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We introduce and investigate an interesting subclass $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. For functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$, we obtain estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ be the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{C}$ the set of complex numbers, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}=\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the set of positive integers.
Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of all functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and }|z|<1\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of all functions in the normalized analytic function class $\mathscr{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(0)=0, \quad|\omega(z)|<1, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(\omega(z)), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)<g(z), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longrightarrow f(0)=g(0),  \tag{7}\\
f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z) \prec g(z), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \\
f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $f \in \mathscr{A}$, Al-Oboudi [1] introduced the following operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta}^{1} f(z)=(1-\delta) f(z)+\delta z f^{\prime}(z)=: D_{\delta} f(z), \quad(\delta \geq 0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)=D_{\delta}\left(D_{\delta}^{n-1} f(z)\right), \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is given by (2), then from (10) and (11) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1) \delta]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D_{\delta}^{n} f(0)=0$. When $\delta=1$, we get Sǎlǎgean's differential operator $D_{1}^{n}=D^{n}$, [2].

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. In fact, the Koebe one-quarter theorem [3] ensures that the image of $\mathbb{U}$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus every function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

In fact, the inverse function $f^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{-1}(w)= & w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3} \\
& -\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of biunivalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (2). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$, see [4] (see also $[5,6]$ ). In fact, the aforecited work of Srivastava et al. [4] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ in recent years; it was followed by such works as those by Frasin and Aouf [7], Porwal and Darus [8], and others (see, e.g., [9-17]).

Motivated by the abovementioned works, we define the following subclass of function class $\Sigma$.

Definition 1. Let $h: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a convex univalent function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0)=1, \quad h(\bar{z})=\overline{h(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; \Re(h(z))>0) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f$, defined by (2), is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \in \Sigma, \\
e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)<h(z) \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \\
(z \in \mathbb{U}), \\
e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)}{w}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
<h(w) \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \quad(w \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1$, the function $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
g(w)= & w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}  \tag{17}\\
& -\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

and $D_{\delta}^{n}$ is the Al-Oboudi differential operator.

Remark 2. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Definition 1, then the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ reduces to the class denoted by $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; A, B)$ which is the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})  \tag{19}\\
& e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)}{w}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad<\frac{1+A w}{1+B w} \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \quad(w \in \mathbb{U})
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1$, the function $g$ is defined by (17), and $D_{\delta}^{n}$ is the Al-Oboudi differential operator.

Remark 3. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Definition 1, then the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ reduces to the class denoted by $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ which is the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta \\
& (z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)}{w}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(w \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1$, the function $g$ is defined by (17), and $D_{\delta}^{n}$ is the Al-Oboudi differential operator.

Remark 4. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=1, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Definition 1, then the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ reduces to the class denoted by $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ which is the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D^{n} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}> & \alpha \cos \beta \\
& (z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
\mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D^{n} g(w)}{w}+\lambda\left(D^{n} g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}> & >\alpha \cos \beta \\
& (w \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1$, the function $g$ is defined by (17), and $D^{n}$ is the Sǎlăgean differential operator.

## Remark 5. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=0, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Definition 1, then the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ reduces to the class denoted by $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$ which is the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\right)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}), \\
& \mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\right)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta \quad(w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1$, and the function $g$ is defined by (17).

Remark 6. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=0, \quad \lambda=1, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Definition 1, then the class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ reduces to the class denoted by $\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \alpha)$ which is the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{R}\left\{e^{i \beta} f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta & (z \in \mathbb{U})  \tag{27}\\
\Re\left\{e^{i \beta} g^{\prime}(w)\right\}>\alpha \cos \beta & (w \in \mathbb{U})
\end{array}
$$

where $\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and the function $g$ is defined by (17).
We note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(n, 0, \alpha)=\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(n, \alpha, \lambda) \quad(\text { see }[8]), \\
\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(0, \alpha)=\mathscr{B}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad(\text { see [7]) },  \tag{28}\\
\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}(0, \alpha)=\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(\alpha) \quad(\text { see }[4]) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Firstly, in order to derive our main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7 (see [18]). Let the function $h(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

be convex in $\mathbb{U}$. Suppose also that the function $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{U}$. If $\varphi(z)<h(z)(z \in \mathbb{U})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq\left|B_{1}\right| \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The object of the present paper is to find estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in this new subclass $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ of the function class $\Sigma$.

## 2. A Set of General Coefficient Estimates

In this section, we state and prove our general results involving the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h)$ given by Definition 1.

Theorem 8. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; h) \quad\left(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1, \delta \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+\delta)^{n}(1+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}}\right\}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (16) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)  \tag{36}\\
& \quad=p(z) \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
& e^{i \beta}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)}{w}+\lambda\left(D_{\delta}^{n} g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right)  \tag{37}\\
& \quad=q(w) \cos \beta+i \sin \beta \quad(w \in \mathbb{U}),
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(z) \prec h(z)$ and $q(w) \prec h(w)$ have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots  \tag{38}\\
& q(w)=1+q_{1} w+q_{2} w^{2}+\cdots \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Now, upon equating the coefficients in (36) and (37), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{i \beta}(1+\delta)^{n}(1+\lambda) a_{2}=p_{1} \cos \beta,  \tag{40}\\
e^{i \beta}(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}=p_{2} \cos \beta,  \tag{41}\\
-e^{i \beta}(1+\delta)^{n}(1+\lambda) a_{2}=q_{1} \cos \beta,  \tag{42}\\
e^{i \beta}\left[-(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}+2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{43}\\
=q_{2} \cos \beta
\end{gather*}
$$

From (40) and (42), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=-q_{1}  \tag{44}\\
2 e^{2 i \beta}(1+\delta)^{2 n}(1+\lambda)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \beta \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, from (41) and (43), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{e^{-i \beta}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) \cos \beta}{2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p, q \in h(\mathbb{U})$, according to Lemma 7, we immediately have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p_{k}\right|=\left|\frac{p^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\right| \leq\left|B_{1}\right| \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}),  \tag{47}\\
& \left|q_{k}\right|=\left|\frac{q^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\right| \leq\left|B_{1}\right| \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (47) and Lemma 7 for the coefficients $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$, and $q_{2}$, from the equalities (45) and (46), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right|^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta}{(1+\delta)^{2 n}(1+\lambda)^{2}}  \tag{48}\\
& \left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}, \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. So we get the desired estimate on the coefficient $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (34).

Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient $\left|a_{3}\right|$, we subtract (43) from (41). We thus get

$$
\begin{align*}
2(1+ & 2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}-2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}  \tag{50}\\
& =e^{-i \beta}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right) \cos \beta
\end{align*}
$$

Upon substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (45) into (50), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{e^{-2 i \beta}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \beta}{2(1+\delta)^{2 n}(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{e^{-i \beta}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right) \cos \beta}{2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right|^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta}{(1+\delta)^{2 n}(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, upon substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (46) into (50), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{e^{-i \beta}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) \cos \beta}{2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}+\frac{e^{-i \beta}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right) \cos \beta}{2(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left|B_{1}\right| \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the inequalities in (52) and (54) completes the proof of Theorem 8.

## 3. Corollaries and Consequences

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 9. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the TaylorMaclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta ; A, B)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1, \delta \geq 0,-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{(A-B) \cos \beta}{(1+\delta)^{n}(1+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{(A-B) \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}}\right\},  \tag{57}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 10. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the TaylorMaclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(n, \beta, \alpha) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1, \delta \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha<1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{(1+\delta)^{n}(1+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}}\right\},  \tag{60}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{(1+2 \delta)^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=1, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 11. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the TaylorMaclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{N P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(n, \beta, \alpha)  \tag{62}\\
\left(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{2^{n}(1+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{3^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}}\right\}  \tag{63}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{3^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 12. When $\beta=0$, Corollary 11 is an improvement of the following estimates obtained by Porwal and Darus [8].

Corollary 13 (see [8]). Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(n, \alpha, \lambda) \quad\left(\lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}}  \tag{65}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)^{2}}{2^{2 n}(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3^{n}(1+2 \lambda)}
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=0, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 14. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the TaylorMaclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\beta, \alpha) \quad(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{1+\lambda}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{1+2 \lambda}}\right\},  \tag{68}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{1+2 \lambda}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 15. When $\beta=0$, Corollary 14 is an improvement of the following estimates obtained by Frasin and Aouf [7].

Corollary 16 (see [7]). Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad(\lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1+2 \lambda}}  \tag{70}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1+2 \lambda}
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=0, \quad \lambda=1, \quad h(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 17. Let the function $f(z)$ given by the TaylorMaclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{N} \mathscr{P}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \alpha) \quad(\beta \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), 0 \leq \alpha<1) . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{(1-\alpha) \cos \beta, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{3}}\right\},  \tag{73}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \beta}{3} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 18. When $\beta=0$, Corollary 17 is an improvement of the following estimates obtained by Srivastava et al. [4].

Corollary 19 (see [4]). Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (2) be in the function class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3}}  \tag{75}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)(5-3 \alpha)}{3}
\end{gather*}
$$
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