dead trees; conclusions, it may be added, which are substantiated and supplemented in detail by the vast array of accumulated literature relating to forest insects.

a) In the first place, there are many species, particularly Coleoptera and phytophagous Hymenoptera which develop directly in the wood or beneath the bark of logs.

b) Among the parasitic Hymenoptera there is a series of species which prey upon these xylophagous beetles and other Hymenoptera. Very similar to these are certain predatory forms, especially beetles which depend upon particular host species for food.

c) There are further many mycetophagous species, mainly beetles, which develop in the several types of fungi regularly associated with decaying wood. These likewise support a series of parasitic forms.

These three ethological groups we may regard as constituting a primitive fauna which has long been characteristic of wood. A small proportion occur in living trees, but such a great majority live in the wood of moribund or dead trees that we must believe the latter habitat to present the most primitive one. In the case of the mycetophagous types this is still more evident.

d) A secondary fauna includes first a number of predatory insects which are not like the parasites and predators previously referred to, associated with particular hosts, but find simply a convenient source of food supply in the xylophagous fauna.

e) Other members of the secondary fauna include various insects, such as wasps and bees that find suitable nesting sites in burrows in the wood. Although such habits are firmly fixed in one or two families, they are clearly not of primary general significance.

f) Finally, as in any biocœnose there are forms on the borderland whose occurrence is either wholly chance, or at least very occasional and due to sporadic combinations of circum-

tances that do not occur with sufficient regularity to support species unable to breed elsewhere. An example of this condition is seen in the species *Drosophila* listed above which breed under bark only where the latter is wet and fermenting due to the presence of yeasts.

Three of the more extensive orders of holometabolous insects, the Coleoptera, Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera are very generally associated with trees although by no means exclusively so. This is particularly true of the Coleoptera which is of the three without question the oldest from a geological standpoint. As was hinted at the outset it seems quite apparent that the Hymenopterous fauna gives evidence of long association with trees and as will be shown in a moment the same appears to be true of the other orders. This implies a rather lasting and unchanging environment.

Contributory to a continuity of fauna is the uniformity of conditions within the woody tissue. The material composition of the wood and bark varies only to a very limited extent and these offer the same food materials, supplied with water in far more constant proportions than food materials that are temporarily or permanently affected by drought or superabundant moisture. Aside from climatic changes and seasonal fluctuations the temperature variations of such an environment are of quite different order from those to be encountered in the course of a free and active existence in the open. In all, the conditions of life in wood are conducive to conservatism as they offer few incentives for progressive adaptations. There is no question that these facts have had a profound influence upon the rapidity of evolution of the insects concerned. Consequently, we find at the present day many primitive types persisting as relicts of an earlier xylophagous fauna still associated with woody tissue and still retaining a primitive series of parasitic enemies, at least among the Hymenoptera. With this fauna are other insects that have adopted this habitat more recently and some of these very patently represent acquisitions derived from other habitats.

These points may be readily clarified by the citation of examples taken from several orders of insects. Indeed, a very representative series might be drawn from the present small col-

lection without having recourse to other well known instances. Among the several extensive and diversified modern orders of insects, including the Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera and Diptera, all of which are traceable to an origin from an earlier Mecopteroid type the occurrence of dendrophily is well marked in several of the primitive families. Among the Trichoptera wood-boring larvæ are of rare occurrence, no doubt by reason of the fact that the developmental stages are almost without exception aquatic. However, in the other orders there are numerous and widely scattered examples of xylophagous habits, and with the exception of the Coleoptera, the wood-boring forms are mainly members of the more primitive families. A reason for the general absence of the more primitive beetles in this habitat is not far to seek since this group is the oldest of the series. It is represented by several types in the Upper Permian and in the Upper Trias had become quite prevalent, so that if the association of beetles with trees dates from this time or later there were various types of Coleoptera extant. The oldest Hymenoptera known have been found in the Upper Jurassic and are very similar to living members of the archaic family Siricidæ<sup>1</sup>. Whether the order existed in the Upper Permian appears very doubtful, as the only insects so far discovered which appear to be in any way related to the modern Hymenoptera are the order Prohomoptera found by Tillyard in the Upper Permian of Kansas. Insects supposed to be Lepidoptera have been reported from the Middle Jurassic but these have been shown without question to be Homoptera, so that no Lepidoptera are actually known earlier than the Tertiary. This is obviously due to incomplete knowledge and to judge from their food relations at the present time as outlined in the present account, it seems probable that the Lepidoptera were present in the Liassic and probably in the Triassic. This order is thus apparently older than the Hymenoptera but of more recent origin than the Coleoptera. The Diptera are well represented in the Upper Liassic,

<sup>1</sup>Since the above was written I have received a paper by Martynov (Bull. Acad. Sci. Russie, vol. 19, 1924) in which several remarkable Hymenoptera are described from Jurassic deposits in Turkestan. These include a very primitive type of sawfly, an oryssid-like genus and a member of the family Heloridae. These discoveries offer strong confirmation of the views expressed in the present paper.

but only by clearly primitive nematocerous types (except for one typical *Xylophagid*). We may therefore safely conclude that this order had its origin after the Permian in the Liassic.

With these facts in mind, as gleaned from the paleontological data so far accumulated, we may inquire more in detail concerning the occurrence and distribution of xylophagous habits in these several orders.

In the *Lepidoptera* there are several very generalized families which form the suborder *Homoneura*. Of these the small but widely distributed family *Hepialidæ* includes large moths most of which develop in burrows that they excavate in wood, while others are subterranean and feed upon the roots of trees. Although not entirely lignivorous, it is interesting to note that the most primitive of all families belonging to the *Homoneura*, the *Micropterygidæ* includes species that mine in the leaves of trees as well as forms that feed upon more primitive plants such as mosses and liverworts.

Another primitive family, the *Cossidæ*, includes large moths which are xylophagous as larvæ, and as typified by members like the Leopard Moth (*Zeuzera*) and Carpenter Moth (*Prionoxystus*) are notoriously destructive to certain deciduous trees. Likewise the related family *Sesiidæ* (*Aegeriidæ*) restrict their diet to the wood of trees except in rare instances where a few species have become secondarily associated with herbaceous plants. These several families are all internal feeders and true wood-borers, but even in the case of one family which feeds on foliage, the leaf-rolling *Tortricidæ*, the selection of trees rather than herbaceous plants is very marked. Another series which exhibits the same predilection for trees is the enormous family *Geometridæ*. These are however not so important from the present standpoint, for although quite generalized they are by no means so primitive as the other families mentioned.

Among the *Hymenoptera* the case is still clearer for the most primitive groups not only of phytophagous types but of parasitic ones as well are definitely associated with the woody flora. There is some ground for a difference of opinion in selecting the most primitive living type of *Hymenoptera* and also in indicating the probably phylogeny of the lower groups. On the

basis of wing venation and many anatomical structures it seems probable that the families Xyelidæ and Pamphiliidæ represent the most primitive type related to forms from which the present-day Sawflies (*Tenthredinidæ et al.*) have been derived. They as well as the sawflies are typically associated with trees although they are leaf-feeders and not xylophagous. From them also probably have come the wood-wasps, typified by the very generalized Xiphydriidæ and the Siricidæ. From a paleontological standpoint the Siricidæ might appear to be the oldest Hymenoptera as the only clearly preserved members of the order known before the Tertiary are some upper Jurassic Siricidæ that occur in the Solenhofen lithographic stone.<sup>1</sup> This is very scant evidence, however, and indicates only a lack of knowledge as the early Tertiary, especially the Lower Oligocene sees the Hymenoptera as diversified and almost as modern in type as at the present day. Nevertheless, the Siricidæ clearly represent the remnants or offshoot of a group which gave rise to an important and extensive series, the Parasitic Hymenoptera, which include a considerable number of families and a vast number of genera and species. The parasitic habit is first manifest in the entomophagous Oryssidæ and in them we find still an association with wood boring insects, as *Oryssus* is known regularly to live in wood and has recently been found to be an ectoparasite of beetles belonging to the family Buprestidæ. The Oryssidæ have been considered as forming an independent superfamily, but most hymenopterists agree in associating them with the Siricidæ. There can be no reasonable doubt that they have arisen from the siricoid stem, as well as that they represent the most primitive parasitic group in the order. Among the other parasitic Hymenoptera, the Aulacidæ (exclusive of *Evania* and its allies) show a number of similarities to the Oryssidæ of such nature that we must consider them related, and as the Aulacidæ are the most primitive of the Ichneumonoidea we find this vast group clearly brought forth by xylophagous ancestors. The only other group of Ichneumon-flies that retains the costal cell which disappears in the higher forms, is the Stephanidæ. Little is known of their habits, but their association with trees leaves

<sup>1</sup>See footnote on page 87.

little doubt that they are parasites of wood-boring insects. The same is true of the peculiar family Megalyridæ known only from Australia and South America and representing an archaic type most likely another derivative of the Siricoids. Among the two dominant present day families of ichneumon-flies, the Ichneumonidæ and Braconidæ we find the more primitive smaller groups of each to be parasites of wood-boring insects, e. g. the *Rhyssini* of the former family and the Spathiinæ, Hormiinæ and Helconinæ of the latter. Several other families have similar habits but these are much more highly specialized types, except perhaps the remarkable genus *Ibalia*. This is usually considered to be an aberrant Cynipid but it shows affinities with the Siricidæ, upon which it is parasitic, and is without question a relict of some primitive group.

From the foregoing it is evident that the association of the orders Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera with trees, especially with the wood of the latter is clearly marked in the most primitive families, in fact we may be almost satisfied without further evidence that these two large and diversified orders arose as lignivorous forms. This can hardly be true of their earlier ancestral type, the Mecoptera, nor of the more primitive Mecopteroid derivatives, the Trichoptera. This forms strong contributory evidence that the Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera had an origin coincident with that of the modern ligneous flora. The origin of the Coleoptera at an earlier time before this flora had developed resulted not in an association of the more primitive adepagous beetles with trees, but in the appearance of the lignivorous habit in some of the most highly specialized Coleoptera such as the Cerambycidæ and Ipidæ (Scolytidæ). Some other families like the Buprestidæ have similar habits, but they represent by no means the most generalized members of the order. With the Diptera the rather sparse occurrence of wood boring forms offers little suggestion that the earlier types may have been associated with trees. Obviously the degenerate mouthparts of the larval dipteran are not well suited to chewing wood, even in the more primitive nematoceros forms and only occasional types have become dependent upon the woody flora.



**Hindawi**

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

