

Differential Cannabinoid Receptor Expression during Reactive Gliosis: a Possible Implication for a Nonpsychotropic Neuroprotection

Daniele De Filippis^{1,*}, Antonio Steardo², Alessandra D'Amico¹, Caterina Scuderi³, Mariateresa Cipriano¹, Giuseppe Esposito³, and Teresa Iuvone¹

¹Endocannabinoid Research Group, Department of Experimental Pharmacology, University of Naples Federico II; ²Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Salerno; ³Department of Human Physiology and Pharmacology 'V. Erspamer', Sapienza University of Rome

E-mail: danieledef@gmail.com

Received January 23, 2009; Revised March 23, 2009; Accepted March 26, 2009; Published March 31, 2009

Activated microglia and astrocytes produce a large number of inflammatory and neurotoxic substances in various brain pathologies, above all during neurodegenerative disorders. In the search for new neuroprotective compounds, interest has turned to marijuana derivatives, since in several *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and clinical studies, they have shown a great ability to control neuroinflammation.

Despite the emerging evidence regarding pharmacological activities of cannabinoids, their effective introduction into clinical therapy still remains controversial and strongly limited by their unavoidable psychotropy. Since the psychotropic effect of cannabinoids is generally linked to the activation of the CB₁ receptor on neurons, the aim of our review is to clarify the function of the two cannabinoid receptors on glial cells and the differential role played by them, highlighting the emerging evidence of a CB₂-mediated control of neuroinflammation that could liberate cannabinoids from the slavery of their central side effects.

KEYWORDS: cannabinoid, reactive gliosis, neurodegenerative diseases

REACTIVE GLIOSIS

Initially known as “neuroinflammation”, today it has become commonplace to hear neuroscientists speaking about “reactive gliosis” to describe the endogenous responses of the central nervous system (CNS) to injury. Reactive gliosis is specifically regarded as the accumulation of enlarged glial cells, intensely releasing inflammatory mediators, occurring immediately after CNS insult[1].

Glial cells, commonly called neuroglia or simply glia (Greek for “glue”), are non-neuronal cells that provide support and nutrition, maintain homeostasis, form myelin, and participate in signal transmission in the nervous system[2]. In the human brain, glia are roughly divided into astrocytes, oligodendrocytes,

ependymal cells, microglia, and radial glia. It is estimated that glia outnumber neurons by about 10 to 1. It is inaccurate to consider glia merely as “glue” in the nervous system as the name implies, rather it is more of a “partner” to neurons. They are also crucial in the development of the nervous system and in processes such as synaptic plasticity and synaptogenesis[3]. Moreover, recent studies have evidenced the dark side of the glia. Chronic microglial activation, in fact, is an important component of neurodegenerative diseases[4], and this chronic neuroinflammatory component likely contributes to neuronal dysfunction, injury, loss, and, hence, to disease onset and progression[5]. The recognition of microglia as the brain’s intrinsic immune system[6] and the understanding that chronic activation of this system leads to pathologic sequelae[7] has led to the modern concept of neuroinflammation[8]. This vision of microglia-driven neuroinflammatory responses, accounting for neuropathological consequences, has extended the older consideration of passive glial responses that are inherent in the concept of “reactive gliosis”. In contrast, glial activation implies a more aggressive role in responding to activating stimuli, i.e., activated glial cells release factors that, in turn, self-perpetuate reactive gliosis affecting neighboring neuron viability. Activated microglia are thus considered as a common hallmark of many brain pathologies, including trauma and cerebral ischemia[9]. Much attention has been focused toward clarification of the detrimental role of several proinflammatory inducible proteins (iNOS, COX-2) and their products (NO, prostaglandins), together with interleukins (IL-1, IL-6) and chemokines (IL-8) over-released by activated glia, because these agents have been shown to prompt brain damage[10].

TREATMENTS

Since the well-recognized role exerted by reactive gliosis is to sustain brain damage, scientists are constantly in search of new molecules therapeutically able to blunt this process, in an attempt to delay the progression of neurodegeneration.

Until now, only some benefits were obtained by the use of anti-inflammatory drugs, such as aspirin[11] or COX-2 inhibitors[12], or by the use of antioxidant compounds, such as melatonin[13] or vitamin E[14]. In the search for more efficient molecules, interest has turned to marijuana derivatives, since several *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and clinical studies have shown them to possess a great ability to manage neuroinflammation[15].

CANNABINOIDS

Actually, the term “cannabinoids” (CBs) has been used to identify a wide range of natural terpenophenolic compounds isolated by *Cannabis sativa*, usually exhibiting pharmacological activity on specific receptor interactions. Two membrane receptors for CBs, both coupled to G protein and named CB₁ and CB₂, have been identified so far. While CB₁ receptors are mainly expressed in the CNS and the peripheral nervous system (PNS), CB₂ receptors are most abundant in cells of the immune system[16]. In the last 2 decades, specific endogenous ligands for CB receptors, producing similar but not identical effects to natural CBs, have been discovered and termed endocannabinoids[17]. Starting with the isolation of anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonoilglycerol (2-AG)[18], ethanolamide and ester of arachidonic acid, respectively, the endocannabinoid clan has been successively enlarged by identification of other molecules, including noladin ether[19] and oleamide[20].

Cannabis pharmacology is constantly expanding and therapeutic properties of CB agonists include analgesia, muscle relaxation, immunosuppression, anti-inflammatory and antiallergic effects, improvement of mood, stimulation of appetite, antiemesis, lowering of intraocular pressure, bronchodilation, neuroprotection, and antineoplastic effects (for review see [21]).

For the most part, CB attractiveness comes from their ability to maintain the homeostasis within both the CNS and PNS, through the neutralization of free radical species, prevention of cytotoxicity, hence to restore neuronal plasticity. Besides their direct neuroprotective actions, CBs blunt neuroinflammation,

thus accounting for rebound neuroprotection. CBs display neuroprotective actions in both a CB receptor–dependent and –independent manner. Despite the emerging evidence regarding pharmacological activities of CBs, however, their effective introduction into clinical therapy is controversial and strongly limited by their unavoidable psychotropic effects. Since these actions are generally linked to the activation of CB₁ receptors on neurons, the aim of our review is to clarify the function of the two CB receptors on glial cells, emphasizing the emerging role of a CB₂-mediated control of neuroinflammation that could liberate CBs from the slavery of psychotropy.

FUNCTION OF CB₁ RECEPTORS

At the beginning of CB history, all their effects during neuroinflammation were commonly linked to the activation of CB₁ receptors, since at that moment, the presence of this receptor was only identified within the brain, while it was considered that glial cells were not endowed with CB₂ receptors[22].

It has been demonstrated that the anti-inflammatory effects of AEA depend on CB₁ receptor activation in LPS/IFN- γ -challenged astrocytes[22]. These findings have been confirmed by the evidence that selective CB₁ receptor agonism accounts for iNOS protein inhibition and NO release reduction in C6 rat glioma cells exposed to different neurotoxic stimuli[23,24].

In a more recent paper, selective CB₁ receptor activation was responsible for S100B protein down-regulation and consequent “rebound” neuroprotection, in a model of neurotoxicity due to MPTP exposure[25].

The importance of CB₁ receptors was strengthened by the observation that an *in vitro* model of β -amyloid (A β)-induced neuroinflammation agonism at this site is able to reduce NO, thus significantly contributing to the tau protein hyperphosphorylation rate decrease[26]. This evidence is in line with *in vivo* studies demonstrating that CB₁ receptor activation is able to attenuate A β -induced reactive gliosis, as proved by the decrease of GFAP and S100B, two pivotal glial markers. In addition, the CB-dependent reduction of S100B results in the prevention of glial proliferation, beneficially impacting the disease course[27].

Neuroinflammation profoundly alters the endocannabinoid system (ECS). In microglial cells, it has been demonstrated that AEA is able to induce, via the CB₁ receptor, the expression of mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-1 (MKP-1) with consequent MAPK signal transduction attenuation[28]. Another study demonstrated that 2-AG, whose expression is increased after brain trauma, may have a neuroprotective role in reducing the accumulation of harmful mediators that may lead to secondary damage[29].

ECS alterations are very evident in A β -induced neuroinflammation. In fact, *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence has shown an opposing control of CB receptor stimulation on A β -induced reactive gliosis; the CB₁ receptor and its preferential ligand AEA are significantly down-regulated while, on the contrary, CB₂ receptor expression and 2-AG release results are markedly increased[27].

The effects of CBs on glial cells were not only linked to the control of glial activation since, interestingly, recent data have evaluated their involvement on astroglial excitatory amino acid (EAA) transport[30]. The CB-induced EAA transport inhibition was partially blocked by the CB₁ receptor antagonist, proposing endocannabinoids as a novel class of inhibitors of the astroglial glutamate transport system.

FUNCTION OF CB₂ RECEPTORS

At first, the influence of CB₂-mediated effects during neuroinflammation was disregarded due to the lack of their expression in primary astrocytes[31]. Other studies described the effect of the selective CB₂ antagonist SR144528, also in the absence of CB₂ receptors, probably due to the presence of a putative CB₂-like receptor[32]. The conclusive evidence showing the presence of CB₂ receptors in astrocytes still

remains controversial, since a recent study characterized them in a constitutive manner in human astrocytes[33]. Moreover, recent observations demonstrate that a CB₁/CB₂ agonist, WIN-55212-2, prevents microglial cell activation during LPS-induced chronic neuroinflammation in young rats and worsens the LPS-induced impairment of performance in the water-maze test[34]. The effects of this agonist were not dependent on direct CB₁ receptor stimulation of microglia or astrocytes, suggesting an indirect effect of WIN-55212-2, or even better, a CB₂ involvement, on microglia activation and memory impairment[34].

The hypothesis that CB₂ receptors are also involved in the control of glial inflammation arises from the detection of both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors in stimulated microglia by RT-PCR[35]. In the same study, it was also found that only CB₂ receptors are involved in the antiviral activity of WIN-55212-2 on microglia[35].

It is now well recognized that CB₂ glial expression undergoes modulatory changes depending on cell activation state. CB₂ mRNA was not detected in total RNA obtained from whole adult or neonatal rat brains. However, it was detected in total RNA extracted from microglia maintained in culture for 1 or 24 h after isolation from mixed glial cultures[36]. Relatively low expression of CB₂ mRNA has been found in total RNA from mixed glia or from astrocytes. On the other hand, high CB₂ density was found in nonimmune-mediated pathological conditions, as well as during immune-mediated CNS pathology[36]. In mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), CB₂ receptor mRNA was found to be increased 100-fold compared to healthy mice[36]. Moreover, microglial cells specifically present CB₂ receptors during EAE and their expression peaks up to tenfold in comparison to resting microglia[37]. A comparable pattern of differential CB₂ expression was also detected after A β insult, where a pronounced enhancement of the CB₂ receptor was evident both in C6 rat astrogloma cells and in rat hippocampal glia[27].

These results are in line with the observation that CB₂ receptors are increased in astrocyte-associated plaques from postmortem brains of Alzheimer's disease patients[38].

Although the localization of CB₂ receptors on glial cells is well known, their role during physiological and pathological conditions still remains to be clarified since, to date, conflicting evidence has emerged.

While microglial CB₂ activation appears protective against A β insult, contrarily, its expression by astrocytes leads to the aggravation of the reactive gliosis triggered by A β . In different experimental models of neurodegeneration, including Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's chorea, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, the activation of CB₂ receptors has been linked to a delayed progression of neuropathology. On the other hand, CB₂ detrimental effects were highlighted in A β -injected rats where the CB₂ agonist worsened the reactive gliosis course, while the antagonism at the same site attenuated the expression of S100B and GFAP significantly ameliorated neuroinflammation[27].

CONCLUSION

The evidence that glial cells produce AEA, 2-AG, and other acetyethanolamines; express CB receptors; and also possess the molecular apparatus to inactivate these substances[39] suggested a functional endocannabinoid signaling system in these cells[40]. Likely, a relationship between the ECS and glial cells persuaded researchers to investigate the effects of its pharmacological manipulation on glial functions. We have attempted, in the present review, to summarize the main pharmacological and biochemical data showing the results reached until now concerning the role of CBs during glial inflammation. We have put particular emphasis on the different functioning of CB receptors. So far, the largest amount of data regards the activation of CB₁ receptors, since they were first discovered in the brain, and are also known as the CB central subtype. Even if the beneficial role of CB₁ activation during several proinflammatory insults is largely recognized, from bacterial LPS to neurotoxins (including MPTP or A β), in parallel, it was also established that during neuroinflammation, CB₁ tone is generally

decreased as well as AEA, its preferential endocannabinoid ligand, losing in this way the biological control effected in neuroinflammation. Nevertheless, the use of direct CB₁ receptor agonists is strongly hampered by a variety of unwanted consequences, which include psychotropic effects, memory impairment, and mood alterations.

On the other hand, the exploit of CB₂ receptor subtypes remained unexplored for a long time, due to the inaccurate conviction of their absence in the brain, specifically on glia. It is now clear that CB₂ receptor expression in glial cells changes in relation to the cell activation state and, if it appears undetectable in resting cells, contrarily it drastically raises up after inflammatory stimuli. For this reason, the CB₂ receptor could be a perfect candidate for the control of glial inflammatory response, but unfortunately its activation has produced some controversial results during neuroinflammatory processes, alternating protective and detrimental effects. Although further investigations will be necessary to better elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying the controversial effects of CB₂ activation on glial cells, the primary role played by this receptor still remains evident in the control of glial cell functions, which are compromised during inflammatory events and, thus, are crucial to ensure an optimal environment for neuronal survival and functioning.

In conclusion, pharmacological interactions at glial CB₁ and CB₂ receptors result in a marked regulation of reactive gliosis. To date, the more promising results have been reached by the use of compounds that selectively block CB₂ receptors, which may also have therapeutic value for their effects devoid of psychotropic consequences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by FIRB - Piattaforme Reti 2006. We are grateful to the International Cannabinoid Research Society (ICRS) and to the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) for the travel award to Dr. De Filippis.

REFERENCES

1. Sofroniew, M.V. (2005) Reactive astrocytes in neural repair and protection. *Neuroscientist* **5**, 400–407.
2. Glick, M.C., Kimhi, Y., and Littauer, U.Z. (1976) Surface membrane alterations in somatic cell hybrids of neuroblastoma and glioma cells. *Nature* **259**, 230–232.
3. Bacci, A., Verderio, C., Pravettoni, E., and Matteoli, M. (1999) The role of glial cells in synaptic function. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **354**, 403–409.
4. Kitazawa, M., Yamasaki, T.R., and LaFerla, F.M. (2004) Microglia as a potential bridge between the amyloid beta-peptide and tau. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1035**, 85–103.
5. McCarty, M.F. (2006) Down-regulation of microglial activation may represent a practical strategy for combating neurodegenerative disorders. *Med. Hypotheses* **67**, 251–269.
6. Suk, K. (2007) Microglial signal transduction as a target of alcohol action in the brain. *Curr. Neurovasc. Res.* **4**, 131–142.
7. Skaper, S.D. (2007) The brain as a target for inflammatory processes and neuroprotective strategies. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1122**, 23–34.
8. Shankaran, M., Marino, M.E., Busch, R., Keim, C., King, C., Lee, J., Killion, S., Awada, M., and Hellerstein, M.K. (2007) Measurement of brain microglial proliferation rates in vivo in response to neuroinflammatory stimuli: application to drug discovery. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **85**, 2374–2384.
9. Neumann, J., Gunzer, M., Gutzeit, H.O., Ullrich, O., Reymann, K.G., and Dinkel, K. (2006) Microglia provide neuroprotection after ischemia. *FASEB J.* **20**, 714–716.
10. Rock, R.B. and Peterson, P.K. (2006) Microglia as a pharmacological target in infectious and inflammatory diseases of the brain. *J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* **1**, 117–126.
11. Bae, M.K., Kim, S.R., Lee, H.J., Wee, H.J., Yoo, M.A., Ock Oh, S., Baek, S.Y., Kim, B.S., Kim, J.B., Sik-Yoon, and Bae, S.K. (2006) Aspirin-induced blockade of NF-kappaB activity restrains up-regulation of glial fibrillary acidic protein in human astroglial cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1763**, 282–289.
12. Brambilla, R., Burnstock, G., Bonazzi, A., Ceruti, S., Cattabeni, F., and Abbracchio, M.P. (1999) Cyclo-oxygenase-2 mediates P2Y receptor-induced reactive astrogliosis. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **126**, 563–567.

13. Baydas, G. and Tuzcu, M. (2005) Protective effects of melatonin against ethanol-induced reactive gliosis in hippocampus and cortex of young and aged rats. *Exp. Neurol.* **194**, 175–181.
14. Navarro, A., Gómez, C., Sánchez-Pino, M.J., González, H., Bández, M.J., Boveris, A.D., and Boveris, A. (2005) Vitamin E at high doses improves survival, neurological performance, and brain mitochondrial function in aging male mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **289**, 1392–1399.
15. Jackson, S.J., Diemel, L.T., Pryce, G., and Baker, D. (2005) Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in CNS inflammatory disease. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **233**, 21–25.
16. van der Stelt, M. and Di Marzo, V. (2005) Cannabinoid receptors and their role in neuroprotection. *Neuromolecular Med.* **7**, 37–50.
17. Di Marzo, V., Melck, D., Bisogno, T., and De Petrocellis, L. (1998) Endocannabinoids: endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligands with neuromodulatory action. *Trends Neurosci.* **21**, 521–528.
18. Hanus, L., Gopher, A., Almog, S., and Mechoulam, R. (1993) Two new unsaturated fatty acid ethanolamides in brain that bind to the cannabinoid receptor. *J. Med. Chem.* **36**, 3032–3034.
19. Hanus, L., Abu-Lafi, S., Frider, E., Breuer, A., Vogel, Z., Shalev, D.E., Kustanovich, I., and Mechoulam, R. (2001) 2-Arachidonyl glyceryl ether, an endogenous agonist of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **98**, 3662–3665.
20. Cravatt, B.F., Prospero-Garcia, O., Siuzdak, G., Gilula, N.B., Henriksen, S.J., Boger, D.L., and Lerner, R.A. (1995) Chemical characterization of a family of brain lipids that induce sleep. *Science* **268**, 1506–1509.
21. Grotenhermen, F. (2005) Cannabinoids. *Curr. Drug Targets CNS Neurol. Disord.* **4**, 507–530.
22. Ortega-Gutiérrez, S., Molina-Holgado, E., and Guaza, C. (2005) Effect of anandamide uptake inhibition in the production of nitric oxide and in the release of cytokines in astrocyte cultures. *Glia* **52**, 163–168.
23. Esposito, G., Izzo, A.A., Di Rosa, M., and Iuvone, T. (2001) Selective cannabinoid CB1 receptor-mediated inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase protein expression in C6 rat glioma cells. *J. Neurochem.* **78**, 835–841.
24. Esposito, G., Ligresti, A., Izzo, A.A., Bisogno, T., Ruvo, M., Di Rosa, M., Di Marzo, V., and Iuvone, T. (2002) The endocannabinoid system protects rat glioma cells against HIV-1 Tat protein-induced cytotoxicity: mechanism and regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 50348–50354.
25. Iuvone, T., Esposito, G., De Filippis, D., Bisogno, T., Petrosino, S., Scuderi, C., Di Marzo, V., Steardo, L.; Endocannabinoid Research Group (2007) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor stimulation affords neuroprotection in MPTP-induced neurotoxicity by attenuating S100B up-regulation in vitro. *J. Mol. Med.* **85**, 1379–1392.
26. Esposito, G., De Filippis, D., Steardo, L., Scuderi, C., Savani, C., Cuomo, V., and Iuvone, T. (2006) CB1 receptor selective activation inhibits beta-amyloid-induced iNOS protein expression in C6 cells and subsequently blunts tau protein hyperphosphorylation in co-cultured neurons. *Neurosci. Lett.* **404**, 342–346.
27. Esposito, G., Iuvone, T., Savani, C., Scuderi, C., De Filippis, D., Papa, M., Di Marzo, V., and Steardo, L. (2007) Opposing control of cannabinoid receptor stimulation on amyloid-beta-induced reactive gliosis: in vitro and in vivo evidence. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **322**, 1144–1152.
28. Eljaschewitsch, E., Witting, A., Mawrin, C., Lee, T., Schmidt, P.M., Wolf, S., Hoertnagl, H., Raine, C.S., Schneider-Stock, R., Nitsch, R., and Ullrich, O. (2006) The endocannabinoid anandamide protects neurons during CNS inflammation by induction of MKP-1 in microglial cells. *Neuron* **49**, 4–8.
29. Panikashvili, D., Simeonidou, C., Ben-Shabat, S., Hanus, L., Breuer, A., Mechoulam, R., and Shohami, E. (2001) An endogenous cannabinoid (2-AG) is neuroprotective after brain injury. *Nature* **413**, 527–531.
30. Shivchar, A.C. (2007) Cannabinoids inhibit sodium-dependent, high-affinity excitatory amino acid transport in cultured rat cortical astrocytes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **73**, 2004–2011.
31. Walter, L., Franklin, A., Witting, A., Moller, T., and Stella, N. (2002) Astrocytes in culture produce anandamide and other acylethanolamides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 20869–20876.
32. Molina-Holgado, F., Molina-Holgado, E., Guaza, C., and Rothwell, N.J. (2002) Role of CB1 and CB2 receptors in the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids on lipopolysaccharide-induced nitric oxide release in astrocyte cultures. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **67**, 829–836.
33. Sheng, W.S., Hu, S., Min, X., Cabral, G.A., Lokensgard, J.R., and Peterson, P.K. (2005) Synthetic cannabinoid WIN55,212-2 inhibits generation of inflammatory mediators by IL-1beta-stimulated human astrocytes. *Glia* **49**, 211–219.
34. Marchalant, Y., Rosi, S., and Wenk, G.L. (2007) Anti-inflammatory property of the cannabinoid agonist WIN-55212-2 in a rodent model of chronic brain inflammation. *Neuroscience* **144**, 1516–1522.
35. Klegeris, A., Bissonnette, C.J., and McGeer, P.L. (2003) Reduction of human monocytic cell neurotoxicity and cytokine secretion by ligands of the cannabinoid-type CB2 receptor. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **139**, 775–786.
36. Maresz, K., Carrier, E.J., Ponomarev, E.D., Hillard, C.J., and Dittel, B.N. (2005) Modulation of the cannabinoid CB2 receptor in microglial cells in response to inflammatory stimuli. *J. Neurochem.* **95**, 437–445.
37. Maresz, K., Pryce, G., Ponomarev, E.D., Marsicano, G., Croxford, J.L., Shriver, L.P., Ledent, C., Cheng, X., Carrier, E.J., Mann, M.K., Giovannoni, G., Pertwee, R.G., Yamamura, T., Buckley, N.E., Hillard, C.J., Lutz, B., Baker, D., and Dittel, B.N. (2007) Direct suppression of CNS autoimmune inflammation via the cannabinoid receptor CB1 on neurons and CB2 on autoreactive T cells. *Nat. Med.* **13**, 492–497.

38. Ramírez, B.G., Blázquez, C., Gómez del Pulgar, T., Guzmán, M., and de Ceballos, M.L. (2005) Prevention of Alzheimer's disease pathology by cannabinoids: neuroprotection mediated by blockade of microglial activation. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 1904–1913.
39. Beltramo, M., di Tomaso, E., and Piomelli, D. (1997) Inhibition of anandamide hydrolysis in rat brain tissue by (E)-6-(bromomethylene) tetrahydro-3-(1-naphthalenyl)-2H-pyran-2-one. *FEBS Lett.* **403**, 263–267.
40. Pazos, M.R., Núñez, E., Benito, C., Tolón, R.M., and Romero, J. (2005) Functional neuroanatomy of the endocannabinoid system. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **81**, 239–247.

This article should be cited as follows:

De Filippis, D., Steardo, A., D'Amico, A., Scuderi, C., Cipriano, M., Esposito, G., and Iuvone, T. (2009) Differential cannabinoid receptor expression during reactive gliosis: a possible implication for a nonpsychotropic neuroprotection. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* **9**, 229–235. DOI 10.1100/tsw.2009.31.
