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#### Abstract

Based on well-known fourth-order Ostrowskis method, we proposed many new interesting optimal families of eighth-order multipoint methods without memory for obtaining simple roots. Its geometric construction consists in approximating $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $z_{n}$ in such a way that its average with the known tangent slopes $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ is the same as the known weighted average of secant slopes and then we apply weight function approach. The adaptation of this strategy increases the convergence order of Ostrowski's method from four to eight and its efficiency index from 1.587 to 1.682 . Finally, a number of numerical examples are also proposed to illustrate their accuracy by comparing them with the new existing optimal eighth-order methods available in the literature. It is found that they are very useful in high precision computations. Further, it is also noted that larger basins of attraction belong to our methods although the other methods are slow and have darker basins while some of the methods are too sensitive upon the choice of the initial value.


## 1. Introduction

Multipoint iterative methods for solving nonlinear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have drawn a considerable attention in the first decade of the 21st century, which led to the construction of many methods of this type. These methods are primarily introduced with the aim to achieve as high as possible order of convergence using a fixed number of function evaluations. However, multipoint methods do not use higher order derivatives and have great practical importance since they overcome the theoretical limitations of one-point methods regarding their convergence order and computational efficiency.

As the order of an iterative method increases, so does the number of functional evaluations per step. The efficiency index [1] gives a measure of the balance between those quantities, according to the formula $p^{1 / d}$, where $p$ is the order of convergence of the method and $d$ is the number of functional evaluations per step. According to the Kung-Traub conjecture [2], the order of convergence of any multipoint
method cannot exceed the bound $2^{n-1}$, called the optimal order. Thus, the optimal order for a method with three functional evaluations per step would be four. The well-known King's family of methods [3] is an example of fourth order multipoint methods requiring three functional evaluations per full iteration, which is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}+(\beta-1) f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)+\beta\left\{f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left[f\left(x_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(y_{n}\right)\right]}, \\
\text { where } \beta \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $\beta=0$, one can easily get the well-known Ostrowski's method. From practical point of view, King's family [3] and Ostrowski's method [1,4] are one of the most efficient multipoint fourth-order methods known to date because they have simple body structures and do not require the computation of
a second-order derivative. They have efficiency index equal to 1.5874 , which is very competitive.

In recent years, based on the King's method and Ostrowski's method, some higher order iterative methods have been proposed and analyzed for solving nonlinear equations. J. R. Sharma and R. Sharma [5] proposed a family of Ostrowski's method with eighth-order convergence, which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)},  \tag{3}\\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} H\left(\mu_{n}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=f\left(y_{n}\right) / f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $H(t)$ represents a real-valued function with $H(0)=1, H^{\prime}(0)=2$, and $\left|H^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|<\infty$. We will refer to this method as $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}$.

Liu and Wang [6] have also presented another eighthorder family of Ostrowski's method, requiring three-function and one-derivative evaluation per iteration:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
& x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}[ \left(\frac{\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}\right)^{2}  \tag{4}\\
&+\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-a f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)} \\
&\left.+\frac{4 f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)+b f\left(z_{n}\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are two free disposable parameters. We will refer to this method as $\mathrm{LWM}_{8}$.

Soleymani et al. [7] also proposed eighth-order variant of Ostrowski's method, which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}= & x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
z_{n}= & y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)} \\
x_{n+1}= & z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}  \tag{5}\\
\times & {\left[1+2 \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}+\left(\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+2\left(\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{3}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}+\left(\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{3}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

We will refer to this method as $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$.

The main goal of this paper is to develop a general class of very efficient three-point methods for solving nonlinear equations. Here, we derived several new optimal families of eighth-order Ostrowski's method by taking the arithmetic mean of three slopes and then applying weight function approach. In terms of computational cost, they require four functional evaluations per iteration. Thus, the new family adds only one evaluation of the function at another point other than Ostrowski's method and order increases from four to eight. This property of the new methods provides a new example of multipoint methods without memory having optimal order of convergence. The efficiency of the methods is tested on a number of numerical examples.

## 2. Development of Optimal Eighth-Order Families of Ostrowski's Method

Newton's method is probably the best known and most widely used one-point iterative method for solving nonlinear equation (1). It converges quadratically to a simple root and linearly to a multiple root. Its geometric construction consists in considering the straight line

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a x+b \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then determining the unknowns $a$ and $b$ by imposing the tangency conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right), \quad y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thereby obtaining the tangent line

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)-f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the graph of $f(x)$ at $\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$.
The point of intersection of this tangent line with $x$-axis gives the celebrated Newton's method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence order and computational efficiency of the one-point iterative methods are lower than multipoint iterative methods [8] because multipoint iterative methods can overcome theoretical limits of one-point methods concerning the convergence order and computational efficiency. In recent years, many multipoint iterative methods have been proposed that improve the local convergence order of the classical Newton's method. In 1973, King [3] had considered the following fourth-order iteration scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)},  \tag{10}\\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

But according to the Kung-Traub conjecture [2], the above scheme (10) is not an optimal method because it has fourthorder convergence and requires four functional evaluations
per full iteration. However, King [3] had reduced the number of function evaluations by using some suitable approximation of $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$. In fact, King had taken the approximation of $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ in such a way that its average with the known tangent slopes $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ is the same as the known secant slopes; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2}=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-y_{n}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

After solving (11), one can get the following value of $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this value in scheme (10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

This is well-known Ostrowski's method [1, 4]. It is very interesting to note that, by adding one evaluation of the function at another point iterated by Newton's method, the order of convergence increases from two to four and is free from the second-order derivative.

Now, we intend to derive the new optimal eighth-order family of Ostrowski's method. For this, we consider a threestep iteration scheme with existing Ostrowski's method as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)},  \tag{14}\\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Again the above method is not optimal according to the Kung-Traub conjecture [2], because it has eighth-order convergence and requires five functional evaluations per full iteration. However, we can reduce the number of function evaluations by using some suitable approximation of $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$. In fact, we will take the approximation of $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$ similar to King's approximation in such a way that its average with the known slopes $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $x_{n}, y_{n}$, and $z_{n}$ is the same as the known weighted average of secant slopes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{3} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{3}\left[2\left(\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-y_{n}}\right)+\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{z_{n}-y_{n}}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

After solving (15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this value of $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$ in scheme (14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a new sixth-order Ostrowski's method. It satisfies the following error equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\left(c_{2}^{5}-c_{2}^{3} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{6}+O\left(e_{n}^{7}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{n}=x_{n}-r$ and $c_{k}=(1 / k!)\left(f^{(k)}(r) / f^{\prime}(r)\right), k=2,3, \ldots .$.
Again, the above method is not optimal according to the Kung-Traub conjecture [2]. Therefore, to improve the order of convergence of this method, we will now make use of weight function approach to build our optimal families of this iterative method by a simple change in its third step. Therefore, we consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
& z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
& x_{n+1} \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad z_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)}  \tag{19}\\
& \quad \times Q(u, v),
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=f\left(z_{n}\right) / f\left(x_{n}\right), v=f\left(y_{n}\right) / f\left(x_{n}\right)$, and $Q(u, v)$ is a two variable real-valued weight function such that its order of convergence reaches at the optimal level eight without using any more functional evaluations. Theorem 1 indicates that under what conditions on the weight function in (19) the order of convergence will reach at the optimal level eight.

## 3. Order of Convergence

Theorem 1. Let a sufficiently smooth function $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ have a simple zero $r$ in the open interval $D$. Let $Q(u, v)$ be a two-variable real-valued differentiable function. If an initial approximation $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to the required root $r$ of a function $f$, then the convergence order of the family of
three-point methods (19) is equal to eight when it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{00}=1, \quad Q_{10}=2, \quad Q_{01}=0  \tag{20}\\
Q_{02}=2, \quad Q_{03}=12,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $Q_{i j}=\left.(1 / i!j!)\left(\partial Q(u, v) / \partial u^{i} v^{j}\right)\right|_{(0,0)}$, for $i=0,1,2,3$ and $j=0,1,2,3$.

It satisfies the following error equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n+1}= & -c_{2}^{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(\left(-7+Q_{11}\right) c_{2}^{3}-\left(-4+Q_{11}\right) c_{2} c_{3}-c_{4}\right) e^{8}  \tag{21}\\
& +O[e]^{9},
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{n}$ and $c_{k}$ are already defined in (18).
Proof. Let $x=r$ be a simple zero of $f(x)$. Expanding $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ about $x=r$ by the Taylor's series expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(r) \\
& \times\left(e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+c_{5} e_{n}^{5}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{6} e_{n}^{6}+c_{7} e_{n}^{7}+c_{8} e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \\
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(r)  \tag{22}\\
& \times\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+5 c_{5} e_{n}^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+6 c_{6} e_{n}^{5}+7 c_{7} e_{n}^{6}+8 c_{8} e_{n}^{7}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.
From (22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}= & e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}  \tag{23}\\
& +\left(-4 c_{2}^{3}+7 c_{2} c_{3}-3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and in combination with the Taylor series expansion of $f\left(x_{n}-\right.$ $\left.\left(f\left(x_{n}\right) / f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ about $x=r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(y_{n}\right)= & f\left(x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)=f^{\prime}(r) \\
\times & {\left[c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(-2 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}\right.} \\
& +\left(5 c_{2}^{3}-7 c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
& \left.-2\left(6 c_{2}^{4}-12 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+3 c_{3}^{2}+5 c_{2} c_{4}-2 c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{5}\right] \\
+ & O\left(e_{n}^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
=1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(-2 c_{2}^{2}+4 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2} \\
\\
+\left(-4 c_{2} c_{3}+6 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(4 c_{2}^{4}-6 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}-4 c_{2} c_{4}+8 c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
\\
-2\left(4 c_{2}^{5}-14 c_{2}^{3} c_{3}+5 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}\right. \\
\\
u_{n}=\frac{\left.f c_{3} c_{4}+c_{2}\left(9 c_{3}^{2}+2 c_{5}\right)-5 c_{6}\right) e_{n}^{5}+O\left(e_{n}^{6}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(-4 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}  \tag{25}\\
+ \\
+\left(13 c_{2}^{3}-14 c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
-2\left(19 c_{2}^{4}-32 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+6 c_{3}^{2}+10 c_{2} c_{4}-2 c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{5}+O\left(e_{n}^{6}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{n}= & y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}, \\
= & \left(c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}-2\left(2 c_{2}^{4}-4 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+c_{3}^{2}+c_{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{5} \\
& +\left(10 c_{2}^{5}-30 c_{2}^{3} c_{3}+12 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}-7 c_{3} c_{4}+3 c_{2}\left(6 c_{3}^{2}-c_{5}\right)\right) \\
& \times e_{n}^{6}+O\left(e_{n}^{7}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, expanding $f\left(z_{n}\right)$ about $r$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(z_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(r) \\
& \times\left[\left(c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}-2\left(2 c_{2}^{4}-4 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+c_{3}^{2}+c_{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{5}\right. \\
& +\left(10 c_{2}^{5}-30 c_{2}^{3} c_{3}+12 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}-7 c_{3} c_{4}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3 c_{2}\left(6 c_{3}^{2}-c_{5}\right)\right) e_{n}^{6}\right]+O\left(e_{n}^{7}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)} \\
& =\left(c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}-2\left(2 c_{2}^{4}-4 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+c_{3}^{2}+c_{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{5} \\
& +\left(9 c_{2}^{5}-29 c_{2}^{3} c_{3}+12 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-7 c_{3} c_{4}+3 c_{2}\left(6 c_{3}^{2}-c_{5}\right)\right) e_{n}^{6}+O\left(e_{n}^{7}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}= & \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
= & \left(c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(-5 c_{2}^{4}+9 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}-2 c_{3}^{2}-2 c_{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
& +\left(15 c_{2}^{5}-40 c_{2}^{3} c_{3}+14 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}-7 c_{3} c_{4}+3 c_{2}\left(7 c_{3}^{2}-c_{5}\right)\right) \\
& \times e_{n}^{5}+O\left(e_{n}^{6}\right) \\
v_{n}= & \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
= & c_{2} e_{n}+\left(-3 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(8 c_{2}^{3}-10 c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3} \\
& +\left(-20 c_{2}^{4}+37 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}-8 c_{3}^{2}-14 c_{2} c_{4}+4 c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Since it is clear from (29) that $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ are of order $e_{n}^{3}$ and $e_{n}$ respectively, therefore, we can expand weight function $Q(u, v)$ in the neighborhood of origin by Taylor series expansion up to third-order terms as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(u, v)= & Q_{00}+Q_{10} u+Q_{01} v \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{20} u^{2}+2 Q_{11} u v+Q_{02} v^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(Q_{30} u^{3}+3 Q_{21} u^{2} v+3 Q_{12} u v^{2}+Q_{03} v^{3}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (28), (29), and (30) in scheme (19), we have the following error equation size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n+1} \\
&=z_{n}- \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)} \\
& \times Q(u, v)=-\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
&+\left(\left(-4+4 Q_{00}-Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{4}\right. \\
&+\left(8-8 Q_{00}+Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{2} c_{3} \\
&\left.+2\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{3}^{2}+2\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{5} \\
&+\left(\left(10-9 Q_{00}-\frac{Q_{02}}{2}+7 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{5}\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(-60+58 Q_{00}+Q_{02}-26 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{3} c_{3} \\
&+2\left(6-6 Q_{00}+Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{2} c_{4}+7\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{3} c_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +c_{2}\left(\left(18-18 Q_{00}+4 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{5}\right)\right) e_{n}^{6} \\
& +\left(\left(-20+14 Q_{00}+5 Q_{02}-\frac{Q_{03}}{6}-Q_{10}-29 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{6}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{6}\left(-480+408 Q_{00}+54 Q_{02}-Q_{03}-12 Q_{10}\right. \\
& \left.-474 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{4} c_{3} \\
& +\left(-40+38 Q_{00}+Q_{02}-21 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{3} c_{4} \\
& +c_{2}^{2}\left(\left(-80+76 Q_{00}+3 Q_{02}-Q_{10}-50 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(16-16 Q_{00}+3 Q_{01}\right) c_{5}\right) \\
& +2\left(\left(6-6 Q_{00}+2 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{3}+3\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{4}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+5\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{3} c_{5}\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(2\left(26-26 Q_{00}+7 Q_{01}\right) c_{3} c_{4}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{6}\right)\right) e_{n}^{7} \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(\left(216-90 Q_{00}-174 Q_{02}+13 Q_{03}+54 Q_{10}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-6 Q_{11}+558 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{7} \\
& +\left(-1068+690 Q_{00}+450 Q_{02}-23 Q_{03}\right. \\
& \left.-156 Q_{10}+12 Q_{11}-2004 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{5} c_{3} \\
& +2\left(303-246 Q_{00}-45 Q_{02}+Q_{03}\right. \\
& \left.+12 Q_{10}+348 Q_{01}\right) c_{2}^{4} c_{4} \\
& +c_{2}^{3}\left(-2\left(-756+624 Q_{00}+147 Q_{02}-4 Q_{03}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-63 Q_{10}+3 Q_{11}-987 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.+3\left(-102+96 Q_{00}+3 Q_{02}-58 Q_{01}\right) c_{5}\right) \\
& +3 c_{2}^{2}\left(\left(-418+390 Q_{00}+21 Q_{02}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-8 Q_{10}-310 Q_{01}\right) c_{3} c_{4} \\
& \left.+8\left(5-5 Q_{00}+Q_{01}\right) c_{6}\right) \\
& -6\left(10\left(-5+5 Q_{00}-2 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{2} c_{4}\right. \\
& -17\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{4} c_{5} \\
& \left.-13\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{3} c_{6}\right) \\
& +6 c_{2}\left(\left(-91+87 Q_{00}+6 Q_{02}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-4 Q_{10}-76 Q_{01}\right) c_{3}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(37-37 Q_{00}+12 Q_{01}\right) c_{4}^{2} \\
& +4\left(17-17 Q_{00}+5 Q_{01}\right) c_{3} c_{5} \\
& \left.\left.+5\left(-1+Q_{00}\right) c_{7}\right)\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

For obtaining an iterative method of order eight, the coefficients of $e_{n}^{4}, e_{n}^{5}, e_{n}^{6}$, and $e_{n}^{7}$ in the error equation (31) must be zero simultaneously. After simplifications, we have the following equations involving $Q_{00}, Q_{10}, Q_{01}, Q_{02}$, and $Q_{03}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-1+Q_{00}\right)=0 \\
\left(-4+4 Q_{00}-Q_{01}\right)=0 \\
\left(8-8 Q_{00}+Q_{01}\right)=0 \\
\left(10-9 Q_{00}-\frac{Q_{02}}{2}+7 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(-60+58 Q_{00}+Q_{02}-26 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(6-6 Q_{00}+Q_{01}\right)=0 \\
\left(18-18 Q_{00}+4 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(-20+14 Q_{00}+5 Q_{02}-\frac{Q_{03}}{6}-Q_{10}-29 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(-480+408 Q_{00}+54 Q_{02}-Q_{03}-12 Q_{10}-474 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(-40+38 Q_{00}+Q_{02}-21 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(-80+76 Q_{00}+3 Q_{02}-Q_{10}-50 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(16-16 Q_{00}+3 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(6-6 Q_{00}+2 Q_{01}\right)=0, \\
\left(26-26 Q_{00}+7 Q_{01}\right)=0 . \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

After simplifying (32), we have the following conditions on the weight function:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{00}=1, \quad Q_{10}=2, \quad Q_{01}=0,  \tag{33}\\
Q_{02}=2, \quad Q_{03}=12 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, we get the following error equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n+1}= & -c_{2}^{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(\left(-7+Q_{11}\right) c_{2}^{3}-\left(-4+Q_{11}\right) c_{2} c_{3}-c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{8}  \tag{34}\\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This reveals that the three-step class of Ostrowski's method (19) reaches the optimal order of convergence eight by using only four functional evaluations per full iteration. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

## 4. Special Cases

In this section, we will consider some particular cases of the proposed scheme (19) depending upon the weight function $Q(u, v)$ as follows.

Case 1. Let us consider the following weight function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u, v)=(a u+1) v^{2}+2 u+2 v^{3}+1 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily seen that the abovementioned weight function $Q(u, v)$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. Therefore, we obtain a new optimal family of eighth-order methods given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)} \\
x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left(f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right. \\
\times\left[2\left\{f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}^{3}+\left\{f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}\right.  \tag{36}\\
\times\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)+a f\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \\
\\
\left.\left.+\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)+2 f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right]\right) \\
\times\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\times\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 2. Let us consider the following weight function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u, v)=1-\frac{v}{2}+\frac{4 b u+b v}{2 b-2 u-4 b v+4 u v} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily seen that the abovementioned weight function $Q(u, v)$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. Therefore, we obtain a new optimal family of eighth-order method given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left(f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right. \\
\times\left(1-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right. \\
-\left(b f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)+4 f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
\times\left(2\left(2 f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.\times\left(b f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
\times\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\times\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 3. Let us consider the following weight function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u, v)=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{v}{2}+\frac{b+8 b u}{4 b-8 b v-4 u v+8 u v^{2}} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1: Test problems.

| $f(x)$ | $r$ | $[a, b]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}(x)=x^{5}+x^{4}+4 x^{2}-15$ | 1.3474280989683049815067153807148212 | $[1.1,1.6]$ |
| $f_{2}(x)=\sin x-\frac{x}{3}$ | 2.2788626600758283126999511045618886 | $[2.0,3.0]$ |
| $f_{3}(x)=e^{x^{2}+7 x-30}-1$ | 3.0000000000000000000000000000000000 | $[2.90,3.30]$ |
| $f_{4}(x)=x e^{-x}$ | 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000 | $[-1.0,0.5]$ |
| $f_{5}(x)=e^{-x}+\cos x$ | 1.7461395304080124176507030889537802 | $[1.2,2.5]$ |
| $f_{6}(x)=10 x e^{-x^{2}}-1$ | 1.6796306104284499406749203388379703 | $[1.5,1.8]$ |

Table 2: Comparison of different eighth-order iterative methods with the same total number of functional evaluations (TNFE = 12).

| $f(x)$ | Initial guess | $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{LM}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{MOM} 1_{8}$ <br> $b=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathrm{MOM}_{8}$ <br> $b=-\frac{1}{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.1 | $3.0 e-308$ | $4.94 e-242$ | $1.1 e-156$ | $1.5 e-223$ | $7.6 e-319$ | $2.3 e-314$ |
| 2 | 1.6 | $1.9 e-340$ | $2.2 e-297$ | $1.9 e-233$ | $6.7 e-297$ | $1.2 e-417$ | $4.1 e-429$ |
|  | 2.0 | $9.83 e-386$ | $3.8 e-331$ | $3.2 e-288$ | $1.7 e-339$ | $1.1 e-437$ | $1.2 e-431$ |
| 3 | 2.5 | $9.8 e-506$ | $3.6 e-462$ | $5.5 e-436$ | $1.3 e-468$ | $7.7 e-556$ | $7.8 e-557$ |
|  | 2.92 | $1.4 e-173$ | $2.8 e-92$ | $D$ | $1.9 e-42$ | $3.0 e-177$ | $1.2 e-163$ |
|  | 3.20 | $1.1 e-68$ | $2.3 e-52$ | $5.6 e-27$ | $2.1 e-51$ | $8.0 e-77$ | $3.0 e-77$ |
| 4 | 3.30 | $1.8 e-28$ | $3.8 e-20$ | $1.1 e-8$ | $8.3 e-20$ | $1.5 e-34$ | $3.3 e-35$ |
| 5 | -0.5 | $3.0 e-222$ | $1.5 e-188$ | $1.5 e-139$ | $1.4 e-193$ | $1.1 e-249$ | $7.8 e-252$ |
|  | 0.5 | $3.5 e-123$ | $3.5 e-38$ | $D$ | $1.1 e-3$ | $1.5 e-165$ | $3.7 e-112$ |
| 6 | 1.2 | $2.2 e-516$ | $6.30 e-444$ | $1.3 e-441$ | $1.3 e-456$ | $3.0 e-527$ | $1.7 e-527$ |
| 6 | 2.5 | $6.0 e-222$ | $4.5 e-157$ | $1.6 e-156$ | $7.3 e-165$ | $4.5 e-266$ | $2.1 e-232$ |
|  | 1.5 | $4.9 e-399$ | $1.5 e-351$ | $9.4 e-316$ | $1.2 e-369$ | $1.4 e-477$ | $9.2 e-491$ |

It can be easily seen that the abovementioned weight function $Q(u, v)$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. Therefore, we obtain a new optimal family of eighth-order method given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} \\
=z_{n}-\left(f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \\
\times\left(4 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\left(f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\times\left(-b\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}+f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\times\left[f\left(y_{n}\right)\left\{4\left\{f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}-8 f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n}\right)+3\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}\right\}\right. \\
\times f\left(z_{n}\right)-4 b\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
\times\left\{\left\{f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}^{2}-2 f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad+f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)+2 f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right\}\right] . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is straightforward to see that per step all the proposed family of methods require four functional evaluation, namely,
$f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)$, and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$. In order to obtain an assessment of the efficiency of our proposed methods, one will make use of efficiency index [1]. For newly proposed eighthorder three-point methods, one finds $p=8$ and $d=4$ to get $E=\sqrt[4]{8} \approx 1.682$ which is better than $E=\sqrt{2} \approx 1.414$, the efficiency index of Newton's method. Further, by choosing different kinds of weight functions one can develope several new optimal families of eight-order multipoint methods.

## 5. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we will check the effectiveness of the new optimal methods. We employ the present methods (38) (for $b=-1 / 2$ ) and (40) (for $b=-1 / 4$ ) denoted by $\mathrm{MOM1}_{8}$ and MOM2 ${ }_{8}$, respectively, to solve nonlinear equations given in Table 1. We compare them with J. R. Sharma and R. Sharma method $\left(\mathrm{SSM}_{8}\right)$, Liu and Wang method $\left(\mathrm{LWM}_{8}\right)$, Thukral method [9] $\left(\mathrm{TM}_{8}\right)$, and Soleymani method $\left(\mathrm{SM}_{8}\right)$, respectively. For better comparisons of our proposed methods, we have given two comparison tables in each example: one is corresponding to absolute error value of given nonlinear functions (with the same total number of functional evaluations $=12$ ) and the other is with respect to number of iterations taken by each method to obtain the root correct up to 35 significant digits in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. All

Table 3: Comparison of different eighth-order iterative methods with respect to number of iterations.

| $f(x)$ | Initial guess | $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{LM}_{8}$ | TM ${ }_{8}$ | $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MOM1}_{8} \\ b=-\frac{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MOM} 2_{8}^{8} \\ b=-\frac{1}{4} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1.6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 2.92 | 4 | 4 | D | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 3.30 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | -0.5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 0.5 | 4 | 4 | D | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2.5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1.8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |



Figure 1: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}, \mathrm{LW}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$, respectively, in problem 1.
computations have been performed using the programming package Mathematica 9 with multiple precision arithmetic. We use $\epsilon=10^{-34}$ as a tolerance error. The following stopping criteria are used for computer programs:
(i) $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|<\epsilon$,
(ii) $\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<\epsilon$.

## 6. Attractor Basins in the Complex Plane

We here investigate the comparison of the attained multiple root finders in the complex plane using basins of attraction. It is known that the corresponding fractal of an iterative rootfinding method is a boundary set in the complex plane, which is characterized by the iterative method applied to a fixed polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}$; see, for example, $[10,11]$. The aim herein is to use basin of attraction as another way for comparing the iteration algorithms.

From the dynamical point of view, we consider a rectangle $D=[-3,3] \times[-3,3] \in \mathbb{C}$ with a $400 \times 400$ grid, and we assign a color to each point $z_{0} \in D$ according to the
multiple root at which the corresponding iterative method starting from $z_{0}$ converges, and we mark the point as black if the method does not converge. In this section, we consider the stopping criterion for convergence to be less than $10^{-4}$ wherein the maximum number of full cycles for each method is considered to be 200. In this way, we distinguish the attraction basins by their colors for different methods.

Test Problem 1. Let $p_{1}(z)=\left(z^{5}+z\right)$, having simple zeros $\{-0.707107-0.707107 i,-0.707107+0.707107 i, 0$, $0.707107-0.707107 i, 0.707107+0.707107 i\}$. It is straight forward to see from Figures 1 and 2 that our methods, namely, $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, contain lesser number of divergent points in comparison to the methods, namely, $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}, \mathrm{LW}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$. Further, our methods have also less chaotic behavior than other methods, namely, $\mathrm{LW}_{8}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{SM}_{8}^{2}$.

Test Problem 2. Let $p_{2}(z)=\left(z^{4}-1\right)$, having simple zeros $\{-1,-i, i, 1\}$. It is straight forward to see from Figures 3 and 4 that our method, namely, $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, performed better and larger basins of attraction as compared to the other methods, namely, $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}, \mathrm{LW}_{8}, \mathrm{TM}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$. Further, our


FIgURe 2: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SM}_{8}, \mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$, and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, respectively, in problem 1.


Figure 3: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}, \mathrm{LW}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$, respectively, in problem 2.


FIGURE 4: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SM}_{8}, \mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$, and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, respectively, in problem 2.
methods have lesser number of divergent points and less chaotic behavior in comparison with other methods.

Test Problem 3. Let $p_{3}(z)=\left(z^{3}+2 z-1\right)$, having simple zeros $\{-0.226699-1.46771 i,-0.226699+1.46771 i, 0.453398\}$. It is straight forward to see from Figures 5 and 6 that our method,
namely, $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, performed better and larger basins of attraction as compared to the other methods, namely, $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}$, $\mathrm{LW}_{8}, \mathrm{TM}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$. Further, our methods have less number of divergent points as compared to method $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$. Note that our methods have also less chaotic behavior as compared to method $\mathrm{SM}_{8}$.


Figure 5: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SSM}_{8}, \mathrm{LW}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{TM}_{8}$, respectively, in problem 3.


Figure 6: The basins of attraction for $\mathrm{SM}_{8}, \mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$, and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, respectively, in problem 3.

## 7. Conclusions

In this paper, we have obtained a simple and elegant families of Ostrowski's method with optimal order of convergence eight by using an additional evaluation of function at the point iterated by Ostrowski's method. Its geometric construction consists in approximating $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $z_{n}$ in such a way that its average with the known tangent slopes $f_{n}^{\prime}$ at $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ is the same as the known weighted average of secant slopes and then we apply weight function approach. Further, we can also obtain many new optimal families of eighthorder Ostrowski's method by considering different kinds of weight functions which satisfy the conditions mentioned in Theorem 1. Each member of the proposed family requires three evaluations of the function $f$ and one of its first-order derivative $f^{\prime}$ per full step and therefore has efficiency index better than fourth-order convergent Ostrowski's method. The superiority of present methods is also corroborated by numerical results displayed in Table 2. Our proposed iterative methods are compared in their efficiency and performance to various other multipoint methods, and it is observed that our proposed methods are efficient and perform better than existing methods available in the literature. Based on Figures 1-6, we conclude that larger basins of attraction
belong to our methods, namely, $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{OM}_{8}^{2}$, although the other methods are slow and have darker basins while some of the methods are too sensitive upon the choice of the initial value. Further, this idea can also be extended for the case of King's family.
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