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1. Introduction

Coronavirus (COVID-19) is the latest evolutionary virus that has taken over the world in just a few months. It is a type of pneumonia that was initiated at the beginning of December 2019 near Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China, while, on 11th March 2020, it was declared as a world pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. According to WHO statistics, till 24 February 2021, more than 111 million people were affected by the virus and about 2.46 million deaths were reported [2]. The most common symptoms of Coronavirus are fever, dry cough, and tiredness among many others. It mainly spreads through close direct contact of people with respiratory drops of an infected person generated through coughs, sneezes, or exhales. Since these droplets are too dense to swing in the air for long distances and quickly fall on floors or surfaces, therefore, it also spreads when individuals touch the impaired surfaces with the virus and touch back to their face (e.g., eyes, nose, and mouth) [3]. The WHO has declared a state of emergency all over the world and has developed some emergency precautionary measures to limit the spread of the virus, i.e.,
washing hands regularly with soap for the 20 s, using sanitizers, keeping distance, regularly disinfecting the surfaces, using disposable tissues while coughing or sneezing, and the most importantly wearing of face masks in public places [4, 5]. Like controlling the community spread of the SARS virus effectively during the SARS epidemic in the year 2003 [6], wearing community-wide face masks has also been proven very effective in controlling the widespread of Coronavirus [7–11]. Due to effective controlling the respiratory droplets, the wearing of masks has become a prominent feature of the COVID-19 response. For instance, the efficiency of N95 and surgical masks in blocking virus transmission (through blocking the respiratory droplets) is 91% and 68%, respectively [12, 13]. Wearing face masks can effectively interrupt airborne viruses and particles so that certain contaminants cannot reach the respiratory system of another person [14].

The worldwide scientific cooperation has improved dramatically due to the outbreak of Coronavirus and is searching for new tools and technologies to fight this virus. One such technology that can be used is artificial intelligence (AI). It can track the spread of the virus quickly, can recognize high-risk patients, and can potentially control the pandemic in real-time [3]. It is also beneficial in early predicting infection by the analysis of the previous patient’s data, which in turn can reduce the mortality risks because of the virus.

As already discussed that wearing face masks is the most effective protective measure against Coronavirus transmission, however, ensuring the wearing of face masks in public places is a difficult task for the government and the relevant authorities. Luckily, AI as a tool (by using machine learning (ML) or deep learning (DL) algorithms) can help ensure the wearing of face masks in public places just by detecting face masks in real-time with the help of an already installed camera network (surveillance camera network or any other). It is an easy method to manage the people in the society, to maintain social distancing, and to make sure that everyone has worn a face mask.

Because of the importance of face mask detection in public places, here in this study, we have demonstrated the use of two popular DL-based architectures, i.e., DCNN and advanced CNN based on “transfer learning,” i.e., MobileNetV2 for effective face mask detection. To evaluate the performance of the employed DL architectures, two different datasets have been used, i.e., (1) our own developed face mask detection dataset having 2500 images (dataset-1) and (2) the dataset taken from PyImage Search Reader Prajna Bhandary and some random sources (dataset-2). Finally, the results achieved by the algorithms on both datasets have been compared. Moreover, dataset-1 was collected from Karakoram International University, Pakistan, keeping in view the limitations of datasets under different real-world scenarios. In the future, this technology can be employed in real-time applications that require face mask detection for safety reasons due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This project can be integrated with embedded systems for applications in offices, schools, airports, and public places to ensure public safety guidelines.

### 2. Related Work

Loey et al. [15] introduced a face mask detection model that works on deep transfer learning and classical ML classifiers (classical ML classifiers refer to the ML algorithms that work on handcrafted extracted and engineered features from the input data). They used the Residual Neural Network (ResNet 50) algorithm for feature extraction. The extracted features were then used to train three classical ML algorithms, i.e., Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree (DT), and Ensemble Learning (EL). Three different face mask datasets have been used in the study for the investigation, i.e., (i) Real-World Masked Face Dataset (RMFD), (ii) Simulated Masked Face Dataset (SMFD), and (iii) Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW) dataset. Finally, the trained classifiers were tested for possible face mask detection. During the testing experiment, the SVM classifier achieved the highest detection accuracies as compared to DT and EL classifiers. In RMFD and SMFD, it achieved 99.64% and 99.49% detection accuracies, respectively, while, in the case of LFW, it achieved 100% detection accuracy.

Militante and Dionisio [16] developed an automatic system to detect whether a person wears a mask or not and if the person does not wear a mask the system generates an alarm. To develop their system, the authors used the VGG-16 architecture of CNN. Their system achieved overall 96% detection accuracy. In the future, the authors decide to make a system that will not only detect whether a person is wearing a mask or not but will also detect a physical distance between each individual and will sound an alert if the physical distancing is not followed properly.

Rahman et al. [17] built a framework that gathers data from the IoT (Internet of Things) sensors of the smart city network (where all the public places are monitored with Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV) cameras) and detects whether an individual wears a mask or not. Real-time video footage from CCTV cameras of the smart city is collected for extracting facial images from it. The extracted facial images of people with and without masks are then used to train CNN architecture. Finally, the trained CNN architecture is used to distinguish people with and without facial masks. One advantage of using the CCTV network of the smart city is that when the system detects people without wearing masks, the information is sent through the city network to the concerned authority of the smart city to take appropriate action. The overall dataset used in this work is 1539 images including 858 images with masks and 681 images without masks. 80% of data was used for training, and the rest of the data was used for testing. The system achieved overall 98.7% accuracy on previously unseen data for distinguishing people with and without masks.
Sanjaya and Rakhmawan [18] introduced a model using a DL algorithm to detect whether a person wears a mask or not in public areas. To do this, they used the MobileNetV2 image classification method, which is a pretrained method. In this experiment, the authors used two datasets, i.e., (1) RMFD, taken from Kaggle, and (2) dataset collected from 25 cities of Indonesia using CCTV cameras, traffic lamp cameras, and shop cameras. Both the datasets were used to train their model. The trained model achieved 96% and 85% detection accuracies on the test sets of these two datasets, respectively.

Sandler et al. [19] present a method for automatically detecting whether someone wears a mask or not. They designed a transfer learning-based method with MobileNetV2 for detecting masks in images and also in video streaming. Their system achieved 98% detection accuracy at a dataset of 4095 images. According to the authors, their model can work on devices with minimal computational capability and can process on real-time image data.

Oumina et al. [20] developed a system by combining pretrained DL models such as Xception, MobileNetV2, and VGG19 for the extraction of features from the input images. After extracting features, they used different ML classifiers such as SVM and k-nearest neighbor (k-NN) for the classification of extracted features of images. They used a total of 1376 images of two classes (i.e., with mask and without mask). The experimental results show that the combination of MobileNetV2 with SVM achieved the highest classification accuracy of 97.11%.

Related to the above-mentioned literature, here in this research, we have used two DL architectures for face mask detection, i.e., DCNN and transfer learning-based MobileNetV2. The performance of these architectures is evaluated on its own collected dataset as well as on the dataset collected from PyImage Search Reader Prajna Bhandary and some random sources. The purpose of evaluating the performance of these two architectures on two different datasets is to compare their performance and to know how better the models perform on our own collected dataset.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Dataset. This research performed its experiments on two different datasets:

The first is our own collected dataset, which was collected at Karakorum International University, Pakistan, considering the real-world scenarios. The images were taken from each individual with and without wearing face masks. To increase the size of the dataset (for achieving better model performance), some augmentation techniques (like rotating, zooming, and blurring) have been performed on the collected images. The final version of our dataset included 2500 images labeled as with mask and without mask. The second dataset used in our experiments was taken from PyImage Search Reader developed by Mikolaj Witkowski and Prajna Bhandary (available at Kaggle) and some from random sources. This dataset contains 4436 images belonging to two classes (i.e., with mask and without mask). Mikolaj and Prajna created this dataset by taking normal images of faces and then by creating a custom computer vision python script to add a face mask to them, thus creating an artificial dataset that is used as a with mask. Details of the images included in both datasets have been provided in Table 1. Furthermore, Figures 1 and 2 show some pictures taken from our own collected dataset and the dataset developed by Prajna Bhandary.

3.2. Methodology of the Proposed Study. In this study, we are considering two DL architectures, i.e., DCNN and transfer learning-based MobileNetV2. To evaluate the performance of these two models, two different datasets have been used. For convenience, these datasets were named dataset-1 and dataset-2.
Dataset-1 contains 2500 and dataset-2 contains 4000 with and without mask images (refer to Figures 1 and 2 for a few samples of images taken from dataset-1 and dataset-2), respectively. Each dataset is split into two groups, one for training the models, while, the other for testing the models. In the case of training MobileNetV2 architecture, 80% data of each dataset was used, whereas, the remaining 20% data was used for testing the model. In the case of DCNN, 90% data of each dataset was used for training, and the remaining 10% was used for testing the model. Data augmentation technique was used to increase the amount of data by making slight changes like resizing, zooming, and rotating the images. This technique helps to reduce the problem of overfitting during training the model. We resized images to 100 * 100, rotated images to 40 degrees, and zoomed images using a 0.2 zoom-in factor. A schematic diagram of DCNN and MobileNetV2 for face mask detection has been presented in Figure 3.

3.2.1. Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DCNN). DCNN is not just a deep neural network with many hidden layers, but actually, it is a deep network that mimics the way the human brain’s visual cortex processes and recognizes images [21]. A given input image is processed by assigning relevant weights (learnable parameters) to various parts of the image and then making distinctions between the various characteristics. It is substantially less preprocessing and time-consuming than other classification methods when compared to DCNN. While traditional techniques necessitate the creation of filters by manually, DCNN can learn to create these filters with sufficient training. The DCNN architecture that we used in our research is depicted in Figure 4. These five layers include convolutional layers, average-pooling layers, and one fully connected layer. Convolutional layers and avg-pooling layers are included in this network. For every convolution layer, the layer is convolved with their respective kernel size and after every convolution; Rectified...
Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function is added. ReLU is used for filtering information that propagates forward through the network. After every convolution, the average-pooling operation takes place. The fully connected layer also known as the classification layer includes the flattening process. Flattening converts the matrix found after the last average-pooling into a single column matrix for inputting it to the final output layer. At the last output layer, a "softmax" activation function is used that predicts a multinomial probability distribution.

3.2.2. MobileNetV2. MobileNetV2 is a Google-based developed architecture that is pertained on 1.4 million images of 1000 classes [19]. It is an advanced DCNN architecture that performs well on mobile devices. In MobileNetV2, we do not have to train the model from scratch, we only change the last output layers according to our domain. The architecture of MobileNetV2 is based on its previous version (i.e., MobileNetV1). To preserve the information, it introduced a new structure named "inverted residual." The problem of information destroying in convolution blocks by a nonlinear layer applies the technique of Depthwise Separable Convolution (DSC) by using a linear bottleneck layer [22]. Figure 5 shows the basic architecture of MobileNetV2.

3.3. Evaluation Metrics. The performance of the classification models on testing data was evaluated using the accuracy (Equation (1)), precision (Equation (2)), recall (Equation (3)), specificity (Equation (4)), F1-score (Equation (5)), and kappa coefficient (Equation (6)). The F1-score is the harmonic mean of recall and precision. Recall, precision, and accuracy are computed using the True Positive (TP), True Negative (TN), False Positive (FP), and False Negative (FN), which can be calculated using the confusion metric.
Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}, \quad (1)

Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}, \quad (2)

Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}, \quad (3)

Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}, \quad (4)

F1-score = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}, \quad (5)

Kappa coefficient = \frac{\text{total accuracy} - \text{random accuracy}}{(1 - \text{random accuracy})}. \quad (6)

Kappa coefficient is the measure of agreement between predicted and true values in testing datasets. The value of kappa can be 0 to 1. If the value of kappa is 0, there is no agreement between the predicted and actual image, and if the value of kappa is 1, then the predicted and actual image are identical. Thus, the higher the value of kappa, the more accurate the classification. Moreover, the random accuracy for binary classification can be calculated as
Random accuracy = $\frac{1}{\text{total no. of classes}}$.

4. Experimental Results and Analysis

On two separate datasets of images, extensive experiments were conducted to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the suggested models. On dataset-1, Figure 6 shows the MobileNetV2 model’s training and validation curves. It shows that over 20 epochs, the training and validation accuracy achieved by MobileNetV2 are 99% and 98%, respectively. Similarly, Figure 7 provides the training and validation plots of the MobileNetV2 model on dataset-2. Figure 7 indicates that over 20 epochs, the training and validation accuracy achieved by MobileNetV2 are 99% and 99%, respectively. Hence, the MobileNetV2 model achieved equal training accuracy on both datasets, however, higher validation accuracy on dataset-2 as compared to dataset-1. Figure 8 presents the training and validation loss curves of the MobileNetV2 model on dataset-1 which shows that over 20 epochs, the training and validation losses are 5% and 4%, respectively. Similarly, Figure 9 provides the training and validation loss curves of the MobileNetV2 model on dataset-2. It shows that over 20 epochs, the training and validation losses acquired by MobileNetV2 are 5% and 4%, respectively. Hence, the MobileNetV2 model achieved comparatively equal training losses on both the datasets, whereas higher validation losses on dataset-1 as compared to dataset-2.

Figures 8 and 9 further specify that there is less gap in training and validation loss curves, which indicates that the employed model is well converged on the datasets and there
was no problem of overfitting occurring during training and validation. Figures 10 and 11 show the training and validation plots of the DCNN model on dataset-1 and dataset-2, respectively. Figures 10 and 11 show that during 50 epochs, the training and validation accuracy achieved by the DCNN model on both the datasets are 98% and 97%, respectively.
Hence, the DCNN model performed equally well on dataset-1 and dataset-2.

Figures 12 and 13 describe the training and validation loss curves of the DCNN model achieved during experiments, plotted throughout 50 epochs using dataset-1 and dataset-2, respectively. Both the graphs indicate that in both the datasets, the DCNN model achieved the minimum and equal training and validation losses, i.e., 0.5% and 1%, respectively. Figures 12 and 13 further specify that there is less gap in training and validation loss curves, which indicates that the DCNN model was well converged during training and validation and no problem of overfitting occurred during the training and validation process. By comparing the training and validation accuracy as well as training and validation losses of MobileNetV2 and DCNN models, it can be concluded that the MobileNetV2 model achieved higher accuracy and minimum losses over DCNN in both datasets.

Figure 14 provides the overall comparative summary of the training and validation accuracy achieved by MobileNetV2 and DCNN models. It indicates that for both datasets, the MobileNetV2 model achieved higher accuracy as compared to the DCNN model. Furthermore, in the case of dataset-2, the accuracy achieved by the MobileNetV2 model are better as compared to dataset-1.

Tables 2 and 3 provide the classification reports of MobileNetV2 and DCNN on dataset-1 and dataset-2, respectively. Both models achieved higher evaluation metric scores of accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, specificity, error rate, and kappa coefficient on both datasets. These higher values indicate that both models performed well on both datasets. However, from the overall experimental results, it can be concluded that even with the less amount of data, the MobileNetV2 model can provide better accuracies than DCNN. It is because MobileNetV2 models are already trained on a large amount of data and we do not need to train them from the scratch. We only have to change the last two layers of the MobileNetV2 model according to our problem. Moreover, results further show that in the case of our collected dataset (dataset-1), both the models performed well. It is because we collected our dataset with real face masks and without face masks and did not create a dataset with masks artificially. However, in the case of dataset-2, the dataset was generated with artificial masks. Furthermore, our models are succeeded in detecting the real-time images with a mask and without a mask with the accuracy displayed on the images as shown in Figure 15.

5. Conclusion

COVID-19 is one of the fast-spreading viruses that have been threatening human health, world trade, and the economy. Its high mutation and spreading rate made the situation difficult to be under control. Taking precautionary measures may reduce the spreading of this virus, and one of the most important measures is to wear a face mask in public places. Therefore, in this study, a deep learning-based approach has been applied to detect the face mask automatically. The learning models, i.e., Deep Convolutional Neural Network (DCNN) and MobileNetV2 transferred learning-based model, have been evaluated on two different datasets. The datasets consist of our own collected dataset containing 2500 images of individuals with and without masks (dataset-1) and dataset-2 from PyImage Search Reader Prajna Bhandary (dataset-2) and some random sources. The comparative results show that MobileNetV2 achieved 98% and 99% classification accuracy on dataset-1 and dataset-2, respectively, whereas Deep Convolutional Neural Network achieved 97% accuracy on both datasets. The main contribution of the study is the development of our own face mask detection dataset with 2500 images, which were collected from Karakoram International University, Pakistan, keeping in view the limitations of datasets under different real-world scenarios. In the future, we will increase the size of the dataset by embedding real-time video streams into it to detect face masks in real-time.
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