


# Recent Advances and Potential Applications for 6G-Enabled Internet of Things

Lead Guest Editor: Hongyuan Gao

Guest Editors: Taras Maksymyuk and Longzhe Han





---

# **Recent Advances and Potential Applications for 6G-Enabled Internet of Things**

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

---

# **Recent Advances and Potential Applications for 6G-Enabled Internet of Things**


Lead Guest Editor: Hongyuan Gao

Guest Editors: Taras Maksymyuk and Longzhe Han





# Chief Editor

Guangming Xie , China

## Academic Editors

Kumaravel A , India  
Waqas Abbasi, Pakistan  
Mohamed Abd El Aziz , Egypt  
Mahmoud Abdel-Aty , Egypt  
Mohammed S. Abdo, Yemen  
Mohammad Yaghoub Abdollahzadeh  
Jamalabadi , Republic of Korea  
Rahib Abiyev , Turkey  
Leonardo Acho , Spain  
Daniela Addessi , Italy  
Arooj Adeel , Pakistan  
Waleed Adel , Egypt  
Ramesh Agarwal , USA  
Francesco Aggoggeri , Italy  
Ricardo Aguilar-Lopez , Mexico  
Afaq Ahmad , Pakistan  
Naveed Ahmed , Pakistan  
Elias Aifantis , USA  
Akif Akgul , Turkey  
Tareq Al-shami , Yemen  
Guido Ala, Italy  
Andrea Alaimo , Italy  
Reza Alam, USA  
Osamah Albahri , Malaysia  
Nicholas Alexander , United Kingdom  
Salvatore Alfonzetti, Italy  
Ghous Ali , Pakistan  
Nouman Ali , Pakistan  
Mohammad D. Aliyu , Canada  
Juan A. Almendral , Spain  
A.K. Alomari, Jordan  
José Domingo Álvarez , Spain  
Cláudio Alves , Portugal  
Juan P. Amezcua-Sanchez, Mexico  
Mukherjee Amitava, India  
Lionel Amodeo, France  
Sebastian Anita, Romania  
Costanza Arico , Italy  
Sabri Arik, Turkey  
Fausto Arpino , Italy  
Rashad Asharabi , Saudi Arabia  
Farhad Aslani , Australia  
Mohsen Asle Zaeem , USA

Andrea Avanzini , Italy  
Richard I. Avery , USA  
Viktor Avrutin , Germany  
Mohammed A. Awadallah , Malaysia  
Francesco Aymerich , Italy  
Sajad Azizi , Belgium  
Michele Baccocchi , Italy  
Seungik Baek , USA  
Khaled Bahlali, France  
M.V.A Raju Bahubalendruni, India  
Pedro Balaguer , Spain  
P. Balasubramaniam, India  
Stefan Balint , Romania  
Ines Tejado Balsera , Spain  
Alfonso Banos , Spain  
Jerzy Baranowski , Poland  
Tudor Barbu , Romania  
Andrzej Bartoszewicz , Poland  
Sergio Baselga , Spain  
S. Caglar Baslamisli , Turkey  
David Bassir , France  
Chiara Bedon , Italy  
Azeddine Beghdadi, France  
Andriette Bekker , South Africa  
Francisco Beltran-Carbajal , Mexico  
Abdellatif Ben Makhlof , Saudi Arabia  
Denis Benasciutti , Italy  
Ivano Benedetti , Italy  
Rosa M. Benito , Spain  
Elena Benvenuti , Italy  
Giovanni Berselli, Italy  
Michele Betti , Italy  
Pietro Bia , Italy  
Carlo Bianca , France  
Simone Bianco , Italy  
Vincenzo Bianco, Italy  
Vittorio Bianco, Italy  
David Bigaud , France  
Sardar Muhammad Bilal , Pakistan  
Antonio Bilotta , Italy  
Sylvio R. Bistafa, Brazil  
Chiara Boccaletti , Italy  
Rodolfo Bontempo , Italy  
Alberto Borboni , Italy  
Marco Bortolini, Italy

Paolo Boscariol, Italy  
Daniela Boso , Italy  
Guillermo Botella-Juan, Spain  
Abdesselem Boulkroune , Algeria  
Boulaïd Boulkroune, Belgium  
Fabio Bovenga , Italy  
Francesco Braghin , Italy  
Ricardo Branco, Portugal  
Julien Bruchon , France  
Matteo Bruggi , Italy  
Michele Brun , Italy  
Maria Elena Bruni, Italy  
Maria Angela Butturi , Italy  
Bartłomiej Błachowski , Poland  
Dhanamjayulu C , India  
Raquel Caballero-Águila , Spain  
Filippo Cacace , Italy  
Salvatore Caddemi , Italy  
Zuowei Cai , China  
Roberto Caldelli , Italy  
Francesco Cannizzaro , Italy  
Maosen Cao , China  
Ana Carpio, Spain  
Rodrigo Carvajal , Chile  
Caterina Casavola, Italy  
Sara Casciati, Italy  
Federica Caselli , Italy  
Carmen Castillo , Spain  
Inmaculada T. Castro , Spain  
Miguel Castro , Portugal  
Giuseppe Catalanotti , United Kingdom  
Alberto Cavallo , Italy  
Gabriele Cazzulani , Italy  
Fatih Vehbi Celebi, Turkey  
Miguel Cerrolaza , Venezuela  
Gregory Chagnon , France  
Ching-Ter Chang , Taiwan  
Kuei-Lun Chang , Taiwan  
Qing Chang , USA  
Xiaoheng Chang , China  
Prasenjit Chatterjee , Lithuania  
Kacem Chehdi, France  
Peter N. Cheimets, USA  
Chih-Chiang Chen , Taiwan  
He Chen , China

Kebing Chen , China  
Mengxin Chen , China  
Shyi-Ming Chen , Taiwan  
Xizhong Chen , Ireland  
Xue-Bo Chen , China  
Zhiwen Chen , China  
Qiang Cheng, USA  
Zeyang Cheng, China  
Luca Chiapponi , Italy  
Francisco Chicano , Spain  
Tirivanhu Chinyoka , South Africa  
Adrian Chmielewski , Poland  
Seongim Choi , USA  
Gautam Choubey , India  
Hung-Yuan Chung , Taiwan  
Yusheng Ci, China  
Simone Cinquemani , Italy  
Roberto G. Citarella , Italy  
Joaquim Ciurana , Spain  
John D. Clayton , USA  
Piero Colajanni , Italy  
Giuseppina Colicchio, Italy  
Vassilios Constantoudis , Greece  
Enrico Conte, Italy  
Alessandro Contento , USA  
Mario Cools , Belgium  
Gino Cortellessa, Italy  
Carlo Cosentino , Italy  
Paolo Crippa , Italy  
Erik Cuevas , Mexico  
Guozeng Cui , China  
Mehmet Cunkas , Turkey  
Giuseppe D'Aniello , Italy  
Peter Dabnichki, Australia  
Weizhong Dai , USA  
Zhifeng Dai , China  
Purushothaman Damodaran , USA  
Sergey Dashkovskiy, Germany  
Adiel T. De Almeida-Filho , Brazil  
Fabio De Angelis , Italy  
Samuele De Bartolo , Italy  
Stefano De Miranda , Italy  
Filippo De Monte , Italy

José António Fonseca De Oliveira  
Correia , Portugal  
Jose Renato De Sousa , Brazil  
Michael Defoort, France  
Alessandro Della Corte, Italy  
Laurent Dewasme , Belgium  
Sanku Dey , India  
Gianpaolo Di Bona , Italy  
Roberta Di Pace , Italy  
Francesca Di Puccio , Italy  
Ramón I. Diego , Spain  
Yannis Dimakopoulos , Greece  
Hasan Dinçer , Turkey  
José M. Domínguez , Spain  
Georgios Dounias, Greece  
Bo Du , China  
Emil Dumić, Croatia  
Madalina Dumitriu , United Kingdom  
Premraj Durairaj , India  
Saeed Eftekhari Azam, USA  
Said El Kafhali , Morocco  
Antonio Elipse , Spain  
R. Emre Erkmen, Canada  
John Escobar , Colombia  
Leandro F. F. Miguel , Brazil  
FRANCESCO FOTI , Italy  
Andrea L. Facci , Italy  
Shahla Faisal , Pakistan  
Giovanni Falsone , Italy  
Hua Fan, China  
Jianguang Fang, Australia  
Nicholas Fantuzzi , Italy  
Muhammad Shahid Farid , Pakistan  
Hamed Farooqi, Iran  
Yann Favennec, France  
Fiorenzo A. Fazzolari , United Kingdom  
Giuseppe Fedele , Italy  
Roberto Fedele , Italy  
Baowei Feng , China  
Mohammad Ferdows , Bangladesh  
Arturo J. Fernández , Spain  
Jesus M. Fernandez Oro, Spain  
Francesco Ferrise, Italy  
Eric Feulvarch , France  
Thierry Floquet, France

Eric Florentin , France  
Gerardo Flores, Mexico  
Antonio Forcina , Italy  
Alessandro Formisano, Italy  
Francesco Franco , Italy  
Elisa Francomano , Italy  
Juan Frausto-Solis, Mexico  
Shujun Fu , China  
Juan C. G. Prada , Spain  
HECTOR GOMEZ , Chile  
Matteo Gaeta , Italy  
Mauro Gaggero , Italy  
Zoran Gajic , USA  
Jaime Gallardo-Alvarado , Mexico  
Mosè Gallo , Italy  
Akemi Gálvez , Spain  
Maria L. Gandarias , Spain  
Hao Gao , Hong Kong  
Xingbao Gao , China  
Yan Gao , China  
Zhiwei Gao , United Kingdom  
Giovanni Garcea , Italy  
José García , Chile  
Harish Garg , India  
Alessandro Gasparetto , Italy  
Stylianios Georgantzinou, Greece  
Fotios Georgiades , India  
Parviz Ghadimi , Iran  
Ştefan Cristian Gherghina , Romania  
Georgios I. Giannopoulos , Greece  
Agathoklis Giaralis , United Kingdom  
Anna M. Gil-Lafuente , Spain  
Ivan Giorgio , Italy  
Gaetano Giunta , Luxembourg  
Jefferson L.M.A. Gomes , United Kingdom  
Emilio Gómez-Déniz , Spain  
Antonio M. Gonçalves de Lima , Brazil  
Qunxi Gong , China  
Chris Goodrich, USA  
Rama S. R. Gorla, USA  
Veena Goswami , India  
Xunjie Gou , Spain  
Jakub Grabski , Poland

Antoine Grall , France  
George A. Gravvanis , Greece  
Fabrizio Greco , Italy  
David Greiner , Spain  
Jason Gu , Canada  
Federico Guarracino , Italy  
Michele Guida , Italy  
Muhammet Gul , Turkey  
Dong-Sheng Guo , China  
Hu Guo , China  
Zhaoxia Guo, China  
Yusuf Gurefe, Turkey  
Salim HEDDAM , Algeria  
ABID HUSSANAN, China  
Quang Phuc Ha, Australia  
Li Haitao , China  
Petr Hájek , Czech Republic  
Mohamed Hamdy , Egypt  
Muhammad Hamid , United Kingdom  
Renke Han , United Kingdom  
Weimin Han , USA  
Xingsi Han, China  
Zhen-Lai Han , China  
Thomas Hanne , Switzerland  
Xinan Hao , China  
Mohammad A. Hariri-Ardebili , USA  
Khalid Hattaf , Morocco  
Defeng He , China  
Xiao-Qiao He, China  
Yanchao He, China  
Yu-Ling He , China  
Ramdane Hedjar , Saudi Arabia  
Jude Hemanth , India  
Reza Hemmati, Iran  
Nicolae Herisanu , Romania  
Alfredo G. Hernández-Díaz , Spain  
M.I. Herreros , Spain  
Eckhard Hitzer , Japan  
Paul Honeine , France  
Jaromir Horacek , Czech Republic  
Lei Hou , China  
Yingkun Hou , China  
Yu-Chen Hu , Taiwan  
Yunfeng Hu, China

Can Huang , China  
Gordon Huang , Canada  
Linsheng Huo , China  
Sajid Hussain, Canada  
Asier Ibeas , Spain  
Orest V. Iftime , The Netherlands  
Przemyslaw Ignaciuk , Poland  
Giacomo Innocenti , Italy  
Emilio Insfran Pelozo , Spain  
Azeem Irshad, Pakistan  
Alessio Ishizaka, France  
Benjamin Ivorra , Spain  
Breno Jacob , Brazil  
Reema Jain , India  
Tushar Jain , India  
Amin Jajarmi , Iran  
Chiranjibe Jana , India  
Łukasz Jankowski , Poland  
Samuel N. Jator , USA  
Juan Carlos Jáuregui-Correa , Mexico  
Kandasamy Jayakrishna, India  
Reza Jazar, Australia  
Khalide Jbilou, France  
Isabel S. Jesus , Portugal  
Chao Ji , China  
Qing-Chao Jiang , China  
Peng-fei Jiao , China  
Ricardo Fabricio Escobar Jiménez , Mexico  
Emilio Jiménez Macías , Spain  
Maolin Jin, Republic of Korea  
Zhuo Jin, Australia  
Ramash Kumar K , India  
BHABEN KALITA , USA  
MOHAMMAD REZA KHEDMATI , Iran  
Viacheslav Kalashnikov , Mexico  
Mathiyalagan Kalidass , India  
Tamas Kalmar-Nagy , Hungary  
Rajesh Kaluri , India  
Jyotheeswara Reddy Kalvakurthi, India  
Zhao Kang , China  
Ramani Kannan , Malaysia  
Tomasz Kapitaniak , Poland  
Julius Kaplunov, United Kingdom  
Konstantinos Karamanos, Belgium  
Michal Kawulok, Poland

Irfan Kaymaz , Turkey  
Vahid Kayvanfar , Qatar  
Krzysztof Kecik , Poland  
Mohamed Khader , Egypt  
Chaudry M. Khalique , South Africa  
Mukhtaj Khan , Pakistan  
Shahid Khan , Pakistan  
Nam-Il Kim, Republic of Korea  
Philipp V. Kiryukhantsev-Korneev ,  
Russia  
P.V.V Kishore , India  
Jan Koci , Czech Republic  
Ioannis Kostavelis , Greece  
Sotiris B. Kotsiantis , Greece  
Frederic Kratz , France  
Vamsi Krishna , India  
Edyta Kucharska, Poland  
Krzysztof S. Kulpa , Poland  
Kamal Kumar, India  
Prof. Ashwani Kumar , India  
Michal Kunicki , Poland  
Cedrick A. K. Kwuimy , USA  
Kyandoghere Kyamakya, Austria  
Ivan Kyrchei , Ukraine  
Márcio J. Lacerda , Brazil  
Eduardo Lalla , The Netherlands  
Giovanni Lancioni , Italy  
Jaroslaw Latalski , Poland  
Hervé Laurent , France  
Agostino Lauria , Italy  
Aimé Lay-Ekuakille , Italy  
Nicolas J. Leconte , France  
Kun-Chou Lee , Taiwan  
Dimitri Lefebvre , France  
Eric Lefevre , France  
Marek Lefik, Poland  
Yaguo Lei , China  
Kauko Leiviskä , Finland  
Ervin Lenzi , Brazil  
ChenFeng Li , China  
Jian Li , USA  
Jun Li , China  
Yueyang Li , China  
Zhao Li , China

Zhen Li , China  
En-Qiang Lin, USA  
Jian Lin , China  
Qibin Lin, China  
Yao-Jin Lin, China  
Zhiyun Lin , China  
Bin Liu , China  
Bo Liu , China  
Heng Liu , China  
Jianxu Liu , Thailand  
Lei Liu , China  
Sixin Liu , China  
Wanquan Liu , China  
Yu Liu , China  
Yuanchang Liu , United Kingdom  
Bonifacio Llamazares , Spain  
Alessandro Lo Schiavo , Italy  
Jean Jacques Loiseau , France  
Francesco Lolli , Italy  
Paolo Lonetti , Italy  
António M. Lopes , Portugal  
Sebastian López, Spain  
Luis M. López-Ochoa , Spain  
Vassilios C. Loukopoulos, Greece  
Gabriele Maria Lozito , Italy  
Zhiguo Luo , China  
Gabriel Luque , Spain  
Valentin Lychagin, Norway  
YUE MEI, China  
Junwei Ma , China  
Xuanlong Ma , China  
Antonio Madeo , Italy  
Alessandro Magnani , Belgium  
Toqeer Mahmood , Pakistan  
Fazal M. Mahomed , South Africa  
Arunava Majumder , India  
Sarfraz Nawaz Malik, Pakistan  
Paolo Manfredi , Italy  
Adnan Maqsood , Pakistan  
Muazzam Maqsood, Pakistan  
Giuseppe Carlo Marano , Italy  
Damijan Markovic, France  
Filipe J. Marques , Portugal  
Luca Martinelli , Italy  
Denizar Cruz Martins, Brazil































Francisco J. Martos , Spain  
Elio Masciari , Italy  
Paolo Massioni , France  
Alessandro Mauro , Italy  
Jonathan Mayo-Maldonado , Mexico  
Pier Luigi Mazzeo , Italy  
Laura Mazzola, Italy  
Driss Mehdi , France  
Zahid Mehmood , Pakistan  
Roderick Melnik , Canada  
Xiangyu Meng , USA  
Jose Merodio , Spain  
Alessio Merola , Italy  
Mahmoud Mesbah , Iran  
Luciano Mescia , Italy  
Laurent Mevel , France  
Constantine Michailides , Cyprus  
Mariusz Michta , Poland  
Prankul Middha, Norway  
Aki Mikkola , Finland  
Giovanni Minafò , Italy  
Edmondo Minisci , United Kingdom  
Hiroyuki Mino , Japan  
Dimitrios Mitsotakis , New Zealand  
Ardashir Mohammadzadeh , Iran  
Francisco J. Montáns , Spain  
Francesco Montefusco , Italy  
Gisele Mophou , France  
Rafael Morales , Spain  
Marco Morandini , Italy  
Javier Moreno-Valenzuela , Mexico  
Simone Morganti , Italy  
Caroline Mota , Brazil  
Aziz Moukrim , France  
Shen Mouquan , China  
Dimitris Mourtzis , Greece  
Emiliano Mucchi , Italy  
Taseer Muhammad, Saudi Arabia  
Ghulam Muhiuddin, Saudi Arabia  
Amitava Mukherjee , India  
Josefa Mula , Spain  
Jose J. Muñoz , Spain  
Giuseppe Muscolino, Italy  
Marco Mussetta , Italy

Hariharan Muthusamy, India  
Alessandro Naddeo , Italy  
Raj Nandkeolyar, India  
Keivan Navaie , United Kingdom  
Soumya Nayak, India  
Adrian Neagu , USA  
Erivelton Geraldo Nepomuceno , Brazil  
AMA Neves, Portugal  
Ha Quang Thinh Ngo , Vietnam  
Nhon Nguyen-Thanh, Singapore  
Papakostas Nikolaos , Ireland  
Jelena Nikolic , Serbia  
Tatsushi Nishi, Japan  
Shanzhou Niu , China  
Ben T. Nohara , Japan  
Mohammed Nouari , France  
Mustapha Nourelfath, Canada  
Kazem Nouri , Iran  
Ciro Núñez-Gutiérrez , Mexico  
Włodzimierz Ogryczak, Poland  
Roger Ohayon, France  
Krzysztof Okarma , Poland  
Mitsuhiro Okayasu, Japan  
Murat Olgun , Turkey  
Diego Oliva, Mexico  
Alberto Olivares , Spain  
Enrique Onieva , Spain  
Calogero Orlando , Italy  
Susana Ortega-Cisneros , Mexico  
Sergio Ortobelli, Italy  
Naohisa Otsuka , Japan  
Sid Ahmed Ould Ahmed Mahmoud , Saudi Arabia  
Taoreed Owolabi , Nigeria  
EUGENIA PETROPOULOU , Greece  
Arturo Pagano, Italy  
Madhumangal Pal, India  
Pasquale Palumbo , Italy  
Dragan Pamučar, Serbia  
Weifeng Pan , China  
Chandan Pandey, India  
Rui Pang, United Kingdom  
Jürgen Pannek , Germany  
Elena Panteley, France  
Achille Paolone, Italy

George A. Papakostas , Greece  
Xosé M. Pardo , Spain  
You-Jin Park, Taiwan  
Manuel Pastor, Spain  
Pubudu N. Pathirana , Australia  
Surajit Kumar Paul , India  
Luis Payá , Spain  
Igor Pažanin , Croatia  
Libor Pekař , Czech Republic  
Francesco Pellicano , Italy  
Marcello Pellicciari , Italy  
Jian Peng , China  
Mingshu Peng, China  
Xiang Peng , China  
Xindong Peng, China  
Yuxing Peng, China  
Marzio Pennisi , Italy  
Maria Patrizia Pera , Italy  
Matjaz Perc , Slovenia  
A. M. Bastos Pereira , Portugal  
Wesley Peres, Brazil  
F. Javier Pérez-Pinal , Mexico  
Michele Perrella, Italy  
Francesco Pesavento , Italy  
Francesco Petrini , Italy  
Hoang Vu Phan, Republic of Korea  
Lukasz Pieczonka , Poland  
Dario Piga , Switzerland  
Marco Pizzarelli , Italy  
Javier Plaza , Spain  
Goutam Pohit , India  
Dragan Poljak , Croatia  
Jorge Pomares , Spain  
Hiram Ponce , Mexico  
Sébastien Poncet , Canada  
Volodymyr Ponomaryov , Mexico  
Jean-Christophe Ponsart , France  
Mauro Pontani , Italy  
Sivakumar Poruran, India  
Francesc Pozo , Spain  
Aditya Rio Prabowo , Indonesia  
Anchasa Pramuanjaroenkij , Thailand  
Leonardo Primavera , Italy  
B Rajanarayan Prusty, India

Krzysztof Puszynski , Poland  
Chuan Qin , China  
Dongdong Qin, China  
Jianlong Qiu , China  
Giuseppe Quaranta , Italy  
DR. RITU RAJ , India  
Vitomir Racic , Italy  
Carlo Rainieri , Italy  
Kumbakonam Ramamani Rajagopal, USA  
Ali Ramazani , USA  
Angel Manuel Ramos , Spain  
Higinio Ramos , Spain  
Muhammad Afzal Rana , Pakistan  
Muhammad Rashid, Saudi Arabia  
Manoj Rastogi, India  
Alessandro Rasulo , Italy  
S.S. Ravindran , USA  
Abdolrahman Razani , Iran  
Alessandro Reali , Italy  
Jose A. Reinoso , Spain  
Oscar Reinoso , Spain  
Haijun Ren , China  
Carlo Renno , Italy  
Fabrizio Renno , Italy  
Shahram Rezapour , Iran  
Ricardo Riaza , Spain  
Francesco Riganti-Fulginei , Italy  
Gerasimos Rigatos , Greece  
Francesco Ripamonti , Italy  
Jorge Rivera , Mexico  
Eugenio Roanes-Lozano , Spain  
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha , Portugal  
Luigi Rodino , Italy  
Francisco Rodríguez , Spain  
Rosana Rodríguez López, Spain  
Francisco Rossomando , Argentina  
Jose de Jesus Rubio , Mexico  
Weiguo Rui , China  
Rubén Ruiz , Spain  
Ivan D. Rukhlenko , Australia  
Dr. Eswaramoorthi S. , India  
Weichao SHI , United Kingdom  
Chaman Lal Sabharwal , USA  
Andrés Sáez , Spain



Bekir Sahin, Turkey  
Laxminarayan Sahoo , India  
John S. Sakellariou , Greece  
Michael Sakellariou , Greece  
Salvatore Salamone, USA  
Jose Vicente Salcedo , Spain  
Alejandro Salcido , Mexico  
Alejandro Salcido, Mexico  
Nunzio Salerno , Italy  
Rohit Salgotra , India  
Miguel A. Salido , Spain  
Sinan Salih , Iraq  
Alessandro Salvini , Italy  
Abdus Samad , India  
Sovan Samanta, India  
Nikolaos Samaras , Greece  
Ramon Sancibrian , Spain  
Giuseppe Sanfilippo , Italy  
Omar-Jacobo Santos, Mexico  
J Santos-Reyes , Mexico  
José A. Sanz-Herrera , Spain  
Musavarah Sarwar, Pakistan  
Shahzad Sarwar, Saudi Arabia  
Marcelo A. Savi , Brazil  
Andrey V. Savkin, Australia  
Tadeusz Sawik , Poland  
Roberta Sburlati, Italy  
Gustavo Scaglia , Argentina  
Thomas Schuster , Germany  
Hamid M. Sedighi , Iran  
Mijanur Rahaman Seikh, India  
Tapan Senapati , China  
Lotfi Senhadji , France  
Junwon Seo, USA  
Michele Serpilli, Italy  
Silvestar Šesnić , Croatia  
Gerardo Severino, Italy  
Ruben Sevilla , United Kingdom  
Stefano Sfarra , Italy  
Dr. Ismail Shah , Pakistan  
Leonid Shaikhnet , Israel  
Vimal Shanmuganathan , India  
Prayas Sharma, India  
Bo Shen , Germany  
Hang Shen, China

Xin Pu Shen, China  
Dimitri O. Shepelsky, Ukraine  
Jian Shi , China  
Amin Shokrollahi, Australia  
Suzanne M. Shontz , USA  
Babak Shotorban , USA  
Zhan Shu , Canada  
Angelo Sifaleras , Greece  
Nuno Simões , Portugal  
Mehakpreet Singh , Ireland  
Piyush Pratap Singh , India  
Rajiv Singh, India  
Seralathan Sivamani , India  
S. Sivasankaran , Malaysia  
Christos H. Skiadas, Greece  
Konstantina Skouri , Greece  
Neale R. Smith , Mexico  
Bogdan Smolka, Poland  
Delfim Soares Jr. , Brazil  
Alba Sofi , Italy  
Francesco Soldovieri , Italy  
Raffaele Solimene , Italy  
Yang Song , Norway  
Jussi Sopanen , Finland  
Marco Spadini , Italy  
Paolo Spagnolo , Italy  
Ruben Specogna , Italy  
Vasilios Spitas , Greece  
Ivanka Stamova , USA  
Rafał Stanisławski , Poland  
Miladin Stefanović , Serbia  
Salvatore Strano , Italy  
Yakov Strelniker, Israel  
Kangkang Sun , China  
Qiuqin Sun , China  
Shuaishuai Sun, Australia  
Yanchao Sun , China  
Zong-Yao Sun , China  
Kumarasamy Suresh , India  
Sergey A. Suslov , Australia  
D.L. Suthar, Ethiopia  
D.L. Suthar , Ethiopia  
Andrzej Swierniak, Poland  
Andras Szekrenyes , Hungary  
Kumar K. Tamma, USA



Yong (Aaron) Tan, United Kingdom  
Marco Antonio Taneco-Hernández , Mexico  
Lu Tang , China  
Tianyou Tao, China  
Hafez Tari , USA  
Alessandro Tasora , Italy  
Sergio Teggi , Italy  
Adriana del Carmen Téllez-Anguiano , Mexico  
Ana C. Teodoro , Portugal  
Efsthios E. Theotokoglou , Greece  
Jing-Feng Tian, China  
Alexander Timokha , Norway  
Stefania Tomasiello , Italy  
Gisella Tomasini , Italy  
Isabella Torcicollo , Italy  
Francesco Tornabene , Italy  
Mariano Torrisi , Italy  
Thang nguyen Trung, Vietnam  
George Tsiatas , Greece  
Le Anh Tuan , Vietnam  
Nerio Tullini , Italy  
Emilio Turco , Italy  
Ilhan Tuzcu , USA  
Efstratios Tzirtzilakis , Greece  
FRANCISCO UREÑA , Spain  
Filippo Ubertini , Italy  
Mohammad Uddin , Australia  
Mohammad Safi Ullah , Bangladesh  
Serdar Ulubeyli , Turkey  
Mati Ur Rahman , Pakistan  
Panayiotis Vafeas , Greece  
Giuseppe Vairo , Italy  
Jesus Valdez-Resendiz , Mexico  
Eusebio Valero, Spain  
Stefano Valvano , Italy  
Carlos-Renato Vázquez , Mexico  
Martin Velasco Villa , Mexico  
Franck J. Vernerey, USA  
Georgios Veronis , USA  
Vincenzo Vespri , Italy  
Renato Vidoni , Italy  
Venkatesh Vijayaraghavan, Australia

Anna Vila, Spain  
Francisco R. Villatoro , Spain  
Francesca Vipiana , Italy  
Stanislav Vitek , Czech Republic  
Jan Vorel , Czech Republic  
Michael Vynnycky , Sweden  
Mohammad W. Alomari, Jordan  
Roman Wan-Wendner , Austria  
Bingchang Wang, China  
C. H. Wang , Taiwan  
Dagang Wang, China  
Guoqiang Wang , China  
Huaiyu Wang, China  
Hui Wang , China  
J.G. Wang, China  
Ji Wang , China  
Kang-Jia Wang , China  
Lei Wang , China  
Qiang Wang, China  
Qingling Wang , China  
Weiwei Wang , China  
Xinyu Wang , China  
Yong Wang , China  
Yung-Chung Wang , Taiwan  
Zhenbo Wang , USA  
Zhibo Wang, China  
Waldemar T. Wójcik, Poland  
Chi Wu , Australia  
QiuHong Wu, China  
Yuqiang Wu, China  
Zhibin Wu , China  
Zhizheng Wu , China  
Michalis Xenos , Greece  
Hao Xiao , China  
Xiao Ping Xie , China  
Qingzheng Xu , China  
Binghan Xue , China  
Yi Xue , China  
Joseph J. Yame , France  
Chuanliang Yan , China  
Xinggang Yan , United Kingdom  
Hongtai Yang , China  
Jixiang Yang , China  
Mijia Yang, USA  
Ray-Yeng Yang, Taiwan

Zaoli Yang , China  
Jun Ye , China  
Min Ye , China  
Luis J. Yebra , Spain  
Peng-Yeng Yin , Taiwan  
Muhammad Haroon Yousaf , Pakistan  
Yuan Yuan, United Kingdom  
Qin Yuming, China  
Elena Zaitseva , Slovakia  
Arkadiusz Zak , Poland  
Mohammad Zakwan , India  
Ernesto Zambrano-Serrano , Mexico  
Francesco Zammori , Italy  
Jessica Zangari , Italy  
Rafal Zdunek , Poland  
Ibrahim Zeid, USA  
Nianyin Zeng , China  
Junyong Zhai , China  
Hao Zhang , China  
Haopeng Zhang , USA  
Jian Zhang , China  
Kai Zhang, China  
Lingfan Zhang , China  
Mingjie Zhang , Norway  
Qian Zhang , China  
Tianwei Zhang , China  
Tongqian Zhang , China  
Wenyu Zhang , China  
Xianming Zhang , Australia  
Xuping Zhang , Denmark  
Yinyan Zhang, China  
Yifan Zhao , United Kingdom  
Debao Zhou, USA  
Heng Zhou , China  
Jian G. Zhou , United Kingdom  
Junyong Zhou , China  
Xueqian Zhou , United Kingdom  
Zhe Zhou , China  
Wu-Le Zhu, China  
Gaetano Zizzo , Italy  
Mingcheng Zuo, China

## Contents

---

### **Underwater Internet of Things-Based Solutions for Intelligent Marine Target Recognition**

Ping Hu , Kaina Jiang , Peng Chen , Yingchun Huan , and Ruiyong Yue 

Research Article (9 pages), Article ID 7898888, Volume 2022 (2022)

## Research Article

# Underwater Internet of Things-Based Solutions for Intelligent Marine Target Recognition

Ping Hu <sup>1</sup>, Kaina Jiang <sup>1</sup>, Peng Chen <sup>2</sup>, Yingchun Huan <sup>3</sup> and Ruiyong Yue <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dalian Institute of Measurement and Control Technology, Dalian, China

<sup>2</sup>No. 722 Research Institute, China State Shipbuilding Corporation Limited, Wuhan, China

<sup>3</sup>The PLA Unit, Beijing 91977, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ping Hu; 15942616861@163.com

Received 10 June 2022; Accepted 13 July 2022; Published 23 August 2022

Academic Editor: Hongyuan Gao

Copyright © 2022 Ping Hu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In order to solve the problems of poor data processing ability of underwater hardware equipment and low accuracy of classification algorithms in the existing marine target recognition and detection methods based on sensors and transducers, by combining perception technology, underwater Internet of Things technology, and artificial intelligence, multiple devices could communicate with each other to achieve automatic and intelligent high-precision marine target recognition. Compared with existing methods, not only the accuracy rate is improved but also the hardware requirements are lower, and it is easier to deploy in engineering.

## 1. Introduction

Due to the limited computing power of embedded underwater devices and special signal transmission environment, underwater target recognition has always been a difficult engineering problem [1].

First, the sensor nodes need to be deployed in the monitoring sea area. The sensor array deployed in the ocean is difficult to maintain and upgrade, and due to the difficulty of energy supply, the energy consumption cannot be too large, resulting in limited computing power supplied for signal processing [2]. Second, underwater cables or underwater acoustic communication is generally used to send information to ground base stations. While the length of underwater cables is limited, the underwater acoustic signals have the characteristics of fast energy attenuation and narrow bandwidth, making it difficult to transmit or process large amounts of data in real time.

With the development of artificial intelligence and underwater communication technology, the emergence of Underwater Internet of Things (UIoT) technology provides a new way to solve this problem. UIoT can be regarded as an extension of the Internet of Things (IoT) to the marine and

ocean environment, constitutes a powerful way for solving complex tasks such as signal processing and transmission in the ocean intelligently. A UIoT system with five-layer architecture is presented in [3], with sensing, communicating, networking, fusion, and application layer included. It is also suggested that the UIoT can be combined with cloud computing, edge computing, and other artificial intelligence technologies in the future. In [4], an underwater data acquisition system assisted with unmanned aerial vehicles is proposed to link between vehicles and underwater sensors; multiple receiver nodes are placed on the surface of sea to act as intermediate relays.

There are many ways to manufacture and build UIoT system; for example, it can be constructed by fixed underwater nodes, AUVs, base stations, or satellites. The automatic high-precision target recognition by multiple devices that can communicate with each other could be realized. But there are still many difficulties in establishing underwater Internet of Things for marine target recognition. For example, a mobile task assignment method is designed in [5] to lead the AUVs to the specified positions under rough ocean environment and propose a formation control scheme to escort the target to the destination safely. To extend the

life of UIoT and overcome challenges of ocean network building project, such as high path loss, limited battery power, and available bandwidth, a new balanced energy adaptive routing protocol is designed in [6].

In addition to research on structures, there are transmission and communication technologies, and unmanned task assignment methods. The research of UIoT is carried out from all directions, making underwater intelligent target recognition and identification feasible. For target recognition problems, a wide variety of methods have been developed, such as clustering algorithm-based methods such as partition, hierarchical and network-based classifiers, deep learning methods such as computer vision-based methods.

Among various methods, neural network-based methods are the most attractive due to their excellent performance and generalization ability and have been widely studied and deployed in ground-based and airborne equipment. However, due to the complexity and computational difficulty of existing models, many models with excellent performance are difficult to deploy on low-power underwater processors. On the contrary, using the existing simple classifier directly for underwater target recognition cannot obtain ideal classification results. Therefore, it is necessary to select the classifier in a pertinent manner and to optimize its structure and parameters for a more reliable decision mechanism, so as to obtain a more robust and fast target recognition method.

Recurrent neural networks can analyse time series, the most representative of which is the long short-term memory (LSTM) neural networks with the ability of analysing and learning the temporal dependencies among the data. By storing and retrieving information from the input data, LSTMs can learn between time steps of arbitrary length to accomplish complex tasks such as classifying and predicting time series. It is widely used in various applications, such as handwriting generation, image captioning, question answering, video to text conversion, machine translation, and so on. In [7], an LSTM network-based processing method is designed for forecasting multivariate time sequence data, and an autoencoder method is combined with an SVM (Support Vector Machine) algorithm for detecting the anomalies in trades.

Due to the above capabilities, LSTM networks are widely used for classification and identification for time series data. Although the LSTM network has achieved great success on many kinds of classification problems, its performance is limited by parameter selection. It is difficult to manually adjust and select the hyperparameters of the LSTM neural network, and inappropriate parameter settings may lead to overfitting or slow convergence and even seriously reduce the classification accuracy.

Therefore, many scholars try to improve the LSTM network and apply it to different engineering problems. The utilization of LSTM networks in [8] enables the detection of complex anomaly and error types while considering both contextual and temporal characteristics. In [9], LSTM neural networks are combined with attention mechanism sentiment representation learning and detection of words and texts, where the attention mechanisms of LSTM architectures are based on diverse abstraction levels.

However, designing the optimal LSTM network architecture with suitable parameters is still a daunting task, not only requiring human supervision but also the searching and regulating process may lead to overly complex models, which may weaken the generalization ability of the network. The use of intelligent optimization technologies such as evolutionary computing to assist deep learning is an emerging technical means, which enables the system to adaptively adjust parameters according to the actual circumstances, and trade-off between accuracy and calculation volume, thereby improving the recognition accuracy and antiresistance ability of the LSTM networks.

This article combines the UIoT with the evolutionary LSTM networks and designs an improved intelligent optimization algorithm called EEC-DE (Exploration and Exploitation Control-Differential Evolution) to improve the network classification accuracy, while reducing its complexity. By implementing fast and low-burden edge computing on underwater sensor arrays and surface nodes, complex and time-consuming training and optimization processes can be moved to land or ships, the computing process is done using cloud computing or fog computing, and the entire system can be easily deployed on the existing hardware platform, the accuracy and reliability are higher, and it is more practical.

The rest of this article is organized as follows: first, close works that are related to evolutionary algorithm-based classification and intelligent recognition methods are reviewed. Then, the novel EEC-DE algorithm is elucidated in detail. After that, the extensive experiments are conducted to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. Finally, conclusion of this article and some future directions are presented in the last section.

## 2. Related Work

Comparing with the traditional target recognition method that combines artificial feature selection and pattern recognition, the neural network, the automatic feature extraction inherent in convolutional neural networks, makes it the most promising method, and the evolutionary computing is a prospective approach to improve the performance of neural networks on difficult and complicated tasks. Since this article mainly focuses on designing networks for marine target recognition, this section only reviews closely related works on evolutionary algorithm-based evolving neural networks.

Since the LSTM networks can be used for time-series classification and regression, the marine target identification and recognition problem studied in this paper belong to the sequence-to-label classification. An LSTM layer could acquire the long-term dependencies between time steps in time series or sequence data, but it is sensitive to the details and characteristics of the input data. To alleviate this problem, many studies on methods of evolutionary classes were born. In [10], a two-stage algorithm is designed, where the first stage is designed to get the best performing LSTM structure automatically. During the evolution process, the connection weight inheritance method is used to improve the efficiency,

and the second stage designs the ensemble system by choosing a suitable LSTM. An ensemble evolutionary deep network model is proposed in [11], including a convolutional neural network (CNN) and a bidirectional long short-term memory (BLSTM) network for human action recognition. A guided general search process is incorporated into the algorithm to overcome stagnation caused by insufficient search capacity.

Due to the significant impact of hyperparameters in LSTMs on classification performance and the complexity of the interactions between parameters, manual selection of these parameters requires specific prior knowledge, and traditional trial and error tuning procedures often only find suboptimal solutions. The search for optimal parameters is an NP-hard problem, which can be solved by evolutionary computation [12]. In order to make the LSTM network achieve better performance when dealing with difficult classification problems, more innovative and efficient evolutionary computing methods need to be devised [13]. This paper designs a novel differential evolution-class algorithm, which can balance between the explorations and exploitations. The correlation learned by LSTM networks will be enhanced; thus, the usability of the proposed marine target recognition method could be improved.

### 3. Proposed Algorithm

To improve network performance and reduce reliance on expert experience in parameter setting, this article proposed the framework in the case where the network depth is specified as a fixed value; hyperparameters within a preset search range will be optimized automatically and simultaneously according to the objective function.

**3.1. Evolutionary Processing.** The whole evolutionary process includes four main steps, namely initialization of population, fitness evaluation on the LSTM networks, offspring generation, and exploration and exploitation control.

First, the population is initialized with the given population parameters as following: population size  $N_p$ , the dimension size  $L$ , which is related to the number of hyperparameters to be optimized. The individual in the population can be described as a vector  $x_p^g = [x_{p1}^g, x_{p2}^g, \dots, x_{pL}^g]$ , where the subscript  $g$  indicates the iteration at the present. In the initialization process, every dimension of each individual is uniformly sampled from zero to one. Every individual map a candidate choice of the value of hyperparameters, and it is a candidate solution of the optimization problem at the meanwhile.

During the evolutionary process, every individual will update itself under the guidance of evolutionary formula. The main steps in the offspring generation of DE algorithm are mutation and crossover. The researchers have developed a variety of mutation operators to achieve the mutation process such as [14, 15]. Among all of them, the mutation operators shown in Table 1 are used most commonly.

Here, the indicator  $r_1$  to  $r_5$  are all exclusive integers randomly sampled from the range  $[1, N_p]$ ,  $x_b^g$  indicates the best individual in the present generation  $g$ , and parameter  $F$

TABLE 1: Some commonly used mutation operators.

Type	Mutation formula
DE-rand-1	$v_p^g = x_{r1}^g + F(x_{r2}^g - x_{r3}^g)$
DE-rand-2	$v_p^g = x_{r1}^g + F(x_{r2}^g - x_{r3}^g + x_{r4}^g - x_{r5}^g)$
DE-best-1	$v_p^g = x_b^g + F(x_{r1}^g - x_{r2}^g)$
DE-current to rand-1	$v_p^g = x_p^g + F(x_p^g - x_{r1}^g)$

is the scale factor that has a huge impact on the mutation process, which influence the solution of the algorithm eventually. However, setting the scale factor as a constant cannot balance between exploration and exploitation. Many researchers believe that the scale factor should change during the iteration process or adjust adaptively.

Since the kernel density estimation method can be used to reckon the probability density function of any random variables in a nonparametric manner, it motivates us to design a new scheme called EEC to balance the exploration and exploitation by estimating the state of individuals instead of change the scale factor or the mutation operator.

Except for the first generation after initialization, the newly generated offspring  $x_p^g$  needs to perform fitness calculation  $f(x_p^g)$  in each iteration. The fitness calculation could be obtained according to the optimized objective function, which will be introduced in the next subsection specifically.

**3.2. Objective Function Design.** When calculating the fitness value of each offspring during the optimization, the network embedded in the algorithm needs to be trained and verified every time, and the recognition accuracy could be obtained after the pair of training and testing operations are done. In order to complete the classification task, the network is required not only to correctly cluster the data but also to ensure that the data match its corresponding labels.

During training, testing, and validating, the data first enter a bidirectional LSTM layer with  $n$  hidden nodes, and the last element of the sequence will be taken, in which the activation function uses the hyperbolic tangent function to realize automatic mining and learning of data internal dependencies through forgetting, input, and output gates. Additive interactions are applied at each layer, which helps improve gradient flow over long sequences during training and testing process [16]. This prompts the bidirectional LSTM layer to map the input sequences to  $n$  different features and then generate the output for the fully connected layer. Finally, recognition is accomplished by a fully connected layer, a softmax layer, and a classification layer.

On the premise of obtaining the highest possible recognition accuracy, obtaining a sensible and feasible parameter setting method is a multiobjective problem, where the Lagrange multiplier method is used to design the objective function, which is designed as a sum of three terms as follows:

$$f(x_p^g) = A(x_p^g) - x_{p1}^g \times c_1 + x_{p2}^g \times c_2, \quad (1)$$

where  $x_{p1}^g$  is the number of hidden nodes in the bidirectional LSTM layer,  $x_{p2}^g$  is the batch size, which represents the number of signals that the network learn each time, and

$A(x_p^g)$  represents the percentage accuracy of the LSTM neural network trained with the hyperparameters corresponding to the current individual on the validation set, where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants, which represent the importance of the corresponding quantity in the objective function. This Lagrange multiplier style objective function engages the algorithm to find the stationary point automatically in an iterative way.

In the offline training stage, the algorithm can adjust and optimize the hyperparameters of the network adaptively by solving this constrained optimization problem, and it can obtain a higher recognition rate with less computational effort in practical applications.

**3.3. Exploration and Exploitation Control.** In order to determine whether to explore or exploit, a variation of kernel density estimation is designed to check the state of the population. Since the individual distribution in DE algorithms tends to fit the Gaussian mixture model when the number of individuals is large enough, the Gaussian kernel function is used to design the decision condition. The discrimination flags are as follows:

$$\rho^g = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} f(x_p^g) \kappa(x_b^g - x_p^g). \quad (2)$$

It can be interpreted as the sum of the objective function values weighted by the corresponding standard Gaussian kernel [17]:

$$\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(\|x\|^2/2)}. \quad (3)$$

Then, compare it with the optimal fitness value weighted by a constant value. If  $\rho^g \geq \eta f(x_b^g)$ , it means that the algorithm has not fully converged and needs to continue to evolve according to the exploration mode. The multiplier parameter  $\eta$  is called the adjustment factor that can adjust the threshold on the right side of the inequality sign. On the contrary, if the value of  $\rho^g$  is smaller, it means that the individuals in the algorithm are close enough to the global optimal point; then it will switch to the exploitation mode, search for the optimization near the optimal value, and get a higher precision solution. Simultaneously, a pool of alternative strategies will be created, which contains two alternative evolution operators; the evolution formula of exploration mode is as follows:

$$v_p^g = x_p^g + F(x_{r1}^g - x_{r2}^g + x_{r3}^g - x_{r4}^g). \quad (4)$$

This means that four individuals are randomly selected from the population, and the difference operation is performed with each other. As for the exploitation mode, the mutation formula is as follows:

$$v_p^g = x_p^g + F(x_{r1}^g - x_{r2}^g) + \mu^{(-g/G)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mu$  is the zoom factor with the same dimension as the individual, the last term in the formula will be exponentially decayed with the number of iterations grows.

When the mutation is completed, the algorithm enters the crossover step. Calculate the fitness of the mutated individuals, and then apply the greedy selection strategy to crossover the individuals in the population with the mutated offspring:

$$x_p^{g+1} = \begin{cases} v_p^g, & f(v_p^g) > f(x_p^g), \\ x_p^g, & f(v_p^g) \leq f(x_p^g). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Then all the populations are merged to generate the next generation population. As the number of iterations increases, the population in exploration mode will implement global search constantly, while in exploitation mode, the algorithm improves the accuracy of the algorithm around the optimal solution. Until the maximum number of iterations is reached, the optimal individual is linearly mapped to the parameter space, so the output will be the hyperparameter optimization result.

Since there is no fixed or closed form functional relationship between neural network recognition accuracy and hyperparameters, but rather a complex and random correspondence, and the objective function is both multidimensional and multimodal. The proposed EEC-DE algorithm is especially suitable for evolutionary neural networks.

**3.4. Overall Framework.** The overall architecture of the underwater Internet of Things can be categorized by geographic location and application layer. To identify underwater targets, during the offline training phase, the simulated signals evolve the LSTM networks on the server located in the seashore computing centre or complicated by cloud computing, and the output optimal hyperparameters are transferred to the edge devices. When the system is powered on and working, underwater magnetic signals are collected by magnetic sensors deployed on the seabed or AUVs and then transmitted to the sink nodes or surface platforms by underwater cables or underwater acoustic communication [18], where the recognition by the evolved network is accomplished and finally sent to the ground control centre via satellite or other RF links for subsequent decisions.

According to the above content, the overall framework of intelligent marine target recognition and specific process of evolving the LSTM network with EEC-DE algorithm is as follows, and the schematic diagram is shown in Figure 1:

Step 1: preprocess the data, including feature extraction, shuffling and normalization, to obtain training set, validation set, and test set.

Step 2: randomly generate an initial population, each individual representing a candidate hyperparameter setting method of LSTM.

Step 3: decode the individuals and train the corresponding LSTM network on the training set and then use the validation set to evaluate the trained network to obtain the fitness value for each offspring individual.




FIGURE 1: The overall framework of intelligent marine target recognition.

Step 4: apply the judgment procedure by the EEC mechanism and decide whether to explore or exploit according to the current state of the algorithm.

Step 5: select the corresponding operator for mutation and crossover. Complete the update for each individual in the population.

Step 6: if the maximum number of iterations is reached, terminate the iteration loop and output the optimal solution in the last generation, otherwise, go to Step 3.

Step 7: train the LSTM network using the training set and validation set and finally evaluate the evolved LSTM network on the test set or measured data and output the recognition results.

## 4. Experiments

In order to fully verify the performance of the design scheme, this section will expand from the following aspects. First, the performance analysis of the EEC-DE algorithm will be reflected in the standard benchmark function. Then, the results of the UIoT-based evolutionary LSTM network and several comparison methods will be given based on the simulated data.

The widely used CEC/2013 standard set of benchmark functions is adopted to evaluate the performance of the EEC-DE algorithm. Four of them are chosen to evaluate the search ability of the algorithm, namely Ackley's function, Beale's function, Rastrigin's function, and Levi's function. All of these benchmark functions are transferred into maximization optimization problems. The differential evolution (DE), the Genetic algorithm (GA), the particle swarm optimization (PSO), and the Imperialist Competitive Algorithm (ICA) are deployed as competitors. In order to compete fairly, the overall population size  $N_p$  of all algorithms is set to 60, and the iteration termination criteria  $G$  is 60 [19]. For EEC-DE,  $F$  is a random number in  $[0.5, 1]$ ,  $\eta = 0.95$ ,  $\mu = 100$ . As for other comparison algorithms, parameter setting refer to [20–22]. All the experiments were run independently for 1000 times. The best score obtained so far is defined as the difference between the objective function

value of the best individual in the current iteration and the global optimal value. The average convergence curve is shown in Figures 2–5, and the average running time (s) is shown in Table 2.

It can be concluded from the results that the proposed EEC-DE algorithm outperforms all the other algorithms, especially when dealing with multimodal problems such as Rastrigin's function and Ackley's function; it has achieved superior results, and other algorithms may get stucked into the local optima. This superiority comes from the EEC schema, which enables the algorithm to switch between exploration and exploitation modes flexibly. By combining the advantages of several mutation operators, the algorithm is universally applicable to complex problems.

By comparing the average computing time, the proposed EEC-DE algorithm does not show the advantage in speed when dealing with benchmark functions. However, for the evolving networks problem we focus on, the searching ability of the algorithm is the most important because most of the computation is generated by the training and validation process of the networks in the evolution process.

After testing on several classical benchmark functions, the powerful and efficient searchability of the EEC-DE algorithm is proved. Now it will be applied to evolving LSTM networks to realize the identification based on the UIoT.

We compare the performance of the EEC-DE-based evolving LSTM networks with other methods. The recognition methods used in the experiments are as follows: Evolutionary LSTM network based on DE, PSO, GA, and ICA. Each experiment employs the following settings to ensure fair comparisons:  $c_1 = 0.01$ ,  $c_2 = 0.001$ ; these multiplier parameters are given small values, so that the recognition accuracy is established as the main component of the objective function value, and the number of hidden nodes is limited, and the batch size is prevented from being too small. Population size is 60, maximum number of iterations is 100, a total of 20 epochs are used in the training phase to allow the network to make 20 passes through the data [23], and an ADAM solver is applied to the training, validating and testing process. The search range of each hyperparameter is shown in Table 3.






FIGURE 2: Comparison of convergence curves obtained in Ackley's function.




FIGURE 3: Comparison of convergence curves obtained in Beale's function.




FIGURE 4: Comparison of convergence curves obtained in Rastrigin's function.

The box plot of the recognition accuracy is shown in Figure 6. On each box, the result comes from 10 independent runs, and the central red mark indicates the median of the accuracy, and the top and bottom edges of the box represent the 75th and 25th percentiles of the accuracy respectively.

The specific statistics of the results are shown in Table 4. We can draw the conclusion that the proposed EEC-DE-based evolutionary LSTM outperforms other competitors by comparing the accuracy, especially based on the comparison of the average accuracy, which indicates that a more suitable




FIGURE 5: Comparison of convergence curves obtained in Levi's function.

TABLE 2: Average running time (s).

Function	EEC-DE	DE	GA	PSO	ICA
Ackley's function	1.036E-01	1.011E-01	4.532E-02	1.835E-02	1.047E-01
Beale's function	9.954E-02	9.876E-02	4.347E-02	1.969E-02	4.465E-02
Rastrigin's function	1.027E-01	9.965E-02	4.177E-02	2.029E-02	6.348E-02
Levi's function	1.032E-01	1.001E-01	4.189E-02	1.922E-02	6.200E-02

TABLE 3: The search range of the hyperparameters.

Optimized hyperparameters	Range
Number of hidden nodes	[10, 100]
Batch size	[10, 1000]
Learning rate	[0.01, 0.5]

TABLE 5: The mean configurations of the optimized LSTM networks.

Configurations	EEC-DE	DE	GA	PSO	ICA
Hidden nodes	35	42	28	29	16
Batch size	115	129	342	155	255
Learn rate	0.195	0.016	0.083	0.177	0.109




FIGURE 6: Box plot of the recognition accuracy.

TABLE 4: The statistics of the recognition result.

Statistics	EEC-DE	DE	GA	PSO	ICA
Average	97.4	95.8	95.8	94.6	96.4
Maximum	98.6	96.9	97.6	95.8	98.5
Minimum	96.7	94.2	93.5	92.5	94.3
Median	97.3	95.9	95.8	94.7	96.5
Variance	0.36	0.65	1.53	0.94	1.18

hyperparameter setting is obtained by the optimization process, which also shows that the searchability of the algorithm has been enhanced by the EEC scheme from another perspective. Furthermore, it can be concluded from the variance that the proposed method is more reliable.

The average hyperparameters obtained from 10 independent runs are shown in Table 5. It is worth mentioning that while ensuring high classification accuracy, the proposed evolutionary LSTM network based on EEC-DE choose a more suitable way that enables the LSTM networks to analyse time-series data more efficiently and avoid overfitting [24], while other methods are more prone to falling into local optima and leading to poor results.

## 5. Conclusions

In recent times, the inefficiency and the long response time of current UIoT systems, as well as the limited computing power of the underwater embedded device, still represent key issues that prevents UIoT devices and architectures from being an appreciable and effective solution of the underwater marine target recognition and intrusion detection. This article proposes an UIoT-based intelligent marine target recognition method, in order to take advantage of the combination of edge computing and cloud computing. An

UIoT system consisting of underwater nodes, surface ships, and seashore-based control centre is designed, and all of these components are connected through the network to perform identification and recognition tasks more efficiently. And an EEC-DE algorithm is proposed for evolving the hyperparameters automatically in LSTM networks.

The recognition accuracy of the low-power classifiers around the signal acquisition devices is improved, and the complex optimization process which consisting of alternating training and validating will be transferred to the high-performance computing device located on the land, so the advantages of cloud computing and the instantaneity of edge computing are combined. The improvement of recognition ability benefits from the effective searching algorithm. In contrast to the existing differential class algorithms or evolutionary methods, the proposed EEC-DE algorithm could switch between the exploration and exploitation search in an adaptive and flexible manner, and the algorithm can converge to the global optimum and escape from local optimum.

The experimental results show that the method proposed in this article can adjust the hyperparameters of the LSTM networks dynamically, avoid underfitting, or overfitting problems, so as to obtain better performance than the default LSTM networks or other baseline methods, and improve the recognition accuracy while reducing the computational burden of the devices.

For future research, we will attempt to design more efficient UIoT schemes to achieve better performance and enable them to widely applied in ocean activities. We will also design novel control and search mechanisms to better achieve the balance between the local search and global convergence for evolving neural networks, which have been demonstrated to be powerful tools for solving a large amount of time series analysis problems such as pattern recognition, time-series forecasting and sequence regression.

## Data Availability

The figures and tables used to support the findings of this study are included in the article.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the techniques used in this research.

## References

- [1] C. Hu, Y. Pu, F. Yang, R. Zhao, A. Alrawais, and T. Xiang, "Secure and efficient data collection and storage of IoT in smart ocean," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 9980–9994, 2020.
- [2] T. Qiu, Z. Zhao, T. Zhang, C. Chen, and C. P. Chen, "Underwater Internet of Things in smart ocean: system architecture and open issues," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 4297–4307, 2019.
- [3] C. Wang, J. Lu, X. Ding, C. Jiang, J. Yang, and J. Shen, "Design, modeling, control, and experiments for a fish-robot-based IoT platform to enable smart ocean," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 9317–9329, 2021.
- [4] Q. Wang, H. N. Dai, Q. Wang, M. K. Shukla, W. Zhang, and C. G. Soares, "On connectivity of uav-assisted data acquisition for underwater internet of things," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 5371–5385, 2020.
- [5] J. Zhang, J. Sha, G. Han, J. Liu, and Y. Qian, "A cooperative-control-based underwater target escorting mechanism with multiple autonomous underwater vehicles for underwater internet of things," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 4403–4416, 2021.
- [6] N. Javaid, S. Cheema, M. Akbar, N. Alrajeh, M. S. Alabed, and N. Guizani, "Balanced energy consumption based adaptive routing for IoT enabling underwater WSNs," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 10040–10051, 2017.
- [7] H. D. Nguyen, K. P. Tran, S. Thomassey, and M. Hamad, "Forecasting and Anomaly Detection approaches using LSTM and LSTM Autoencoder techniques with the applications in supply chain management," *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 57, Article ID 102282, 2021.
- [8] B. Lindemann, B. Maschler, N. Sahlab, and M. Weyrich, "A survey on anomaly detection for technical systems using LSTM networks," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 131, Article ID 103498, 2021.
- [9] F. Huang, X. Li, C. Yuan, S. Zhang, J. Zhang, and S. Qiao, "Attention-emotion-enhanced convolutional LSTM for sentiment analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2021.
- [10] R. A. Viswambaran, G. Chen, B. Xue, and M. Nekooei, "Two-stage Genetic algorithm for designing long short term memory (LSTM) ensembles," in *Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, pp. 942–949, IEEE, Kraków, Poland, July 2021.
- [11] L. Zhang, C. P. Lim, and Y. Yu, "Intelligent human action recognition using an ensemble model of evolving deep networks with swarm-based optimization," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 220, Article ID 106918, 2021.
- [12] H. Gao, Y. Su, S. Zhang, Y. Hou, and M. Jo, "Joint antenna selection and power allocation for secure co-time co-frequency full-duplex massive MIMO systems," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 655–665, 2021.
- [13] J. Zhao, X. Mao, and L. Chen, "Speech emotion recognition using deep 1D & 2D CNN LSTM networks," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 47, pp. 312–323, 2019.
- [14] W. Deng, S. Shang, X. Cai, H. Zhao, Y. Song, and J. Xu, "An improved differential evolution algorithm and its application in optimization problem," *Soft Computing*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 5277–5298, 2021.
- [15] M. H. Nadimi-Shahraki, S. Taghian, S. Mirjalili, and H. Faris, "MTDE: an effective multi-trial vector-based differential evolution algorithm and its applications for engineering design problems," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 97, Article ID 106761, 2020.
- [16] R. Yan, J. Liao, J. Yang, W. Sun, M. Nong, and F. Li, "Multi-hour and multi-site air quality index forecasting in Beijing using CNN, LSTM, CNN-LSTM, and spatiotemporal

- clustering,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 169, Article ID 114513, 2021.
- [17] F. Kamalov, “Kernel density estimation based sampling for imbalanced class distribution,” *Information Sciences*, vol. 512, pp. 1192–1201, 2020.
- [18] M. Khosravy, N. Gupta, N. Dey, and P. M. Ger, “Smart green ocean underwater IoT network by ICA-based acoustic blind MIMO OFDM transceiver,” *Earth Science Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1073–1081, 2021.
- [19] H. Gao, Y. Su, S. Zhang, and M. Diao, “Antenna selection and power allocation design for 5G massive MIMO uplink networks,” *China Communications*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1–15, 2019.
- [20] V. Kachitvichyanukul, “Comparison of three evolutionary algorithms: GA, PSO, and DE,” *Industrial Engineering and Management Systems*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 215–223, 2012.
- [21] A. M. Manasrah and H. Ba Ali, “Workflow scheduling using hybrid GA-PSO algorithm in cloud computing,” *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2018, Article ID 1934784, 16 pages, 2018.
- [22] S. Hosseini and A. Al Khaled, “A survey on the imperialist competitive algorithm metaheuristic: implementation in engineering domain and directions for future research,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 24, pp. 1078–1094, 2014.
- [23] Y. Yu, X. Si, C. Hu, and J. Zhang, “A review of recurrent neural networks: LSTM cells and network architectures,” *Neural Computation*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1235–1270, 2019.
- [24] K. Smagulova and A. P. James, “A survey on LSTM memristive neural network architectures and applications,” *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, vol. 228, no. 10, pp. 2313–2324, 2019.