

Research Article

COVID-19-Associated Thrombotic Complication: Is It Pulmonary Embolism or In Situ Thrombosis?

Rashid AL Umairi ^[],¹ Khadija AL Adawi,¹ Maryam AL Khoori ^[],¹ Ahmed AL Lawati,¹ and Sachin Jose²

¹Radiology Department, The Royal Hospital, Muscat, Oman ²Medical Specialty Board, Muscat, Oman

Correspondence should be addressed to Rashid AL Umairi; alumairi1@yahoo.com

Received 15 August 2022; Revised 4 June 2023; Accepted 8 June 2023; Published 3 July 2023

Academic Editor: Lorenzo Faggioni

Copyright © 2023 Rashid AL Umairi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objectives. Acute pulmonary embolism is a protentional fatal complication of COVID-19. The aim of this study is to investigate whether pulmonary embolism is due to thrombus migration from the venous circulation to the pulmonary arteries or due to local thrombus formation secondary to local inflammation. This was determined by looking at the distribution of pulmonary embolism in relation to lung parenchymal changes in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Methods.* Retrospectively, we identified pulmonary computed tomography angiography (CTPA) of patients admitted to the Royal Hospital between November 1st, 2020, and October 31, 2021, with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19. The CTPAs were examined for the presence of pulmonary embolism and the distribution of the pulmonary embolism in relation with lung parenchymal changes. *Results.* A total of 215 patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia had CTPA. Out of them, 64 patients had pulmonary embolisms (45 men and 19 women; mean age: 58.4 years with a range of 36–98 years). The prevalence of pulmonary embolism (PE) was 29.8% (64/215). Pulmonary embolism was more frequently seen in the lower lobes. 51 patients had PE within the diseased lung parenchyma and 13 patients had PE within normal lung parenchyma. *Conclusion.* The strong association between pulmonary artery embolism and lung parenchymal changes in patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia.

1. Introduction

Thrombotic complications including disseminated intravascular coagulation, deep vein thrombosis, and pulmonary embolisms are common complications among patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia [1–3]. However, the pathophysiology behind the increased incidence of thrombotic complications among patients with COVID-19 pneumonia is unclear. It has been suggested that the endothelial inflammation and damage caused by COVID-19 infection can lead to local thrombus formation mediated by the activation of complement pathways and associated procoagulant state [4]. This would mean that pulmonary embolism is formed locally in the lung parenchyma in patients affected with COVID-19 pneumonia. As per the author's knowledge, only one study with a very limited number of patients has looked at the distribution of PE in relation with the lung parenchymal changes [5]. Therefore, the aim of our study was to investigate if pulmonary embolism is due to thrombus migration from the venous circulation to the pulmonary arteries or due to local thrombus formation secondary to local inflammation.

2. Methods

We conducted a retrospective study to identify all computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) scans performed for patients admitted to our tertiary hospital with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 infection, between November 1st, 2020, and October 31, 2021.

The diagnosis of COVID-19 was based on a laboratoryconfirmed positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 obtained from the nasopharynx and oropharynx of all patients. Medical records of included patients were reviewed and demographic characteristics, vital signs, presenting symptoms, comorbidities, and D-dimer were documented.

The computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) was performed using a dual source 256 slice (2×128) scanner (SOMATOM Definition Flash, Siemens AG), with a rotation time of 280 minutes. All scans started with a tomogram followed by a contrast-enhanced scan of the pulmonary arteries with a slice thickness of 1 mm which was acquired during breath holding after inspiration or free breathing if the patient was not able to follow the breathing instruction. CTPAs were initially reported by the attending radiologist for the presence of pulmonary embolism (PE). Then, they were again analyzed by 4 board-certified radiologists for the distribution of PEs in relation with lung parenchymal changes. All CTPAs were reviewed using a dedicated radiology PACS system. Lungs were divided into 18 segments and each segment was evaluated for parenchymal changes and for the presence of pulmonary embolism. Pulmonary embolism was called in situ if it was within a segment with lung changes, and not in situ if the segment had no parenchymal changes.

The ethical approval was obtained from the scientific research committee at our institute. Informed consents were waived.

3. Statistics

Continuous variables were presented as mean, median, and standard deviation whereas, categorical variables were presented as frequency and percentage. The comparison of means between the two groups was assessed using the independent samples *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney *U* test, as appropriate. The association between two categorical variables was assessed using an appropriate chi-square test (likelihood ratio test or Fisher's exact test). A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analysis was carried out using the IBM SPSS statistics version 26.0.

4. Results

Over a one-year period, we identified 215 patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia and had CTPA. Out of the 215 patients, 64 (29.8%, 95% CI = 23.7%-36.4%) of them had positive CTPA for pulmonary embolism (45 men and 19 women; mean age, 58.4 years with range 36–98 years) and they were included in the statistical analysis. The demographical and clinical features of the included patients are summarized in Table 1.

The analysis of the distribution of pulmonary embolism on the lung lobe level showed that 51 (79.7%) patients had PE within the diseased lung parenchyma (in situ) and 13(20.3%) patients had PE within the normal lung parenchyma (not in situ) (Table 1). There was a predilection for right lower lobe involvement (71.9%) followed by left lower lobe (59.4%) with a statistically significant difference (Tables 2–4).

5. Discussion

Coagulopathy, including pulmonary embolism (PE), is a common complication among patients admitted with COVID-19 infection, and it is usually associated with a poor prognosis [1, 6]. In this study, the prevalence of PE among the patients admitted with COVID-19 infection who had PCTA was 29.8%. In the literature, the incidence of PE among patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia is variable. In a systematic review and meta-analysis by Liu et al. [7], the reported overall incidence of PE was 17.6% (95% CI: 12.3%–23.5%) and 21.7% (95% CI: 14.8%–29.3%) in the patients with a severe disease.

The exact mechanism behind the increased thrombotic complications among patients with COVID-19 infection is not clear. However, there are several potential mechanisms that might be responsible for promoting the risk of coagulopathy such as the severe inflammatory response and disseminated intravascular coagulation [8], blood vessel endothelial damage caused directly by the virus and the associated local inflammatory process [9], drug-drug reactions, and the limited mobility among patients with COVID-19 pneumonia admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) [8].

The standard management of patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia and those who were found to have PE is therapeutic doses of anticoagulation. Different agents can be used including unfractionated heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), and direct oral anticoagulant [8]. If we consider that platelets play an important role in local pulmonary thrombosis compared with pulmonary embolism, then it might be necessary to treat these patients with antiplatelet agents along with anticoagulation agents. The latter works mainly on pulmonary embolism, whereas antiplatelet agents, such as aspirin and clopidogrel, block antiplatelet activation [10-12]. The hypothesis of our study is that PE is due to local clot formation secondary to local inflammation and damage caused directly by the virus. Mueller-Peltzer et al. have shown that PE is more frequently encountered in the opacified regions of the lungs and concluded that this might be due to a local clot formation [5]. However, the population in their study was small. In our study, we included 215 patients admitted at our institute with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia between November 1st, 2020, and October 31, 2021, and out of them 64 patients had PE, 23.7% of patients had PE within the diseased lung parenchyma (in situ), and 6% of patients had PE within the normal lung parenchyma (not in situ). This shows a strong association between PE and lung parenchymal changes suggesting that PE is locally formed. Local thrombosis in the pulmonary artery is likely due to the strong inflammatory process that results in pulmonary artery endothelial damage. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 might activate the coagulation pathway by binding the ACE-2 receptor of type II pneumocytes and then dysregulating the kallikrein/kinin system [13]. If COVID-19 is associated with local thrombus formation rather than PE, then the management of coagulopathy in patients with COVID-19 infection might need to be adjusted by adding antiplatelets

TABLE 1: Clinical features of patients with pulmonary embolism (PE) in situ and PE not in situ.

Variable	PE in situ $(n=51)$ n (%)	PE not in situ $(n = 13)$ n (%)	P value
Sex			
Male	32 (64.0)	12 (92.3)	0.000
Female	18 (36.0)	1 (7.7)	0.086
Age,	50 50 + 10 50	55.0 + 14.00	0.045
mean ± SD	58.70 ± 12.73	55.0 ± 14.38	0.367
Distribution			
Central	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	
Peripheral	35 (68.6)	9 (69.2)	0.794
Both	15 (29.4)	4 (30.8)	
COVID-19		(1111)	
Active			
COVID-19	41 (80.4)	9 (69.2)	
Post			0.457
COVID-19	10 (19.6)	4 (30.8)	
Superadded			
bacterial	5 (9.8)	0 (0)	0.574
infection	5 (5.6)	0 (0)	0.071
Thrombosis			
elsewhere	2 (3.9)	2 (15.4)	0.181
Fever	18 (35.3)	4 (30.8)	1.000
Runny nose	2 (3.9)	4 (30.8) 1 (7.7)	0.500
Cough	21 (41.2)	4 (30.8)	0.544
SOB	35 (68.6)	12 (92.3)	0.157
Headache	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
Vomiting	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
Muscle ache/	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
body ache	4 (7.8)	1 (7.7)	1.000
Malaise	2 (3.9)	1 (7.7)	0.500
Lethargy	3 (5.9)	0 (0)	1.000
Pharyngeal	5 (3.9)	0 (0)	1.000
discomfort/	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
pain	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
Chest pain	3 (5.9)	2 (15.4)	0.266
Pleuritic chest	5 (5.9)	2 (13.4)	0.200
	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
pain Abdominal			
Abdominal	1 (2.0)	1 (7.7)	0.368
pain Diamh an	1 (2.0)	1(77)	0.260
Diarrhea	1(2.0)	1(7.7)	0.368
Hemoptysis	1(2.0)	1 (7.7)	0.368
SYNCOPE	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
Anorexia eGFR	2 (3.9)	0 (0)	1.000
Normal	2E(E4.2)	9((1 E))	
	25 (54.3)	8 (61.5)	0.757
Abnormal	21 (45.7)	5 (38.5)	
CRP	((20, 0))		
Normal	6 (20.0)	3 (37.5)	0.363
Abnormal	24 (80.0)	5 (62.5)	
D-dimer	1 (= -)		
Normal	1 (7.1)	2 (33.3)	0.202
Abnormal	13 (92.9)	4 (66.7)	
Platelets			
Normal	33 (71.7)	10 (83.3)	0.712
Abnormal	13 (28.3)	2 (16.7)	
DM	22 (43.1)	3 (23.1)	0.220
IHD	7 (13.7)	0 (0)	0.328
DLP	7 (13.7)	2 (15.4)	1.000
CKD	6 (11.8)	1 (7.7)	1.000
Obesity	2 (3.9)	2 (15.4)	0.181
Cirrhosis	4 (7.8)	0 (0)	0.574

TABLE 1: Continued.

Variable	PE in situ $(n=51)$ n (%)	PE not in situ $(n=13)$ n (%)	<i>P</i> value
-	n(70)	n (70)	value
Asthma/ILD/			
COPD/	2 (3.9)	1 (7.7)	0.500
bronchiectasis			
Smoking	4 (7.8)	0 (0)	0.574
HTN	16 (31.4)	3 (23.1)	0.739
Cancer	1 (2.0)	0 (0)	1.000
ICU	7 (13.7)	2 (15.4)	1.000
Intubation	5 (9.8)	2 (15.4)	0.623
Expired	18 (35.3)	3 (23.1)	0.518

TABLE 2: Distribution of pulmonary embolism (PE).

	PE in situ $(n = 51)$
Variable	n (%)
RUL-apical	11 (17.2)
RUL-anterior	15 (23.4)
RUL-posterior	11 (17.2)
RML-medial	10 (15.6)
RML-lateral	11 (17.2)
RLL-superior	17 (26.6)
RLL-medial	13 (20.3)
RLL-lateral	22 (34.4)
RLL-posterior	34 (53.1)
RLL-anterior	10 (15.6)
LUL-apicoposterior	8 (12.5)
LUL-anterior	7 (10.9)
Lingula-superior	6 (9.4)
Lingula-inferior	6 (9.4)
LLL-superior	7 (10.9)
LLL-lateral	11 (17.2)
LLL-anteromedial	12 (18.8)
LLL-posterior	17 (26.6)

TABLE 3: Distribution of pulmonary embolism (PE) by lung lobes.

Lung lobes	Number of pulmonary embolism (PE)	
RUL	77	
RML	36	
RLL	150	
LUL	36	
Lingula	21	
LLL	77	

TABLE 4: Association between pulmonary embolism (PE) and lung lobes.

Pulmonary embolism (PE)				
Lung lobes	No	Yes	P value	
	n (%)	n (%)		
RUL	31 (48.4)	33 (51.6)	<0.001	
RML	39 (60.9)	25 (39.1)		
RLL	18 (28.1)	46 (71.9)		
LUL	41 (64.1)	23 (35.9)		
Lingula	50 (78.1)	14 (21.9)		
LLL	26 (40.6)	38 (59.4)		

along with LMWH. In addition, the commonly used Wells pretest probability score may not be valid since it depends on the presence/absence of clinical signs of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) [14], which would not be relevant in local pulmonary artery thrombosis.

6. Limitation

This study has a few limitations. First, this study is a retrospective study with a relatively small sample size, and still, data need to be confirmed in a larger population to prove a local clot formation. Second, most of our patients were ventilated and this can result in a motion artifact during the scan that limits the assessment of the pulmonary arteries. Third, we cannot exclude deep vein thrombosis in our population as Doppler ultrasound of the lower limbs was not routinely performed.

7. Conclusion

Pulmonary embolism is a relatively common complication among patients admitted with COVID-19 pneumonia. A high frequency of PE in the diseased lungs might suggest that PE in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia is due to local thrombus formation. This requires specific assessment and an appropriate therapeutic response.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- S. Cui, S. Chen, X. Li, S. Liu, and F. Wang, "Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1421–1424, 2020.
- [2] J. Helms, C. Tacquard, F. Severac et al., "High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study," *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1089–1098, 2020.
- [3] J. Henrina, I. C. Santosa Putra, I. Cahyadi et al., "Clinical characteristics and outcomes of venous thromboembolism in patients hospitalized for COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis," *Thrombosis Update*, vol. 2, Article ID 100037, 2021.
- [4] Z. Varga, A. J. Flammer, P. Steiger et al., "Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19," *The Lancet*, vol. 395, no. 10234, pp. 1417-1418, 2020.
- [5] K. Mueller-Peltzer, T. Krauss, M. Benndorf et al., "Pulmonary artery thrombi are co-located with opacifications in SARS-CoV2 induced ARDS," *Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 172, Article ID 106135, 2020.
- [6] L. W. Mui, J. F. Lau, and H. K. Lee, "Thromboembolic complications of COVID-19," *Emergency Radiology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 423–429, 2021.

- [7] Y. Liu, J. Cai, C. Wang, J. Jin, and L. Qu, "A systematic review and meta-analysis of incidence, prognosis, and laboratory indicators of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019," *Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1099–1111.e6, 2021.
- [8] B. Bikdeli, M. V. Madhavan, D. Jimenez et al., "COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up," *Journal* of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 75, no. 23, pp. 2950–2973, 2020.
- [9] F. Scudiero and G. Parodi, "Dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute coronary syndrome during the coronavirus disease of 2019 pandemia: the right choice at the right time," *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 535– 537, 2020.
- [10] K. Matli, R. Farah, M. Maalouf, N. Chamoun, C. Costanian, and G. Ghanem, "Role of combining anticoagulant and antiplatelet agents in COVID-19 treatment: a rapid review," *Open Heart*, vol. 8, no. 1, Article ID e001628, 2021.
- [11] K. Matli, N. Chamoun, A. Fares et al., "Combined anticoagulant and antiplatelet therapy is associated with an improved outcome in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a propensity matched cohort study," *Open heart*, vol. 8, no. 2, Article ID e001785, 2021.
- [12] J. W. Eikelboom, J. Hirsh, F. A. Spencer, T. P. Baglin, and J. I. Weitz, "Antiplatelet drugs: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American college of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines," *Chest*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. e89S-e119S, 2012.
- [13] C. van Nieuwkoop, "COVID-19 associated pulmonary thrombosis," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 191, p. 151, 2020.
- [14] M. L. Posadas-Martínez, F. J. Vázquez, D. H. Giunta, G. D. Waisman, F. G. de Quirós, and E. Gándara, "Performance of the Wells score in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism during hospitalization: a delayed-type cross sectional study in a community hospital," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. 177–181, 2014.