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The working environment of seawater axial piston hydraulic pump is harsh, and it is difficult to diagnose due to insufficient fault database. In contrast, pumps of the same type but using hydraulic oil have an adequate fault database and are easy to diagnose. In view of the above situation, a fault diagnosis method of seawater hydraulic piston pump based on transfer learning is proposed. The method decomposes the original sampled fault signal by complementary ensemble empirical mode decomposition (CEEMD) to obtain the intrinsic mode function (IMF) that can characterize the original signal. Then, the obtained singular value is used as a feature parameter to construct a feature vector. The feature data of seawater hydraulic pump and oil pump are used as target data and auxiliary data to form training data. The training data is trained based on the iterative adjustment of the weight through the TrAdaBoost transfer learning algorithm. Finally, the results of diagnosis and classification are compared with traditional machine learning. When the number of training data is 5 groups, the accuracy of transfer learning is 30.5% higher than that of traditional machine learning. The results show that transfer learning has great advantages in the case of a small number of samples.

1. Introduction

The seawater axial piston hydraulic pump is an important component of the deep-sea application. The normal operation of the seawater axial piston hydraulic pump is the core component of the hydraulic system for deep sea applications. Seawater hydraulic system requires a healthy operation of the seawater hydraulic pump. Zhai et al. [1] simulated the cavitation flow of the seawater hydraulic axial piston pump based on the Schnerr–Sauer model, and analyzed the fluctuations of the pump outlet flow and pressure under different inlet pressure conditions. Dong et al. [2] studied corrosion and wear in seawater hydraulic pumps and introduced a new type of seawater hydraulic piston pump with better suction characteristics. Nie [3] analyzed and summarized the current status of seawater hydraulic piston pumps [4]. The research progress of port valve distribution and port plate distribution is analyzed. Alobaidi [5] analyzed various levels of cavitation in different operation conditions. A detailed analysis of the results obtained from the acoustic signal was carried out to predict cavitation in the pump under different operating conditions. Alobaidi [6, 7] also used vibration to detect, diagnosed the cavitation phenomenon in centrifugal pumps, and analyzed the vibration signal results obtained in the time and frequency domains in order to better understand the detection of cavitation phenomenon in the pumps.

Hydraulic pump is a mechanical system, and its diagnosis is usually monitored by measuring vibration or noise signals. Empirical mode decomposition (EMD) is a signal decomposition method proposed by Huang et al. [8], which can adaptively decompose the signal to obtain a series of high-to-low intrinsic mode function (IMF). This method is widely used in signal processing. Wu et al. [9] proposed the ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) by using the statistical properties of Gaussian white noise uniform
distribution. However, this method cannot completely neutralize the artificially added white noise, which will lead to misjudgment of fault diagnosis. The complementary ensemble empirical mode decomposition (CEEMD) reduces the residual white noise in the EEMD reconstructed signal by adding positive and negative pairs of Gaussian white noise to the original signal [10, 11].

Machine learning is a hot topic in today’s scientific research, and it is also widely used in the field of fault diagnosis. Kankar [12] used Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) to diagnose ball bearings [13–16] and conducted comparative experiments on the effectiveness of ANN and SVM. Shi et al. [17] proposed an early fault diagnosis method for manufacturing systems based on machine learning. The method of inductive learning is adopted to automatically obtain the statistical boundary vectors of the signal, and then a normal feature space is established, according to which an abnormal signal can be detected so that the faults in the complex system can be easily found. Alobaidi [18] extracted features from vibration signals that are used as inputs to the neural network. The method provided an intelligent system to be used in condition monitoring of centrifugal pumps. Huang et al. [19] proposed a new method for fault diagnosis hydraulic servo valve based on genetic algorithm for backpropagation neural network. Compared with other artificial neural networks, this method shortens the training time and improves the accuracy. However, the traditional machine learning method requires that the distribution of training data should be identical and sufficient [20], but seawater hydraulic pump is rarely used in engineering, and the fault data is relatively insufficient. Using seawater hydraulic pump fault data as training data is no longer suitable for traditional machine learning methods. Transfer learning is not limited to learning methods in which training data and test data have the same feature distribution. Transfer learning can learn to use the laws of knowledge in existing data to solve different but related fields, thereby effectively solving the problem of traditional machine learning.

In this paper, a fault diagnosis method for seawater axial piston hydraulic pump based on transfer learning is proposed. Section 1 introduces the signal processing method. Section 2 describes the research of transfer learning and transfer learning algorithm. Section 3 introduces the entire diagnosis process. Section 4 is to test and diagnose the fault, and diagnosis results are compared with the traditional machine learning method. This paper focuses on the application of transfer learning in fault diagnosis and proves that the transfer learning has higher diagnosis accuracy than the traditional machine learning algorithm in the case of few samples.

2. Theory of Algorithm

As shown in Figure 1, the complementary ensemble empirical mode decomposition (CEEMD) is used to decompose and select the original fault signal, and IMF representing the original signal is obtained. Singular value decomposition (SVD) is applied to IMF. Then, the singular value obtained by decomposition is used as the characteristic parameter. The characteristic data of seawater hydraulic pump and oil pump are used as target data and auxiliary data for training. The training data is trained by TrAdaBoost transfer learning algorithm based on iterative adjustment of weights.

2.1. Complementary Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (CEEMD)

2.1.1. Basic Principles of CEEMD. The basic principle of CEEMD is essentially an improved algorithm based on EEMD. The decomposition process has three main steps [21–24]:

(a) Add a pair of random Gaussian white noises $n(t)$ in the signal $x(t)$:

$$
\begin{align*}
    x_1(t) &= x(t) + n(t), \\
    x_2(t) &= x(t) - n(t).
\end{align*}
$$

(b) The EMD algorithm is used to decompose and $x_1(t)$ to obtain IMF1 and IMF2, and the average value of each group of IMF is calculated. The result is as follows:

$$
\text{IMF} = \frac{\text{IMF}_1 + \text{IMF}_2}{2}.
$$

(c) Each IMF component calculated in step (b) is recorded as $c_i(t)$. The signal $x(t)$ is decomposed using the CEEMD and the result is as follows:

$$
x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(t) + r_n(t),
$$

where $r_n(t)$ is the residual.

2.1.2. Analysis of Correlation. Due to the influence of background noise and the insufficiency of the algorithm, the IMF obtained by CEEMD decomposition has false components and noise components. The correlation coefficient $\rho_{xy}$ is used to select the intrinsic mode function close to the original signal. The mathematical expression is [25]

$$
\rho_{xy} = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}
$$

where $\sigma_{xy}$ is the covariance of $x$, $y$, $\sigma_x$, and $\sigma_y$ is the standard deviation of $x$ and $y$.

2.2. Singular Value Decomposition. Singular value decomposition (SVD) is widely used in signal processing and fault diagnosis [26–28]. The singular value obtained by decomposition has stability invariance and can describe the intrinsic characteristics of the original signal. Orthogonal transformation is the essence of singular value decomposition (SVD). SVD is the generalization of spectrum analysis theory in the arbitrary matrix. The singular value of matrix is the inherent feature of matrix. It has very good stability. This
way, the characteristic information of the hydraulic pump failure can be effectively extracted. The specific process of singular value decomposition is as follows.

For the matrix $A$, the singular value decomposition form is

$$A = M D N^T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $M$ and $N$ are standard orthogonal matrices and $D$ is a diagonal matrix:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$D_1 = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_r),$$

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \ldots \sigma_r \geq 0,$$

where $r$ is the rank of $A$, and the singular value vector is

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

2.3. Transfer Learning

2.3.1. The Theoretical Concept of Transfer Learning. The phenomenon of transfer learning exists in various fields of real life. Many simple examples of daily life can also explain the rationale of transfer. For example, people who learn to ride bicycles can easily learn to ride motorcycles. People who learn English are more likely to learn Spanish. The basic idea of transfer learning is to learn the basic knowledge within a known source domain (auxiliary domain) and apply the learned knowledge to different but related unknown domains (target domains) to solve similar problems [29–32].

As shown in the following figures, different learning methods between the traditional machine learning method and the transfer learning method are, respectively, indicated. For a number of different learning tasks, the traditional machine learning method is to learn each task individually, and there is no correlation between the learning task processes; however, in the transfer learning process, a certain algorithm is used to learn knowledge from the relevant source fields and then solve the tasks or problems that exist in the target area. The difference between the two different machine learning methods can be clearly seen from Figure 2.

2.3.2. Transfer Learning TrAdaBoost Algorithm. The TrAdaBoost transfer learning algorithm [33] is a machine learning algorithm based on iterative adjustment of weights. The learning process of the transfer learning algorithm TrAdaBoost is as follows.

The auxiliary data and the target data constitute a training sample. First, the data weight vector in the training sample is initialized. Then, the weight values of the auxiliary data and the target data are adjusted based on the learning results of the previous iteration. This process is repeated until the learning process converges. The specific steps are as follows:

1. Initialize the weight $\alpha_i$ for each sample $i$.
2. For each iteration $t$:
   a. Use the auxiliary data with current weights to learn a classifier $C_t$.
   b. Compute the loss $L_t$ of the classifier $C_t$ on the target data.
   c. Adjust the weights of the training samples based on the loss $L_t$.
3. Repeat steps 2 until the loss $L_t$ is sufficiently small or a maximum number of iterations is reached.

The adjusted weight vector $\alpha^{(t)}$ is used to compute the final prediction on new data.
sample is initialized (the first generation weight vector is the set):

\[
\omega^1 = (\omega^1_1, \ldots, \omega^1_{n+m}),
\]

\[
\omega^1_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{n}, & i = 1, \ldots, n, \\
\frac{1}{m}, & i = n + 1, \ldots n + m,
\end{cases}
\]  

(8)

where \(n\) is the number of auxiliary data and \(m\) is the number of target data.

Set the weight distribution \(p^t\) to satisfy

\[
p^t = \frac{\omega^t}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \omega^t_i},
\]

(9)

where \(t\) is algebra, \(t = 1, 2, \ldots, N\).

Based on the combined training dataset and its weight distribution and test dataset, a classifier on the test dataset is obtained \(h_t\), and the error rate on the target data is calculated:

\[
e_t = \frac{1}{n+m} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \omega^t_i [h_t(x_i) - c(x_i)],
\]

(10)

where \(c(x)\) is the label of the sample data.

Set parameters:

\[
\beta_t = \frac{e_t}{1-e_t},
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2\ln n/N}}.
\]

(11)

The final classifier for the output is

\[
h(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \prod_{t=(N/2)}^{N} \beta_t^{h_t(x)} \geq \prod_{t=0}^{N/2} \beta_t^{(1/2)}, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

(13)

It can be seen that, in each iteration of the round if an auxiliary training data is misclassified, the weight of the data can be reduced. Specifically, the data is multiplied by \(\beta^{h_t(x_i) - c(x_i)}\), where the value \(\beta\) is between 0 and 1. Therefore, in the next iteration, the misclassified sample will have less impact on the classification model than the previous round. After the iteration, the data in the auxiliary data that meets the target data will have a higher weight, and the weight of the auxiliary data that does not meet the target data will gradually decrease. Finally, the classification model is obtained.

3. Experimentation

In order to verify the effectiveness of the method proposed in this paper, the experimental platform of oil hydraulic and seawater hydraulic piston pump is built. The experimental schematic diagram is shown in Figure 3. The piston pump is driven by 45 KW variable frequency AC motor. The rated pressure of the pump is 21 MPa and the rated speed is 4000 r/m. Therefore, the corresponding shaft frequency is 6.7 Hz. In order to monitor the comprehensive health status of the pump, vibration sensor, pressure sensor, and flow...
sensor are installed on the piston pump assembly. The model of vibration sensor is ULT2059, the measuring range is 500 g, and the accuracy is 50 mV/g. The pressure sensor model is MPM480, and the range is (0–30)/(0–1) MPa. The model of flow sensor is CLG-15, and the range is (0–5)/(4.2–120) L/min. All sensors have 24 V DC power supply.

The vibration sensor is used to measure the radial vibration signal of the piston pump. The measurement error of acceleration sensor is 2.5 g. The radial vibration sensor is installed near the swash plate trunnion of the plunger pump, and the sampling frequency of the sensor is 2 KHz. The pressure sensor is used to measure the pressure signal at the inlet and outlet of the piston pump. LabWindows/CVI + RTX is used to edit the interactive interface of the host computer, and the latter is used to realize the communication between the software and the sensor and complete the analog to digital (A/D) conversion of the sensor input signal.

3.1. Uncertainty of Acceleration. The relative expanded uncertainty of acceleration sensor is \( u_{rel}(A) = 1.0\% \). The relative standard uncertainty is

\[
  u_{rel}(A) = \frac{U_{rel}(A)}{2} = 0.5\%.
\]

The relative expanded uncertainty of data acquisition system is \( u_{rel}(I) = 0.1\% \). The relative standard uncertainty is

\[
  u_{rel}(I) = \frac{U_{rel}(I)}{2} = 0.05\%.
\]

Since the above standard uncertainty components are independent of each other, the combined standard uncertainty is as follows:

\[
  u_{rel}(A) = \sqrt{u_{rel}^2(I) + u_{rel}^2(A)} = 0.502\%.
\]

4. Result and Discussion

Taking a group of bearing fault data in the oil hydraulic pump data as an example, the CEEMD is used to decompose the sampling signal to obtain nine IMF and calculate the correlation coefficient between each IMF and the original signal. As shown in Table 1, the top 6 IMF of the 9 IMF had large correlation with the original signal, so they are selected as the data for use. As shown in Figure 4, the top 6 IMF components are selected.

The top 6 IMF components are selected, and SVD decomposition is performed on the IMF to calculate the singular values of sample data as a group of training data: (26,698, 9,803, 9,203, 6,541, 6,222, 4,893)

All the sample data are decomposed by CEEMD and SVD to form the training dataset. The data of seawater hydraulic pump is the target data, and the data of oil hydraulic pump is used as auxiliary data. In order to compare the two learning methods, the experimental data and feature extraction methods are unchanged, and the transfer learning algorithm is compared with the traditional machine learning algorithm. The auxiliary data is set to 100 groups. When the two learning modes have different sets of target data, the final fault diagnosis accuracy rate is compared.

It can be seen from Figure 5 that, in the case of a small amount of target data, the accuracy of conventional machine learning cannot be performed or the fault diagnosis is very low, and the transfer learning has a higher accuracy rate in this case. The smaller the number of target data, the more obvious the advantages of transfer learning. When the number of target data is 5 groups, the accuracy of transfer learning is 30.5% higher than that of SVM. The reason is that the classifiers trained by traditional machine learning are not accurate. However, transfer learning not only uses the target number data for training but also uses the data in the auxiliary data similar to the target data for training, which can train a better classifier.

As the number of target data increases, the diagnostic accuracy rate of transfer learning and traditional machine learning will also increase. The accuracy of traditional machine learning and transfer learning is the same when the number of target data increases to 25 groups. When the number of target data continues to increase, the diagnostic accuracy of traditional machine learning is slightly higher than that of transfer learning. When the amount of target data is large enough, both learning methods can achieve good performance. The reason for the above phenomenon is that when the number of target data increases, the amount of training data of the conventional machine learning increases and the accuracy of the trained classifier increases. With the increase of the amount of target data of transfer learning, the TrAdaBoost algorithm can filter out more auxiliary data similar to the target data to train a higher precision classifier. When the amount of target data increases to a certain amount, the traditional machine
learning has enough training data to train high-precision classifiers. However, during the transfer learning process, the small amount of noise data included in the auxiliary data in the training set will have a little influence on the accuracy of the trained classifier. Therefore, when the target data volume is sufficient, the diagnostic accuracy rate of the traditional machine learning is better than that of the transfer learning.

5. Conclusion

A fault diagnosis method for seawater hydraulic pump based on transfer learning is proposed. The method uses CEEMD and SVD for data feature extraction. The feature data of seawater hydraulic pump and oil pump are used as target data and auxiliary data to form training data. In the iterative learning process of transfer learning, valuable and important sample weights are increased from generation to generation, but the weights of the secondary sample are reduced from generation to generation. Finally, compared with SVM, the results of transfer learning still have a high accuracy rate in the case of few target training data. The method solves the limitation that the traditional machine learning is not applicable under the condition that the training data and the test data do not have the same feature, and target training data is few. The fault diagnosis method based on transfer learning will also be applicable to the fault

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMF</th>
<th>IMF1</th>
<th>IMF2</th>
<th>IMF3</th>
<th>IMF4</th>
<th>IMF5</th>
<th>IMF6</th>
<th>IMF7</th>
<th>IMF8</th>
<th>IMF9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: CEEMD results of signal.
diagnosis of bearings or gears under variable working conditions.
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