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An Optimal Algorithm for Planning Shearer Trailing Drum Cutting Path
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The intelligent adaptive cutting of the shearer is one of the key technologies to realize the intelligent working face. However, since the “memory cut” technology is the mainstream technology, which cannot actively adapt to the coal seam variations, the trailing drum usually cuts at a fixed height without a planned cutting path. This paper analyzes the shearer’s location characteristics before and after the advancement to propose a complete calculation method for the advancing path of the shearer, which simulates all of its possible advancing paths for subsequent n cuttings. The multitree and depth-first search algorithms are utilized to filter out the optimal advance path under different mining requirements. Simultaneously, this paper indicates that the vertical curvature of the armored face conveyor (AFC) should be considered in the calculation process of the optimal advancing path at different positions of the working face to obtain the shearer’s planned cutting path for subsequent n cuttings. The proposed algorithm in this paper has apparent advantages over the “memory cut” technology and provides a good solution for the intelligent planning of cutting and pitch steering of the shearsers.

1. Introduction

Coal is still an important energy source in the world. However, compared with other energy industries, the technological level of the coal industry is relatively backward. The integration is low, the information level is insufficient, the mining environment is dangerous [1, 2], and safety accidents occur from time to time. Therefore, the coal industry’s development trend requires upgrading and optimizing traditional mining technologies and constructing intelligent and unmanned mines based on some technologies, such as the Internet of Things, virtual simulation [3, 4], and big data.

After about 30 years of rapid development, China’s coal industry has made considerable progress in fully mechanized mining equipment manufacturing and mining technologies. Based on the development level of the complete set of equipment control systems for fully mechanized mining face in terms of perception, decision making, and execution, Wang et al. [5–9] divided the face control system into three stages: automation, intelligence, and unmanned. At present, the face control system is making every effort to move from automation to intelligence with equipment manufacturing and Internet of Things technology.

In order to realize the intelligent adaptive cutting of the shearer, as one of the key technologies to realize the intelligent working face, the high-precision three-dimensional (3D) geological model is necessary. Although the current detection technology is still unable to grasp the coal geological information of the panel entirely, the dynamic coal geological information mastered during the mine construction operation can be utilized to accurately predict the undulation and structure of the coal seam ahead of the working face. Mao [10, 11] proposed the concept of “gray
geographic information system,” which means that as the mining work progresses, coal seam information is gradually mastered, while the 3D coal seam geological model presents a process of “from black to gray, from gray to white.” Cheng [12] proposed the gradient modeling idea. He established four 3D geological models with different accuracy levels according to the coal seam information mastered at different mining stages. They were named the black-box model, gray-box model, white-box model, and transparent model, respectively. Liu [13] proposed a modeling method and a dynamic correction method of “gradient modeling, dynamic correction, step by step iteration, and refinement” based on the above ideas. With the continuous development of modeling methods and the continuous disclosure of geological information, the accuracy of geological models is constantly improving. Although the error still exists, the 3D geological model of the local area ahead of the working face can already be employed to guide production by adding the newly exposed coal seam data at the working face and updating the geological model continuously.

The “memory cut” technology was introduced to America in 1986, in which the data on drum elevation and ranging arm inclination and shearer location were recorded in the training run. Those data were recalled to run the subsequent shearer’s cuts [14, 15]. However, this method can only be mined with fixed mining height. After that, a gamma ray was introduced to measure the coal thickness to adjust the mining height, but it was not realized. At present, the face alignment and the remote control of the working face based on the inertial navigation system (INS) are the primary development directions of the coal shearer’s intelligent control in the United States, Australia, and other countries [16–18]. The development of shearer cutting curve planning is slow. After introducing the “memory cut” technology into China, Tan [19] modified the critical point data to optimize the coal cutting path. Zhang et al. [20–23] employed the genetic algorithm and particle swarm algorithm to analyze the coal cutting path and shearer data to optimize the next cutting path. Then, Wang et al. [24, 25] introduced the artificial immune theory to explain the adaptive adjustment strategy of the coal seam variation area in the memory cutting path. Although these methods optimized the “memory cut” technology, they still could not actively adapt to the coal seam variations, and the cutting path was still optimized on the vertical profile. Thus, Zhou [26] adopted the gray system theory and historical mining height data to establish a gray prediction model for predicting the next cutting height and cutting path. Although the prediction accuracy was inaccurate and the cutting path still should be manually corrected, he has brought the optimization method of cutting path from the 2D vertical profile perspective to the 3D perspective. In the 3D perspective, Si [27] employed the least squares support vector machine (LS-SVM) to predict the thickness of the coal seam and utilized the B-spline curve to fit and optimize the predicted coal seam data to obtain the next cutting path of the shearer. Ge [28] employed geological bodies, precise positioning, and attitude perception technology to propose the shearer autonomous navigation cutting technology to plan the shearer’s cutting path and adjust the mining height and the face alignment.

Although the optimized “memory cut” technology can accurately predict the cutting path of the next cut, all optimization methods are optimized for the leading drum cutting curve, while the trailing drum still cuts at a fixed height. Moreover, these methods cannot adapt well to the spatial shape variations of the coal seam ahead of the working face. The main reason is that these methods only optimize or predict the next cutting path, and they do not consider the logical constraints between the subsequent successive $n$ cutting paths and the influence of the undulations of the coal seam ahead of the working face on the advance path planning of the shearer in the optimization process. Moreover, these methods do not have a unified plan for the shearer pitch steering and advancing path.

This paper proposes an optimal algorithm for planning the shearer trailing drum cutting path to overcome the existing problems. In order to achieve the maximum recovery, the proposed method employs the local high-precision 3D dynamic geological model to obtain the accurate coal seam bottom boundary data ahead of the working face and comprehensively considers the shearer drum adjustment, the vertical curvature of the armored face conveyor (AFC), the pitch angle of shearer, and the mining technology requirements to plan the cutting path of the shearer trailing drum for subsequent $n$ cuttings.

## 2. Cutting Path Planning Method

In a full-thickness working face at one time, the shearer should mine along the top and bottom boundaries of the coal seam to mine as much coal as possible and cut as little rock as possible. Given the lack of the control strategy and cutting path of the trailing drum in the “memory cut” technology, this paper proposes an optimal algorithm for planning the cutting path of the shearer trailing drum. Combined with the high-precision 3D dynamic geological model, it can plan the cutting path of the shearer and realize the intelligent control and optimal cutting of the shearer. The main process is shown in Figure 1.

During the mining process, the adjustment of the shearer drum should fully consider the undulations of the coal seam in the two directions of moving and advancing and the limitations of related equipment and mining technology. Thus, it is difficult to calculate the cutting path for subsequent $n$ cuttings directly. Therefore, this paper first considers the path calculation method of the shearer in the advancing direction. Different adjustment values of the shearer trailing drum correspond to different advancing paths. The depth-first search algorithm is utilized to traverse all possible advancing paths, while the areas between the advancing path and coal seam bottom boundary (extracted from the local high-precision 3D dynamic geological model ahead of the working face) are compared to obtain the optimal advancing path. The constraint condition of shearer moving direction is then introduced to calculate the optimal advancing path at different working face positions, and the nodes with the same cutter number in all the optimal advancing paths are
3. The Adjustment Range of the Shearer Trailing Drum

The adjustment range of the shearer trailing drum is mainly determined by the constraints of the shearer’s advancing direction and moving direction.

3.1. Advancing Direction Constraints. The constraints on the advancing direction of the shearer trailing drum are mainly divided into two categories, namely, mechanical equipment and coal mining technology constraints. The mechanical equipment constraints are the pitch angle of the shearer (see Figure 2(a)) and the floor-based quantity (see Figure 2(b)), while the coal mining technology constraint is the height of the floor steps in the advancing direction (see Figure 2(a)).

The floor step is shown in Figure 2. The floor steps of each cutting are connected to form the shearer’s advancing path. The slope of the floor step is determined by the pitch angle of the shearer’s body during coal cutting, while its position can be adjusted by raising and lowering the trailing drum. However, the following constraints should be considered:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta w &< \Delta w_{\text{max}}, \\
\Delta h &< \Delta h_{\text{max}},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Delta w\) and \(\Delta h\) are the floor-based quantity of the shearer and the height of the floor steps, where their maximum values are denoted as \(\Delta w_{\text{max}}\) and \(\Delta h_{\text{max}}\), respectively. These maximum values are compatible with the performance of the shearer and the technological requirements of the working face, respectively.

Simultaneously, the shearer should meet the following requirements after it is advanced.

\[\alpha < \alpha_{\text{max}},\]

where \(\alpha\) is the pitch angle of the shearer and \(\alpha_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum pitch angle.

3.2. Moving Direction Constraints. As shown in Figure 3, the moving direction constraint is the vertical curvature of AFC, where \(\beta\) is the vertical curvature angle.

The vertical curvature of AFC should be considered in the calculation of the adjustment range of the shearer trailing drum. When calculating the advancing path of different positions of the working face, it is necessary to calculate from one face end to the other gradually along the moving direction to ensure that the two adjacent sections of AFC meet the requirements.

\[\beta < \beta_{\text{max}},\]

where \(\beta_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum vertical curvature angle of AFC, which is compatible with the performance of AFC.

4. Calculation of the Shearer Advancing Path

Although the advancing path of the shearer can be adjusted by raising and lowering the trailing drum, the position and pitch angle of the shearer during coal cutting affect each advancing path significantly. Thus, the position of the shearer determines the position of the advancing path, while the pitch angle determines the slope of the advancing path.

Since the shearer moves on AFC and AFC is placed on the working face bottom plate steadily, the shearer’s position and pitch angle are equal to those of the middle groove which is exactly beneath the shearer. The position and pitch angle of the middle groove can be calculated by calculating the front and rear endpoints of the middle groove bottom. Besides, taking the upward inclined mining as an example, there are four contact states between AFC and the floor plate due to floor steps, as shown in Figure 4.

A different method should be employed to calculate the position and pitch angle of AFC for a different contact state between AFC and the working face bottom plate. Since the advancement process of AFC is an orderly continuous process, the position of AFC after the advancement can be calculated according to the position data before the advancement.

Figure 5 shows a schematic diagram of the advancing process of AFC from the position of the \(i^{\text{th}}\) cutting to the
position of the $i+1$th cutting. For easy understanding, it is assumed that the advancing direction of AFC is the $x$-axis, while the vertical direction is the $z$-axis. $S$ is the cutting depth of the shearer or the one-time advancedistance of AFC. $L$ is the width of AFC. $B_i$ and $A_i$ are the front and rear endpoints of the AFC bottom during the $i$th cutting, respectively. $D_i$ and $C_i$ describe the front and rear endpoints of the $i$th advancing path. $E_i$ indicates the high point between points $C_i$ and $D_{i-1}$. $k_i$ is the slope of the $i$th floor step or the pitch slope of AFC during the $i$th cutting.

AFC advances by one step. If the effect of the floor step is not considered, AFC will be pushed from the position $A_iB_i$ to $A_{i+1}'B_{i+1}'$. The following equations can calculate the coordinates of point $A_{i+1}'$ and point $B_{i+1}'$:

\[
\begin{align*}
(x_{A_{i+1}'} - x_{A_i})^2 + (z_{A_{i+1}'} - z_{A_i})^2 &= S^2, \\
\frac{z_{A_{i+1}'} - z_{C_{i-1}}}{x_{A_{i+1}'} - x_{C_{i-1}}} &= k_{i-2}, \\
x_{A_{i+1}'} &> x_{C_{i-1}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(x_{B_{i+1}'} - x_{A_i})^2 + (z_{B_{i+1}'} - z_{A_i})^2 &= L^2, \\
\frac{z_{B_{i+1}'} - z_{C_{i-1}}}{x_{B_{i+1}'} - x_{C_{i-1}}} &= k_i, \\
x_{B_{i+1}'} &> x_{C_{i-1}}.
\end{align*}
\]

If the effect of the floor step is considered, the straight line between point $A_{i+1}'$ and point $B_{i+1}'$ is chosen as $f(x)$, while $F(X) = z_X - f(x_X)$. $F(E_{i-1})$ and $F(E_i)$ are obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
F(E_{i-1}) &= z_{E_{i-1}} - f(x_{E_{i-1}}), \\
F(E_i) &= z_{E_i} - f(x_{E_i}).
\end{align*}
\]
When both $F(E_{i-1})$ and $F(E_i)$ are negative, the floor steps do not affect the position of AFC. After advancing, points $A_{i+1}$ and $B_{i+1}$ are equal to points $A_{i+1}'$ and $B_{i+1}'$, respectively. Else, the difference between $F(E_{i-1})$ and $F(E_i)$, denoted by $\Delta$, can be calculated as

$$\Delta = F(E_{i-1}) - F(E_i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

If $\Delta \leq 0$, the slope ($k_{A_{i+1}'E_{i}}$) of line $A_{i+1}'E_{i}$ is compared with the slope ($k_{E_{i-1}E_{i}}$) of line $E_{i-1}E_{i}$. If $k_{A_{i+1}'E_{i}} \leq k_{E_{i-1}E_{i}}$, after advancing, point $A_{i+1}$ is equal to $A_{i+1}'$, and the coordinates of point $B_{i+1}$ are calculated by the following equation:

$$\begin{align*}
(x_{B_{i+1}} - x_{A_{i+1}})^2 + (z_{B_{i+1}} - z_{A_{i+1}})^2 &= L^2, \\
\frac{z_{B_{i+1}} - z_{E_{i}}}{x_{B_{i+1}} - x_{E_{i}}} &= k_{A_{i+1}'E_{i}}, \\
x_{B_{i+1}} > x_{E_{i}}.
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Figure 4: Schematic diagram of different contact states between AFC and the floor plate: (a) two endpoints of the AFC bottom contact the floor plate; (b) the rear endpoint contacts the floor plate; (c) the front endpoint contacts the floor plate; (d) no endpoint contacts the floor plate.

Figure 5: Schematic diagram of simulated AFC advancing. (a) Position of AFC before advancing. (b) Position of AFC after advancing.
If \( k_{A_i E_i} > k_{E_i E_j} \), after advancing, the coordinates of points \( A_{t+1} \) and \( B_{t+1} \) are calculated by the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
(x_{A_i} - x_A)^2 + (z_{A_i} - z_A)^2 &= S^2, \\
(x_{B_i} - x_{A_i})^2 + (z_{B_i} - z_{A_i})^2 &= L^2, \\
z_{A_{t+1}} - z_{E_{t+1}} &= z_{B_{t+1}} - z_{E_{t+1}}, \\
x_{A_{t+1}} - x_{E_{t+1}} &= x_{B_{t+1}} - x_{E_{t+1}} \\
= k_{E_{t+1} E_i}, \\
x_{A_{t+1}} > x_{A_i}, \\
x_{B_{t+1}} > x_{E_i}.
\end{align*}
\]

5. Cutting Path Planning Optimization Algorithm

According to the above introduction, the floor step of each cutting can be adjusted within the adjustment range of the trailing drum. Therefore, a multitree structure [29–32] is employed to store the possible advancing path of each cutting. At the same time, the depth-first search algorithm [33, 34] is utilized to analyze the advancing path of subsequent successive \( n \) cuttings for obtaining the optimal advancing path meeting the technological mining requirements.

Multitree is a data structure that stores hierarchical information. Each node can have zero or more child nodes, while it has only one parent node except the root node. A node with a maximum of two child nodes is called a binary tree, while a node with more than two child nodes is called a multitree. Figure 6 shows a multitree structure. Node A has no parent node and is the root node, while the nodes B, C, D, E, and F are the child nodes. B and C are the child nodes of A, while D, E, and F are the child nodes of C. Since node B has no child nodes, it is a leaf node.

Each node should be visited using the multitree structure, which is called the traversal process. The order of traversing nodes differs for different requirements and can be divided into breadth-first search and depth-first search. Breadth-first search means to search from the root node layer by layer. When all the nodes in the upper layer have been searched, it goes to the next layer to search until all nodes are traversed to find the desired results, such as \( A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \).

From the initial position of the shearer, multiple possible advancing paths (floor steps with different heights) can be obtained corresponding to the first cutting within the allowable adjustment range by adjusting the trailing drum. Each possible advancing path can correspond to multiple possible advancing paths of the second cutting. As shown in Figure 7, the paths are calculated layer by layer to form a tree structure.

Taking the initial position of the shearer as the root node \( o \), the multiple possible advancing paths (floor steps with different heights) of the first cutting are child nodes \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m \), while each child node's path corresponds to multiple advancing paths of the subsequent cutting as child nodes. Thus, these path data meet the multitree data structure characteristics, and each advancing path is utilized as the node data to form a multitree, as shown in Figure 8.

In this paper, each possible advancing path of the successive \( n \) cuttings should be compared with the coal seam bottom boundary (extracted from the local high-precision 3D dynamic geological model ahead of the working face) to calculate the sandwiched area. Therefore, the depth-first search algorithm is selected to traverse the node data. As shown in Figure 9, a comparison between the retaining
bottom coal area and the floor dinting area corresponding to each possible advancing path indicates that the advancing path that meets the process requirements is the optimal advancing path.

The actual mining conditions can be employed to plan the optimal advancing path according to the following three principles. (1) If the mining process requires cutting along the bottom boundary of the coal seam while cutting more coal and less rock, the branch path with the minimum sum of the retaining bottom coal area and the floor dinting area is the optimal path. (2) If the maximum recovery of coal resources is required, the branch path with zero retaining bottom coal area and minimum floor dinting area is the optimal path. (3) If minimum cutting rock layers are required, the branch path with zero floor dinting area and minimum retaining bottom coal area is the optimal path.

In the optimal advancing path of each connection position of the middle groove, the starting points of the floor steps are connected in an orderly manner, as the corresponding cutting path of the trailing drum. As shown in Figure 10, all possible advancing paths of each connection position can be calculated sequentially from one end of the working face to the other one. First, the optimal advancing paths of point 1 and point 2 are calculated based on the advancing direction constraints. Second, in order to calculate the optimal advancing path at point 3, each floor step should be considered comprehensively with the corresponding steps of the advancing path of points 1 and 2 to meet the AFC vertical curvature. Similarly, the calculation of the optimal advancing path for each subsequent point should be comprehensively considered with the optimal advancing path of the previous two points to meet the AFC vertical curvature until the other end of the working face. Finally, all the starting points of the first cut floor step are connected, which forms the planning cutting path of the trailing drum for the next cutting. The planning cutting
paths of the trailing drum for the subsequent successive $n$ cuttings can be obtained similarly.

### 6. Results and Discussion

Taking a working face of Guotun Coal Mine as an example, the optimal advancing path is compared with the "memory cut" advancing path. The working face of Guotun Coal Mine is a longwall working face, in which the face width is 188 m, the coal seam thickness is 4.2–6.0 m, and the coal seam dip angle is 0–15°, equipped with MG900/2245-GWD coal shearer and SGZ1000/2000 AFC. The shearer has a cutting depth of 0.8 m and a maximum floor-based quantity of 39 cm. A dip angle less than or equal to 15° is suitable for coal seams. The bottom width of AFC is 1.665 m, while the distance between the ramp plate and the coal wall is 44 cm. It is assumed that the drum adjustment interval is 2 cm, while the maximum adjustment height is 10 cm, and the maximum height of the floor step is 5 cm. LongRuanGIS 3.2 of Longruan is utilized to construct the high-precision 3D...
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Figure 11: Schematic diagram of the optimal advancing path of the shearer for subsequent five cuttings.

Figure 12: Continued.
geological model according to all the actual geological data of the coal seam of the panel. A section of the coal seam bottom boundary is chosen arbitrarily from the local model ahead of the working face for testing. The initial pitch angle of the shearer is −5.35°, and all possible advancing paths for the successive five cuttings are shown in Figure 11. The overlimit paths are screened out using constraint conditions, such as the maximum pitch angle of the shearer and the maximum height of the floor step. Among the remaining optional paths, the optimal advancing path is calculated based on the principle of “cutting along the coal seam bottom boundary and cutting more coal and less rock.”

The optimal advancing path in Figure 11 is extracted (see Figure 12(a)), while the other nine optimal paths are calculated in the working face using the same principle, and some of these paths are shown in Figure 12. Simultaneously, the “memory cut” advancing paths are simulated for comparison. The comparison results are presented in Table 1. The “memory cut” advancing paths are performed by manually controlling one cut along the bottom boundary of the coal seam and automatically cutting four cuts.

According to Figure 12, the optimal advancing path can better fit the coal seam bottom boundary than the “memory cut” advancing path under the principle of “cutting along the coal seam bottom boundary and cutting more coal and less rock.” Compared with floor dinting and retaining bottom coal, the proposed optimal advancing path has apparent advantages over “memory cut.” The total amount of floor dinting and retaining bottom coal is reduced by 79.58% on average. Adopting the optimal advancing path leads to the rapid mining of the intelligent working face and reduces resource waste. It is necessary to reduce the number of automatic cutting and increase the number of manual control cuttings to achieve similar results with “memory cut” technology.

The dip angle of the coal seam bottom boundary is recorded at the starting position of each cutting and the end position of the last cutting in the sample, as shown in Table 2. According to the optimization effect of the optimal advancing path in Table 1, the following results can be drawn:

(1) The optimal propulsion path can be utilized for coal seams with various dip angles.

(2) Compared with the “memory cut” technology, the advantage of the optimal advancing path is more evident for the coal seam with the more significant dip angle variations.

(3) As shown in Figure 13, there is a roughly positive correlation between the optimization effect of the optimal advancing path and the change of coal seam dip angle.

This paper only studies the cutting path planning optimization algorithm of the trailing drum for subsequent
successive n cuttings using the local high-precision 3D dynamic geological model ahead of the working face and lacks the corresponding cutting path of the leading drum. Accordingly, the cutting path of the leading drum should be planned based on the cutting paths of the trailing drum, while considering various factors, such as coal seam thickness, mining height, working face roof condition, hydraulic support top beam inclination, and hydraulic supported area.

7. Conclusions

Higher requirements are put forward for the intelligent control of the shearer in the construction of intelligent coal mines. This paper employs the local high-precision 3D dynamic geological model to derive the shearer’s cutting path planning optimization algorithm. The research results are mainly reflected in the following four points:

(1) The “memory cut” technology does not plan the trailing drum cutting path, and the single cutting path optimization or prediction method based on the “memory cut” technology cannot meet the intelligent mining requirements. In order to solve the mentioned problems, this paper proposes a multicutting path planning algorithm, which can adapt to the mining face with different mining requirements and realize automatic operation. Furthermore, the algorithm can automatically re-plan the cutting path of the shearer for subsequent successive n cuttings with the dynamic

### Table 1: Comparison of the two advancing methods (unit: m²).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample point</th>
<th>Floor dinting area</th>
<th>Optimal cut</th>
<th>Memory cut</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Optimization rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floor dinting</td>
<td>Retaining</td>
<td>Retaining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>area</td>
<td>bottom coal</td>
<td>bottom coal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>area</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
<td>0.0784</td>
<td>0.0356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0134</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0186</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>0.0425</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0238</td>
<td>0.2911</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.0406</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.0564</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>0.0183</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
<td>0.1361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.0298</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.0935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Statistical data of dip angle of coal seam bottom boundary (unit: °).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample point</th>
<th>Starting point of each cutting</th>
<th>End point of the 5th cut</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st cut</td>
<td>2nd cut</td>
<td>3rd cut</td>
<td>4th cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>−6.39</td>
<td>−6.39</td>
<td>−4.18</td>
<td>−1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>−0.95</td>
<td>−3.50</td>
<td>−3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>−12.53</td>
<td>−10.96</td>
<td>−8.38</td>
<td>−8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
<td>−5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>−7.89</td>
<td>−8.15</td>
<td>−8.15</td>
<td>−8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>−2.30</td>
<td>−2.62</td>
<td>−3.13</td>
<td>−3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>−3.22</td>
<td>−3.87</td>
<td>−5.52</td>
<td>−5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>−4.20</td>
<td>−5.96</td>
<td>−8.57</td>
<td>−8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>−7.45</td>
<td>−7.41</td>
<td>−7.41</td>
<td>−7.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 13](image-url)  
**Figure 13:** The relationship between the optimization rate and the standard deviation of coal seam dip angle.
update of the local geological model or the continuous advancement of the working face.

(2) The shearer’s advancing path calculation requires careful consideration of constraints, such as the shearer pitch angle, the vertical curvature of AFC, and the height of the floor step, as well as the initial position and initial pitch angle of the shearer. Besides, the calculation method for the shearer’s position after advancing can change with different floor steps. In this regard, this paper proposes a complete calculation method for the advancing path to simulate the shearer’s advancing process.

(3) Regarding how to achieve the optimal cutting of the trailing drum, this paper simulates all possible advancing paths under different adjustment values of the trailing drum and employs the multi-tree and the depth-first algorithm to compare all possible advancing paths of the subsequent successive n cuttings to obtain the optimal advancing path and realize the shearer pitch angle control. Meanwhile, this paper proposes to increase the constraint of the vertical curvature of AFC in the calculation process of the optimal advancing path. This means that the planned cutting curve for each cutting of the shearer trailing drum can be calculated while calculating the optimal advancing path at each connection position of the AFC.

(4) Taking a working face of Guotun Coal Mine as an example, the principle of “cutting along the coal seam bottom boundary and cutting more coal and less rock” is employed to compare the optimal advancing path with the “memory cut” advancing path. The optimal advancing algorithm has evident advantages over the “memory cut” technology, especially the more significant variations in the coal seam dip angle. The total amount of floor dinting and retaining bottom coal is reduced by 79.58% on average. The optimal advancing algorithm ensures the rapid advancement of the intelligent working face while reducing resource waste.
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The geological data in this paper mainly come from the Guotun Coal Mine in Shandong Province of China. The geological model is constructed using LongRuanGIS 3.2 of Longruan. Because coal mine geographic data contain some confidential data, data cannot be shared. Requests for access to the data can be made to the corresponding author if it is necessary.
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