

Review Article

Use of Multiple Doses of Intravenous Infusion of Umbilical Cord-Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of Adult Patients with Severe COVID-19-Related Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Literature Review

Po-Ren Hsueh,^{1,2,3} Sung-Jung Ho,⁴ Po-Chuen Hsieh,⁵ I-Min Liu,⁵ and Shio-Shin Jean ^{(b),6}

¹Departments of Laboratory Medicine and Internal Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

²School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

³Departments of Laboratory Medicine and Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital,

National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan

⁴Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Min-Sheng General Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

⁵Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy and Health Care, Tajen University, Pingtung, Taiwan

⁶Departments of Internal Medicine and Critical Care Medicine, Min-Sheng General Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

Correspondence should be addressed to Shio-Shin Jean; jeanshioshin168@gmail.com

Received 19 November 2022; Revised 4 August 2023; Accepted 9 August 2023; Published 18 August 2023

Academic Editor: Philippe Bourin

Copyright © 2023 Po-Ren Hsueh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objectives. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a critical complication in severe COVID-19 patients. The intravenous infusion (IVF) of umbilical cord- (UC-) mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), validated to substantially reduce the release of several inflammatory cytokines in vivo, was also shown to exhibit benefits in improving hypoxemia among severe COVID-19 patients. A single dose of IVF-UC-MSCs therapy for severe COVID-19 patients was shown to alleviate the initial ARDS severity, but have 50%-67% case-fatality rates. In Taiwan, few adult patients with severe COVID-19-induced ARDS receiving compassionate adjuvant treatment consisting of either a single dose $(1-10 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg body weight (kg BW))}$ or three doses $(5 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg})$ BW in each dose) of IVF-UC-MSCs had good outcomes. However, the optimal dosage and rounds of IVF-UC-MSCs administration for the treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS are undetermined. Methods. We reviewed the 2020–2022 PubMed literature database concerning the clinical efficacy of IVF-UC-MSCs among severe COVID-19 patients. Results. The data of COVID-19 case series in the PubMed literature revealed a notable heterogeneity in the therapeutic dosage (a single dose: $1-10 \times 10^6$ cells/kg BW; and three doses: $50-200 \times 10^6$ cells/kg BW in each dose) and the post-ARDS days of IVF-UC-MSCs administration (a single dose: 1-12; and multiple doses: 5-14) for the treatment of severe COVID-19-associated ARDS. The survival rates among these severe COVID-19 patients ranged from 50% to 76%. However, an overall rate of 93.1% of significant improvement in hypoxemia was observed for the COVID-19 survivors receiving IVF-UC-MSCs at the initial ARDS stage. Conclusions. According to our analysis, the ideal treatment dosage of IVF-UC-MSCs for severe COVID-19-induced ARDS is likely 5×10^6 cells/kg BW for three cycles within 5 days of ARDS onset in severe COVID-19 patients.

1. Introduction

Severe respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the main virus responsible for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has infected more than 760 million people around the world as of April 2023 and has also resulted in overwhelming morbidity, with more than 6 million fatalities worldwide. According to the brief review addressed by Tzotzos et al. [1] acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) developed in 47%–100% of the COVID-19 patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit (ICU), and mortality rates reportedly ranged from 13% to 89% among COVID-19 patients with ARDS. To effectively manage ARDS-related

hypoxemia in severe COVID-19 patients, all ICU physicians adopt aggressive strategies of the respiratory support (using ventilator mostly, or high-flow nasal canula that generates positive airway pressure instead) [2, 3]. Although prescription of dexamethasone in combination with tocilizumab [4, 5] and/or baricitinib [6] have been formally recommended to improve the survival of patients with severe COVID-19, related lung inflammation with subsequent dysfunction likely persists.

Russell et al. [7] and Biswas et al. [8] observed that COVID-19 patients with old age (age \geq 50 years old) [7], or with a single or multiple comorbidities (including morbid obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic pulmonary disease adversely affecting respiration, cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disorder, chronic human immunodeficiency virus infection with receipt of antiretroviral agent therapy, malignancy, or immunocompromised diseases related to use of various immunosuppressants etc.) had significantly increased risk of mortality [7, 8]. In-hospital complications associated with vital organ support and organ function monitor (e.g., therapy of dexamethasone, and tocilizumab/baricitinib for alleviating lung inflammation and cytokine storm, and insertions of intravenous and/or foley catheters etc.) and prolonged critical illness (e.g., corticosteroid and/or neuromuscular antagonist-related neuropathy or myopathy, or immunosuppression with subsequently secondary infections, such as hospital-acquired pneumonia, catheter-associated bacteremia or candidemia, and foley catheter-associated urinary tract infection etc.) usually develop among severe COVID-19 patients who require prolonged invasive ventilation [7, 9]. These problems, in spite of not being unique to COVID-19 patients, are also considered the likely mechanisms thereby significantly increasing the mortality due to the reduction of tolerance of injury in these critically ill patients [7].

Disappointing outcomes have been shown regarding the therapeutic effect of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for the treatment of influenza pneumonitis [10]. Nevertheless, fetallike MSCs have been shown to inhibit the activation of M1type macrophages, as well as elicitation of M2 anti-inflammatory polarization via tumor necrosis factor- (TNF-) α -mediated activation of cyclooxygenase-2, and TNF-stimulated gene-6 [11]. An adjuvant treatment using a single dose of intravenous infusion (IVF) of the umbilical cord- (UC-) derived MSCs (UC-MSCs, ranging from 1×10^6 to 10×10^6 cells/kg body weight (kg BW)) was compassionately prescribed to alleviate ARDS seriousness in severe adult COVID-19 patients since 2020, with survival rates reportedly ranging from 50% to 66.7%, while not having any significant adverse effects [12–14]. Despite these results, the clinical effect of multiple-dose IVF-UC-MSCs for the treatment of severe ARDS caused by COVID-19 is undetermined. In 2020, a study conducted by Yip et al. [14] who investigated the efficacy of a single dose of IVF-UC-MSCs at dosages of $1-10 \times 10^6$ cells/kg BW for the treatment of nine severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS showed a good result concerning pulmonary function improvement at the initial ARDS stage (see the following text and Table 1).

In 2021, we observed two severe COVID-19 patients (one was a 42-year-old female nurse with morbid obesity (body mass index of 46.7 kg/m²), and the other was a 73-year-old male patient with poorly controlled DM) with severe ARDS who received the compassionately salvage IVF-UC-MSCs therapy consisting of multiple doses (four and six cycles since ARDS day (D) 5 (D5), respectively; each MSCs cycle contained 5×10^6 cells/kg BW, kindly provided by the BIONET Corp., Taipei, Taiwan) had the results of successful extubation and survival discharge outcomes (Table 1). Based on the aforementioned experience, we reviewed the relevant PubMed literature database to explore the clinical efficacy of a single-dose or multiple-dose IVF-UC-MSCs for the treatment of COVID-19-induced ARDS among severe COVID-19 patients who received respiratory support of ventilator.

2. Materials and Methods

We searched and reviewed the literature from the 2020–2022 PubMed database containing important keywords, including "severe COVID-19," "ARDS," "ICU," "ventilator," "intravenous administration," "mesenchymal stromal/stem cells," "arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂)," "fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂)," "pulmonary/lung function," "survival rates," "mortality rates" etc.

3. Results

In the review of the PubMed database focusing on the studies investigating the clinical efficacy of multiple-dose IVF-UC-MSCs for the treatment of adult patients with COVID-19induced ARDS, we found that there were only few surveys recording the detailed data with respect to pulmonary function and the ratios of PaO₂ to FiO₂ from the ventilator in severe COVID-19 patients receiving ventilator support. Table 1 summarizes the PubMed literature database regarding the demographic characteristics, various IVF doses of UC-MSCs (predominant adjunctive therapy), and treatment outcomes for adult patients with COVID-19-induced ARDS who received ventilator support in different COVID-19 case series [12–16] with detailed data of PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios and the present series.

The Dilogo et al. [12] series enrolling 20 severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS who received therapy of a single IVF-UC-MSCs dose with a dosage of 1.0×10^6 kg BW on an average of post-ARDS 6.5 days showed a case-fatality rate of 50% (10/20), significantly lower than that of those receiving standard care of treatment (80%; P = 0.047, using the χ^2 test). Although the differences in the lengths of ICU stay and ventilator usage were not statistically significant between two groups, a rapid improvement in PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios was seen among all 10 COVID-19 survivors receiving treatment of a single IVF-UC-MSCs dose (Table 1) [12]. Similar scenarios (also using a single IVF-UC-MSCs dose at the dosage of 1.0×10^6 kg BW) were noted in the Iglesias et al. [13] case series (comprising five COVID-19 patients with severe ARDS, with an in-hospital case-fatality rate of 40% (2/5),

TABLE 1: Comp. cells (MSCs), ar COVID-19 case	arison of the demographic id treatment outcomes amo series with detailed data i	characteristics, ong adult patien regarding ratios	therapeutic doses of intrave its with COVID-19-induced of partial pressure in arteri	enous infusion (IVF) of predominan acute-onset respiratory distress synd ial blood oxygen to fraction of inspi	tly umbilical cord (UC) o lrome (ARDS) who receiv red oxygen from the vent	or placenta-harv ed ventilatory s ilator.	ested mesenchymal stem upport in different severe
References	Single vs. multiple doses of UC-MSCs (number)	Case number (male:female)	Percentage with comorbid- ities	Dose(s) of UC-MSC cells (case number(s), and administration days after the onset of ARDS)	Ventilator days	Survival rates (%)	Percentage of cases who had a significant improvement in ARDS within 7 days among COVID-19 survivors
Dilogo et al. [12]	Single	20 (15:5)	100 (DM, HTN, CKD, CAD, CHF, TB, PPU, obesity, AMI, et al.)	1.0×10 ⁶ kg BW (20; an average of 6.5 days)	15.69 ± 10.37	(In hospital) 50 (10/20)	100 (10/10)
Iglesias et al. [13]	Single	5 (4:1)	100 (Obesity, DM, hypothyroidism, HTN, lung fibrosis	1.0×10 ⁶ kg BW (5; D1–D3 after ARDS onset)	1–17	(In hospital) 60 (3/5)	75 (3/4 ^a)
Yip et al. [14]	Single	9 (7:2)	100 (DM, dyslipidemia, CKD, HTN, recipient of H/D for AKI, liver cirrhosis)	1.0×10 ⁶ kg BW (3; 5–12) 5.0×10 ⁶ kg BW (3; 5–7) 10×10 ⁶ kg BW (3; all were 5) (an average of 6.4 days for overall nine patients)	6–15 15–44 0–8 (an average of 15.4 (±12.9) days)	(In hospital) 66.7 (2/3) 33.3 (1/3) 100 (3/3) (overall 66.7 (6/9))	83.3 (5/6)
Hashemian et al. [15]	Multiple (3 doses, given every other day)	11 (8:3)	54.5 (DM, HTN, CLL, CMP)	200 × 10 ⁶ in each infusion (6 received UC-MSCs, while 5 received placental MSCs; at medians of D5, D7, and D9, respectively, after ARDS onset)	An average of 3, among 7 patients who had initial improvement	(D60) 54.5 (6/11)	$100 (8/8^{b})$
Liang et al. [16]	Multiple (3 doses, 72 hr apart)	$1 \ (0:1)$	0	50×10 ⁶ in each infusion (1; D8, D11, and D14, respectively, after onset of ARDS)	12	(D30) 100 (1/1)	100 (1/1)
Jean et al. (authors' series)	Multiple (4–6 doses)	2 (1:1)	100 ((Case 1) Morbid obesity, and (case 2) DM, respectively)	5 × 10 ⁶ kg BW in each infusion (2; for case 1, 4 doses: on D4, D10, D15, and D18; for case 2, 6 doses: on D5, D8, D13, D16, D20, and D23, respectively, after ARDS onset)	28 and 46, respectively	(In hospital) 100 (2/2)	100 (2/2)
UC-MSCs, umbi hemodialysis; AK infarction; D, day due to bacterial p: dysfunction was i on ICU admissioi	lical cord of mesenchymal str I, acute kidney injury; BW, boc s; Afib, atrial fibrillation; CVA, neumonia and hepatic failure v nitially noticed, but this patien a Day 5, had a similar clinical	ern cells; M : F, m ily weight; CAD, cd cerebrovascular a with active bleedir t died due to sudd I scenario.	ale : female; ARDS, acute-onset oronary artery disease; CHF, con tccident; CLL, chronic lymphocy ig. ^b One patient received the firs len-onset cardiac arrest (unrelat	t respiratory distress syndrome, DM, di ngestive heart failure; TB, <i>Mycobacterium</i> ytic lymphoma; CMP, cardiomyopathy; N st dose of IVF-MSCs on Day 11 of admiss ted to MSC infusion) 4 days after this IVF	abetes mellitus; HTN, hyper <i>tuberculosis</i> infection; PPU, I AA, not applicable. ^a One patie ion of intensive care unit (IC ?-MSCs dosing. The other pa	tension; CKD, cl perforated peptic perforated on Day 1: U). A definite im tient, who receive	rronic kidney disease; H/D, llcer, AMI, acute myocardial after IV UC-MSCs infusion provement in the pulmonary d the first dose of IVF-MSCs

as well as the rate of initial improvement in PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios of 75% (3/4)) (Table 1). Additionally, a study conducted by Yip et al. [14] compared the difference of efficacy between different single IVF-UC-MSCs dosages $[1 \times 10^{6} \text{ cells/kg BW}]$ (n=3), 5×10^6 cells/kg BW (n=3), and 10×10^6 cells/kg BW (n = 3)] for the treatment of nine severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS. A single IVF-UC-MSCs dose at the dosage of 10×10^6 cells/kg BW was shown to exhibit the best survival rate (100% (3/3)) among three dosages. Of note, the three MSCs dosages $(1-10 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg BW})$ resulted in an overall rate of 83.3% (5/6) in lung function improvement among six severe COVID-19 survivors [14]. Furthermore, the Hashemian et al. [15] case series enrolling 11 severe COVID-19 patients who received a three-cycle therapy consisting of 200×10^{6} cells/kg BW either IVF-UC- or IVF-placenta-MSCs in each round every other day for alleviating ARDS severity showed an excellent improvement in respiratory function among survivors [100% (8/8)] at the initial treatment stage. However, the D60 survival rate of this series was 54.5% (6/11) [15]. In contrast, Liang et al. [16] also reported a severe COVID-19 case receiving three IVF cycles of 50×10^6 cells/kg BW UC-MSCs every 3 days since ARDS D8 had an improved lung function and a final survival outcome.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first review focusing on a full exploration of the efficacy of multiple-dose adjuvant IVF-UC- or placenta-MSCs for treating severe COVID-19induced ARDS in detail. According to the investigation regarding the biodistribution of IVF-MSCs, the duration of a majority (80%-90%) of IVF-MSCs lodging in the pulmonary vascular bed after administration is short (no more than 48 hr) [17]. Because of a short half-life for IV formulation of MSCs, the receipt frequencies of IVF-UC-MSCs therapy were individually adjusted by us according to the improvement degrees in PaO_2/FiO_2 ratios for the two patients at that time. In significant contrast with the Hashemian et al. [15] and the case reported by Liang et al. [16], the two patients cared by us got gradual improvement after the receipt of a prominently lower dosage $(5 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg BW in each infu$ sion) within no more than 5 days of the onset of ARDS [on D4, D10, D15, as well as D18, respectively, for the morbid obesity patient (Table 1); and on D5, D8, D13, D16, D20, as well as D23, respectively, for the diabetic patient (Table 1), not shown in the text]. Despite a considerably lower dosage of MSCs in each cycle prescribed for treatment of these two patients compared to the other two series [15, 16], both two patients finally discharged without sequelae.

A double-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted by Monsel et al. [18] who enrolled 21 patients with COVID-19-induced ARDS predominantly receiving three doses of IVF-UC-MSCs (1×10^6 cells/kg BW every other day) showed comparable D28 survival rates (76.2% (16/21) vs. 80% (20/25), P = 0.76), but no significant improvement in the change of PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios when compared to the placebo group (median, 54.3 vs. 25.3 on D7; P = 0.77 as evaluated using analysis of covariance). However, this RCT study did not address the accurate PaO₂/FiO₂ data of the patients enrolled in this study [18]. In contrast, an RCT conducted by Lanzoni et al. [19] demonstrated the significant superiority of two adjuvant cycles of IVF-UC-MSCs (100×10^6 cells in each administration, with 72 hr apart) to standard therapy for the treatment of COVID-19-associated ARDS, with mortality rates of 16.7% vs. 58.3% (P = 0.035, using the chi-square test) [19]. It is noteworthy that the total dosages of UC-MSCs (5×10^6 cells/kg BW in each infusion; in total of $20-30 \times 10^6$ cells/kg BW) prescribed for the treatment of severe ARDS in two severe COVID-19 patients reported by us were higher than those employed in the two aforementioned series [18, 19].

A review of the PubMed database reveals that a high percentage of severe COVID-19 survivors (including two cases cared by us) with ARDS who received IVF-MSCs on D1–D12 after ARDS onset got a significant improvement of lung function (93.1% (27/29)) before discharge in terms of the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios [12–16]. The excellent clinical efficacy of IVF-UC-MSCs in substantially decreasing the degree of lung dysfunction among severe COVID-19 patients with pneumonitis and ARDS corresponded closely to the surveys of Shi et al. [20] (administering three cycles of 40×10^6 cells every 3 days) and Feng et al. [21] (administering four cycles of 25×10^6 cells every other day). However, a significant diversity in PaO₂/FiO₂ levels was still observed among the severe COVID-19 patients enrolled in these two investigations [20, 21].

After SARS-CoV-2 infections, fatal complications besides ARDS (immunosuppression, nosocomial pneumonia, and/or bacteremia, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis and mucormycosis, myocarditis with heart failure, cancer recurrence, pulmonary embolism, and thromboembolism etc.) might subsequently develop in severe COVID-19 patients and adversely affect their outcomes to a considerable degree [22–27]. These complications might plausibly explain the variable survival rates (50%–76%) reported in the five severe COVID-19 case series receiving ventilator support and IVF-MSCs therapy on D1–D16 after the onset of ARDS [12–15, 19].

The limitation of this review article is that many PubMed literature did not accurately record the information regarding the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios and causes of mortality among severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS, and administration days of IVF-UC-MSCs for these patients after the onset of ARDS. Consequently, we could not collect more data for further analysis of the factors compromising the efficacy of IVF-UC-MSCs in improving the case-fatality rates.

5. Conclusion

From the aforementioned analyses, the therapy of IVF-UC-MSCs likely improves lung function in severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS, although its role in decreasing mortality rates is usually confounded by various factors (e.g., subsequent septicemic episodes due to the immunosuppressed condition, or thromboembolism caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection etc.). Continued good supportive care is of paramount importance for these critically ill patients. Although the optimal dose, administration frequency, and therapeutic window still need to be investigated by further research, we suggest that the multipledose IVF-UC-MSCs at a dosage of 5×10^6 cells/kg BW in each cycle, for at least three rounds (with adjustment of the rounds according to the clinical condition) be considered when determining treatment for severe COVID-19 patients within 5 days of the ARDS onset and before multiorgan failure worsens.

Abbreviations

SARS-CoV-2:	Severe respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
COVID-19:	Coronavirus disease 2019
ARDS:	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
DM:	Diabetes mellitus
ICU:	Intensive care unit
MSCs:	Mesenchymal stem cells
IVF:	Intravenous infusion
UC:	Umbilical cord
D:	Day
PaO ₂ :	Arterial partial pressure of oxygen
FiO ₂ :	Fraction of inspired oxygen
RCT:	Randomized controlled trial.

Data Availability

The data used to support the conclusions of this investigation are added in this article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

S-S J and P-R H drafted the first version of the manuscript. S-S J, P-R H, and I-M L acquired the data. P-R H, S-J H, I-M L, P-C H, and S-S J revised the manuscript. All authors agreed with the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank BIONET Corp. (Taipei, Taiwan) for providing the IVF-UC-MSCs as the compassionately salvage treatment for severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS.

References

- S. J. Tzotzos, B. Fischer, H. Fischer, and M. Zeitlinger, "Incidence of ARDS and outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a global literature survey," *Critical Care*, vol. 24, Article ID 516, 2020.
- [2] D. Wang, B. Hu, C. Hu et al., "Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China," *JAMA*, vol. 323, no. 11, pp. 1061–1069, 2020.
- [3] S. Lu, X. Huang, R. Liu et al., "Comparison of COVID-19 induced respiratory failure and typical ARDS: similarities and differences," *Frontiers in Medicine*, vol. 9, Article ID 829771, 2022.
- [4] A. Hassoun, E. D. Thottacherry, J. Muklewicz, Q.-U.-A. Aziz, and J. Edwards, "Utilizing tocilizumab for the treatment of

cytokine release syndrome in COVID-19," *Journal of Clinical Virology*, vol. 128, Article ID 104443, 2020.

- [5] M. Moosazadeh and T. Mousavi, "Combination therapy of tocilizumab and steroid for COVID-19 patients: a metaanalysis," *Journal of Medical Virology*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 1350–1356, 2022.
- [6] E. Pérez-Alba, L. Nuzzolo-Shihadeh, G. M. Aguirre-García et al., "Baricitinib plus dexamethasone compared to dexamethasone for the treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia: a retrospective analysis," *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology* and Infection, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 787–793, 2021.
- [7] C. D. Russell, N. I. Lone, and J. K. Baillie, "Comorbidities, multimorbidity and COVID-19," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 29, pp. 334–343, 2023.
- [8] M. Biswas, S. Rahaman, T. K. Biswas, Z. Haque, and B. Ibrahim, "Association of sex, age, and comorbidities with mortality in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Intervirology*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 36–47, 2021.
- [9] N. Latronico, D. Recupero, A. Candiani et al., "Critical illness myopathy and neuropathy," *The Lancet*, vol. 347, no. 9015, pp. 1579–1582, 1996.
- [10] B. Linju Yen, M.-L. Yen, L.-T. Wang, K.-J. Liu, and H.-K. Sytwu, "Current status of mesenchymal stem cell therapy for immune/inflammatory lung disorders: gleaning insights for possible use in COVID-19," *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1163–1173, 2020.
- [11] T.-H. Shin, H.-S. Kim, T.-W. Kang et al., "Human umbilical cord blood-stem cells direct macrophage polarization and block inflammasome activation to alleviate rheumatoid arthritis," *Cell Death & Disease*, vol. 7, Article ID e2524, 2016.
- [12] I. H. Dilogo, D. Aditianingsih, A. Sugiarto et al., "Umbilical cord mesenchymal stromal cells as critical COVID-19 adjuvant therapy: a randomized controlled trial," *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1279–1287, 2021.
- [13] M. Iglesias, P. Butrón, I. Torre-Villalvazo et al., "Mesenchymal stem cells for the compassionate treatment of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome due to COVID 19," *Aging and Disease*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 360–370, 2021.
- [14] H.-K. Yip, W.-F. Fang, Y.-C. Li et al., "Human umbilical cordderived mesenchymal stem cells for acute respiratory distress syndrome," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. e391– e399, 2020.
- [15] S. R. Hashemian, R. Aliannejad, M. Zarrabi et al., "Mesenchymal stem cells derived from perinatal tissues for treatment of critically ill COVID-19-induced ARDS patients: a case series," *Stem Cell Research and Therapy*, vol. 12, Article ID 91, 2021.
- [16] B. Liang, J. Chen, T. Li et al., "Clinical remission of a critically ill COVID-19 patient treated by human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: a case report," *Medicine*, vol. 99, no. 31, Article ID e21429, 2020.
- [17] J. Leibacher and R. Henschler, "Biodistribution, migration and homing of systemically applied mesenchymal stem/stromal cells," *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, vol. 7, Article ID 16, 2016.
- [18] A. Monsel, C. Hauw-Berlemont, M. Mebarki et al., "Treatment of COVID-19-associated ARDS with mesenchymal stromal cells: a multicenter randomized double-blind trial," *Critical Care*, vol. 26, Article ID 48, 2022.
- [19] G. Lanzoni, E. Linetsky, D. Correa et al., "Umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells for COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome: a double-blind, phase 1/2a, randomized controlled trial," *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 660–673, 2021.
- [20] L. Shi, X. Yuan, W. Yao et al., "Human mesenchymal stem cells treatment for severe COVID-19: 1-year follow-up results

of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial," *eBioMedicine*, vol. 75, Article ID 103789, 2022.

- [21] Y. Feng, J. Huang, J. Wu et al., "Safety and feasibility of umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: a pilot study," *Cell Proliferation*, vol. 53, no. 12, Article ID e12947, 2020.
- [22] M. Fukushige, L.-S. Syue, K. Morikawa et al., "Trend in healthcare-associated infections due to vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* at a hospital in the era of COVID-19: more than hand hygiene is needed," *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*, vol. 55, Part 2, no. 6, pp. 1211–1218, 2022.
- [23] T. Castiello, G. Georgiopoulos, G. Finocchiaro et al., "COVID-19 and myocarditis: a systematic review and overview of current challenges," *Heart Failure Reviews*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 251–261, 2022.
- [24] H. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Wu et al., "Risks and features of secondary infections in severe and critical ill COVID-19 patients," *Emerging Microbes and Infections*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1958–1964, 2020.
- [25] A. Jafarzadeh, R. Gosain, S. M. J. Mortazavi, M. Nemati, S. Jafarzadeh, and A. Ghaderi, "SARS-CoV-2 infection: a possible risk factor for incidence and recurrence of cancers," *International Journal of Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Research*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 117–127, 2022.
- [26] C. C. Lai, C. J. Wu, Y. C. Lee, and W. L. Liu, "COVID-19 associated with concomitant mucormycosis and aspergillosis," *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 353-354, 2022.
- [27] H. D. Poor, "Pulmonary thrombosis and thromboembolism in COVID-19," *Chest*, vol. 160, no. 4, pp. 1471–1480, 2021.