

Research Article

Commutators of Singular Integral Operators Satisfying a Variant of a Lipschitz Condition

Pu Zhang¹ and Daiqing Zhang²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Mudanjiang Normal University, Mudanjiang 157011, China

² School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Pu Zhang; puzhang@sohu.com

Received 21 January 2014; Accepted 12 April 2014; Published 4 May 2014

Academic Editor: Yibiao Pan

Copyright © 2014 P. Zhang and D. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let T be a singular integral operator with its kernel satisfying $|K(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x)\phi_k(y)| \leq C|y|^{\gamma}/|x-y|^{n+\gamma}$, $|x| > 2|y| > 0$, where B_k and ϕ_k ($k = 1, \dots, \ell$) are appropriate functions and γ and C are positive constants. For $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ with $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the multilinear commutator $T_{\vec{b}}$ generated by T and \vec{b} is formally defined by $T_{\vec{b}}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - b_j(y))] K(x, y)f(y)dy$. In this paper, the weighted L^p -boundedness and the weighted weak type $L \log L$ estimate for the multilinear commutator $T_{\vec{b}}$ are established.

1. Introduction and Results

In the classical Calderón-Zygmund theory, the Hörmander's condition

$$\int_{|x|>2|y|} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \leq C, \quad (1)$$

introduced by Hörmander [1], plays a fundamental role in the theory of Calderón-Zygmund operators. On the other hand, singular integral operators whose kernels do not satisfy the Hörmander's condition have been extensively studied.

In 1997, in order to study the L^p -boundedness of certain singular integral operators, Grubb and Moore [2] introduced the following variant of the classical Hörmander's condition,

$$\int_{|x|>2|y|} \left| K(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x)\phi_k(y) \right| dx \leq C, \quad (2)$$

where B_k and ϕ_k 's are appropriate functions (see Theorem 3 below). As an example we note that the kernel $K(x) = \sin x/x$ verifies (2), but it is not a Calderón-Zygmund kernel since its derivative does not decay quickly enough at infinity (see [2] or [3]).

Obviously, if we take $\ell = 1$, $B_1(x) = K(x)$ and $\phi_1(y) \equiv 1$, then condition (2) is exactly the classical Hörmander's condition (1).

Definition 1. We say that a nonnegative locally integrable function g defined on \mathbb{R}^n satisfies the reverse Hölder RH_{∞} condition, in short, $g \in RH_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin we have

$$0 < \sup_{x \in Q} g(x) \leq C \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q g(x) dx. \quad (3)$$

The smallest constant C is said to be the RH_{∞} constant of g .

Remark 2. It is easy to see that if $g(x) \in RH_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then also $g(-x) \in RH_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [3] Remark 2.4).

In [2], Grubb and Moore established the L^p -boundedness and the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimates for the singular integral operators with kernels satisfying (2).

It is well known that the classical Hörmander's condition (1) is too weak to get weighted inequalities for the classical Calderón-Zygmund operators by any known method.

The usual hypothesis on the kernel K to obtain them is the Lipschitz condition

$$|K(x - y) - K(x)| \leq \frac{C|y|^\gamma}{|x - y|^{n+\gamma}}, \quad |x| > c|y|. \quad (4)$$

Conditions, the so-called L^r -Hörmander's condition, weaker than (4), but stronger than (1), have been also considered in [4, 5] (also see [6, 7]).

In 2003, Trujillo-González [3] establishes the weighted norm inequalities for T when K satisfies a variant of the Lipschitz condition (see (6) below).

As usual, we denote by A_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the Muckenhoupt weights classes (see [8], or [9] and [10]). For a weight ω , $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a measurable set E , we write

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad (5)$$

$$\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx.$$

Theorem 3 (see [3]). *Let $K \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that there is a constant $C_0 > 0$, such that*

- (K_1) $\|\widehat{K}\|_\infty \leq C_0$;
- (K_2) $|K(x)| \leq C_0|x|^{-n}$;
- (K_3) *there exist functions $B_1, \dots, B_\ell \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell\} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $|\det[\phi_k(y_i)]|^2 \in RH_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n\ell})$, where $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i, k = 1, \dots, \ell$;*
- (K_4) *for a fixed $\gamma > 0$ and for any $|x| > 2|y| > 0$,*

$$\left| K(x - y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x) \phi_k(y) \right| \leq C_0 \frac{|y|^\gamma}{|x - y|^{n+\gamma}}. \quad (6)$$

For $f \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we defined the convolution operator associated to the kernel K by

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x - y) f(y) dy. \quad (7)$$

- (1) *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx. \quad (8)$$

- (2) *Let $\omega \in A_1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$*

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy. \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that any kernel satisfies condition (6) and also verifies (2). Obviously, if we take $\ell = 1$, $B_1(x) = K(x)$, and $\phi_1(y) \equiv 1$, then condition (6) is exactly the classical Lipschitz condition (4). We remark that the function $K(x) = \sin x/x$ satisfies conditions (K_1)–(K_4), but does not satisfy the Hörmander's condition (1) (see [11] page 5).

Under the assumption of Theorem 3, several authors have studied two-weight inequalities for the convolution operator T , for example [11–13]. Recently, the authors [14] introduce a variant of the classical L^r -Hörmander's condition in the scope of (2) and establish the weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operator with its kernel satisfying such a variant of the classical L^r -Hörmander's condition.

On the other hand, the commutators of singular integral operators have been widely studied by many authors; see, for example, [15–22] and the references therein. Given a locally integrable function b and a linear operator T with kernel K , the linear commutator $[b, T]$ is formally defined by

$$[b, T] f = bT(f) - T(bf). \quad (10)$$

For $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ with $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). The generalized commutator, the so-called the multilinear commutator, $T_{\vec{b}}$ is formally defined by

$$T_{\vec{b}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (b_i(x) - b_i(y)) \right] K(x, y) f(y) dy. \quad (11)$$

In 2002, Pérez and Trujillo-González [22] studied the sharp weighted estimates for the multilinear commutators of the classical Calderón-Zygmund operators. In 2006, Zhang [23] studied the weighted estimates for maximal multilinear commutators.

In 1993, Alvarez et al. [15] established a generalized boundedness criterion for the commutators of linear operators. Now, we restate Theorem 2.13 in [15] in the following strong form.

Theorem 4 (see [15]). *Let \mathcal{K} be a linear operator and $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that for all $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the linear operator \mathcal{K} satisfies the following weighted estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{K} f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad (12)$$

where the constant C depends only on n , p , and the A_p constant of ω . Then for $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any weight function $\nu \in A_p$, the commutator $[b, \mathcal{K}]$ is bounded from $L^p(\nu)$ to $L^p(\nu)$ with bound depending on n , p , and the A_p constant of ω .

The goal of this paper is to study the weighted norm inequalities for multilinear commutator of the convolution operator T defined by (7) with its kernel satisfying (K_1)–(K_4).

By Theorem 3 and applying Theorem 4 m -times, we can easily get the following weighted L^p inequalities for the multilinear commutator $T_{\vec{b}}$.

Theorem 5. *Let T be the singular integral operator defined by (7) with its kernel satisfying (K_1)–(K_4). If $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$, and $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\vec{b}} f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx. \quad (13)$$

It is well-known that, in general, the linear commutator of Calderón-Zygmund operator fails to be of weak type $(1, 1)$ and does not map $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$; see [20] for more details. Instead, an endpoint theory was provided for this operator, such as the weak type $L \log L$ estimate and the weak type (H^1, L^1) estimate (see [20, 24]).

The main result of this paper is the following weak type $L \log L$ estimate for multilinear commutator of the singular integral operator defined in Theorem 3.

Theorem 6. *Let T be the singular integral operator defined by (7) with its kernel satisfying $(K_1)-(K_4)$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), then, for all $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_b f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right)^m \omega(y) dy, \tag{14}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of λ and f .

Throughout this paper, γ denotes the positive number appeared in (6). As usual, the letter C stands for a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters and not necessary the same at each occurrence. A cube Q in \mathbb{R}^n always means a cube whose sides parallel to the coordinate axes. For a cube Q and a number $t > 0$, we denote by tQ the cube with the same center and t -times the side length as Q . The symbol $A \approx B$ means there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 A \leq B \leq C_2 A$.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we formulate some preliminaries and lemmas we need. In Section 3 we will prove Theorem 6 for the case $m = 1$, and in the last section we prove Theorem 6 for the general case $m > 1$.

2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we give some notations and results needed for the proof of the main result.

2.1. Muckenhoupt Weight Classes. A nonnegative locally integrable function defined on \mathbb{R}^n is called a weight. We say a weight $\in A_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$), if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx\right)^{p-1} \leq C. \tag{15}$$

We say a weight $\omega \in A_1$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(y) dy \leq C \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in Q} \omega(y). \tag{16}$$

The A_∞ weights class is defined by $A_\infty = \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} A_p$. There is also another characterization of the A_∞ class, that is, we say a weight $\omega \in A_\infty$, if there exist positive constants

C and δ such that, for any cube Q and any measurable set $E \subset Q$, there exist

$$\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(Q)} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^\delta. \tag{17}$$

2.2. Projection of Function. Now, let us recall the definition of the projection of a function (see [2] or [3]). By the projection of an L^1 -function f onto a finite-dimensional subspace Y we refer to such an element, if it exists $P(f)$ of Y verifying

$$\int f(x) \bar{h}(x) dx = \int P(f)(x) \bar{h}(x) dx, \quad \text{for every } h \in Y. \tag{18}$$

Lemma 7 (see [2]). *Suppose $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell\}$ is a finite family of bounded functions on \mathbb{R}^n such that $|\det[\phi_k(y_i)]|^2 \in RH_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n\ell})$. Then, for any cube Q centered at the origin and any $f \in L^1(Q)$, there exists the projection $P_Q f$ of f onto $\operatorname{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell\} \subset L^1(Q)$ and satisfies*

$$\sup_{y \in Q} |P_Q f(y)| \leq C \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy, \tag{19}$$

where the constant C depends only on n, ℓ , and the RH_∞ constant of $|\det[\phi_k(y_i)]|^2$.

2.3. Notations Related to Orlicz Spaces. A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a Young function, if Φ is continuous, convex, and increasing with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$. We use $\tilde{\Phi}$ to denote the complementary Young function associated to Φ ; that is,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \{st - \Phi(t)\}, \quad 0 \leq s < \infty. \tag{20}$$

The Φ -average of a locally integrable function f over a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \Phi\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1 \right\}, \tag{21}$$

which satisfies the following inequalities (see [25], p. 69, or formula (7) in [21]):

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, Q} \leq \inf_{\eta > 0} \left\{ \eta + \frac{\eta}{|Q|} \int_Q \Phi\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\eta}\right) dy \right\} \leq 2\|f\|_{\Phi, Q}. \tag{22}$$

The Young function that we are going to use is $\Phi_\alpha(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) with its complementary Young function $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha(t) \approx \exp(t^{1/\alpha})$. Denote

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L), Q} = \|f\|_{\Phi_\alpha, Q}, \quad \|f\|_{\exp L^{1/\alpha}, Q} = \|f\|_{\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha, Q}. \tag{23}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, we simply write $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(t) \approx e^t$, and $\|f\|_{L(\log L), Q} = \|f\|_{\Phi, Q}$ and $\|f\|_{\exp L, Q} = \|f\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, Q}$.

The following generalized Hölder’s inequality holds (see (2.5) in [22]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_1(y) f_2(y) \cdots f_m(y) g(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \|g\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\exp L, Q}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We also need the following notations (see [26] pages 1712-1713). For $\omega \in A_\infty$ and a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q, \omega} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}, \\ & \|f\|_{\exp L^{1/m}, Q, \omega} \\ & = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Similarly to (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q, \omega} \\ & \approx \inf_{\eta > 0} \left\{ \eta + \frac{\eta}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\eta} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

There also holds the following generalized Hölder’s inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f_1(y) \cdots f_m(y) g(y)| \omega(y) dy \\ & \leq C \|g\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q, \omega} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\exp L, Q, \omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

2.4. Lemmas. The following generalized Young’s inequality is from [22] Lemma 8. We note that when $k = 2$, it is proved by O’Neil in [27].

Lemma 8 (the generalized Young’s inequality). $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ are real-valued, nonnegative, nondecreasing, left continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. For $0 \leq t < \infty$, define $\varphi_j^{-1}(t) = \inf\{s : \varphi_j(s) > t\}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, k$). If for all $0 \leq t < \infty$

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(t) \cdots \varphi_k^{-1}(t) \leq \varphi_0^{-1}(t). \tag{28}$$

Then, for all $0 \leq t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k < \infty$, there exist

$$\varphi_0(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k) \leq \varphi_1(t_1) + \varphi_1(t_2) + \cdots + \varphi_k(t_k). \tag{29}$$

For $\Phi_k(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)^k$ ($k = 1, \dots, m$) and $\Psi(t) = e^t - 1$, we have $\Phi_k^{-1}(t) \approx t/(\log t)^k$ and $\Psi^{-1}(t) \approx \log t$ (see [21] page 35). Then for any integer j with $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$, we have

$$\Phi_m^{-1}(t) \underbrace{\Psi^{-1}(t) \cdots \Psi^{-1}(t)}_{m-j} \leq C \Phi_j^{-1}(t) := \mathcal{A}^{-1}(t). \tag{30}$$

Noting that $\mathcal{A}(t) = \Phi_j(C^{-1}t)$ since $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(t) = C\Phi_j^{-1}(t)$, then it follows from Lemma 8 that, for all $0 \leq s, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-j} < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j(C^{-1}s \cdot t_1 \cdots t_{m-j}) & = \mathcal{A}(s \cdot t_1 \cdots t_{m-j}) \\ & \leq \Phi_m(s) + \Psi(t_1) + \cdots + \Psi(t_{m-j}). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For a locally integrable function f and a cube Q , denote

$$f_Q = (f)_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy. \tag{32}$$

Lemma 9 (see [26]). Let $\omega \in A_\infty$ and $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q \exp \left(\frac{|b(x) - b_Q|}{C_0 \|b\|_*} \right) \omega(x) dx \leq C, \tag{33}$$

$$\|b - b_Q\|_{\exp L, Q, \omega} \leq C \|b\|_*,$$

where C_0 and C are positive constants independent of b and Q , and $\|b\|_*$ is the BMO-norm of b .

Lemma 10 (see [28]). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\omega^p \in A_1$, $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), and Q be a cube. Then for any positive integer m and $k = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} \omega^p(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_Q|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \|\tilde{b}\|_* (k+1)^m \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in Q} \omega(y). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 6: The Case $m = 1$

When $m = 1$, we write $b = b_1$ and $T_b = T_b$ for simplicity. We need to prove that, for $\omega \in A_1$ and $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_b f(x)| > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

For any fixed $\lambda > 0$, we consider the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f at height λ and get a sequence of nonoverlapping cubes $\{Q_i\}$, where $Q_i = Q(y_i, r_i)$ is a cube centered at y_i with radius r_i , such that

$$|f(x)| \leq \lambda, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i Q_i, \tag{36}$$

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(x)| dx \leq 2^n \lambda, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \tag{37}$$

Denote by $f|_{Q_i}$ the restriction of f to Q_i . Let $g_i(x)$ be the projection of $f|_{Q_i}$ onto $Y_i = \text{span}\{\phi_1(\cdot - y_i), \phi_2(\cdot - y_i), \dots, \phi_\ell(\cdot - y_i)\}$. We decompose f into two parts, $f = g + h$, where

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i Q_i, \\ g_i(x), & x \in Q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

and $h(x) = f(x) - g(x) = \sum_i h_i(x)$ with $h_i(x) = f(x) - g_i(x)$ for $x \in Q_i$.

Obviously, h_i is supported on Q_i and it follows from (18) that, for any $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ and any i (also see [2] p.170 or [3] (3.13)),

$$\int_{Q_i} \phi_k(x - y_i) h_i(x) dx = 0. \quad (39)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$|g(x)| \leq C\lambda, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (40)$$

Indeed, by (36) and (38) we have $|g(x)| \leq \lambda$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i Q_i$. On the other hand, for any $x \in \cup_i Q_i$ there exists an i so that $x \in Q_i$, and noting that $g_i(x)$ is the projection of $f|_{Q_i}$ onto Y_i , then it follows from Lemma 7 and (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x)| &= |g_i(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Q_i} |g_i(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \leq C\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

So, (40) is verified.

Since $\omega \in A_1$, then by (38), (41), and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i Q_i} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx + \int_{\cup_i Q_i} |g_i(x)| \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \left(\frac{C}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx + C \sum_i \frac{\omega(Q_i)}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \left(\text{ess inf}_{x \in Q_i} \omega(x) \right) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

For any cube Q_i , by (16) and (37) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(Q_i) &\leq C |Q_i| \text{ess inf}_{y \in Q_i} \omega(y) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{Q_i} |f(x)| \left(\text{ess inf}_{y \in Q_i} \omega(y) \right) dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{Q_i} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Set $Q_i^* = 2\sqrt{n}Q_i$ and $\Omega = \cup_i Q_i^*$; then

$$\omega(\Omega) \leq \sum_i \omega(Q_i^*) \leq C \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}. \quad (44)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_b f(x)| > \lambda\}) \\ &\leq \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_b f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) + \omega(\Omega) \\ &\leq \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_b g(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_b h(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)} \\ &= I + J + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

For any $p > 1$, since $\omega \in A_1 \subset A_p$, then by Theorem 5, (40), and (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_b g(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \omega(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For the second term J , since

$$\begin{aligned} T_b h(x) &= \sum_i T_b h_i(x) \\ &= \sum_i (b(x) - b_{Q_i}) T h_i(x) - \sum_i T((b(x) - b_{Q_i}) h_i)(x), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : \left| \sum_i (b(x) - b_{Q_i}) T h_i(x) \right| > \frac{\lambda}{4}\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : \left| \sum_i T((b(x) - b_{Q_i}) h_i)(x) \right| > \frac{\lambda}{4}\right\}\right) \\ &= J^{(1)} + J^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Let us consider $J^{(1)}$ first. Applying (39), condition (K_4) , and Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^{(1)} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \left| \sum_i (b(x) - b_{Q_i}) Th_i(x) \right| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_i^*} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \\
 &\quad \times \left| \int_{Q_i} K(x-y) h_i(y) dy \right| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_i^*} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{Q_i} \left| K(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x-y_i) \phi_k(y-y_i) \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |h_i(y)| dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_i^*} \left| K(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x-y_i) \phi_k(y-y_i) \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \left(\int_{|x-y_i| > 2|y-y_i|} \frac{|y-y_i|^\gamma}{|x-y|^{n+\gamma}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^s|y-y_i| < |x-y_i| \leq 2^{s+1}|y-y_i|} \frac{|y-y_i|^\gamma}{|x-y_i|^{n+\gamma}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{s\gamma} (2^{s+1}|y-y_i|)^n} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \int_{2^{s+2}Q_i} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{s\gamma}} \|b\|_* (s+3) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in Q_i} \omega(x) \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{49}$$

It follows from (42) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^{(1)} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|f(y)| + |g(y)|) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{50}$$

Now, let us consider $J^{(2)}$. By the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate of T (see Theorem 3), (27), (41), and Lemmas 9 and 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^{(2)} &\leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left| T \left(\sum_i (b - b_{Q_i}) h_i \right) (x) \right| > \frac{\lambda}{4} \right\} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_i (b(x) - b_{Q_i}) h_i(x) \right| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| |h_i(x)| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| |f(x) - g_i(x)| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(x)| |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |g_i(x)| |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L \log L, Q_i, \omega} \|b - b_{Q_i}\|_{\exp L, Q_i, \omega} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \right) |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L \log L, Q_i, \omega} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |b(x) - b_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L \log L, Q_i, \omega} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \left(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in Q_i} \omega(x) \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L \log L, Q_i, \omega} + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{51}$$

Note that (26) implies

$$\|f\|_{L \log L, Q_i, \omega} \leq C \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(Q_i)} \int_{Q_i} \Phi \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\}.
 \tag{52}$$

Then by (43) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^{(2)} &\leq C \sum_i \left\{ \omega(Q_i) + \int_{Q_i} \Phi \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy + \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Combining the estimates for $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$, we have

$$J \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy. \tag{54}$$

This along with (45) and (46) gives (35), which is the desired result.

4. Proof of Theorem 6: The General Case $m > 1$

In this section, we will use an induction argument to prove Theorem 6 for the general case. To this end, we first introduce some notation.

As in [22], given positive integers m and j ($1 \leq j \leq m$), we denote by \mathcal{C}_j^m the family of all finite subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(j)\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of j different elements. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we write $\sigma' = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \sigma$.

For $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ with $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(j)\} \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), we denote by $\vec{b}_\sigma = (b_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(j)})$, $\vec{b}_{\sigma'} = (b_{\sigma'(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma'(m-j)})$, and $\|\vec{b}\|_* = \|b_1\|_* \cdots \|b_m\|_*$, $\|\vec{b}_\sigma\|_* = \|b_{\sigma(1)}\|_* \cdots \|b_{\sigma(j)}\|_*$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\vec{b}(x) - \vec{b}(y))_\sigma &= \prod_{i=1}^j (b_{\sigma(i)}(x) - b_{\sigma(i)}(y)), \\
 (\vec{b}(y) - \vec{b}_Q)_\sigma &= \prod_{i=1}^j (b_{\sigma(i)}(y) - (b_{\sigma(i)})_Q),
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where Q is a cube in \mathbb{R}^n and $\vec{b}_Q = ((b_1)_Q, \dots, (b_m)_Q)$. We also need the following notation:

$$T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\vec{b}(x) - \vec{b}(y))_\sigma K(x, y) f(y) dy. \tag{56}$$

Proof of Theorem 6 (the general case $m > 1$). We have proved that Theorem 6 is true for $m = 1$ in Section 3. Now, we assume that Theorem 6 holds for all positive integer $j < m$; namely, for all $1 \leq j < m$ and any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we have

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_j \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy. \tag{57}$$

For any fixed $\lambda > 0$, we consider the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f at height λ as in Section 3 and use the notations $\{Q_i\}$, Q_i^* , g , h , h_i , and Ω as there.

For the same reason as in (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}} f(x)| > \lambda\}) \\
 &\leq \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_{\vec{b}} f(x)| > \lambda\}) + \omega(\Omega) \\
 &\leq \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_{\vec{b}} g(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\
 &\quad + \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_{\vec{b}} h(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\
 &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)} \\
 &:= I + J + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Similar to (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\vec{b}} g(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \\
 &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Then

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}} f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq J + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}. \tag{60}$$

Reasoning as the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [22] (pp. 683-684), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\vec{b}} h_i(x) &= (b_1(x) - (b_1)_{Q_i}) \cdots (b_m(x) - (b_m)_{Q_i}) T h_i(x) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^m T((b_1 - (b_1)_{Q_i}) \cdots (b_m - (b_m)_{Q_i}) h_i)(x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} (-1)^{m-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\vec{b}(x) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma (\vec{b}(y) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'} \\
 &\quad \times K(x, y) h_i(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\vec{b}(x) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma \\
 &= \prod_{s=1}^j [(b_{\sigma(s)}(x) - b_{\sigma(s)}(y)) + (b_{\sigma(s)}(y) - (b_{\sigma(s)})_{Q_i})], \\
 &(\vec{b}(y) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'} = \prod_{s=1}^{m-j} [b_{\sigma'(s)}(y) - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}],
 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and expanding $(\vec{b}(x) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma (\vec{b}(y) - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}$, it is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\vec{b}} h_i(x) &= (b_1(x) - (b_1)_{Q_i}) \cdots (b_m(x) - (b_m)_{Q_i}) Th_i(x) \\ &+ C_m T((b_1 - (b_1)_{Q_i}) \cdots (b_m - (b_m)_{Q_i}) h_i)(x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} C_{m,j} T_{\vec{b}_\sigma}((\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma h_i)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\vec{b}} h(x)| &= \left| \sum_i T_{\vec{b}} h_i(x) \right| \leq \sum_i \left[\prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \right] |Th_i(x)| \\ &+ C \left| T \left(\sum_i \left[\prod_{j=1}^m (b_j - (b_j)_{Q_i}) \right] h_i \right)(x) \right| \\ &+ C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \left| T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} \left(\sum_i (\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma h_i \right)(x) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |T_{\vec{b}} h(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : \sum_i \left[\prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \right] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times |Th_i(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &+ \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : C \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \left| T \left(\sum_i \left[\prod_{j=1}^m (b_j - (b_j)_{Q_i}) \right] h_i \right)(x) \right| > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &+ \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : C \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \left| T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} \left(\sum_i (\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_\sigma h_i \right)(x) \right| > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Applying (39), condition (K_4) , and Lemma 10, similar to the estimate of $J^{(1)}$ in Section 3, we have

$$J_1 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \left[\prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \right] |Th_i(x)| \omega(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q_i^*} \left[\prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \right] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{Q_i} \left| K(x-y) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} B_k(x-y_i) \phi_k(y-y_i) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |h_i(y)| dy \right\} \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \left\{ \int_{|x-y_i|>2|y-y_i|} \frac{|y-y_i|^y}{|x-y|^{n+y}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right\} dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{2^s|y-y_i|<|x-y_i|\leq 2^{s+1}|y-y_i|} \frac{|y-y_i|^y}{|x-y_i|^{n+y}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right\} dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{sy}} \frac{1}{(2^{s+1}|y-y_i|)^n} \\ &\quad \times \int_{2^{s+2}Q_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \\ &\quad \times \omega(x) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(s+3)^m}{2^{sy}} \|\vec{b}\|_* \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in Q_i} \omega(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

For J_2 , by the weak type (1, 1) estimate for T (see Theorem 3), (27), (41), and Lemmas 9 and 10, similar to the estimate of $J^{(2)}$ in Section 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_i |h_i(x)| \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(x)| \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |g_i(x)| \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q_i, \omega} \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j - (b_j)_{Q_i}\|_{\exp L, Q_i, \omega} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q_i, \omega} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \\
 &\quad \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{Q_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q_i, \omega} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \left(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in Q_i} \omega(y) \right) dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \omega(Q_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, Q_i, \omega} + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Then by (26) and (43) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq C \sum_i \left\{ \omega(Q_i) + \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy + \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Now, let us consider J_3 by applying the induction hypothesis.

Noting that $h_i(x) = (f(x) - g_i(x))\chi_{Q_i}(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), we can split J_3 into two parts

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 &\leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : C \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \left| T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} \left(\sum_i (\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'} f \chi_{Q_i} \right) (x) \right| \\
 &\quad \left. \left. > \frac{\lambda}{12} \right\} \right) \\
 &\quad + \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : C \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \times \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \left| T_{\vec{b}_\sigma} \left(\sum_i (\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'} g_i \chi_{Q_i} \right) (x) \right| \\
 &\quad \quad \left. \left. > \frac{\lambda}{12} \right\} \right) \\
 &:= J_3^{(1)} + J_3^{(2)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we denote by $\sigma' = \{\sigma'(1), \sigma'(2), \dots, \sigma'(m-j)\}$, so that

$$|(\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}| = |b_{\sigma'(1)} - (b_{\sigma'(1)})_{Q_i}| \cdots |b_{\sigma'(m-j)} - (b_{\sigma'(m-j)})_{Q_i}|. \tag{70}$$

From Lemma 9, there exist constants $C_{s,0}$ and C_s such that for $s = 1 \cdots m-j$

$$\frac{1}{\omega(Q_i)} \int_{Q_i} \exp \left(\frac{|b_{\sigma'(s)}(x) - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}|}{C_{s,0} \|b_{\sigma'(s)}\|_*} \right) \omega(x) dx \leq C_s. \tag{71}$$

Set $\gamma_s = (C_{s,0} \|b_{\sigma'(s)}\|_*)^{-1}$ ($s = 1, \dots, m-j$); then it follows from the induction hypothesis and (31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3^{(1)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_j \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_i |(\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}| \chi_{Q_i}(y) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \Phi_j \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) |(\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m-j} \cdot \lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-j} \int_{Q_i} \Psi(\gamma_s |b_{\sigma'(s)} - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}|) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. \times \omega(y) dy \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

By (71) and (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_i \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-j} \int_{Q_i} \Psi(\gamma_s |b_{\sigma'(s)} - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}|) \omega(y) dy \right\} \\
 &= \sum_i \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-j} \int_{Q_i} \left[\exp \left(\frac{|b_{\sigma'(s)}(x) - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}|}{C_{s,0} \|b_{\sigma'(s)}\|_*} \right) - 1 \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \omega(y) dy \right\} \\
 &\leq \sum_i \sum_{s=1}^{m-j} C_s \omega(Q_i) \\
 &\leq C \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Noting that $\Phi_m(ab) \leq C\Phi_m(a)\Phi_m(b)$ for $a, b > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3^{(1)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \Phi_m \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m-j}} \right) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Finally, we consider $J_3^{(2)}$. By Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f_{Q_i}|}{\lambda} \right) &\leq \Phi_m \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} dx \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

By the induction hypothesis, (31), and (75), similar to the estimate of $J_3^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3^{(2)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_j \left(\frac{|f_{Q_i}|}{\lambda} \sum_i |(\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}| \chi_{Q_i}(y) \right) \\
 &\quad \times \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \Phi_j \left(\frac{|f_{Q_i}|}{\lambda} |(\vec{b} - \vec{b}_{Q_i})_{\sigma'}| \right) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f_{Q_i}|}{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m-j} \cdot \lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-j} \int_{Q_i} \Psi \left(\gamma_s |b_{\sigma'(s)} - (b_{\sigma'(s)})_{Q_i}| \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \omega(y) dy \right\} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \right\} \omega(y) dy \\
 &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Applying (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{Q_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \right\} \omega(y) dy \\
 &= \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \omega(y) dy \right\} dx \\
 &\leq \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in Q_i} \omega(y) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(x) dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3^{(2)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \sum_i \int_{Q_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(x) dx \\
 &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

This along with (69) and (74) gives

$$J_3 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx. \tag{79}$$

By (60), (65), and the above estimates for J_1, J_2 , and J_3 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_{\vec{b}} f(x)| > \lambda\}) \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)} \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

The proof of the general case of Theorem 6 is therefore completed. \square

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Heilongjiang Provincial Education Department (no. 12531720). The authors thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] L. Hörmander, “Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces,” *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 104, no. 1-2, pp. 93–140, 1960.
- [2] D. J. Grubb and C. N. Moore, “A variant of Hörmander’s condition for singular integrals,” *Colloquium Mathematicum*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 165–172, 1997.
- [3] R. Trujillo-González, “Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators satisfying a variant of Hörmander’s condition,” *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 137–152, 2003.
- [4] D. S. Kurtz and R. L. Wheeden, “Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 255, pp. 343–362, 1979.
- [5] D. K. Watson, “Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates,” *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 389–399, 1990.
- [6] M. Lorente, M. S. Riveros, and A. de la Torre, “Weighted estimates for singular integral operators satisfying Hörmander’s conditions of young type,” *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 497–509, 2005.
- [7] J. M. Martell, C. Pérez, and R. Trujillo-González, “Lack of natural weighted estimates for some singular integral operators,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 357, no. 1, pp. 385–396, 2005.
- [8] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, vol. 116 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1985.
- [9] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, vol. 250 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2008.
- [10] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1993.
- [11] R. A. Bandaliev, “Two-weight inequalities for convolution operators in Lebesgue space,” *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 3–10, 2006.
- [12] R. A. Bandaliev and K. K. Omarova, “Two-weight norm inequalities for certain singular integrals,” *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 713–732, 2012.
- [13] V. S. Guliyev, “Two-weight inequalities for singular integral operators satisfying a variant of Hörmander’s condition,” *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 43–59, 2009.
- [14] P. Zhang and D. Q. Zhang, “A variant of Hörmander’s condition and weighted estimates for singular integrals,” *Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 223–232, 2013.
- [15] J. Alvarez, R. J. Bagby, D. S. Kurtz, and C. Pérez, “Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators,” *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 195–209, 1993.
- [16] D. Chung, M. C. Pereyra, and C. Pérez, “Sharp bounds for general commutators on weighted lebesgue spaces,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 364, no. 3, pp. 1163–1177, 2012.
- [17] R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, “Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables,” *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 103, pp. 611–636, 1976.
- [18] D. Cruz-Urbe and K. Moen, “Sharp norm inequalities for commutators of classical operators,” *Publicacions Matemàtiques*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 147–190, 2012.
- [19] G. Hu, Y. Meng, and D. Yang, “Multilinear commutators of singular integrals with non doubling measures,” *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 235–255, 2005.
- [20] C. Pérez, “Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 163–185, 1995.
- [21] C. Pérez and G. Pradolini, “Sharp weighted endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integrals,” *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 23–37, 2001.
- [22] C. Pérez and R. Trujillo-González, “Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 672–692, 2002.
- [23] P. Zhang, “Weighted estimates for maximal multilinear commutators,” *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 279, no. 4, pp. 445–462, 2006.
- [24] L. D. Ky, “Bilinear decompositions and commutators of singular integral operators,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 365, no. 6, pp. 2931–2958, 2013.
- [25] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Space*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [26] P. Zhang, “Weighted endpoint estimates for commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals,” *Acta Mathematica Sinica. English Series*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1709–1722, 2010.
- [27] R. O’Neil, “Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 115, pp. 300–328, 1965.
- [28] P. Zhang, J. L. Wu, and Q. G. Liu, “Weighted endpoint estimates for multilinear commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals,” *Acta Mathematica Scientia. Series A*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 892–903, 2012.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

