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In order to improve the safety performance of supertall buildings under earthquake, a seismic performance analysis method of double lines of supertall buildings based on a response surface optimization algorithm is proposed. Firstly, the structural performance indexes of super high-rise buildings are selected, and on this basis, the material constitutive model is constructed by the structural elastic-plastic analysis method to complete the seismic design of the first line of defense. Then, the response surface method is used to optimize the material constitutive model and complete the seismic design of the second line. Finally, the anticollapse limit state analysis method is used to determine the seismic performance of the double line of super high-rise building structures. The experimental results show that the proposed analysis method has high seismic performance, and the axial compression ratio of wall and column is always stable, in which the column is always at about 0.5 and the wall is always at about 0.26, which lays a foundation for the safety performance of super high-rise building structures under earthquake.

1. Introduction

Earthquakes are one of the most serious natural disasters faced by mankind. According to incomplete statistics, in the past 100 years, dozens of cities around the world were destroyed by earthquake disasters, causing great casualties and property losses. Since ancient times, China has been a country with many earthquakes, with high frequency, intensity, and wide range of seismic activities. At 14:28 on May 12, 2008, a magnitude 8.0 earthquake occurred in Wenchuan County, Sichuan Province, my country, causing huge casualties and property losses. It was the most extensive and largest loss earthquake since the founding of the People’s Republic of China. As an expert from the University of Colorado in the United States said, “it is building that causes casualties, not earthquakes.” The destruction and collapse of a large number of super high-rise buildings are the main reasons for the huge casualties and property losses in this earthquake. Therefore, improving the seismic resistance of super high-rise building structures is a key factor in enhancing the earthquake resistance and disaster prevention capabilities of earthquake areas and reducing earthquake losses. The types of super high-rise buildings mainly include large chassis, large podiums, and point buildings. Their structural systems include tube structure (including beam tube, tube in tube, frame-tube structure), steel-concrete mixed structure, shear wall structure, frame-supported shear wall structure, and frame-shear wall structure [1–3]. For high-intensity areas, shear wall structures are often used for residential structures between 100 and 150 m. In order to meet the seismic requirements of super high-rise buildings with dual lines of defense, the bearing capacity, rigidity, stability, energy absorption, and dissipation of the reinforced concrete building structure should meet the requirements under the action of earthquakes [4, 5]. In the seismic design of reinforced concrete houses, in addition to rational structure selection and correct structural measures, more attention should be paid to conceptual design. The goal of the dual-line-of-defense seismic design of super high-rise buildings is to make the overall structure play a role in
dissipating seismic energy, avoiding sensitive weak parts of
the structure, leading to premature failure of super high-rise
buildings [6–8].

In accordance with the original Ministry of Construction
Order No. 111 of 2002, “Regulations on the Antiseismic
Fortification Management of Over-limit High-rise Build-
ings” and the Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural De-
“Special Technical Points for Seismic Fortification of Over-
limit High-rise Buildings”, the notice requires that designers
need to perform detailed calculations and demonstrations
based on the actual over-limit conditions of specific over-
limit high-rise buildings. Model tests are also required if
necessary. The design personnel’s demonstrations also need
to undergo a special review of seismic fortification to ensure
the super high-rise building structure [9] and antiseismic
safety performance with dual lines of defense. Li [10] ana-
yzed the seismic performance of over-limit high-rise
structures under moderate earthquakes. Taking a super
high-rise steel-concrete column-reinforced concrete core
tube hybrid structure as the engineering background, the
three-dimensional space model was established by SATWE
software, and the frame beam, connecting beam, and the
shear wall are subjected to moderate earthquake nonyielding
analysis, and the bottom through-height wall and column
shear performance are subjected to moderate earthquake
elastic analysis. The results show that the vertical members
basically maintain good elastic properties, and a few
members of the frame beams and coupling beams show
bending yield. But not serious, and the shear resistance is still
in the elastic stage, which can meet the requirements of
nonyielding under moderate earthquakes. At the same time,
under the action of moderate earthquakes, the coupling
beams yield before the frame beams, and then the shear wall
plastic hinge area yields. During the process, the frame
column did not yield, which was consistent with the basic
requirements of the second line of defense for seismic
fortification. Yi and Zhou [11] analyzed the seismic per-
formance of frame-shear wall structures with different frame
overturning moment ratios and designed 8 frame-shear wall
structure models with different frame overturning moment
ratios according to the structural design specifications. Based
on the fiber model, the PERFORM 3D program was used.
The elastoplastic time history analysis of the example model
under major earthquakes is carried out to study the influence
of the frame overturning moment ratio on the overall
seismic performance of the structure from various aspects.
The results show that the frame-shear frame with the frame
overturning moment ratio is between 15% and 60%. There is
no significant difference in the seismic performance of the
force wall structure, and when the frame overturning mo-
ment ratio is greater than 80%, the shear wall cannot be the
first seismic line of defense of the frame-shear wall structure.
However, the results of the above-mentioned two methods
for the analysis of the antiseismic performance of the double
line of defense of the super high-rise building structure are
not good. Reference [12] proposes a damage tolerance so-
lution to improve the seismic performance of buildings. To
achieve this with minimal initial investment, the structure is
designed using the principle of ductility to experience sig-
nificant plastic deformation in the primary structural ele-
ments. If structural damage is to some extent clearly
accepted and quantifiable in design codes, then issues related
to nonstructural damage will be dealt with more vaguely,
which is no longer in line with market and society re-
quirements. There is a need for new structural systems that
not only effectively reduce structural and nonstructural
damage but also provide good recentering capability, dur-
dability, repairability, and affordability while allowing for
easy-to-use design approaches. Suwondo et al. [13] present a
nonlinear analysis of the seismic performance of low-rise
concrete buildings in Indonesia. This study uses nonlinear
analysis to evaluate low-rise reinforced concrete buildings,
and its main purpose is to investigate the actual safety level of
concrete buildings that are seismically designed according to
Indonesian standards. The results show that the building
meets the expected goals of seismic design in both global and
local responses.

On the basis of the above two methods, this paper
proposes a method for analyzing the antiseismic perfor-
mance of super high-rise buildings with dual lines of defense
based on a response surface optimization algorithm; the
innovation of this method is to select the structural per-
formance index of super high-rise buildings and, at the same
time, to build the material constitutive model by using the
structural elastoplastic analysis method to complete the
antiseismic design of the first line of defense. Then, the
response surface method is used to optimize the material
constitutive model and complete the seismic design of the
second line of defense. Finally, the anticollapse limit state
analysis method is used to determine the seismic perform-
ance of the double line of defense of the super high-rise
building structure. The effectiveness of this method is ver-
ified by simulation experiments, which lays a foundation for
the seismic safety performance of super high-rise buildings
[14, 15].

2. Seismic Performance of Super High-Rise
Building Structure with Dual Lines of Defense
Based on Response Surface Optimization
Algorithm

Response surface optimization algorithm is a statistical al-
grithm that uses reasonable experimental design methods
and obtains certain data through experiments, uses multiple
quadratic regression equation to fit the functional rela-
tionship between factors and response values, and seeks the
optimal process parameters through the analysis of re-
gression equation to solve multivariable problems. Response
surface optimization (RSO) is a method for optimizing
experimental conditions, which is suitable for solving the
related problems of nonlinear data processing. It includes
many test and design technologies such as test design,
modeling, checking the suitability of the model, and seeking
the best combination conditions. Through the regression
fitting of the process and the drawing of the response surface
and contour line, the response value corresponding to each
factor level can be easily obtained. Based on the response value of each factor level, the predicted optimal response value and corresponding experimental conditions can be found. The advantage of the response surface optimization algorithm is that the random error of the test is considered. At the same time, the complex unknown function relationship is fitted with a simple one- or two-degree polynomial model in a small area, which is easy to calculate and is an effective means to solve practical problems [16, 17].

The key to analyzing the seismic performance of super high-rise buildings lies in two points: one is the selection of reasonable structural performance indicators, and the failure degree of components is determined by performance indicators; the other is structural elastoplastic analysis, which calculates the deformation and internal force of the structure under a large earthquake distributed. Based on the selection of structural performance indicators, this paper uses structural elastoplastic analysis to construct a material constitutive model, completes the seismic design of the first line of defense, then uses the response surface method to optimize the material constitutive model, and completes the second line of defense. The anticollapse limit state analysis method is used to judge the seismic performance of super high-rise buildings with dual lines of defense. An antisismic structural system should be composed of thousands of subsystems with good ductility and be connected by structural members with good ductility to work together. For example, the frame antisismic wall system is composed of two systems: the ductile frame and the antisismic wall. The double limb or multilimb seismic wall system is composed of ten single limb wall subsystems. The seismic structure system shall have the maximum possible internal and external redundancy and consciously establish a series of distributed yield zones so that the structure can absorb and dissipate a large amount of seismic energy, and it is easy to repair once damaged. If the building adopts multiple lines of defense, after the antilateral force members of the first line of defense are damaged under the strong earthquake attack, the antilateral force members of the second line of defense will be replaced immediately to withstand the impact of the subsequent ground motion, which can ensure the minimum safety of the building and avoid collapse [18, 19].

### 2.1. Deformation Index Limits of Super High-Rise Building Structural Members

This paper analyzes the antisismic performance of the dual line of defense of the super high-rise building structure under the action of the earthquake by means of component deformation [20]. The structural components of super high-rise buildings include shear wall and beam components and determine the plane layout of the structure, including the structural column spacing, core tube size, coupling beam layout, frame column, beam number, and other pieces of information. Then, the structural member size is preliminarily estimated according to the structural floor load, and the design conditions of the structure are checked in the next step, such as the axial compression ratio of the vertical members of the structure, the displacement angle between the layers of the structure, the period ratio, the stiffness weight ratio, the lateral stiffness ratio, the shear weight ratio of the floors, and the shear bearing capacity ratio. If the specification limits are not met, the corresponding components need to be modified and recalculated and recheck whether the limits of each design condition are met. When all design conditions meet the specification requirements, the size of the component can be determined. Finally, the reinforcement is carried out according to the internal force calculation results of the members. If the reinforcement ratio does not meet the requirements of the specification, it is necessary to change the section size of the member or improve the reinforcement strength. After recalculations, each condition shall be checked again until the conditions are met [21].

#### 2.1.1. Shear Wall Deformation Performance Index Limit

Because the shear failure is a brittle failure, the ductility is small, the boundary of each performance state is blurred, and the measured data about the shear performance of reinforced concrete is less, so only the wall members in the shear force state are not severely damaged. Deformation limits no longer give details of the deformation limits of other performance levels [22]. At the same time, in order to ensure the safety of the wall members under shear stress, the bearing capacity control principle is also adopted, and the performance of the wall members under shear stress is jointly controlled by the shear bearing capacity check calculation and the minimum shear section requirements.

The expression of shear wall shear capacity is

\[
V \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - 0.5} \left( 0.4 f_y b_h h_{w0} - 0.1 N \frac{A_{sh}}{S} \right) + 0.8 f_y A_{sh} h_{w0}. \tag{1}
\]

In the equation, \(N\) represents the standard value of the axial force of the shear wall, which is positive in tension and negative in compression. When \(N\) is pressure and the absolute value is greater than 0.2 \(f_y b_h h_{w0}\), take 0.2 \(f_y b_h h_{w0}\). When \(N\) is tension and the calculated value at the right end of (1) is less than 0.8 \(f_y A_{sh} h_{w0}\), take 0.8 \(f_y A_{sh} h_{w0}\). \(A_{sh}\) represents the full cross-sectional area of shear wall members; \(A_{sh}\) represents the area of \(T\)-shaped or \(I\)-shaped cross section shear wall webs; \(\lambda\) represents the shear span ratio; \(S\) represents the spacing of horizontally distributed steel bars in the shear wall.

The expression of the minimum shear section is

\[
V \leq 0.15 f_y b h_{w0}. \tag{2}
\]

In the equation, \(f_c\) represents the standard value of concrete axial compressive strength; \(b\) represents the width of the component section; \(h_{w0}\) represents the effective height of the component section [2]. The limit value of the deformation performance index of the shear wall can be obtained through the above equation. In order to ensure the safety of wall limb members in a shear stress state, the performance of wall limb members in a shear stress state is controlled jointly by shear bearing capacity checking calculation and minimum shear section requirements [23].
2.1.2. Deformation Performance Index Limit of Beam Member. For beam members under shear stress, the deformation limit is the same as that of shear walls; that is, only the deformation limit that is not severely damaged is specified. At the same time, the bearing capacity control principle is adopted, and the shear bearing capacity check calculation and the minimum shear section requirement are shared controlling the performance of beam members under shear stress.

Shear capacity of beam members is

\[ V \leq 0.7 f_t b h_0 + f_y S \frac{A_s}{h_0} \]  

(3)

In the equation, \( f_t \) represents the axial tensile strength of concrete; \( b \) represents the width of the component section; \( h_0 \) represents the effective height of the component section; \( f_y \) represents the tensile strength of the stirrups; \( S \) represents the stirrup spacing along the length of the component [24].

2.1.3. Classification Standard of Component Performance Status. Through the "Building Seismic Damage Grade Classification Standard", the performance level of super high-rise building structural components is divided into five damage states: intact, slight damage, medium damage, medium damage, and nonserious damage [25–27]. In order to facilitate the analysis of the seismic performance of the super high-rise building structure, this paper divides the structural performance into two criteria according to the failure type. One is bending or bending and shear failure, and the components are classified by the deformation index. The other is a shear failure which is adopted. The minimum shear section requires that the control member does not undergo brittle failure. When the component is damaged by bending or bending and shear, the damage of the component is divided into six properties, namely, performance 1 to performance 6. When the component exceeds the nonserious damage limit, the component is defined as a serious damage. The specific meaning is shown in Figure 1. When the damage of the component exceeds Performance 5, it indicates that the bearing capacity of the component has entered the descending section, and the bearing capacity has dropped by more than 20%.

When a member undergoes shear failure, the member is divided into two states, namely, nonshear damage and shear failure, by the restriction condition of the minimum shear section. Due to the plastic deformation of the component, the bearing capacity limit equation is no longer suitable for describing the ultimate bearing capacity of the component. When the component does not meet the minimum shear section requirements, it indicates that the component has undergone brittle shear failure [28, 29].

2.2. Determination of Seismic Performance Based on the Analysis Method of Structural Collapse Resistance Limit State. The collapse resistance limit state analysis method is an incremental dynamic analysis method (Incremental Dynamic Analysis, IDA method for short), which was proposed by Bertero in 1977. The IDA method refers to the input of the seismic time history of gradually increasing intensity, the elastoplastic analysis of the whole process from the structure to the collapse, and the determination of the collapse resistance limit state of the model. This section introduces the structural safety reserve coefficient \( R \) against collapse as a parameter to measure the structural safety reserve, which can determine the seismic performance of the double line of defense of the super high-rise building structure. Its expression is

\[ R = \frac{IM_c}{IM_{MCE}} \]  

(4)

In the equation, \( IM_c \) represents the ground motion intensity at which the structure just reaches the collapse resistance limit state. \( IM_{MCE} \) represents the intensity of ground motion of the structure under rare earthquakes, determining the collapsed state of the structure according to the safety judgment principle of the super high-rise building.

When \( R > 1 \), it indicates that the structure has not reached the collapse resistance limit state under the action of rare earthquakes. The larger the \( R \), the stronger the collapse resistance of the structure. The larger the safety reserve, the safer the structure. When \( R = 1 \), it indicates that the structure has just reached the collapse resistance limit state under the action of a rare earthquake, the structure has no safety reserve, and the structure may collapse if the earthquake action increases. When \( R < 1 \), it indicates that the structure has exceeded the collapse resistance limit state under the action of a rare earthquake, and the structure has collapsed, which is an unsafe structure.

2.3. Material Constitutive Model Based on Structural Elastoplastic Analysis. In the nonlinear analysis of reinforced concrete structures, the constitutive relationship model of concrete has a significant influence on the analysis results. In the actual reinforced concrete structure engineering, the coupling beam and the floor are connected by the reinforcement, and the coupling beam and the floor are poured at one time. Therefore, the contribution of the floor to the stiffness of the coupling beam must be considered in the calculation of the concrete structure; that is, the coupling beam is regarded as a T-beam. The floor is made of isotropic materials, and the elastic modulus is the elastic modulus of concrete. The floor unit has no bending stiffness and can only output the stress and strain in two directions in the unit plane. Multilayer composite materials are not supported. The concrete layer in the shear wall is generally two-dimensional, and the edge-constrained components are even three-dimensional. The constitutive model is much more complicated than the fiber model. For general engineering applications, the classic concrete elastoplastic + fracture constitutive model has a small amount of calculation and its accuracy can meet engineering needs. This paper mainly adopts the concrete constitutive model defined in MSC.MARC. The elastoplastic behavior of concrete materials in this model is based on the classical incremental elastoplastic constitutive theory, while the fracture behavior of concrete is described by a diffuse crack model.
The numerical model adopted for reinforcement is expressed as

\[ f = \beta \sqrt{3} I_1 + \gamma I_2^2 + 3 I_2 - \sigma^2 = 0. \]  

(5)

In the equation, \( I_1, I_2 \) is the first invariant of stress tensor and the second invariant of stress deflection. According to the expression of the numerical model, the parameter value is \( \beta = 3, \gamma = 0.2 \).

In this model, the incremental elastoplastic constitutive relationship is adopted, and the flow law is the associated flow. According to the stress-plastic strain curve of concrete under uniaxial compression, and according to the conversion relationship of (6) and (7), we obtain the equivalent stress-equivalent plastic strain relationship curve to calculate the hardening law.

\[ \sigma = \frac{\sigma}{2.8}, \]  

(6)

\[ \overline{\varepsilon_p} = \sqrt{3} \varepsilon_p. \]  

(7)

In the equation, \( \sigma \) and \( \overline{\varepsilon_p} \) are the equivalent stress and equivalent plastic strain, respectively.

According to the incremental elastoplastic constitutive theory, the calculated hardening equation after yield is

\[ d\sigma = \left[ D_e \frac{\partial f/\partial \sigma}{(1 - 3 I_1/2\sigma) H'} + \frac{\partial f/\partial \sigma}{D_e} \right] d\varepsilon \]  

(8)

= \( D_e d\varepsilon \).

In the equation, \( D_e \) is the elastic constitutive matrix of the material, and the parameter \( H' \) is the hardening parameter.

The main parameters that need to be set in the material constitutive model are shown in Figure 2. Since this model is based on the classic elastoplastic mechanics theory, the yield stress \( \sigma_y \) of the material needs to be set. The uniaxial compression test of concrete shows that for general concrete, before the stress reaches (0.3 to 0.4)\( f_c \), the deformation of the specimen is mainly elastic deformation, and the compressive stress-strain relationship is approximately straight. Therefore, the yield stress \( \sigma_y \) of concrete materials can generally be set to the peak strength 1/3 of it.

It can be seen from Figure 2 that the constitutive model is a mathematical model defining the mechanical properties of a material. In short, it is the response of the material receiving external excitation, and it is also the stress-strain relationship. Different materials have different constitutive models, which can be compiled into different types of material subroutines, which exist independently of other modules of finite element analysis.

2.4. Model Optimization Based on Response Surface Methodology. This paper proposes a new optimization method for complex constitutive models, a model optimization method based on the response surface method, and uses the response surface method to optimize the material constitutive model constructed above. In this optimization, the axial compression ratio of the shear wall and the shear clay ratio of the beam are used as constraints to control the component indexes, and the structural displacement ratio, displacement angle, and period ratio are used as constraint conditions to control the overall index. Regarding both the positive analysis results and the measured results as prior knowledge, the design parameter values are changed through experimental design, the numerical calculation model is used for positive analysis to obtain typical sample points, and an equivalent fast-running model-response surface is established through statistical learning methods. The model is used to replace the original numerical calculation model for structural analysis to reduce the computational complexity in the model optimization process and to find the global optimal solution of the structural design parameters. The principle of constitutive model optimization based on response surface is to select some appropriate structural parameter values within a reasonable range of structural parameters, use the structural numerical calculation model to calculate the response value, and fit the structural response value and structural parameters with an explicit response surface function; a simplified structural response surface model is obtained based on the complex implicit relationship between the structure response surface model [30].
The parametric model optimization method can be expressed as follows: optimizing the structural parameters \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \) (geometric size, elastic modulus, elastic stiffness, damping coefficient, etc.) to use the finite element model to calculate the structural performance index \( y \) (displacement, displacement angle, period, etc.) consistent with actual test results. For this reason, it is necessary to study the quantitative relationship between \( y \) and \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \), and the relationship between them can be expressed by the model shown in

\[
y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) + \epsilon. \tag{9}
\]

In the equation, \( f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \) is a function of \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \), usually called the response function, and \( \epsilon \) is a random error, which represents the interference term caused by the influence of uncontrollable noise factors. It is usually assumed that \( \epsilon \) is independent of each other in different experiments. And the mean is 0, and the variance is \( \sigma^2 \). Because the relationship between response \( y \) and \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \) can be graphically described as a curved surface on the area of \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \), the study of this relationship is called response surface.

Usually, the purpose of research is to maximize or minimize the response or achieve the desired response value. This article is divided into two stages.

The main goal of the first stage is to determine whether the current test conditions or the level of input variables are close to the optimal (maximum or minimum) position of the response surface. When the test conditions are far away from the optimal position of the surface, the first-order model is usually used to approximate:

\[
y = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + \epsilon. \tag{10}
\]

In the equation, the undetermined coefficient \( a_i \) represents the slope or linear effect of variable \( x_i \).

When the test area is close to the optimal area of the response surface or is located in the optimal area, the second stage design can be carried out; the purpose is to obtain an accurate approximation of the response surface near the optimal value and identify the optimal level combination of input variables. At this time, the second-order model is often used to approximate:

\[
y = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} a_{ij} x_i x_j + \epsilon. \tag{11}
\]

The optimized constitutive model parameters are obtained by iterative optimization according to the measured response value of the structure test. The optimization calculation directly based on the explicit polynomial response surface function is completely a mathematical operation process, which can significantly improve the optimization efficiency and reduce the number of numerical analysis calculations. Therefore, the response surface model is a fast-running model.

### 3. Simulation Experiment Analysis

In order to verify the performance of the dual-line-of-defense seismic performance analysis method of super high-rise buildings based on the response surface optimization algorithm in practical applications, a simulation experiment was carried out. This paper takes an actual super high-rise building project located in the center of Zhengzhou, Henan Province, as the research background. This project is a 45-story super high-rise commercial building, which is used for commercial and office use. The main building has 4 underground floors and is integrally connected to the 4-story underground garage. The main building and the commercial podium are equipped with expansion joints and seismic joints. The appearance of the building is shown in Figure 3. The total height of the main building is 176.3 m, the plane size is 34.4 m × 54.0 m, the core barrel size is 13.6 m × 22.0 m 8 m, the main building adopts the form of grouting bored pile + raft foundation, and the software used is design expert V8.0.6. The seismic fortification intensity of the project site is 7°, the design seismic acceleration value is 0.15g, the design earthquake group is the second group, the design characteristic period is 0.40 s, and the category of the construction site is class II.

Among them, the actual reinforcement diagram of the shear wall and beam members is shown in Figure 4.

According to the above super high-rise building structure, shear wall, and reinforcement structure of beam members, the structural calculation parameters are obtained, as shown in Table 1.

The optimization model in this paper is used to optimize the shear wall and beam components, and the maximum axial compression ratio of the shear wall and beam components is compared with the specification limit. The comparison result is shown in Figure 5.

According to Figure 5, during the optimization process, the axial compression ratio of the wall column is always relatively stable. The column is always around 0.5 and the wall is around 0.26.
The optimization model in this paper is used to optimize the total weight of the super high-rise building structure, and the total weight of the structure is compared with the specification limit. The comparison result is shown in Figure 6.

In the first 26th step of the optimization process, the total weight curve of the structure developed smoothly, fluctuating between 372207 kN and 379033 kN, rapidly decreased to 342530 kN at the 27th step, and reached the minimum value of 328699 kN at the 34th step, but at this time, the beam shear-compression ratio exceeded. According to the specification limit, the shear force distribution ratio of the first-story frame is less than 10% of the constraint requirement, and then, the weight curve rises slightly to 353862 kN and then develops relatively smoothly, reaching convergence in step 54.
The method in this paper is used to obtain the time history results of the top floor displacement and the total base shear force and compare them with the actual test results. The time history curve of the top displacement of the super high-rise building structure and the time history curve of the total base shear force are drawn through Matlab simulation software, as shown in Figures 7 and 8.

The seismic response of the super high-rise building structure is simulated and analyzed accurately. From the time history calculation results of the top floor displacement and the total base shear force, it can be basically consistent with the actual test results, especially the peak response time.

4. Conclusion

With the rapid development of the world economy, people’s needs and pursuits for life continue to increase, along with the deepening of economic globalization, coupled with factors such as urban population concentration, land shortage, and fierce business competition, a large number of high-level, super high-rise buildings are widely used at home and abroad, and they are mainly used in commercial, residential, hotel, and other fields. The research and development of building materials, the research of new structural systems, and the rapid development of computer operation speed have provided the possibility for the design and construction of high-rise buildings. The continuous development of new building materials, new structural forms, and building construction technologies, as well as the continuous updating and development of computer technology, provide solid technical support for the design and construction of super high-rise buildings. The following conclusions were drawn from the research:

1. Research on the seismic performance of double defense lines of super high-rise building structures based on the response surface optimization algorithm. During the optimization process, the axial compression ratio of the wall and column changes steadily, the column is always around 0.5, and the wall is always around 0.26.

2. The overall weight curve of the structure develops smoothly during the optimization process.

3. The time history calculation results of the top floor displacement and the total shear force of the base of the super high-rise building structure basically agree with the actual test results, especially the time when the peak response occurs.

However, due to the frequent occurrence of earthquake disasters and the continuous rise of building heights, while the structural design and construction of super high-rise buildings are becoming more difficult, the analysis of the seismic performance of super high-rise building structural systems and the research on high-efficiency seismic structural systems have become particularly critical. Improving the overall seismic performance of supertall building structures in China has far-reaching implications for the construction industry. Future research can further analyze the following aspects:

1. The next step is to compare and analyze the results of the calculation examples with different intensities and heights. In the future, further calculation and comparison of more calculation examples are needed to demonstrate the rationality of the results.

2. Due to the lack of data statistics (number of non-structural components, quota, construction period, etc.), the next work can evaluate the postearthquake repair cost, repair time, and casualties of the structure. Subsequent research can also count the cost of components and the number of nonstructural...
components corresponding to different building functions, so as to further improve the research on seismic toughness evaluation.
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