Prevalence and Predictors of Pulmonary Tuberculosis among Prison Inmates in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Introduction. Prisoners in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are at a high risk of tuberculosis (TB) infection due to overcrowding and poor ventilation. Consequently, TB is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in prison, and many inmates face a number of barriers to TB control and had limited information in the region. Thus, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to estimate the overall pooled prevalence of pulmonary TB and predictors among prison inmates in SSA. Methods. From 2006 to 2019, a systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted using various databases, including PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus. The data were extracted in Microsoft Excel using a standardized data extraction format, and the analysis was carried out with STATA version 14. To detect heterogeneity across studies, the I^2 and the Cochrane Q test statistics were computed. To determine the overall prevalence of TB and predictors among prison populations, a random effect meta-analysis model was used. Results. Of the 3,479 retrieved articles, 37 studies comprising 72,844 inmates met the inclusion criteria. The pooled prevalence of pulmonary TB among prison inmates in SSA was 7.74% (95% CI: 6.46-8.47). In the subgroup analysis, the highest prevalence was found in the Democratic Republic Congo (DRC) (19.72%) followed by Zambia (11.68%) and then Ethiopia (9.22%). TB/HIV coinfection (OR 4.99 (95% CI: 2.60-9.58)), Body mass index (BMI < 18.5) (OR 3.62 (95% CI: 2.65-6.49)), incarceration (OR 4.52 (95% CI: 2.31-5.68)), and previous TB exposure (OR 2.43 (95% CI: 1.61-3.56)) had higher odds of pulmonary TB among inmates. Conclusion. The prevalence of pulmonary TB among SSA prison inmates was found to be high as compared to total population. TB/HIV coinfection, BMI, incarceration duration, and TB exposure were all predictors with pulmonary tuberculosis in prison inmates. As a result, emphasizing early screening for prisoners at risk of pulmonary TB is an important point to achieving global TB commitments in resource-limited settings.

1. Background

Tuberculosis (TB) is an airborne infectious disease mainly caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), which is still a major public health issue affecting person of all ages [1]. Adults in their most productive years are primarily affected, which accounted 88% [2, 3]. Globally, an estimated 10 million people developed TB disease in 2019, and there are 1.2
million TB deaths in HIV-negative people and an extra 208,000 among people living with HIV [4]. The major
derivatives of TB remain undernutrition, poverty, tobacco
smoking, people infected with HIV, prisoners, household
air pollutions, diabetes, and other comorbidities that impair
the immune system which are all the risk of contracting TB
[2–4]. TB affects 30% of the world’s population and is the
leading cause of death from a single infectious pathogen,
accounting for 1.3 million deaths each year [5]. In 2020,
the 30 high TB burden countries accounted for 86% of
new TB cases, with 1.5 million people dying from the disease
[6]. Low- and middle-income countries, including sub-
Saharan Africa (SSA), account for 94% of all TB infections
and deaths [2, 7]. In 2017, more than 10 million new cases
of tuberculosis were reported worldwide, with one-third of
these cases going unreported due to gaps in the healthcare
system [7–9]. Although the World Health Organization
(WHO) End Tuberculosis Global Strategy sets patronizing
targets for 2020–2035, it aims to detect an estimated 90% of
TB cases and reduce TB deaths by 95% in 2035 as com-
pared to 2015, particularly among TB key populations who
are most at risk of TB infection but have limited access to
quality TB healthcare service [10–13]. To that end, WHO
has prioritized the most vulnerable TB patients, including
the poor, refugees, HIV-positive people, and prisoners,
who are TB key populations [14]. Prison inmates are
thought to be reservoirs for MTB transmission within their
walls and in the community as a whole, but they are ignored
for a variety of known reasons, including a lack of quality TB
diagnostic services, overcrowding or stressful surroundings,
a lack of perioding active case screening, comorbid illness,
poor nutrition, and poor wall ventilation, particularly in
SSA prisons [15–17]. Facts suggest that the risk of develop-
ing tuberculosis in prison is 6–30 times higher than in the
general population, but 200 times higher in SSA, particularly
in overcrowded prisons [13, 15]. In 2016, for example, a
review of 24 SSA countries’ prisons revealed TB prevalence
ranging from 0.4 to 16.3% [18]. Another study published
in the same year found that regional variation in TB prevalence
was 5.3% in Southern and East Africa and 2.9% in
Central and West Africa [18]. In SSA prisons, overcrowding
and poor ventilation are severe, with data showing that 86% of
countries with data had prison occupancy rates above
100%, increasing the risk of airborne TB infection [19].
Despite its burden, there is no aggregated data on pulmo-

nary TB prevalence and its predictors in SSA prison inmates.
As a result, the aim of this systematic review and meta-
analysis was to precisely estimate the overall pooled preva-

lence and predictors of pulmonary tuberculosis among

prison inmates in SSA.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy and Study Identification. From 2006 to
October 24, 2020, we systematically searched for articles
published in international databases and electronic engines
such as PubMed/MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, Google
Scholar, Embase, Scopus, and Web of Science. In addition,
we searched the reference lists of the included studies for
relevant articles. The grey literatures were found by commu-
nicating and searching the digital/repository library, the
institution’s official website, colleagues, researchers, and
other scholars. To search relevant articles for this study,
the following MeSH terms and keywords were used: “preva-

lence”/“magnitude”/“proportion”, “tuberculosis”, “pulmo-

nary tuberculosis”, “PTB”, “associated factors”/

“predictors”/“risk factors”, “prison inmates”/“prisoners”,
“Sub-Saharan Africa”, and “SSA”. The MeSH terms were
used separately and/or in combination using Boolean oper-
ators like “OR” or “AND” (see Supplementary file 1). The liter-

ature search for the aforementioned databases was done
from June 01, 2020, to October 24, 2020. The review proto-
col was entered into the International Prospective Register of
Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), which is maintained by
the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemina-
tion (CRD4202016764). Preferred Reporting Items for
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines
were followed in this study [20, 21].

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

2.2.1. Inclusion Criteria. The contents of each included article
were independently reviewed by the two investigators (HB and
TB). When the specimen for laboratory processing is sputum,
the prevalence of pulmonary TB was reported, and quality
assurance measures for laboratory diagnostic methods (vol-
ume of sputum, acid-fast bacilli (AFB), fluorescence micros-
copy (FM), culture, and GeneXpert MTB/RIF), diagnostic
technique of standard operating procedures, and methodolog-
ical quality of each original study were carefully examined.

Study area: all novel or primary studies conducted in
SSA prisons were taken into account.

Publication condition: from January 1, 2006, to Octo-
ber 24, 2020, articles published in peer-reviewed journals
were included.

Study design: observational study designs with original
articles contain data reporting the prevalence or number of
confirmed TB cases and predictors of pulmonary TB among
SSA prison inmates.

Language: only English language publications were
considered.

2.2.2. Exclusion Criteria. After reviewing the abstracts and full
texts of the papers, the two independent reviewers (HB and
TB) extracted data with care. We excluded incomplete data,
full-text inaccessible articles, studies conducted outside of
SSA after contacting the primary authors via email or phone,
articles that did not report the point prevalence, reviewed
articles, and studies conducted in the general population.

2.3. Data extraction. The three authors (HB, TB, and ZH)
extracted the necessary data using a standardized data extrac-
tion format in an excel spreadsheet. The following informa-
tion was extracted from the studies: first author, country of
study, diagnostic methods, specimen type, study design, year
of publication, sample size, area of prisoners, and tuberculo-
sis prevalence. When the three authors disagreed, a fourth
author (FW) was consulted, and disagreements were resolved
through consensus and discussion.
2.4. Quality Assessment Tool. To assess the quality of the studies, the two authors (HB and TB) used the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment tool, which was modified for nonrandomized and cross-sectional studies [22, 23]. Quality assessment tool was modified for nonrandomized and cross-sectional studies. There are three indicators in the tool. The first section was given five stars and assessed the quality of the study’s methodology; the investigators also evaluated the quality of laboratory diagnostic procedures. The second section graded the studies’ comparability out of three stars. The third section graded the quality of the original articles based on their statistical analyses, which were graded out of two stars. Studies with medium (50% of quality assessment criteria was met) and high quality (6 out of 10 scales) were included in the analysis.

2.5. Statistical Data Analysis. The necessary data was extracted using Microsoft Excel and analyzed using STATA/SE version 14. Tables were used to summarize the included articles, and the forest plot was used to estimate the study effect size and confidence interval. Using the binomial distribution formula, the researchers calculated the standard error of TB prevalence for each original article. Using the Cochrane Q statistics and $I^2$ test, we examined heterogeneity in the reported prevalence of pulmonary TB [24]. Heterogeneity is classified as high with >75%, substantial with 50-75%, moderate with greater than 25 and less than 50%, and low with 25% for $I^2$ [25]. To determine the pooled effect of the original studies, a random effects model with Der Simonian and Laird’s statistical methods was used. To identify potential sources of heterogeneity, a univariate metaregression analysis was performed using the publication year, sample size, and confirmed TB. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was performed in these studies using variables to reduce the random heterogeneity between the estimates of the original studies [26]. Potential publication bias was evaluated subjectively using a funnel plot and objectively using Egger’s weighted correlation and Begg’s regression cutoff tests at a 5% significance level; a $p$ value of 0.05 indicates the presence of publication bias [27]. Another subjective assessment of publication bias is a funnel plot, which is plotted by effect size per study versus standard error of effect size. Each dot represents a single study, and symmetric dots with an inverted funnel shape indicate that there is no publication bias. If the random effects model detects publication bias, the estimate is determined using the trim and fill analysis.

3. Results

3.1. PRISMA Flow Chart. The authors extensively extracted a total of 3,479 articles from international databases and other electronic engines. Because of duplications, 2131 records were removed, and 975 and 320 records were excluded from the remaining 1,342 articles after reviewing their titles and abstracts, respectively. Forty-seven articles were fully evaluated for inclusion and exclusion based on the study eligibility criteria. We removed 10 articles due to population and outcome of interest differences such as Indonesia [28], sub-Saharan Africa [5, 29], Ethiopia [30], Malaysia [9], Nepal [31], Brazil [32], southwest Iran [33], Portugal [34], and Uganda [35]. Finally, 37 studies with a total of 72,844 prisoners met the eligibility criteria and were included in the final systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

3.2. Explanation of the Original Studies. The following are the characteristics of the 37 original studies included in this
These studies were published between 2006 and 2019, and the current study included 11 country prison inmates. The majority of the studies, 14/37 (37.8%), were conducted in various regions of Ethiopia [36–49]: four in Nigeria [50–53], four in South Africa [54–57], two in Malawi [58, 59], two in Uganda [60, 61], three in Zambia [62, 63], two in Cameroon [64, 65], one in Côte d’Ivoire [66], two in Ghana [67, 68], one in Tanzania [69], and two in Democratic Republic Congo (DRC) [70, 71], whereas WHO has classified five of them among the thirty high burden country lists for TB, TB/HIV, and MDR-TB [8]. The highest prevalence of pulmonary TB among prison inmates (23.08%) was reported in Nigeria from Aba Federal prison [51], while the lowest prevalence (1.42%) of pulmonary TB was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Method of diagnosis</th>
<th>Specimen type</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>QS (10pts)</th>
<th>Prevalence with 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abebe et al. 2011 [36]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.07 (2.6, 5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adane et al. 2016 [37]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.45 (2.8, 10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addis et al. 2015 [38]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.9 (1, 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agaje et al. 2018 [39]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11 (10, 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizachew Beza et al. 2017 [40]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.4 (8, 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biadglegne et al. 2014 [41]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 (5, 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibissa et al. 2019 [42]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture, and GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 (5, 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merid et al. 2018 [44]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 (1, 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokes et al. 2012 [45]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 (1, 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed 2017 [46]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 (2, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winsa and Mohammed 2015 [47]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 (2, 34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zedro et al. 2014 [48]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 (3, 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adane et al. 2019 [49]</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 (1, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adesokan et al. 2014 [50]</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7 (5, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chigbu and Iroegbu 2010 [53]</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13 (7, 16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekundayo et al. 2015 [51]</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12 (2, 46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawal et al. 2009 [52]</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 (1, 6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banda et al. 2009 [58]</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>3794</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (1.7, 4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanyerere et al. 2012 [59]</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>2217</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 (0.5, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mpereve et al. 2016 [60]</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>FM, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 (6, 5.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owokuhaisa et al. 2014 [61]</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 (2, 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zshiri et al. 2015 [55]</td>
<td>S.A</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>4945</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4 (1, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telisinghe et al. 2014 [54]</td>
<td>S.A</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 (1, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyasulu et al. 2015 [56]</td>
<td>S.A</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, FM</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5 (1, 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan et al. 2019 [57]</td>
<td>S.A</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>31547</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habeenzu et al. 2007 [72]</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Case finding</td>
<td>AFB, FM, and culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 (2, 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggard et al. 2015 [63]</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>7638</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 (3.1, 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henostroza et al. 2013 [62]</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4 (1, 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noeske et al. 2011 [65]</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Case finding</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>3219</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7 (0.9, 7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noeske et al. 2006 [64]</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>2474</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (0.3, 7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Séri et al. 2017 [66]</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, culture</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 (1, 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwabla et al. 2015 [67]</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9 (7, 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesay (2016) [68]</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 (5, 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mmbaga (2013) [69]</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 (3.9, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalonji et al. 2016 [70]</td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>AFB, FM</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 (1, 24.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayomo et al. 2018 [71]</td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>C-S</td>
<td>GeneXpert</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9 (1, 27.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: AFB: acid-fast bacilli; FM: fluorescence microscopy; C-S: cross-sectional; S.A: South Africa; DRC: Democratic Republic Congo; SNNPR: Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People’s Region; QS: quality score.
reported among eighteen prisons in Malawi [58]. In this meta-analysis, 72,844 prison inmates were included in SSA prisons to evaluate the pooled prevalence of pulmonary TB and its predictors. Concerning the study design, almost all 89.2% (33/37) of the studies were cross-sectional study. Study-specific sample size ranged from 52 in Nigeria [51] to 31,547 in South Africa [57]. All the original studies used sputum as a specimen for diagnosis of TB, and different diagnostic techniques were carried out to confirm pulmonary TB in the prisoners such as AFB or direct light microscopy, fluorescent microscopy (FM), culture, and GeneXpert. The quality score of all the 37 studies ranged six to nine (Table 1).

3.3. The Quality Score of the Original Studies. All of the 37 studies were undergoing the quality assessment of methodological, and methods of laboratory diagnostic criteria were critically justified by using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment tool [22]. Regarding the sampling technique, almost all of the included studies used active case finding approach ≥ two or less duration of cough during the study period. Presumptive symptom-based tuberculosis screening using standardized tuberculosis screening protocols was used. All the included studies used different laboratory diagnostic techniques to confirm pulmonary tuberculosis in the prisons (Table 2).

3.4. Meta-Analysis (Heterogeneity, Publication Bias, and Sensitivity Analysis). The overall pooled prevalence of pulmonary TB among SSA prison inmates in the random effects model was 7.74% (95% CI, 6.70-8.79, I² = 97.3%) (Figure 2). The pooled point incidence rate in this meta-analysis from the thirty-seven studies per 100,000 prison inmates was estimated to be 10,700 per 100,000 prison inmates (95% CI: 10,340–10,952). The results of the included studies’ sensitivity analyses revealed that no study significantly influenced the results, with effect sizes ranging from 2.82 to 3.07. Different factors potentially associated with heterogeneity, such as sample size, publication year, and confirmed pulmonary TB, were checked by advanced metaregression model using univariate metaregression to identify the possible source of heterogeneity, and all potential variables of TB prevalence were not statistically significant (Table 3).

3.5. Subgroup Analysis. A subgroup analysis was performed to investigate the source of heterogeneity based on country, sample size, and study publication year. According to this, the DRC has the highest prevalence of pulmonary TB, with a prevalence of 19.72% (95% CI: 15.86-23.59) followed by Zambia 11.68% (95% CI: 5.61-17.75), Côte d’Ivoire 9.33% (95% CI: 7.46-11.19), and Ethiopia 9.22% (95% CI: 6.59-11.85). With respect to the sample size, the prevalence of pulmonary TB in prisoners was higher 8.5% (95% CI: 6.00-11.01) in studies having a sample size of <384 as compared to those participants which have a sample size of ≥384 who have 7.3% (95% CI: 6.07-8.52). Concerning years of publication, the prevalence of pulmonary TB among prison inmates in SSA was higher in studies which have been conducted after 2015, 9.01% (95% CI: 7.10-10.92), as compared to studies which had been carried out before 2015, 6.72% (95% CI: 5.16-8.28) (Table 4).

In this review, we observed that no publication bias was statistically significant between the primary studies by
observing the funnel plot, Begg’s (p value = 0.147), and Egger’s regression asymmetry test (p value = 0.143) (Figure 3).

3.6. Predictors of Pulmonary Tuberculosis among Prison Inmates. Only seven of the 37 studies had data that could be analyzed to determine the associations [37, 40, 43, 45, 56, 65, 66]. Body mass index (BMI), TB/HIV coinfection, a history of TB, and incarceration, which prison inmates live in the prison ≥ 6 months, were identified as potential predictors for pulmonary TB among prison inmates in SSA countries (Figure 4). BMI of 18.5 kg/m² was found to be significantly associated with pulmonary tuberculosis in prison inmates adjusted odds ratio (AOR): 3.62 (95% CI: 2.31-5.68). Prison inmates who have a body mass index of < 18.5 kg/m² were 3.62 times more likely to have pulmonary TB as compared to those prisoners who have a BMI of ≥ 18.5 kg/m² (Figure 4(a)). When compared to their counterparts, inmates with HIV were 4.99 times more likely to be infected with pulmonary tuberculosis, AOR: 4.99 (95% CI: 2.60-9.58) (Figure 4(b)). Similarly, a meta-analysis of this study found that prison inmates with a history of TB were more likely to contract pulmonary TB (Figure 4(c)). The pooled estimate of the seven studies also revealed that long duration of incarceration (≥6 months) was strongly related to pulmonary tuberculosis among prison inmates, AOR: 4.52 (95% CI: 2.57, 7.98) (Figure 4(d)).
4. Discussion

Tuberculosis remains a major public health threat worldwide, particularly in the SSA region. The current meta-analysis found a high prevalence of pulmonary TB among SSA prison inmates. TB/HIV coinfection, BMI, long duration of incarceration, and previous TB exposure were predictors of pulmonary TB infection among prison inmates. The current meta-analysis estimated that the overall pooled prevalence of TB among prison inmates using the 37 studies was 7.74%. This prevalence is similar with the study conducted in Malaysia 7.7% [9], Southwest Iran 7.9% [33], and SSA (6.4%-8.8%) [30, 38, 41, 56, 63] as previously reported studies among prison inmates. However, the finding of this meta-analysis was higher than studies in South Thailand (2.1%) [74], Peru (2.51%) [75], African countries (4.5%) [76], Brazil [77], and SSA (2%-3.6%) [37, 55, 63] as reported in a previous systematic review conducted from prison inmates. Moreover, the finding of our study was lower than a study conducted in Brazil diagnosed with smear, GeneXpert, and culture 12%-12.9% [78, 79], Nepal sputum smear and GeneXpert test 10% [31], and SSA 10%-13.7% [44, 61] as conducted in previous reports among prison inmates. The possible explanations for the observed variations might be attributable to the difference in the geographical variation, overcrowding, method of diagnosis, and number of prisoners in a cell with poor ventilation. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, the pooled estimated incidence of pulmonary TB among prisoners was 10,700/100,000, which is higher than WHO target for end TB strategy incidence in 2019, which is approximately130/100,000 [8]. Despite this, high incidence of TB in prisons could be possibly the fact that they are a forgotten population in the case of early screening, diagnosis, and treatment of TB in a high-risk population like prison inmates.

### Table 4: Subgroup analysis of the prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among prison inmates in SSA, 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Included studies</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence with 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5,475</td>
<td>9.22 (6.59, 11.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,386</td>
<td>2.34 (0.40, 4.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,011</td>
<td>4.00 (1.1, 9.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>8.15 (2.47, 18.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38,600</td>
<td>5.56 (3.61, 7.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10,989</td>
<td>11.68 (5.61, 17.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,386</td>
<td>3.92 (3.14, 4.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>9.33 (7.46, 11.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>2.46 (0.58, 4.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>3.57 (1.85, 5.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,651</td>
<td>19.72 (15.86, 23.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;384</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3,425</td>
<td>8.5 (6.00, 11.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥384</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69,419</td>
<td>7.3 (6.07, 8.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2015</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24,943</td>
<td>6.72 (5.16, 8.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2015</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47,901</td>
<td>9.01 (7.10, 10.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** The funnel plot of the meta-analysis containing the 37 studies.
Figure 4: Continued.
The subgroup analysis of this meta-analysis also showed that the prevalence of pulmonary TB was higher in prisoners incarcerated in DRC 19.72%, Zambia 11.68%, and Ethiopia 9.22% as compared to other countries in SSA. This difference in the prevalence of pulmonary TB within countries in the prisons might be the difference in the diagnostic technique, screening method, overcrowded, and sociocultural and socioeconomic variations of the study participants. Concerning to study year, the prevalence of pulmonary TB was significantly higher than in those studies conducted after 2015 as compared to those studied before 2015. This difference might be hypothesized that due to implementation variations in strategy targets and milestones for the underlying in the end TB strategy in SSA. This might be integrated, patient-centered care and prevention for high-risk populations of TB, bold policies and supportive systems, high-level political commitment and shortage of enhanced resources, and intensified research and innovations to end TB epidemic in high-risk groups and new diagnostics tools, drugs, and vaccines in the SSA region were needed [14].

This meta-analysis examined that the predictors of BMI, presence of TB/HIV coinfecion, previous TB history, and duration of incarceration were meta-analyzable and were significantly associated with the prevalence of pulmonary TB among prison inmates in SSA. Prison inmates who are underweight were 3.62 more likely to be infected by pulmonary TB than those prison inmates who had a BMI of ≥18.5 kg/m². This finding is similar with a study reported by Valença et al. and Casha and Scarci [79, 80]. The possible explanation for this is that a low BMI in body construct might be in some way predisposed to TB reactivation in the lung due to congenital apical lung bullae and biomechanical pleural stress that increases in apical pleural stress in low anteroposterior diameter chests on coughing. Underweight impairs the immune system; these might alter cytokine synthesis, reduce antigen response, and diminish function of natural killer cells, dendritic cells, and macrophages, thus might affect TB incidence. The presence of previous TB/HIV coinfecion of the prison inmates was significantly associated with the expansion of pulmonary TB. Prisoners with previous TB/HIV coinfecion were 4.99 times more likely to have active pulmonary TB than prisoners who had not TB/HIV infection before. This is because people living with HIV are more likely infected by *Mycobacteria tuberculosis* where HIV weakens the immune system due to the depletion of CD₄ T cells and HIV upregulates *M. tuberculosis* entry into receptors on macrophages [1, 81] that weakens the body to fight the bacteria and exerts immense burden in healthcare systems in resource liming settings like SSA. Similarly, the results of this meta-analysis showed that prisoners that have not previously TB history have protective associations with enhancement of pulmonary TB. Prison inmates who have presence of previous TB history/contact were 2.43 times more likely to develop pulmonary TB as compared to its counterparts. The finding of this study is consistent with a study conducted in South India which is 3.64 times more infected [82]. Long duration of imprisonment of prisoners was 4.52 times more likely infected by *M. tuberculosis* as compared to those prisoners whose duration of incarceration is short [50, 56, 82]. This might be because prisoners are not closed system, due to the number of people entering, departing, and reentering and poor environmental situation possibly increasing the transmission probability [83].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author year</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adane et al [2016]</td>
<td>1.84 (0.88, 3.84)</td>
<td>15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beza et al [2017]</td>
<td>39.50 (9.46, 164.99)</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gebrecherkos et al [2016]</td>
<td>16.41 (5.25, 51.35)</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moges et al [2012]</td>
<td>2.51 (1.10, 5.75)</td>
<td>14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyasulu et al [2015]</td>
<td>4.91 (3.20, 7.53)</td>
<td>19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noesk et al [2011]</td>
<td>3.72 (2.42, 5.73)</td>
<td>19.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serie et al [2017]</td>
<td>1.83 (0.52, 6.47)</td>
<td>10.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (I² = 74.8%, p = 0.001)</td>
<td>4.52 (2.57, 7.98)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis.
5. Limitation of the Study

Despite the fact that the authors conducted a comprehensive search using various databases for articles on the prevalence and predictors of pulmonary TB among prison inmates in SSA, this systematic review and meta-analysis failed to include reports published in languages other than English. We found studies in only eleven SSA countries, with other countries underrepresented due to the small number of studies included in prisons. Furthermore, studies and important data published in peer-reviewed journals between 2006 and 2019 may have gone overlooked.

6. Conclusion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, the pooled prevalence of pulmonary TB among prisoners in SSA was considerably high which needs special attention to attain the end TB strategy. Underweight, previous TB/HIV coinfection, long duration of incarceration, and previous TB exposure/contact history are predictors of pulmonary TB infection among prisoners in SSA. Therefore, establishing systems for providing adequate nutrition and balanced diet, early screening, diagnosis of long imprisonment, treatment of TB/HIV coinfection, and contact history should be implemented to address this problem and succeed the control and end TB strategy in the SSA.
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